GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

DEPARTMEN. F ARCHAEOLOGY

CENTRAL ARCHÆOLOGICAL LIBRARY

CALL NO. 891.05 / J.A.O. S AGG. No. 24.551

D.G.A. 79-GIPN—S1-2D. G. Arca.N. D./57-2 -9-58-1,00,000 A35.





JOUR AL

OF THE

AMERICAN ORIENTAL SOCIETY

EDITED BY

JAMES A. MONTGOMERY FRANKLIN EDGERTON
Professors in the University of Pennsylvania

VOLUME 40 24551

891.05 J. A.O.S.

A330

[189]

PCHLISHED FOR THE AMERICAN OBJENTAL SOCIETY

YALE UNIVERSITY PRESS

NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT, C. S. L.

1920

LINRARY, NEW DELIGITARIO DEL SEL DEL SEL DEL SEL DE SEL DE

Printed by The Tuttle, Morehouse & Taylor Company, New Haven, Conn., U. S. A.

CONTENTS

| CONTENTS | Pat | GZ. |
|--|---------------|-------|
| ABBOTT, J. E.: Mālobā, the Murāthā Saint . | . 30 | 00 |
| to To Titte the Sumerian God of Commerce | | 78 |
| Gilgames and Engidu, Mesopotamian Genii of Form | nie | |
| Marc | . 30 | 07 |
| Breen t. C. The Kashusirian Atharva Voda, Book Seven | | 45 |
| BENNER, H. H.; Lithuanian Monas, Fridans 'n place where somethin | in the second | |
| The Continue of the Control of the C | P | abab |
| In P D - A Hilbliography of the Philippine Languages, Part 1 | | 25 |
| BLOOMFIELD, M.: The Dobada or Craving of Pregnant Women: | A | 1 |
| Motif of Hinds Fiction | - 11 | 1 |
| Notes on the Divravadana | | 36 |
| BERASTED, J. H .: The First Expedition of the Oriental Institute of the | MA . | 100 |
| University of Chicago | | 28 |
| Eponeron, F.: Hindiiams in Sanskrit again | 7 | 54 |
| Studies in the Veds | | 90 |
| Counter Rejoinder (to E. W. Fay) | | 100 |
| Evil-Wit, No-Wit, and Honest-Wit | | 71 |
| PAY, E. W.: Phonetic and Lexical Notes | | 51 |
| Bejoinder to Professor Edgerton | | 93 |
| Indo-Iranica | . 1 | 121 |
| GAVIN, F.: The Sleep of the Soul in the Early Syriae Church | 1. 7 | 103 |
| ve v. cv. one Personal Criticism of Institutions | | 39 |
| Koo, T. H.: The Constitutional Development of the Western H | an: | |
| Dynasty | | 170 |
| Kramano, E. G. H.: The Tower of Habel | | 276 |
| 1 P D. Dhense, Words and Phrase-Derivatives be it be | | 194 |
| | 14 3 | 199 |
| India and The West with a Pien for Teach for anno | ng | - |
| Nebolata . | | 005 |
| Discounts Treaties on Dramaturgy (Netya-Soutra) | | 359 |
| Listricia, H. S.: The Dependence of the Talmudic Principle of Ale | 200 | 1.00 |
| knim on Halbyidelau 1449 | 140 | 126 |
| Luzz, H. V.; A Loanwood in Egyptian | 9 | 71 |
| A Thomas is an identifiant (Did) | | 350 |
| Parties J D . A possible Samerian Original of the Name Numbu | | 201 |
| Comment of H. Phonomen, Cassin and Somaniani. | 14 | 260 |
| SURTHANKAS, V. S.: An Assyrian Tablet found in Bombay | 0 | 142 |
| Day Hay in Highest 1 | | 248 |
| THAVES, G. W.: Julien's Manuscript Dictionary of the Manchu L. | in: | 4 4 6 |
| | | 140 |
| The Assertance Etymning of the New Persons posses | dh, | 200 |
| AND REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY | | |
| The state of the second of the state of the | | 141 |
| WARREN, W. F .: Where was Sakadvipa in the mythical World V. | 1913E | 356 |
| of India I | | 2014 |
| PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING AT THIACA, 1920 | - | 104 |
| Photographic on press Manual E Willer District | 285, | |
| NOTES OF THE SOCIETY | 256, | |
| Novem or Owner Societies, Evo. | | 33 |
| THE A CONTRACT OF LEASING SOCIETIES | 000 | |
| PERSONALIA | 900k | |
| Low or Members | 4- | 367 |



THE DOHADA OR CRAVING OF PREGNANT WOMEN: A MOTIF OF HINDU FICTION:

MAURICE BLOOMPIELD

JOHNS HOPKIES UNIVERSITY

HINDU SCHEMATISM allows nothing in nature or the mind, however unimportant or indecent it may seem to a sofisticated Western soul, to pass without formal statement and discussion. The two Sastras, Kamasastra, 'Rules of Love,' and the (so far) lost Stevasastra, 'Rules of Thieving,' are familiar examples of this Hindu habit. Larid descriptions of the female body, inflammatory, and primarily intended to inflame, pass into literature without the least sense of indecency or decadence." In their Hindu treatment, these matters appear, in the end, natural or even exigent; to suppress them or disguise them would leave a blank, and cast shame upon him that thinketh evil. Similarly, dohada, that is, the fancy, craving, or whim of a pregnant woman, a trivial and intimate event in woman's life history, is not allowed to flit uneaught thru Hindu thot. On the contrary it is gripped firmly, and handled without gloves, pervading poetry and fletion all the way from Ceylon to Tibet. The notion is so persistent that it becomes, in time, a mere formula, or bit of embroidery. There is scarcely a description of spring-time

So, e. g., Dušakumāra Carita (Bumbay Sanskrit Series), Part 1, p. 62; Vāsavadattā, Gray's Translation, pp. 58, 61, 62, 86; Kathāsaritsāgara 54, 6 ff.; Pāršvanātha Caritra, 1, 216 ff.; Samarādityasamksepa 5, 167 ff.;

Divgavadana, p. 444

^{&#}x27;The present article continues the encyclopedic treatment of Hindu Fiction, planned some years ago, and since then substantiated in a number of my own papers, and one by Dr. E. W. Burlingame. See Bloomfield, 'On Recorring Psychic Motifs in Hindu Fiction, and the Laugh and Cry Motif,' JAOS 36. 54-89; 'On the Art of Entering Another's Body, a Rindu Fiction Motif,' Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society, 56. 143; 'The Fable of the Crow and the Paim-Tree, a Psychic Motif in Hindu Fiction,' AJP 40. 1-36. Preceded by, 'The Character and Adventures of Müladern,' Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc. 52, 616-50; and, 'On Talking Birds in Hindu Fiction,' Pestschrift Ernst Windisch, 349-61. Burlingame's paper is: 'The Act of Truth (Soccakiring): a Hindu Spell and its Employment as a Psychic Motif in Hindu Fiction': JEAS, July 1917, pp. 429-67.

in which trees or plants do not manifest dohada before they blossom out; there is many a story in which an embryo child teases its mother with caprices of the most varied sorts.

The treatment of dohada is both scientific and literary. As regards science, it figures prominently in medicine, in love books (Kamaśastra), in psycho-fysics, and in filosofy. With these we are not directly concerned, except in so far as they put forth the idea that dobada is due to the presence of a second heart and a second will in the body of the mother; that the mother's cravings are, therefore, vicarious; and that the prosperous development of the embryo depends upon the satisfaction of these cravings, in whatsoever manner they may manifest themselves. This aspect of dohada, as well as the derivation of the word from the idea of 'two-heartedness,' has been treated conclusively enough by Lüders, Nachrichten der Göttingischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, 1898, fasciele 1; Jolly, IF 10, 213 ff.; Anfrecht, ZDMG 52, 763; Boehtlingk, ZDMG 55, 98; Ber. d. kgt. süchs. Ges. d. Wiss. 1901; Richard Schmidt, Beiträge zur indischen Erotik, p. 392 ff.

As a theme of literature dohada appears in two ways, both naive in their inception, and a priori quite dispensable. It must be admitted, however, that on the whole, they are worked out in a way that lacks neither beauty nor usefulness; that is entirely free from grossness; and that, in the end, really adds both distinctiveness and variety to Hindu literature.

One of the ways is poetic, the other pragmatic. In poetry we have the exquisite notion that the sudden blossoming of trees in the spring is a kind of birth, preceded by a pregnancy fancy. The fulfilment of that fancy is that to be the necessary preliminary to the perfect event. The kadamba tree suddenly buds forth at the beginning of the rainy season, when the thunder rolls—sign that the kadamba craved to hear the thunder, before giving birth to its buds. The bakula (vakula) tree, before bearing blossoms, must be sprinkled with wine from the months of young women—that is its whim. Above all, the asoka tree must be touched by the foot of a maiden, or young woman, before it blossoms—again the whim of the pregnant plant, say, or imply, the Hindu poets.

^{*} As regards the aloka see Lalk Sitz Ram in ZDMG 58, 393,

In Pāršvanātha Caritra 6, 796, 797, four trees are thus said to blossom in spring in consequence of having their several dohadas fulfilled.

pusijanti turunislistä yasmin' kuruvakadrumāh, vikāšum yanty asokās tu vadhūpādaprahāratah, mrgāksisidhugandūsāih pusyanti bakulā api, campakās tu praphullanti sugandhajaladohadāih.

"(Came spring) when the kuruvaka trees bloom, as they are embraced by young maids; when the asoka trees burst into bloom, as they are struck by the feet of young women; when the bakula trees bloom, if sprayed with wine from the mouths of gazelle-eyed maidens; when the campaka trees burst as they are sprinkled with perfumed water.' The kuravaka or kuruvaka is said also to break into blossom when looked at by a beautiful woman, (pramadoyā) ālokilah kuravakah kurute vikāšam, gloss to Kumārasaihbhava 3, 26 (see Pet. Lex, under kurovaka).

In the more enfuistic descriptions, Vasavadatta 133 and 138. figure only asoka and bakula; they are, as a matter of fact. mentioned most frequently: 'Came spring, that makes hakula trees horripilate from sprinkling with rum in mouthfuls by amorous maids, merry with drink; that has hundreds of asoka trees delighted by the slow stroke of the tremmions lotus feet. beautiful with anklets, of wanton damsels, enslaved by amorous delights.' And again, 'In spring, by its fresh shoots the asoka. because of its longing to be touched by a maiden's ankleted foot, red with the dye of new lac, seemed to have assumed that color, The bakula shone as if, thru sprinkling with mouthfuls from amorous girls' lotus lips, completely filled with sweat wine, it had assumed its (the wine's) color in its own flowers."

Rarely does a Hindu poet allude to the asoka tree without this thot; see, c. g., Mālavikāgnimitram, Act 3, stanzas 48 and 53 (Bollensen's edition, 1879); Bochtlingk's Indische Sprücke, 5691, 5693. In case of all of these trees there is the corollary idea that their fruit does not prosper, unless their erayings are satisfied; it is just as fit and proper to satisfy these eravings, as, in real life, it is imperative to satisfy the whim of the prototypical pregnant woman: dohadam asyah puraya," 'satisfy her

^{*}Se., vacanté.

^{*}Compare Gray's Translation of Vasavadatia, pp. 84, 85,

[&]quot; Malay, stnnra 55.

dohada, is, as it were, a Hindu motto, because the foetus comes to grief if desire due to dohada is not granted, dohadasyāpradānena garbho doṣam avāpnayāt (Yājňavalkya 3, 79).

The pragmatic aspect of dohada is what concerns Hindu fiction. It seems that Hindu women are affected by it to a degree unknown in the West, and that husbands are very conscious of its presence and of their duties, in the circumstances, towards their patient wives. Literary testimony is very abundant, but we have in addition direct testimony from a modern Hindu source. In an article entitled 'Doladuk (dohada),' Mr. W. Goonetilleke, in The Orientalist 2. 81, describes the circumstances somewhat as follows: Sinhalese as well as other Eastern women acquire, during the earlier period of pregnancy, a longing or craving after particular objects. It is the duty of the husband to provide these objects, lest the woman's health suffer, In 'former times' unchaste wives availed themselves of this for getting rid of their husbands for a time, so as to enjoy the company of their paramours. All the young woman has to do is to express longing for some rare article of food, or a fruit out of season, and the deluded husband, as he is in duty bound, sets out to procure it. In the meantime the wife has her own way in the house; see the Nikini story, below, p. 22.

This longing for particular objects is known among the Sinhalese as Doladuk — dohada. In decent Sinhalese, a woman is not said to be pregnant, but in the state of Doladuk. 'Doladukin innava.' Mr. Goonetilleke goes on to say that the object longed for is, for the most part, a lump of dry clay or earth, or broken pieces of new chatties. These substances have a kind of fragrance which is irresistibly inviting to pregnant women, as well as to patients suffering from the disease called Pāndu (jaundice or anemia).' In Raghuvanša 3. 3, 5, 6, this matter is authenticated. The king of North Košala there sniffs (our 'kisses') the face of his beloved, that has the odor of earth (metsurabhi) and thus learns that she is in dohada. 'Whatever she chose, that she saw brought in; for the desired object was not unattainable, even in heaven, by this king with the strung-bow.'

earth."

^{&#}x27;Jaundiced clay-enters are well known in the southern United States.

'The commutator Mallinatha says, garbhininam mrdbhakarasm lekeprasidaham ero, 'it is universally understood that pregnant women eat

As far as the writer knows, the craving for clay does not again appear in literature.

The same dohada is employed constantly as a start motif which initiates a chain of unusual happenings, or as a progressive motif in the course of stories. Clearly, if the story requires something unusual to be done, if the smooth course of some one's life is to be disturbed; or, if the evenly righteous or proper character of some person needs to be turned into something wicked or convulsive; dohada, in its unbridled unexpectedness, can be readily called upon. When a lady expresses the desire to dine off the entrails of her busband," or to drink the moon,10 the story gets a jolt, and after that is liable to move with some clan. Indeed, dobada runs the entire gamut from such fierce fancies clear to the opposite pole, e. g., the lamb-like desire to hear pious discourse from some great religious teacher, which occurs very frequently in fiction, the it is perhaps not so likely in real life.

As is true of many other fiction motives, dohada, because it occurs very frequently, tends to become mechanical in its use. Thus, in the course of the rehirths of the pair of souls of Gunasena and Agnisarman in the Jaina text Samarādityasaniksepa. the hirths are very regularly preceded by dohada: 2. 13, 361; 3. 15; 4. 444; 5, 10; 6; 388. The motif is, in this regard, very much on a plane with another birth motif, namely, the dream. which heralds the birth of a noble son, a stock motif with which the Jainas in particular embroider the life histories of their saints and emperors, from Mahavira down. This trait is also constant in the Samarādityasamksepa.11

Dohada unconsciously assumes in the minds of the fletionists certain systematic aspects, which make it convenient to treat it under six rubries:

I. Dohada either directly injures the husband, or impels some act on his part which involves danger or contumely.

II. Dehada prompts the husband to deeds of heroism, superior skill, wisdom, or shrewdness.

^{*} Pradyumahekrya's Samaradiiyasamksepa 2. 361.

Parisistaparvan S. 225 ff.

[&]quot; See my volume, The Life and Stories of the Jains Savior Pargusndtha, pp. 189 ff.

III. Dohada takes the form of pious acts, or pious aspirations.

IV. Dohada is used as an ornamental incident, not influencing the main events of a story.

V. Dohada is feigned by the woman, in order that she may accomplish some purpose, or satisfy some desire.

VI. Dohada is obviated by tricking the woman into the belief that her desire is being fulfilled.

I. Dohada either directly injures the husband, or impels some act on his part which involves danger or contumely.

Suitably, the account of this motif, based, as it is, upon extravagance, begins with its most extreme manifestation, namely, when the dohada injures. Once more, the extremest injury, which is surely not retailed without a touch of irony, is to the person or character of the husband himself. It is remarkable that the woman herself is not directly injured; nor is she, as a rule, driven by her whim into adventure. There is just one folklore story of this sort, told by Parker, Village Folk-Tales of Ceylon, vol. 2, pp. 388 ff., where the young wife of a prince is taken with dohada (doladuk) for a damba fruit, which her seven sisters in law refuse to give her. The princess climbs a damba tree, is there wooed by a leopard, and goes with him to his rock cave. The leopard is trapped by the princess's brothers in a covered pit and buried alive. The princess dies thru very grief at the loss of the leopard.

In Thusa Jätaka (338) the mother of the future parricide, Prince Ajätasattu. When pregnant with him, conceives a chronic longing to drink blood from the right knee of her husband, King Bimbisära. The king learns from his astrologers that the prospective child will kill him, and seize his kingdom. If my son, says the king, should kill me and seize my kingdom, what is the harm of it? He has his right knee opened with a sword, lets the blood fall into an open dish, and gives it to the queen to drink. But the queen, loathing the idea of the parricide's being born, endeavors to bring about a misearringe. The king, hearing of it, calls her to him, and says, 'My dear, it is said, my son will slay me, and seize my kingdom. But I am not exempt from old age and death: suffer me to behold the

[&]quot; See Rhys Davids, Buddhist Indio, pp. 14 ff.

face of my child!' In full time the queen gives birth to a son who is called Ajātasattu, because he had been his father's enemy while still unborn. 12 Ajātasattu in due time slays his father.

In Ralston, Tibetan Tales, p. 84, Queen Vāsavī, who is about to hear her husband, King Bimbisāra, a sou, destined to kill that king, his father, is seized by the desire to eat flesh from the king's back. She tells the king, who consults the soothsayers. They decide that the desire is caused by the influence of a being which has entered into his wife's womb. Some sagacious person advises him to have a cotton garment lined with raw meat, and to put it on, and then offer the meat to his wife. He does so, and offers Vāsavī the meat; she thinks that it is the king's own flesh, and so eats it, whereby she is freed from her longing. Afterwards she longs for her husband's blood, the king has the veins opened in five of his limbs, and gives her the blood to drink, whereby she is freed from her longing.

This event is alluded to, Kathākeša, p. 177,14 where the king, whom the Buddhists call Ajātašatru, is called Konika (Kūnika). This king has his father Srenika thrown into prison, where he ultimately dies. One day Konika is eating, while Udaya, his son by his wife Padmavati, is sitting in his lap. The child's urine falls into the vessel of rice. Konika does not put him off his lap for fear of disturbing him, but eats the rice mixed with urine. Konika says to his mother who is sitting by: 'Mother, did anybody ever love his son so much t' His mother replies : 'You monstrous criminal, listen! When I was pregnant with you, I had a longing to eat your father's flesh. The king satisfied my longing. When you were born, I abandoned you in an enclosure of asoka-trees, saying that you were a villain. The king brought you back; so you were called Asokacandra. Then a dog tore your finger. It became a whitlow. So he gave you the name of Konika.13 When the swelling on your finger ripened, you suffered pain; your father held that finger in his

[&]quot;It is very unlikely that this teleological interpretation of the name is correct; rather the whose enumies are not born, or do not exist'; i. e., 'Unconquerable.' So Ajitasatru, an epithet of Indra in RV. Chearly the name is part reason for the story.

[&]quot;The same episode in Nirayavaliya Suita, edited by Warren in Trans-

actions of the Amsterdam Arademy, 1879.

There is no evidence that Konika has this meaning.

month, the it was streaming with matter, so you did not cry. To this extent did he love you.' Konika, full of remorse, takes up an iron club, and goes off in person to break his father's chains. The guards say to Srenika: 'Konika is coming in a very impatient mood, with an iron club in his hand.' The king, thinking that he would be put to death by some painful mode of execution, takes tālopuṭa poison. When Konika arrives there, he finds King Srenika dead.

In Samarādityasamksepa 2. 356 ff. the soul of the ascetie Agnisarman falls from heaven, and is conceived in the womb of Kusumāvalī, queen of King Sinha. In her dream she sees a serpent enter her womb,18 go out again and bite the king, so that he falls from his throne. She does not communicate this inauspicious omen to the king. Owing to that fault she gets to hate the king as her child keeps growing in her womb, and finally is taken with dohada to eat her husband's entrails. Because she ascribes this to the evil nature of the foetus, she decides to practise abortion. But the she takes many drugs, she does not suceeed in her detestable design, merely growing very lean from the drugs and her unsatisfied dohada. From a friend of the queen the king learns the whole story, consults his minister, and is advised to cut fake entrails from his body before the eyes of the queen. The minister tells the queen that he wi atisfy her eraving. She consents, and he cuts the entrails of a hare which are hidden in the king's clothes, apparently from out of his body, while the queen looks on. The minister next tells her to report the birth of her child to himself, and, when she does so, he tells her that the child is dangerous to the king and should therefore be brought up at a distance. Again she consents, and intrusts the child to a tire-woman, who, however, is intercepted by the king. He takes the child, contrives a secret birth-festival for him, names him Ananda, has him educated in every accomplishment, and appoints him heir-apparent,

It comes to pass that a forest bandit, Durmati by name, rises against the king, who then organizes an expedition against him.

³⁶ In Vizscarita 25 (Indische Studien 14, 137) a prognant woman sees a surpent, and, therefore, begets a surpent. In Pärsvanätha Caritra 5, 125, Queen Vāmā, while pregnant, sees a surpent by her side (përëvatah), therefore her son is named Pärsva. See my Life and Stories of the Jains Savier Pärgrunātha, p. 190.

The king is reminded of the perishableness of all things by the spectacle of a frog being devoured by a serpent, the serpent by an osprey, and the osprey by a boa constrictor. He decides to abandon the world, and makes preparations for his successor, Ananda. Ananda, on account of his evil nature, suspects his father of designs against his life, and attacks him. A battle ensues, which is, however, stopped by the king, who orders Ananda's consecration as king. But Ananda, still suspicious. has his father thrown into prison. There Queen Kusumāvalī visits him, is converted, and turns nun. The king decides to die by starvation, but Ananda sends a palace ennuch, named Devasarma, to feed him by force. The king refuses to be interfered with in his pious career, and is slain by the sword of his OWN SOD.

There is finally a single case in which dohada results not only in the husband's death, but also in the death of a second person, showing how insistent is this mode of treatment. In Suvannakakkatu Jataka (389)37 the Bodhisat, born as a Brahman farmer, strikes up a friendship with a crab. Now in his eyes are seen the five graces and the three circles, very pure. A she-crow, conceiving dohada to eat his eyes, tells her mate to wait on a cobra, and to induce him to sting the Brahman to death, in a er that he may pluck out the dead Brahman's eyes, and bring them to her. The cobra consents to the arrangement, bites the Brahman in the calf of his leg, and flees to his ant-hill. The erab seizes the crow by the neck; the crow calls the cobra to his aid, and when he comes the erab clutches him as well. He makes the cobra suck the poison from the Brahman's wound, so that he is as well as before, and then crushes the heads of both erow and snake with his claws.

At times dohada does not kill the unoffending husband, but merely endangers his life. Thus in Parsvanatha Caritra 3, 456 ff., Prabhāvaka, an adventurer who has taken service with a mean-spirited Thakkura, Sinha by name, is married by that Thakkura to a low-born wife. She conceives dohada for the flesh of the Thakkura's pet pencock." Prabhāvaka satisfies it

[&]quot;Cf. Benfey, Policalantra, 1. 539.

[&]quot; In Chavannes, Cinq Cent Cantes et Apologues Chinois, ur. 20, the wife of a king falls sick, dreams that she sees a peacock, and that someone toils her that his fiesh will cure her. This is, no doubt, dehada. Percock's fiesh makes young and hing-lived in Järaka 159; cf. also Järaka 191.

by giving her the flesh of a peacock equally good, and at the same time hides away the Thakkura's pet. At meal-time the Thakkura misses his peacock, has the dram beaten, and offers 800 dinars and exemption from punishment to the restorer of the peacock. Then the slave-wife reflects: 'What use have I for this man from a strange country! I will take the money, and get another husband.' She touches the dram, and tells the king that she had craved the peacock's flesh, and that Prabhāvaka, out of love for her, had slain him, tho she had tried to dissuade him. Prabhāvaka, after having vainly sought protection by an ungrateful friend, and after appealing in vain to the mercy of the Thakkura himself, whom he had previously benefited in an important way, produces the peacock. Then, in disgust, he takes leave of treacherous wife, faithless friend, and ungrateful king.

In another instance, Pärśvanātha 7, 275 ff., Kathākośa pp. 42 if., a female endangers thru dehada her husband's life, but, in the end, herself saves him thru her devotion. A fond pair of parrots live upon a tree. The female, in dohada, requests the male to bring her a head of rice from a nearby field. The male remonstrates, because the field belongs to king Srikanta, and he will therefore lose his head. She taunts him for his cowardies. Thereupon he daily plucks a head of rice from the field, until the king notices the depredation, orders the keepers of the field to catch the parrot, and bring him to his presence. When this is done, the king raises his sword to cut off the head of the parrot. But the female covers him with her body, begs for his life, and explains that her husband has misbehaved at her bidding, when in dehada. The king taunts the male, telling him that he, who is famous in the world for wisdom," had risked his life to satisfy the whim of a woman. The female retorts by narrating how the king himself, in a former birth, had taken the same risk of his life in behalf of his queen Sridevi. The king releases both parrots, and assigns to them daily rations of rice from that very field. The she-parrot, her donada satisfied, lays two eggs.

[&]quot;See my paper 'On Talking Birds in Hindu Piction,' Festschrift Ernst Windisch, p. 354 ff.

A close relative of the last story, Supatta Jataka (292),20 transfers the devotion, which primarily belongs to the husband, to an agent, but the chief traits are the same. The Bodhisat, born as king of the crows, named Supatta, has a queen Suphassa, and a chief captain Sumukha. Queen Suphassa, in dohada, flying over the kitchen of king Brahmadatta in Benares, smells its savory food, longs for it, and tells her husband that she must die, unless she gets some of it. The crow king, perched pensively, is quizzed by Captain Sumukha, who no sooner hears what is the trouble than he proposes to fetch the food. The captain with eight champions flies to Benares and settles on the roof of the kitchen. There he issues the following order: 'When the food is taken up, I'll make the man drop the dishes, Once that is done, there's an end of me. So four of you must fill your mouths with the rice, and four with the fish, and feed the royal pair with them; and if they ask where I am, say I'm coming.

The cook, hanging his dishes on a balance-pole, goes off towards the king's rooms. As he passes thru the court the crow captain, with a signal to his followers, settles upon his chest, strikes him with extended claws, and with his beak, sharp as a spear-point; peeks the end of his nose, and with his two feet stops up his jaws. The king, happening to observe what the crow is doing, hails the carrier, 'Hullo, you, down with the dishes, and catch the crow!' He does so; the champions pick up the food and give it to their king and queen to eat. When the cook brings the captain, and the latter is questioned by the king about his disrespectful and reckless conduct, he explains: 'O great king! Our king lives near Benares, and I am captain of his forces. His wife conceived a great longing for a taste of your food. Our king told me what she craved; at once I devoted my life, and now I have sent her the food.' King Brahmadatta is so pleased with the captain's devotion that he bestows upon him the white umbrella, and regularly sends of his own food to the royal crow pair.

The chef-d'ocuvre of dohada stories, in which the uxorious husband both fails to satisfy his wife and in addition is conturneliously outwitted by superior intellect, is founded upon a

^{*} See Folk-lore Journal, 3, 360.

female crocodile's dohada for a beautiful monkey's heart. It occurs in two versions, both of which are distinguished by inventiveness and perfect Hindu setting. In their Buddhist form they figure as the Sunsumara Jataka (208), of which a briefer version is the Vanara Jataka (342); and the Vanarinda Jataka (57), of which a briefer version is the Kumbhila Jātaka (224)." In the Sunsumara the Bodhisat disports himself as a monkey on the shore of the Ganga. The female crocodile conceives a desire to eat his heart. Her mate entices the monkey, by promise of fresher and choicer fruit, to cross the Ganga upon his back. The crocodile drops the monkey in the middle of the river. On being asked the reason for this procedure the crocodile replies, with a touch of Buddhist cant, that he has not dealt honestly by the monkey, because he wishes, for above-mentioned reasons, to feed the monkey's heart to his wife. The monkey acknowledges the propriety of the erocodile's intentions: 'If only monkeys had their hearts in their bodies! This is not so, because their hearts would be torn to pieces by the branches of the trees upon which they are constantly jumping about.' The crocodile sceptically asks how the monkeys can live in this way, but the monkey convinces him by showing him the ripe fruits upon an udumbara (fig) tree, alleging that they are the monkeys' hearts. Saith the crocodile: 'If you will show me your heart I will not kill you!' 'Then take me there, and I will show it you, hanging down from the adumbara tree.' The crocodile complies, the monkey escapes, and recommends the crocodile to consider, as the permanent valuable fruit of his experience, that his, the crocodile's, body may be great, but not so his intelligence. But the monkey reflects for himself somewhat as follows:

'Lightly I'd eat the lotus on the other side of the sea, Far better for me to eat the fruit of the homely fig-tree.'

In the Vänarinda Jätaka the monkey lives on the bank of a river, but is in the habit of foraging on a little island in the middle of that river. This island he reaches by first jumping upon a large rock between the bank and the island. Now the crocodile, sent by his pregnant wife, one evening lies in ambush

[&]quot;Parallels to these stories are cited from the classical literatures of India by Andersen, Pelli Render, p. 115; from folk-lore by Bloomfield, JAOS 35, 59, note.

upon the stone, awaiting the return of the monkey from the island to the shore of the mainland. The monkey, however, notices that the rock (with the crocodile upon it) looms larger than usual, whereas the water of the river is no lower than usual. With exceeding artfulness he calls the rock three times (bho plsona), and as there is, of course, no answer, exclaims 'Why, O rock, do you not answer to day?' (as the the rock were in the habit of answering). The crocodile thinks that the rock must be in the habit of conversing with the monkey, and finally responds, 'What is it, O monkey I' (kim bho vanarinda)." He then confesses that he is there to get the monkey's heart. The monkey expresses his willingness to be eaten. He tells the crocodile to open his mouth to receive him, knowing that the eyes of a crocodile shut up when he opens his mouth. As soon as the crocodile has opened his mouth, the monkey jumps from the island upon his head, and thence to shore.

In one instance dohada is not directed against the unoffending husband but manifests itself in a whim for ogrish things or ogrish food, which must, indeed, have been very disturbing to that husband. In Kathās. 9. 45 ff., and again in 30. 45 ff., Queen Mṛgāvatī, the wife of King Sahasrānika, being pregnant, feels a desire to bathe in a lake of blood. Her husband, afraid of committing sin, has a lake made of liquid lac and other colored fluids, in which she plunges. Then a bird of the race of Garuda pounces upon her, thinking that she is raw flesh. He carries her off, and as fate will have it, leaves her alive on the mountain of the sunrise (udayaparvata). Therefore, the goda give her son the name of Udayana.

In yet another case the caprice of a queen costs a husband both wife and child, without, however, injuring his person. But out of the disruption of the family comes in time the birth of a famous Pratyekabuddha, named Karakandu. In Jacobi, Ausgewählte Erzählungen in Mähdrdafri, p. 34, line 25 ff., 24 King

[&]quot;This, according to my suggestion, JAOS 36, 58, is the 'Care Call Motif,' or the 'Speaking Cava.'

[&]quot;Bath of blood occurs also in Raiston, Tibeton Tales, p. 60, in a dif-

ferent connection.

"See also Warren, Nirayūvaliyā Sutta, in the Transactions of the Amsterdam Academy, 1879; Charpentier, Parcekabuddhagesuhichten, pp. 152 ff.

Dahivāhana reigns in Campā. His queen, Paumavaī, is taken with dohads. 'How can I divert myself, riding thru the parks and groves on the most excellent back of an elefant, attired in the costume of the king, having the royal parasol held over me by the great king?' On the strength of this the royal pair mount the Elefant of Victory. It is then the beginning of the rainy season. When the elefant smells the odor of the fragrant earth he remembers the woods, and gallops out of the path. The people can not keep up with him. The two enter the woods. The king sees a fig-tree. He says to the queen: 'He will pass under that fig-tree; then you are to take hold of a bough.' She promises, but can not take hold. The king seizes the bough, and Paumaval is carried off alone into a desolate wood. Afterwards she brings forth, in a Jaina convent, a son, whom she exposes, and who, when he grows up, becomes the Pratyckabuddha, Karakandu.

11. Dohada prompts the husband to deeds of heroism, superior skill, wisdom, or shrewdness.

In the first instance dohada jeopardizes the life of the husband, who is, however, saved by his own heroic prowess. In the long and interesting story of the present in Bhaddasāla Jataka (465), repeated in Dhammapada Commentary 4, 3,23 Mallika, wife of the general Bandhula, is prompted by her dolada to bathe in the tank in Vesali City, where the proud families of the kings of the Licchavis get water for the ceremonial sprinkling, as well as drinking water. That tank is guarded strongly within and without; above it is spread an iron net; not even a bird can find room to get thru. But Bandhula goes there in a car with Mallika; puts the guards to flight; bursts thru the iron network; and in the tank bathes his wife and gives her to drink of the water. Then the 500 kings of the Licehavis are angered, mount 500 chariots, and set out in pursuit. Mallika espies them, and tells her lord. "Then tell me," says Bandhula, 'when they all look like one chariot.' When they, all in line, look like one chariot, Mallika reports: 'My lord, I see, as it were, the head of one chariot.' Bandhula gives her the reins, stands upright in the chariot, and speeds a shaft which cleaves the heads of all the 500 chariots, and passes right thru the 500

[&]quot;A muddled version of this story also in Ralston, Tibeton Tales, p. 82.

kings in the place where the girdle is fastened and then buries itself in the earth. The kings, not perceiving that they are wounded, pursue still, shouting, 'Stop, hollos, stop.' Bandhula stops his chariot, and says, 'You are dead men and I cannot fight with the dead.' 'What,' say they, 'dead, such as we are?' 'Loose the girdle of the first man,' says Bandhula. They loose his girdle, and that instant he falls dead. Then Bandhula says to them, 'You are all of you in the same condition; go to your homes, and set in order what should be ordered, and give your directions to your wives and families, and then doff your armor.'

They do so and all of them give up the ghost.24

The next story, Chavaka Jataka (309), brings out the wisdom of the Bodhisat, who is established as a poor Pariah householder. His pregnant wife, taken with dobada for a mango fruit, savs. 'If I can have a mango, I shall live; otherwise I shall die.' The Bodhisat climbs by night a mango tree in the garden of the king of Benares, but, while he is engaged in this predatory act, the day begins to break. Afraid that he will be seized us a thief, he decides to wait till it is dark. Now the king of Benares at this time is being taught sacred texts by his chaplain. Coming into the garden he sits down on a high sent at the foot of the mango tree, and, placing his teacher on a lower seat, he has a lesson from him. The Bodhisat realizes that it is wicked of both of them to sit in this way-the teacher should sit higher than the pupil-and at the same time becomes conscious that he himself has fallen into the power of a woman, and has become a thief. He descends from the tree and preaches the Law to such purpose that the king places upon his neck the wreath of flowers with which he himself is adorned, and makes him Lord Protector of the city.

A faint echo of this tale seems to resound from the folk-tale

^{**}Rouse in the Cambridge Translation of the Janahas, vol. i, p. 94, note 5, remarks: 'This is a variation of a well-known incident. A headsman elices off a man's head so skilfully that the victim does not know it is done. The victim then takes a pinch of smull, sneems, and his head falls off. Another form is: Two men dispute, and one swings his sword round. They go on talking, and bye and bye the other gots up to depart, and falls in two parts.' Rouse gives no references. This motif, 'Shake yourself and you will find that you are dend,' occurs in Norse narrative, and, imitatively, in a volume of skits by Robert Burdette which I read long years ago.

in Parker, Village Folk-Tales of Ceylon, vol. 1, pp. 362 ff. A pregnant woman eats greedily a cake while a crow looks on, without giving the crow even a bit. Afterwards the crow fetches a mango from the house of a Rūksasa and eats it whole in front of the woman. Taken with dohada, the woman orders her husband to get her a mange. He goes to the house of the Rāksasa and ascends the mango tree, but is discovered by the Raksasa. He tells the Raksasa his mission, and is allowed to pluck one fruit, on the condition that, if the woman bears a daughter, she shall be for the Raksasa." A girl it is: the Raksasa takes her and calls her Wimall. The king hears of the girl (pictured as attractive) and comes to take her. The Raksasa is gone to cat human flesh; the king takes Wimali, after leaving in her place an effigy formed out of rice flour. The Raksasa, returning, eats a great part of the flour figure. His mouth being choked with flour, he says, May a mouth be created on the top of my head.' When he says this, the mouth is created, and, the Raksasa's head being split in two by it, he dies.2"

In Dabbhapuppha Jātaka (400)²⁰ a jackal husband, Māyāvi, or 'Wily,' satisfies his wife's dohada by dint of congenital cunning. The wife craves to cat fresh robita fish; the jackal promises it to her. Wrapping his feet in creepers he goes along the bank of the river. Two otters are quarreling over the division of a great robita fish which they have captured by their united efforts. On observing him, they invite him to arbitrate their dispute. He does so, assigning the tail and head pieces to the two others, and taking the middle as the proper share of the arbiter. His wife admiringly gets what she craves.

III. Dohada takes the form of pious acts, or pious aspirations.

[&]quot;Cf. for this kind of selection Neogi, Toles Secred and Secular, p. 36 ff.

This 'head splitting' again is a common motif of fiction; see, e. g.,
Kathāz. 123, 170 ff.; Brhaddevatā 4, 120; Jātakas 210, 358, 422, 497;
Pārsvanātha Caritra 2, 812,

This story also in Dhammapuda Commentary 12, 2a; Balston, Tibeton Toles, pp. 332 ff. The motif is 'Trick arbitec,' from the story of Patraka, Kathās, 3, 45 ff., to Pāršvanātha 7, 147 ff. Cf. Brhatkathāmanjari 2, 48; Jātaka 186; Grimm, No. 197; Parkar, Fillage Folk-Toles of Ceylon, vol. 1, pp. 96, 99, 322, 389; J. J. Moyer, Daiakumdracarita, p. 38.

In the preceding cases donada manifests itself in cruelty or extravagance. In a considerable number of cases the fenomenon operates, as it were, at the opposite pole; we have what may be called good dohada. This appears almost entirely in Buddhist and Jaina edificatory texts, particularly in the latter. amounts to this, that the capricious lady is taken with the fancy to perform acts of piety, to bestow alms, or to revere some holy teacher or saint.

Thus in Salibhadra Carita 2, 56 and 60 ff., the mother of a certain merchant is taken with the whim to give (danadohada). Then her son, noticing this, did as follows:

dohadam säuhrdaśresthahan śresthi vijnayası so 'nyada, tvaraya pürayamasa krimatanı hi sprha makah sarvāngināir dayādānāih pātradānāir gunottarāih.

In Dhammapada Commentary 5, 15b and 6, 5bbz a boy is conceived in the womb of the wife of a supporter of the Elder Săriputta; the expectant mother longs to entertain the monks. and so satisfies her longing. In the story of Nami, Jacobi, Ausgewählte Erzählungen in Mähärästri, p. 41, line 25 ff., Mayanarcha is taken with a pregnancy longing: 'May I reverence the Jinas and the Sages, and may I continuously hear the teachings of the titthayaras! When this desire of hers was fulfilled her pregnancy went on without disturbance. Similarly in the Parsvanatha version of the same story, 6, 793, 797, and in the Kathākośa, p. 19. In Parišistaparvan 2. 61 ff., a merchant's pregnant wife, Dhārini, is taken with a craving to reverence the gods and the teachers, because, adds the text, cravings come upon women during the development of their fruit. The merchant liberally fulfils her desires, as the he himself were taken with the desire to spend for religious purposes. In Kathakośa p. 53, Queen Scutimati has dohada to worship the gods in the holy place on the Astapada mountain; and similarly in the same text, p. 64, Queen Jaya feels a desire to worship gods and holy

^{*}Apparently the text intends a pun between donadom and scahrdes, as the dehada contained a suggestion of districts 'evil-hearted.' This very etymology has been proposed.

[&]quot; Comm., matur danurancham.

[&]quot;See Burlingame's Digest in his forthcoming Translation of this work, pp. 100, 101.

² JAOS 40

men, and to give gifts to the poor and wretched. In Ralston, Tibetan Tales, p. 247, Brahmavati's dohada prompts her to have presents distributed at the gates of the city. And, once more, Samarādityasamksepa 2. 13, Queen Śrikāntā describes explicitly her dohada to her husband, King Puruṣadatta, to wit:

jinarca patradanam ca dinanathanukampanam sarvasattväbhayam ceti mama nätha manorathah.

Similarly the same text, 3. 15, 444.

IV. Dohada is used as arnamental incident, without influencing the main events of a story.

It is quite in the line of experience that Hindu fiction should employ this motif merely as embroidery for a narrative which would otherwise be too dull or monotonous. Anyone who has tried to tell children fairy-tales on the spur of the moment knows how much reliance can be placed on vivid but really irrelevant side issues, to keep the imagination in a glow. Hindu fiction is full of episode, which is, as a rule, repetition of snatches from other stories, and which relies in particular upon the large line of settled or tried motifs. Dohada does not escape this use, or misuse. But it may be observed that this phase of dohada is almost restricted to the Kathāsaritsāgara, primarily a secular text. Whereas the Jaina and Buddhist texts invariably point the theme in the direction of edification.

Thus in Kathās. 22. 1 ff., Vāsavadattā, the wife of Yāugamdharāyana, is pregnaut with a son, who is to be the future king of the Vidyādharas. She feels a longing for stories of great magicians, provided with incantations by means of spella, introduced appropriately in conversation. She dreams that singing Vidyādhara ladies wait upon her high up in the sky, and, when she wakes up, she desires to enjoy in reality the amusement of sporting in the air and looking down upon the earth. Yāugamdharāyana gratifies that longing of the Queen's by employing spells, machines, juggling, and such like contrivances. But once on a time there arises in her heart a desire to hear the glorious tales of the Vidyādharas; then Yāugamdharāyana, being entreated by her, tells her the story of Jimūtavāhana, by which her dohada is stilled (stanza 258).

Similarly in Kathās, 35, 109 ff., Queen Alamkāraprabhā, wife of King Hemaprabha, becomes pregnant, and delights her

beloved by her face redolent of honey, with wildly rolling eyes, so that it resembles a pale lotus with bees hovering around it. Then she gives hirth in due time to a son, whose noble lineage is proclaimed by the elevated longings of her pregnancy, as the sky gives birth to the orb of the day. Pregnant a second time, in a chariot of the shape of a beautiful lotus, constructed by the help of magic science, she roams about in the sky, since her pregnant longings take that form. In Kathas. 34, 31 ff., Queen Kalingasena, pregnant, has the lotus of her face a little pale, having longing produced in her.

Incidental or unimportant instances of dobada may be read also in Parker, Village Folk-Tales of Ceylon, vol. 3, pp. 84, 102, 308. They are mere clap-trap. But even a Jaina text, Samarādityasatīkṣepa 5, 10, 6, 388 ff., lists mechanically a case or two of dobada as incidents in the birth of a child, which do not in

any way add to the real point of the story.

V. Dohada is feigned by the woman, in order that she may accomplish some purpose or satisfy some desire.

In a way which reminds us of the tricky use of the succakiriya, a dohada is frequently feigned by a woman for her own purposes, either innocent or depraved. There are no less than five Jatakas in which a queen, called Khema, dreams of a wonderful golden bird or deer whom she desires to hear preach the Law; in each case she feigns dohada, in order to spur on the efforts of her spouse to obtain the apparently unattainable.

In Mahahansa Jätaka (534) Queen Khema accs in a vivid dream golden hansa birds perch upon the royal throne, and preach the Law. Afraid that an ordinary request extended to her husband, King Samyama, will be pooh-poohed, because there are no golden hansa birds in this world, she feigns dohada. When the king tenderly inquires what she would have, saying he would soon fetch it, she says: 'Sire, I long to listen to the preaching of the Law by a golden hansa, while it sits upon the royal throne, with a white umbrella spread over it, and to pay homage to it with scented wreaths and such like marks of honor. If I should attain this, it is well, otherwise there is no life in me.' The king has a decoy lake constructed, and his forester in time catches the king of the golden Dhatarattha hansas, which are wise and

[&]quot;See Burlingame, JEAS July 1917, pp. 461 ff.

learned. The hansa king is deserted by all the 90,000 golden members of his tribe, except the captain of his army, who refuses to leave him. Touched by his devotion, the fowler would release the captive birds, but they insist on being taken before the king. The hansa king preaches the Law to the royal pair; the queen is satisfied and enlightened; the birds are honored and pampered, and finally set at liberty. The Hansa Jataka (502) tells the same story in briefer form.

The same idea is carried out in the Mora Jātaka (159) and in the Mahāmora Jātaka (491), in connection with a golden peacock—with this difference, that the peacock is not snared until the longing queen, her consort, and the fowler are dead. Six kings reign and pass away; six fowlers are unsuccessful; but the seventh hunter, sent by the seventh king, ensnares him thru the lure of a peachen. In Mora Jātaka the peacock is brought before the king, and converts him. In Mahāmora Jātaka the fowler recognizes the essential virtue of the peacock (Bodhisat), is instructed by him, and becomes a Paccekabuddha; and thereafter, owing to an Act of Truth made by him at the prompting of the peacock, thruout India all creatures are set free, and not one is left in bondage.

Once more, the Rohantamiga Jataka (501) presents queen Khemā dreaming of a gold-colored stag who discourses on the Law. Her husband has a hunter trap the golden-hued stag Rohanta, who is then abandoned by his 80,000 followers, but his brother Cittamiga and his sister Sutana stand by him. The hunter comes up to spear Rohanta, but is touched by pity, and converted. At the request of Rohanta, he explains that he was commissioned by the king to snare him. Rohanta thinks it a bold and unselfish deed on the part of the hunter to set him free; he therefore decides to win for him the honor the king promised him. He bids the hunter chafe his back with his hand, until it is filled with golden hairs. These he must show to the king and the queen; he must tell them that they are hairs from the golden stag, and discourse to them in words dictated by the stag. The queen will then have her craving satisfied. The hunter lets go the three deer, wraps the hairs in a lotus leaf, and brings them to the king and the queen. They are converted by the verses which Robanta has taught the hunter. Cf. also the Ruru Jataka (482), similar to all the preceding, but without the dohada trait.

In Vidhurapandita Jätaka (545) a very sagacious man Vidhura Pandita arouses the admiration of the queen Vimala, wife of the Naga king Varuna; she longs to hear him discourse on the Law. She thinks to herself, 'If I tell the king that I long to hear him discourse on the Law, and ask him to bring him here, he will not bring him to me; what if I were to pretend to be ill, and complain of a sick woman's longing t' To the solicitous king she says, 'There is an affection in women; it is called a longing, O King! O Monarch of the Nagas, I desire Vidhura's heart brought here without guile.' The king replies, 'Thou longest for the moon" or the sun or the wind; the very sight of Vidhura is hard to get; who will be able to bring him here?' Then the royal pair's daughter, Irandati, entangles a Yakkha, named Punnaka, in the meshes of her charms, so that the king has a chance to promise him her hand, if he will bring Vidhura's heart. The Yakkha Punnaka visits the court of King Dhananjaya Koravya, where Vidhura Pandita shines as a great ornament; he defeats the king at gambling, and claims the wise man. The wise man asks for three days delay to instruct his family. The Yakkha tries to kill him, but fails. The wise man asks him what he wants, and he tells him. He then wins over the Yakkha. yet goes to the court of the Naga king, where his serenity and wise teaching win every heart, and no harm comes to him.

In one case, Nigrodha Jātaka (445), the trick dohada is merely a feature of a broader scheme by which a woman feigns pregnancy. A merchant's wife, being barren, is treated disrespectfully by her husband's family. She consults a good old nurse of hers as to the behavior of pregnant women, and, instructed by her, conceals the time of her courses, and shows a fancy for sour and strange tastes. She continues to feigu pregnancy until nine months have passed, when she expresses the wish to return home, and bring forth her child in her father's house. On the way she picks up a babe of the color of gold (the Bodhisat), abandoned under a banyan tree by a poor woman belonging to the train of a caravan. Without finishing

See ZDMG 65, 449; Jatakas 449, 454; Dhammapada Commentary I. 2.

Fake pregnancy also in the story of the present, Mahapadama Jataka (472), and, on pussent, also in Telapatta Jataka (96; Fausböll, i. 397).

her journey she returns to her husband, and the babe is acknowledged by the family.

In Jülg's Kalmükische Märchen, p. 31, the wife of the Khan Kun-snang desires to have her son, called Moonshine, become successor to the throne at the expense of Sunshine, the heirapparent, son of a former defunct queen. She feigns what is obviously dohada to the point of death. When interrogated by the Khan she says: 'If I could eat the heart of either of the princes, no matter which one, fried in sesame oil, then I should find rest. But for you, O Khan, it is difficult to proffer Sunshine, and Moonshine, to blurt it out, has come out of my own womb, so that his heart would not pass my throat. There is, therefore, no expedient, except to die!' The uxorious Khan offers to sacrifice Sunshine, but Moonshine overhears. The two boys, devoted to one another, escape, and experience important adventures which land them in royalty; and, when they return in state to their father's residence, the wife of the Khan gets a fright at the sight of them, spits curdled blood, and dies.

Perhaps the most ingenious and highly organized instance of trick dohada belongs to the folk-lore of Southern India. The story goes by the name 'The Nikini story,' or, 'The Deer and the girl and Nikini'; it is reported in Parker's Village Folk-Tales of Ceylon, vol. 1, pp. 284 ff. According to Goonetilleke, The Orientalist, 2. 82, the story is derived from a Sinhalese book of verse and goes by the name of Nikini Katāva, 'The Nikini Story,' A girl is married to a rich Gamarala (village head) of another country, who finds a fawn in the jungle, and presents it to his wife as a companion, or sister. Dohadasa comes upon the woman, and the Gamarala asks the deer 'what she can eat for it.' The deer replies: 'Our elder sister can eat the stars in the sky. 133 The Gamarala searches for the corner of the sky where it joins the earth, until he grows old and dies. The girl next marries a king, and is again overtaken by dohada. The king asks 'what she can cat for it,' and the deer says, Should you bring for our elder sister the sand which is at the bottom of the ocean, if she slept upon it, she would be well." The king goes to the bottom of the sea to take the sand, is soaked

"Cr. the note 34,

[&]quot;Clearly feigned, because all the events of the story are tricks.

with the water, and dies. The woman marries a third man; has dohada; the man asks the deer, 'what can she eat for it;' and the deer replies, 'Our elder sister must cat Nikini, else her life will be lost.' The husband starts in search of Nikini, and asks several persons, who engage him in hard work on the pretense of being able, by way of reward, to tell him where there is Nikini. But they end by saying, 'I don't know; go your way.' Finally he meets one man who is honest enough to reward his labor by telling him, 'That was not asked for thru want of Nikini. was said thru wanting to cause you to be killed. Your wife has a paramour.' The man asks the cuckold what he will give him if he catches the paramour; he is promised a gem which has been in his family from generation to generation. Then they construct a cage called 'The cage of the God Sivalinga'; this they cover up with white cloth, and the man who had gone for Nikini is placed inside, covered by a cloth, and with a endgel. They first perform some profitable pranks, by introducing the cage, as being the vehicle of a god, into several rich men's houses and robbing them. Finally they bring the cage to the Nikini man's own house, where he finds his wife living with her paramour. The supposed god comes out of the eage and beats the paramour to death.

VI. Dohada is obviated by tricking the woman into the belief

that her desire is being fulfilled.

In Parisistaparvan S. 225 ff, the wily minister Chnakya plots to destroy King Nanda. Remembering a profesy that he himself would reign thru the medium of a nominal king, he searches for a person fit to play that part. While roaming about he arrives at the village where live the caretakers of the king's peacocks.28 There he hears that the chief's daughter, pregnant, has a craving to drink the moon (candra). Canakya promises to satisfy her, on condition that the prospective child be handed over to him. The parents of the woman agree, afraid that she will miscarry if balked in her desire. Canakya causes a shed to be constructed, the thatch of which has an opening. In the night, when the moon shines thru the opening and is reflected in a bowl of milk placed below it, he orders her to drink the

[&]quot;King's pots: see Pärivanätha Caritra 3. 456; Samarādityasamksepa 4, 344 ff.

milk. As she drinks it, a man on the thatch gradually covers up the opening. The woman is satisfied that she has drunk the moon, and in due time gives birth to a boy who is called Candragupta, 'Moon-protected,' 228

The woman's craving is satisfied by the substitution of an ordinary peacock in place of the Thakkura's pet in the story told above, p. 9 f. The trick feature occurs in several other of the preceding stories.**

[&]quot;The reflection of the moon in water is present to the Hindu mind so insistently as almost to become proverbial. In Parisistaparvan 6. 25 ff. King Udayin mourns the death of his loving father; he is reminded of him by every spot he was in the habit of frequenting; he sees him everywhere just us the image of the moon is seen in the water (multiplied by the play of its waves, of. Böhtlingk, Indische Spriche, 4088). The reflection of the moon in the water is used trickily in the familiar fable of the elefants and the hares, Paficatantra 3, 1; Hitopadesa 3, 4; Kathas. 72, 29 ff; Brhatkathamanjari 16. 452 ff; of Benfey, Pancutentra, 1. 348 ff. In Ralston, Tibetan Tales, p. 353 (from Kall-gyur), monkeys see the reflection of the moon in the well, decide to draw it out, form a monkey-bridge by entwining their tails, and finally tumble into the well (cf. Weber, Indische Streifen, 1, 246, note 3). Similar notions in Uncle Remns. For tricks and pranks due to reflected objects in general see the fable of the lion who is angered at his own reflection in a well, e. g., Pürnabbadra 1. 7; Frere, Old Decean Days, p. 150; Benfey, Palicatantra, 1. 181 (cf. W. Norman Brown, JAOS 39, 24); and for other matters, see Hertel, Das Posicatantra, p. 198 (fool sees own image reflected in ghee, takes it for robber, and ennahes the pitcher); Raiston, ibid., p. 165 (gem illusively reflected in the water); Benfey, Pascotontro, 1. 349 (for shows welf reflected moon instead of promised cheese). Also of fable of dog who loses his bone when he sees another reflected in the water.

A BIBLIOGRAPHY OF THE PHILIPPINE LANGUAGES. PART I.3

FRANK R. BLAKE

JOHNS HOPKING UNIVERSITY

A considerable number of works dealing with the Bibliography of the Philippine Islands have been published up to the present time, but only in the writings of Blumentritt (1882-85) and Barrantes (1889) are the publications of a linguistic character separated from those belonging to other categories. The lists of linguistic titles in both these works are comparatively brief, Barrantes containing about a hundred, and Blumentritt about twice as many, and while they include the most important grammars and dictionaries written before the time of their publication, they contain comparatively few works composed in the various languages.

The chief Bibliographies of works relating to the Philippines, those of W. E. Retana of Madrid, and of T. H. Pardo de Tavera of Manila, are general bibliographies in which works written in or relating to the native languages are given together with those on history, travel, geography, religion, etc., and only in Retana's works is any attempt made to separate these various categories, and here only in the indexes. It is thus difficult from these works to get any adequate idea of the extent of native Philippine literature, or to gain any information with regard to books on the native languages without a considerable expenditure of labor.

The need of a complete and up-to-date separate bibliography of the Philippine languages is obvious, and it is in an attempt to supply this need that the following has been prepared.

A complete bibliography of Philippine languages would consist naturally of two parts. In the first would be given all those

The present article was first set up in Germany in 1915 as a part of volume XXXV of the Journal. Its delay until the present volume was due to the War and to changes in the ellitorial staff of the Journal, during which time the article was lost eight of. Advantage has been taken of the interval to add many new titles (about 90), and so far as possible to bring the article up to date.

works, such as grammars, phrase-books, vocabularies, dictionaries, etc., which discuss, analyse, or deal in any way with the native languages. The second part would contain all works written wholly or partly in any of the native languages.

In the present bibliography the material has been treated somewhat differently. All works which were described above as constituting the first part of a complete bibliography have been included, and in addition all works written in any of the less known idioms, that is in all except the seven principal languages, Tagalog, Bisaya (in its chief dialectical forms—Cebuan, Panayan, Samaro-Leytean), Bikol, Pampanga, Pangasinan, Iloko, and Ibanag; all works in the less known dialects of Bisaya, e. g., Haraya, are also included. A complete list of the works in the seven principal languages will be published later as Part II.

In the present list the works are separated into two sections: first, printed books, and, second, manuscripts. The titles of manuscript works are not infrequently given in slightly different form by the various authorities. The titles in each section are arranged alphabetically according to author, or in the case of anonymous works according to the initial word. The title, place, and date of publication are followed by the number of pages and size of the work; remarks on the work are given in parentheses; finally in brackets references are given to the chief. bibliographies that contain titles of a linguistic character, so that the work may be employed as a linguistic index to those bibliographies. When there is a difference in the authorities with regard to the number of pages, the enumeration of Retanz has usually been given, the idea being not to give absolutely accurate information on this point, but simply to show about what the size of the work is. The size of journals is usually not noted, pages alone being given. The names of most of the journals cited are given in full, but JAOS = Journal of the American Oriental Society: AJP = American Journal of Philology; BS = Bureau of Science, Division of Ethnology Publications, Manila; and BNI = Bijdragen tot de Taul- Land- en Volkenkunde von Nederlandsch Indië. In the case of books cited by Retana or Pardo de Tavera it is to be noted that 4" often, perhaps usually, denotes a small quarto, not much larger if any than an octavo; moreover the authorities often differ among themselves in describing the size. When two or more

collaborate on the same work, each author's name is given in its proper place followed by the title; the other details, however, are given under the name which appears first on the title page, a reference to this name being added in the case of the other author or authors. For compound Spanish names connected by y look under the first part; for those ending in a saint's name look under San; for surnames beginning with the prepositions de, von, etc., look under name that immediately follows. In those Spanish names where it is difficult to tell what part is to be regarded as the surname, all parts that could possibly be so regarded are placed in their proper alphabetical order with a reference to the name which is most commonly considered the surname.

The guttural masal of the Philippine languages, which is usually represented as ng or g marked with a tilde above the g, is written without this tilde thruout the article. As the usage with regard to capital letters and accent marks is not uniform in the sources used in preparing this bibliography, the bibliography naturally reflects these inconsistencies.

Each separate title is numbered consecutively, but the names and titles inserted simply for reference to other titles are excluded from the enumeration, being marked with a star,

The bibliography is believed to contain all the most important titles up to the present (end of 1919), but it cannot claim completeness for the last few years.

At the end of the lists an index is given in which the numbers

are arranged according to subjects treated.

The chief bibliographical works containing linguistic titles, with the symbol by which they are cited in the lists in [], are the following, viz.:

Retana, W. E.—Catálogo de la biblioteca filipina de W. E. Retana. Madrid, 1893. Fol. (few linguistic titles). [C]

- Epitome de la bibliographia general de Filipinas (in Archivo del bibliófilo filipino. Madrid 1895-98, 8°, Tom. I, parte XI; Tom. II, parte XIII; Tom. III, parte V; Tom. IV, parte IX; pp. 286).
- Catálogo abroviado de la biblioteca filipina. Madrid, 1896, pp. xxxviii + 656, 8* (Nos. 1-1167 = Epitome...).
- Aparato hibliográfico de la historia general de Filipinas. Madrid, 1906, 3 vols., pp. 1800 + 4, Fol.

Pardo de Tavera, T. H.—Biblioteca filipina. Washington, 1903, pp. 439, Fol. [P]

Barrantes, V.—El Teatro tagalo. Madrid, 1889 (Bibliography of Philippine languages in an appendix, pp. 167-196). [B]

Blumentritt, F.—Vocabular einzelner Ausdrücke, welche dem Spanischen der philippinischen Inseln eigentümlich sind. Leipzig, 1882 and 1885 (Bibliography of Philippine languages in an appendix to each part, I pp. 83-87, 132; II pp. 29-35). [Bl.]

Robertson, J. A.—Bibliography of the Philippine Islands, Printed and Manuscript, preceded by a Descriptive Account of the most important Archives and Collections containing Philippina. Cleveland, 1908, pp. 433, 48. [Ro.]

The titles in C. A. R. Ap., and Ro. are arranged according to date, in P and B according to author, in Bl. according to subject matter. Manuscript titles are found chiefly in B, Bl., and Ro. The numbers after C and Ro. refer to the page, those after A, R, P, Ap., to the number of the title; with B no numbers are given as the bibliography is short and the titles easily found. As any number of A is identical with the same number of R up to 1167, R is cited only from 1168 upward. Bl. I refers to the first section of the bibliography where the tables are not numbered; Bl. followed by an Arabic numeral refers to the second section where the titles are numbered.

Other works and articles containing brief linguistic bibliographies with their abbreviations are the following, viz :

Beyer, H. O.—Population of the Philippine Islands in 1916.

Manila 1917, pp. 89-95.

Bloomfield, L.—Tagalog Texts with Grammatical Analysis, Urbana, Ill., 1917, Vol. I, pp. 13, 14.

Conant, C. E.—The Pepet Law in Philippine Languages. Anthropos VII, 1912, pp. 943-947. [Co.]

MacKinlay, W. E. W.—A Handbook and Grammar of the Tagalog Language. Washington, 1905, pp. 7-13. [Mc.]

Scheerer, O.—The Batan Dialect as a Member of the Philippine Group of Languages. BS, Vol. V, Part I, pp. 9-10, 20, 22.

These will be referred to as a general thing only when they are the sole authority for a title or an edition.

LIST OF WORKS ON THE PHILIPPINE LANGUAGES. (Including all works in the less known idioms.)

A. Printed Works.

1. Abecedario para el uso de las escuelas primarias de la Diocesis de Cebu. 7º ed., Tambobong, 1894, pp. 40, 8°. [R 1739, Ap. 3437.]

2. Aberita, V. M. De-Vade-meeum filipino o manual de couversación familiar español-tagalog. Binondo, 1868; 1869; 1871; 9ª ed., Manila, 1873 (followed by a list of idioms of Manila), pp. 116, 8° (P), 12° (R). [R 2524, P 9, B, Bi. I, Ap. 1377.]

3. ADELUNG, J. C .- Mithridates oder Allgemeine Sprachenkunde. Berlin, 1806 (Vol. 1 contains two versions of the Lord's Prayer in Tagalog with grammatical explanation, one version of 1593, the other the current form). [Mc.]

* Albiol, M.—Cf. Carbonell, J.

* ALCAZAR, A. V.—Cf. Sanchez de la Rosa, A., Nos. 321, 322.

4. ALLIN, B. C.-Standard English-Visayan Dictionary. Cebu, f. pp. 260.

Alter, F. C.—Ueber die tagalische Sprache. Wien, 1803,

pp. x + 80, small 8°. [P 55, B, Bl. I.]

6. ALVARO—Arte pampañgo (mentioned by Bergaño). [B, Ap. 236, p. 264f.]

* ALZATE, I.—Cf. Flores Hernandes, A.

7. Apacible, D. S.—Casaysayan mang gramática enstellana inihalal sa wicang tagalog ni D. S. A... Manila, 1884, pp. iv + 206, 4°. [P 87, B.]

* Aparicio, J.—Arte de la lengua bisaya-hiligayna. Cf.

- Archipiélago filipino (el)—Collección de datos geogr., estadist., cronol., y cientif., relativos al mismo, entresacados de anteriores obras, ú obtenidos con la propria observación y estudio por algunos padres de la Comp. de Jesus en estas islas. Washington, 1900, Tom. I, pp. 26-147 passim and pp. 221-238 (translated in Report of Philippine Commission for 1900, Vol. III, pp. 14-128 passim and pp. 397-412).
- 9. A(RENEZ), A. M. DE-Diccionario hispano-kanaka... collección de la voces... de esta lengua de la Ascensión ó Ponapé (Carolinas Orientales) (preceded by some gram-

matical rules). Tambobong, 1892, pp. 188, 4°. [R 1460, P 846, Ap. 3125.]

10. — Catecismo de doctrina cristiana hispano-kanaka, seguido de un pequeño devocionario y una colección de cánticos religiosos. Manila, 1893, pp. 164, 8°. [R 1637, Ap. 3299.]

11. Arsuz, L.—Adalan sa mga cristianos. Malabón, 1896, pp. 72, 8°; 2ª ed., Manila, 1904 (in Knyo) IR 1956, Ap. 3744, Co. I

12. Arte de la lengua de Paugasinan. Manila, 1690 (mentioned by Pellicer). [P 134.]

13. Arte de la lengua tagala compuesta por un Religioso del orden de Predicadores. Manila, 1736. [Bl. I.]

Arteng Tagalog, cf. G., F. M.

14. Arte de la lengua Zebuana (no date or author given; Encina [1]) Sampáloc, 1800 [1], pp. 616 + 16, 4°. [R 2208, P 135, Bl, I, Ap. 4133.]

 Arte tagalo en verso latino—ef. Religioso de Sto, Domingo. Arte tagalo en verso castellano—ef. Religioso de S. Franciseo.

15. Asistencia à los enfermos ó sea modo de administrarles los Santos Sacramentos y demás auxilias espirituales. Guadalupe, ISS9 (in last 36 pp. confession of faith in Tagalog, Pampanga, Bikol, Bisaya, Iloko, Ibanag, and Bisaya of Panay). [R 1174, Ap. 2677.]

16. Bark, G. A.—Contribution à l'étude des langues des indigenes aux Hes Philippines. Anthropos, Vol. II, 1907, pp. 467-491.

17. Balm, A.—Atlas Ethnographique du Globe. Paris, 1826 (contains remarks on Tagalog, cf. Table No. 364, and pp. 246-249). [Mc.]

18. BENCUCHILLO, F .- Arte tagalo. [B.]

19. - Diccionario poético tagalo. [B.]

 Arte poético tagalo (printed in Retana's Archivo, Tom. I, pp. 185-210, from MS. dating before 1776).

21. Bennásan, G.—Diccionario tiruray-español. Manila, 1892, pp. 204, 8°. [R 1472, P 266, Ap. 3098.]

 Diccionario español-tiruray. Manila, 1893, pp. 175, 8°-cf. also No. 132. [R 1624, P 267, Ap. 3285.]

Written Beneuchillo by Barrautes and Blumentritt.

Cf. Observaciones gramaticales... No. 265, and note.

* Besdugo, A .- cf. Verdugo, A.

Bergano, D.—Arte de la lengua pampanga. Manila, 1729,
 pp. 22 + 346 + 12, 4°; Sampáloc, 1736, pp. 32 + 219 + 3,
 4°. [C 73; A 30, 33; P 273, 274; B. Bl. I; Ap. 236, 251.]

24. — Bocabulario de pampango en romance, y diceionario de romance en pampango. Manila, 1732, pp. 16 + 399 + 88, Fol.—Vocabulario de la lengua panpanga en romance (Pampanga-Spanish only). Manila, 1860, pp. 16 + 343, Fol. [C 73; A 31, 264; P 275, 276; B; Bl. I; Ap. 239, 959.]²

 Bermejo, J.—Arte de la lengua Zebuana, sacado del que escribió el P. F. Francisco Encina. Manila, 1836, pp. 168 + 8, 12°; Tambobong, 1894, pp. 186, 12°. [A 150, R 1748]

P 136, Ap. 3451.]

 Beyen, H. O.—Population of the Philippine Islands in 1916: Población de las Islas Filipinas en 1916 (in parallel columns, English and Spanish). Manila, 1917, pp. 95, 7 × 1016 in.

27. BLAKE, F. R.—Study of Philippine languages at Johns Hopkins University. American Anthropologist (New

Series), Vol. IV, Oct. Dec. 1902, pp. 793-794.

 Sanskrit Loanwords in Tagálog. Johns Hopkins Univ. Circulars, Vol. XXII, No. 163 June, 1903, pp. 63-65.

 Analogies between Semitic and Tagalog. Ibid. pp. 65-66.

Differences between Tagalog and Bisayan. JAOS.,
 Vol. XXV, 1904, pp. 162-169.

 The Bisayan dialects. JAOS, Vol. XXVI, 1905, pp. 120-136.

 Expression of case by the verb in Tagalog. JAOS, Vol. XXVII, 1906, pp. 183-189.

 Contributions to Comparative Philippine Grammar, I. General features, notes on phonology, pronouns. JAOS, Vol. XXVII, 1906, pp. 317-396.

Contributions to Comparative Philippine Grammar, II.
 The numerals. JAOS, Vol. XXVIII, 1907, pp. 199-253.

^{&#}x27;The title "Discionario pampango español y español-pampango," Manila, 1732, given Bi. I, p. 86 in addition to this title, is oridently identical with it.

 The Tagalog ligature and analogies in other languages. JAOS, Vol. XXIX, 1908, pp. 227-231.

 Expression of the ideas "to be" and "to have" in the Philippine languages. JAOS, Vol. XXX, 4, 1910, pp. 375-391.

- Review of C. W. Seidenadel's "The first grammar of the language spoken by the Bontoc Igorot." AJP, Vol. XXXI, 3 (whole No. 123), 1910, pp. 339-342.
- Article on Philippine Languages in New International Encyclopedia. New York, 1910, Vol. XV, pp. 727-728.
- Tagalog Verbs derived from other Parts of Speech.
 AJP, Vol. XXXII, 4 (whole No. 128), 1911, pp. 436-440.
- Philippine Literature. American Anthropologist (New Series), Vol. XIII, July-Sept., 1911, pp. 449-457.
- Review of C. E. Conant's "The RGH Law in Philippine Languages," JAOS, Vol. XXXI (1910), pp. 70 to 85, American Anthropologist, ibid., pp. 472-473.
- Construction of Coordinated Words in the Philippine Languages. AJP, Vol. XXXVII, 4 (whole No. 148), 1916, pp. 466-474.
- The Tagalog Verb. JAOS, Vol. XXXVI, 1917, pp. 396-414.
- Reduplication in Tagalog. AJP, Vol. XXXVIII, 4 (whole No. 152), 1917, pp. 425-431.
- Review of M. Vanoverbergh's "A Grammar of Lepanto Igorot as it is spoken at Bauco," Manila, 1917. AJP, Vol. XXXIX, 4 (whole No. 156), 1918, pp. 417-420.

— Review of L. Bloomfield's "Tagalog Texts with Grammatical Analysis," 3 vols., Urbana, Ill., 1917. AJP, Vol.

XL, 1 (whole No. 157), 1919, pp. 86-93.

- 47. BLOOMFIELD, L.—Tagalog Texts with Grammatical Analysis; Urbana, Illinois, 1917; 3 vols., 7 × 10½ in.—Part L.—Texts and Translation, pp. 15 + 107; Part II.—Grammatical Analysis, pp. 11 + 153; Part III.—List of Formations and Glossary, pp. 8 + 92 + 2 (= University of Illinois Studies in Language and Literature, Vol. III, Nos. 2, 3, 4; May, Aug., Nov., 1917).
- * BEANCAS (de San José), F.—ef. San José, F. Blancas de-48. BLUMENTHITT, F.—Ueber den Namen der Igorroten.

"Ausland," Stuttgart, 1882, No. 1, p. 17. [P 355.]

- Vocabular einzelner Ausdrücke und Redensarten, welche dem Spanischen der philippinischen Insein eigenthümlich sind. Leipzig[7] 1882-1885[1] (2 pamphlets, 8°, respect, 132 and 64 pages). [R 2218; P 361, 363; B. Ap. 1873.]*
- Negritos von Baler. Mittheil, d. Wiener geog, Gesellschaft, 1884, Heft 7. [Bl. 76.]
- Begleitworte zu meiner Karte der Insel Mindanao.
 Zeitschr. f. Erdkunde, Bd. XIX, 1884 (contains examples of Tiruray). [Bl. II, p. 34.]
- 52. Bemerkungen zu den spanischen Angaben über die Verbreitungsgebiete, etc., der philippinischen Landessprachen, Zeitschrift d. Gesellsch. f. Erdkunde zu Berlin, 1887, No. 2, pp. 15, 8°. [P 305.]
- 53. Katechismus der katholischen Glaubenslehre in der Ilongoten-Sprache verfasst von Fray Francisco de la Zarza in Druck gelegt und mit Acquivalenten des Hongot Textes in spanischer, beziehungsweise tagalischer und magindanauischer Sprache. Wien, 1893, pp. 30, 4°. [R 1629, P 346, Ap. 3288; cf. B and Bl. 81,]
- 54. Die Transcription des Tagalog von Dr. José Rizal. BNI, Vol. 42, pp. 311-320, 1893 (translated from article in "La Solidaridad"). [R 1628, P 2406, Be.]
- 55. Alphabetisches Verzeichnis der Eingeborenen Stämme der Philippinen und der von ihnen gesprochenen Sprachen, (†), (†), pp. 20, 8° (translated by O. T. Mason—ef. No. 236). [P 297.]
- 57. Alphabetisches Verzeichnis der bei den philippinischen Eingeborenen üblichen Eigennamen, welche auf Religion, Opfer, und priesterliche Titel und Amtsverrichtungen sich beziehen. Zeitschrift f. d. Kunde des Morgenlandes, 1894, pp. 43-58, 137-154, 224-238 (also printed in Retana's Archivo, Tom. II). [R 1749, P 298.]

[&]quot;French translation by A. Hugot in Bulletin de la Société Académique Indo-Chinoise, Es Série, t. II (cf. Bl. 1).

³ JADS 46

- Ueber die Namen der malaiischen Stämme der philippinischen Iusein. Braunschweig, 1895 (in Globus, Bd. LXVII, No. 21), pp. 3, Fol. [R 1860, P 356.]
- 59.— Die Mangianeschrift von Mindoro. Braunschweig, 1896. [R 1960, Ap. 3751.]
- 60. Verzeichnis Philippinischer Sachwörter aus dem Gebiete der Ethnographie u. Zoologie. Abh. u. Berichte des kgl. zool. u. anthr.-ethnog. Museum, Dresden, Festschrift. 1899, No. I (pub. in Berlin, 1899).
- 61. BLUMENTRITT, F. and KERN, H.—Des Padre Fr. José Castaño Nachrichten über die Sprache des Agta. Opmerkingen omtrent de taal der Agta's van't schiereiland Camarines, s'Gravenhage, 1896 (Bulletin of Institute of the Dutch Indies), pp. 7, 4°. [R 1962, Ap. 3668.]
- Bondman, J.—(a small pamphlet containing sentences in English, Spanish, and Tagalog in parallel columns)—after 1898. [Mc.]²
- Baano, A.—Vade mecum filipino o manual de la conversa ción español pampango. Manila, 1875, pp. 109, 8°. [P 408.]
- 64. Brandstetter, R.—Togalen und Madagassen. Luzern, 1902, pamph., pp. 85, S.
- Ein Prodromus zu einem vergleichenden Wörterbuch der malaio-polynesischen Sprachen. Luzern, 1906, pamph., pp. 74, 8°.
- 66. Mata-Hari oder Wanderungen eines indonesischen Sprachforschers durch die drei Reiche der Natur. Luzers, 1908, pamph., pp. 55, 8°.
- Anlaut und Auslaut im Indogermanischen und Malaiopolynesischen. In Album Kern.
- 68. Die Stellung der minahassischen Idiome zu den übrigen Sprachen von Celebes einerseits und zu den Sprachen der Philippinen anderseits. In Versuch einer Anthropologie der Insel Celebes von F. Sarasin.
- 69. Wurzel und Wort in den indonesischen Sprachen. Luzern, 1910, pamph., pp. 52, 8°.

^{&#}x27;I have seen and used this work, but I falled at the time to note title, etc., and I cannot now (Sept., 1919) locate the book (F. R. B.): Me. p. 12 gives only the information here noted.

Translated into Spanish by L. Stangl, Manila, 1908, 1909.

- Sprachvergleichendes Charakterbild eines indonesischen Idiomes. Luzern, 1911, pamph., pp. 72, 8°.
- Gemeinindonesisch und Urindonesisch. Luzern, 1911.
 pamph., pp. 45, 4°.
- 72. Das Verbum dargestellt auf Grund einer Analyse der besten Texte in vierundzwanzig indonesischen Sprachen. Luzern, 1912, pamph., pp. 70, 8°.
- Der Artikel des Indonesischen, Luzern, 1913, pamph., pp. 56, 8°.
- Indonesisch und Indogermanisch im Satzbau. Luzern, 1914, pamph., pp. 56, 8°.
- BUFFUM, KATHARINE G. and LYNCH, C.—Joloano Moro (a Sulu primer). Manila, 1914, pp. 6 + 138, large 8°. [Bo.]
 BUGARIN, J.—Diccionario ibanag-español—cf. Rodriguez, R.
- BUZETA, M.—Gramática de la lengua tagala, Madrid, 1850, pp. 6 + 171 + 3, 4°. [C 57, A 199, P 431, Bl. I. Ap. 781.]
- 77. Cacho, A.—Origen y costumbres de los isinays, ilongotes, irulis é igorrotes (cf. No. 407). [B. Bl. 79.]
- Caldenón, S. G.—Pocket Dictionary. English-Spanish-Tagalog. Manila, 1914, pp. 343, 12°, a more complete 8° ed. by J. Martinez, Manila, 1916. [Be.]
 - * Camarina, F. de = San José, F. de. [B. Bl. 33.]
- 79. Cameron, C. R.—Suin Writing. Zamboanga, 1917, pp. viii + 161, small 4°. [Be.]
- Camino del Cielo. Manila, 1873 (in Guddan), pp. 382, 8°.
 [A 428, P 474, Ap. 1381.]
- Campomanes, J. Hevia.—Lecciones de gramática hispanotagala. 1º ed., Manila, 1872; 2º ed., Manila, 1877; 3º ed., Manila, 1883; 4º ed., Manila, 1888; 6º ed., Manila, 1901; 9º ed., Manila, 1912, pp. 260, 4º (all editions are identical at least up to the 6th inclusive—ef. Mc. p. 11). (C 76, A 1133, R 2537, P 1319, B (under H), Bl. 12; Ap. 1340, 1579, 2021, 2616, Be., Mc.]
- CARBONELL, J.—Tesauro ilocano (with emendations and additions by M. Albiol—mentioned by Lopez). [B, Bl. 64.]
 - " CARMEN, A. IBAÑEZ DEL-ef. Ibañez (del Carmen), A.
- Carso, A.—Vocabulario de la lengua ilocana. Manila. 1849, pp. 6 + 326 + 5, Fol.—Vocabulario iloco español.

Manila, 1888, pp. 4 + xii + 295, Fol. [C 74; A 195; P 512, 513; B; Bl. I, Bl. 65; Ap. 766, 2570.]

Gramática ilocana—ef. Lopez, F.

84. Cartas de los PP. de la compania de Jesus de la Misión de Filipinas, Manila, 1883, Fol.; on pp. 218-222 examples of Tiruray. [A 742, P 519, Bl. H, p. 34, Ap. 1991.]

* Catécismo de doctrina uristiana hispano-kanaka-ef.

Ariñez, A. M. de.

- 85. Caterismo de la doctrina cristiana en castellano y en moro de Maguindanao por un misionero de la Compania de Jesus. Manila, 1885, pp. 83, 8" (by J. Juanmarti). [P 568, Bl. 83, Ap. 2574.]
- 86. Catecismo de la doctrina cristiana en castellano y tiruray. Manila, 1888, pp. 57, 8°. [A 1102, P 569, Ap. 2575.]
- 87. Catecismo de la doctrina cristiana (in Batan by a Dominican). Manila, 1834, pp. 92, 16 (A), 12 (P)-(reprinted in Retana's Archivo, Tom. II, pp. 269-307; ef. Grammatical notes and vocabulary in Prologo pp. xxxvixlix). (A 145, P 567, Ap. 628.)

ss. Catecismo de la doetrina cristiana en lengua gaddan. Manila, 1833, pp. 225 + 3, 16° (A), 12° (P); 2° ed., Manila, 1897, pp. 178. [A 137, P 570, Bl. I, Ap. 621, Co.]

- 89. Catecismo historico por el abate C. Fleury y traducido al tiruray por un P. Misionero de la compañia de Jesús. Manila, 1892, pp. 142, 4°. [C 74, R 1502, P 576, Ap. 3138.1
- 90. Catecismo sa salita zambale a mancapalaman nin dao dasal tan manuter a pamamalicas a cumana. Manila, 1873, pp. 91, 12° (in Zambal, written by J. M. Laerte). | R 2526, Ap. 1382.]

91. Census of the Philippine Islands. Washington, 1905 (a few remarks on languages in Vol. I, pp. 412, 448, 449, 461,

515, 516).

- 92. Chamberlain, A. F.—Etymology of the name Acta (Eta, Ita). American Anthropologist (New Series), Vol. II. 1900, p. 773f.
- 93. Philippine studies, I-Place names. Amer. Antiquarian, Vol. XXII, pp. 393-399.
- 94. Philippine studies, III—Tagal language. Amer. Antiquarian, Vol. XXIII, pp. 145-148.

 Philippine studies, V—The origin of the name Manila. Ibid., p. 333f.

* Chorro, F .- ef. Doctrina cristiana para instr. de les niños

en lengua montés, No. 133.

96. Christian, F. W.—The Caroline Islands. London, 1899 (at end explanation of a number of words of Yap and Ponapé). [Ap. 4061.]

97. Christin, E. B.—The Subanuns of Sindangan Bay. BS,

Vol. VI, pp. 1-121, Manila, 1909.

* Churchill, W.—The Subanu—cf. Finley, J. P.

- CLAPP, W. C.—Trying to learn the Igorrote language. Spirit of Missions, Vol. LXIX, No. 12, Dec., 1904, pp. 890 to 897.
- A vocabulary of the Igorot language as spoken by the Bontok Igorots: Igorot-English and English-Igorot. BS, Vol. V. pp. 141-236, Manila, 1908.

* CLAUER, M.-ef. Méntrida, A. de, No. 239.

 COHEN, H. M. and MEDALLE Y ZAGURRE, A.—Pocket Dictionary of the English, Spanish, and Visayan Languages, Part I. English-Spanish-Visayan. Cebu, 1900, pp. 204, 16°.

 Colin, F.—Labor evangelica... Madrid, 1663. Fol.; ch. xiii, del ingenio, lenguas, y letras de los Filipinos. [A 14.

P 655, Ap. 122.]

- 102. Compendio de historia universal desde la creación del mundo hasta la venida de Jesneristo y un breve vocabulario en castellano y moro maguindanao por un misionero (Juanmartí†). Singapore, 1888. [A 1107, P 676, B, Ap. 2582.]
- 103. Compendio de la doctrina cristiana, Hoilo, 1891 (in Agutayna Kalamian), pp. 47, 16°. [R 1391, Ap. 2989.]
- 104. Compendio de la doctrina cristiana...traducido todo en lengua tagbanua según se usa en el Norte de la Paragua. 2ª ed., Guadalupe, 1889, pp. 60, 16° (R), 12° (P). [C 75, R 1188, P 678, Ap. 2703.]

105. Conant, C. E.—"F" and "V" in Philippine languages. BS, Vol. V. Part II, Manila, 1908, pp. 135-141.

I have not seen this work, but list it here on the chance that it contains linguistic material like most of the other volumes of the mone series.

- 106. The names of Philippine languages. "Anthropos" (Wien), Vol. IV, 1909, pp. 1069-1074.
- 107. The Bisaya language; its evolution in the last decade. "Ang Suga," Sugbu, June 16, 1910, p. 1.
- The RGH law in Philippine languages. JAOS, Vol. XXXI, 1, 1910, pp. 70-85.
- 109. Consonant changes and vowel harmony in Chamorro, "Anthropos," Vol. VI, 1, 1911, pp. 136-146.
- 110. Review of C. W. Seidenadel's "The first grammar of the language spoken by the Bontoe Igôrot." Classical Philology, Vol. VI, No. 3, July, 1911, pp. 365-6.
- Monosyllabic Roots in Pampanga. JAOS, Vol. XXXI, 4, 1911, pp. 389-394.
- The Pepet Law in Philippine Languages. Anthropos, Vol. VII, 1912, pp. 920-947.
- Notes on the Phonology of the Tirurai Language. JAOS, Vol. XXXIII, 2, 1913, pp. 150-157.
- 114. Notes on the Phonology of the Palau Language. JAOS, Vol. XXXV, 1, 1915, pp. 1-15.
- Grammatical notes on the Isinai Language (Philippines). JAOS, Vol. XXXV, 3, 1915, pp. 289-292.
- CORIA, J. DE—Nueva gramática tagalog, teórico-práctica. Madrid, 1872, pp. 552 + iv, 4° (A), 8° (P). [C 58, A 411, P 696, B, Bl; 11, Ap. 1330.]
- CORONEL, F.—Reglas para aprender el idioma pampango. Manila?, 1617. [B; Bl. 59; Ap. 236, p. 264f.]
- Cossava, L. F. and Villanova, P.—Diccionário pangasinanespañol. Manila, 1865, pp. 8 + 330 + 121, Fol. [C 60, A 321, P 2795, B (under F), Bl. I, Ap. 1089.]
- Cowie, Asson and W. C.—English-Sulu-Malay Vocabulary: grammatical introduction. London, 1893, pp. zlviii + 288, 4°. [Ap. 3309.]
- 120. Cresco, M.—Arte del idioma bicel para la enseñanza de este idioma dispuesto y ordenado por...A. de San Augustin; dalo á luz corregido y adicionado...M. Crespo... Manila, 1879, pp. xii + 239 + 1, 4°. [A 593; P 768, 2481; B, Ap. 1705.]
 - * CUADRADO, M. MARTÍNEZ—cf. Martínez Cuadrado, M.
- CUARTERO, M.—Arte del idioma bisaya hiligaino que se habla en Panay y en algunas islas adyacentes... Manila,

1878; Guadalupe, 1890, pp. 174 + 2, 8°. [R 1292, P 770; Ap. 1623, 2844.]

 Casayoran sa pagaradalan sa mga cristianos. Manila, 1871 (in Kuyo), pp. 88, 8°, [A 394, Ap. 1285.]

123. CUARTERÓN, C.-Spiegazione e traduzione dei XIV quadri relativi alle isole di Salibabao... Roma, 1855 (in an appendix are short vocabularies of Italian, Malay, Suln. Tagalog). [A 229, P 773, Ap. 869.]

124. Cue-Manay, G.-Frases usuales para la conversación en

español tagalo é ingles. Manila, 1898. [Bf.]

125. Cuesta, A. De LA-Gramática iloco-castellana. Manila, 1890, pp. 114, small 4°. [R 1293, Ap. 2845.]

126. Cuevas, J. M. Fausro DE-Arte mievo de la lengua ybanag. Manila, 1826, pp. 541, small 4°, 1854, pp. 2 + 366 + 25, 8°. [A 222; P 1054, 1055; B, Bl, I, Ap. 847.]

127. Danimann, J.—El estudio de las lenguas y las misiones. Madrid, 1893, pp. xvi + 207, 4° (deficient in matters pertaining to the Philippines). [R 1649, Ap. 3314.]

128. Dasal sa sarita nin Zambalen binobolinao. Manila, 1875,

pp. 96, 8° (in Zambal). [P 803.]

129. Delgado, J. J.-Historia general sacro-profana, politica y natural de las islas de poniente llamadas Filipinas. Manila, 1892 (libro 3º contains some treatment of the languages). [R 1491, P 824, Ap. 3123.]

130. Diccionário español-ibanag ó sea tesauro hispano-cagayan. Manila, 1867, pp. 4 + 511, 4°. [A 347, P 845, B (under

Religiosos), Ap. 1146.)

131. Diccionário español-ibatán por varios PP. Dominicos misioneros de las Islas Batanes. Manila, 1914, pp. 2+ xviii + 574, 8° (with an introduction by O. Scheerer, pp. i-xvii). [Be.]

Diecionario hispano-kanaka-ef. Ariñez, A. M. de.

132. Diccionario tiruray-español. (1), 1892—cf. No. 22, [C 75.

133. Doctrina eristiana para instruccion de los niños en lengua montés-(Bisaya of Mindanao)...Malabon, 1895, pp. 64, 16 (by F. Chorrof). [R 1876, Ap. 364L]

134. Doherty, D. J.—The Tagalog language. Educat. Review

(N. Y.), Vol. XXIV, pp. 497-502.

135. - Notes on Filipino Dialects. Leadlet of 4 pp., privately

- printed, Chicago, in which the author advocates the fusion of the native dialects into a common language.
- 136, Durán, C. G.—Manual de conversaciones en castellano tagalo é ingles. Manila, 1900. [Bf.]
- ELLIOTT, C. W.—A vocabulary and phrase book of the Lanso Moro dialect. BS, Vol. V, pp. 301-328, Manila, 1913.
- 138. Encarnación, J. F. de la—Diccionario bisaya-español. Manila, 1851—and Diccionario español-bisaya. Manila, 1852; 2* ed., Binondo, 1866—both parts in one, 3d ed., Manila, 1885, pp. 6 + 437 + 2 + 349, Fol. [C 75; A 204, 216, 335, 864, 865; P 923, 924; B; Bl. I; Ap. 793, 822, 1113, 1114, 2208, 2209.]
- 139. Encina, F.—Arte de la lengua zebuana. Manila, 1836.
 [B, Bl. 47, Ap. 639]—cf. Bermejo and Gouzalez.
- 140. Escurar y Lozano, J.—El indicador del viajero en las Islas Filipinas. Manila, 1885, 4°, pp. 155-170 Spanish-Tagalog vocabulary. [A 866, P 942, Ap. 2210.]
- 141. Esquerra, D.—Arte de la lengua bisaya de la Provincia de Leyte (also remarks on dialects of Cebu and Bohol). Manila, 1747, reimpreso, pp. 8 + 176(1), 4° (very rare). [P 951, B (Esquerra), Bl. I (Ezguerra, 1847).]
 - Estudios comparativos entre el tagalo y el sanscrito—cf.
 Minguella (de la Merced), T.
- 142. Everett, A. H.— Tagbanwa word list incorporated in Swettenham's "Comparative Vocabulary of the Dialects of the Wild Tribes inhabiting the Malay Peninsula, Borneo, etc." in Journal of the Straits Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, June, 1880. [Co.]

 * Fausto de Cuevas—cf. Cuevas, J. M. Fausto de.
- 143. FAVRE, P.—Dictionnaire malais-français. Vienne, 1875, 1880 (contains references to Tagalog and Bisaya). [A 475, 610.]
- 144. FERNANDEZ, E.—Vocabulario tagalo-eastellano. Manila. 1883, pp. 120, 8°. [A 756, P 1060, B, Ap. 2013.]
- 145. Nuevo vocabulario 6 manual de conversaciones en español, tagalog, panpango. 1º ed., Binondo, 1876, pp. 80. 8º; 2º ed., Manila, 1882, pp. 84, 4º; 4º ed., Manila, 1896; 5a(1), Binondo, 1901. [P 1061, B, Bl. I, Bl. 12, Ap. 1514, Co., Bf.]

146. Ferraz, J. F.—Nahuatlismos de Costa Rica. San José de Costa Rica, 1892, pp. lxxv + 148, 4" (treats many words in common use in the Philippines). [R 1501.]

147. Ferrer, F.—Manual iloco-castellaño ó sea metodo para aprender ó enseñar el castellaño en los pueblos ilocanos. Manila, 1894, pp. 2 + vi + 241 + 12, 4°. [R 1786, Ap. 3510.]

* Ferrer, N. G. de San Vincente-ef. Gonzalez (de S. V.

F.), N.

148. Figueroa, A.—Arte del idioma visaya de Sámar y Leite. Binondo, 1870, 1872, pp. 135, 4°. [A 415; P 1080, 1081;

B; Bl. I; Ap. 1335.]

149. FINLEY, J. P. and CHURCHILL, W.—The Subanu. Studies of a Sub-Visayan Mountain Folk of Mindanao. Publication No. 184 of the Carnegie Institution, Washington, 1913, pp. v + 1 + 236, large 8°. [Be.]

150. Floresca, R.-Vocabulary English-Ilocano. Vigan, 1904,

pp. 237, small 8°. [Co.]

151. FLORES HERNANDEZ, A. and PIQUER Y MARTIN CORTES, R.— Crónica de la Exposición de Filipinas. Madrid, 1887 (contains poem in Igorot dialect of Abra by Ismael Alzate). [A 1036, P 1093, Ap. 2475.]

* Fleury, C .- ef. Catecismo historico. . . No. 89.

 FETZ, G.—Chamorro Wörterbuch: Deutsch-Chamorro und Cham. Deutsch. Berlin, 1908.

153.* — Chamorro Grammatik. Mittheilung des Seminars für orientalische Sprachen an der Königlichen Friedrich Wilhelms Universität zu Berlin, VI, 1: Ostasiatische Studien, Berlin, 1903, pp. 1-27. [Co.]

153.^b — Die Zentralkarolinische Sprache. Lehrbücher d. Seminars für orientalische Sprachen zu Berlin, No. 29, Berlin,

1911.

154. Forrest, T.—A Voyage to New Guinea and the Molueeas...to which is added a Vocabulary of the Magaindanao tongue. Dublin, 1779, 4°, vocabulary pp. 415-442. [P 1121, 1122; Bl. I; Ap. 360, 365.]⁷⁸

 FORSTER, J. R.—Observations made during a Voyage round the World. London, 1778 (contains vocabularies,

^{&#}x27;s French translation, Paris, 1780, cf. P 1122, Ap. 365. The German translation (cf. Ap. 371) is without the vocabulary.

of 47 words, of Tagalog, Pampango, Malay, and several Polynesian dialects). [Me.]

* Fox. W.—Die Mangianschrift—cf. Meyer, A. B., No. 245.

156. G., J. M.—Arteng tagalog na macapagtuturo nang nicang eastila. Manila, 1875, pp. 95, Fol. [Bl. 40: Ap. 1452.]

157. GARELENTZ, G. VON DER, and MEYER, A. B.—Beiträge zur Kenntniss der melanesischen, milcronesischen und papuanischen Sprachen. Abhandl. d. K. S. Gesellschaft d. Wiss., Leipzig, 1882, Bd. VIII, p. 375ff. [Bl. I, p. 132.]

158. GABELENTZ, H. C. von-Ueber das Passivum. Abhandl. d. K. S. Ges, d. Wiss., Leipzig, 1860, Bd. VIII, pp. 451-546.

[Bl. I, p. 132.]

159. GARCIA, F. and HEBRERA, V.-Manga onang ture sa nikang

inglés. Maynila, 1902. [Bf.]

160. Gavacao, J.—Nuevo vocabulario 6 manual de conversaciones en hispano-ilocano. 1ª ed., Manila, 1875; 4ª ed., 1884; 5* ed., 1892, pp. 80, 8°; [R 1510, P 1174, Bl. 66, Ap. 3148.1

161. — Nuevo vocabulario y guia de conversaciones españolpanayano. 2ª ed., Manila, 1879, pp. 70, 12°. [A 579; P

1175; Bl. I, Bl. 49; Ap. 1675.]

162. — Manual de conversaciones en hispano-bicol y vice versa. 4º ed., Manila, 1873; 5º ed., Manila, 1881, pp. 116, 8°. [P 1173; Bl. I, BL 70.]

163. — Vocabulario ibanag. Binondo, 1896. [S]

164. Geographic Names in the Philippine Islands (The). Special Report of the U. S. Board on Geographic Names, Washington, 1901, pp. 59, 8°(1). Genônimo—ef. Jerónimo.

165. GHERT (DE SANTA EULALIA), P.(1)-Lacted nga tocsoan nga casayodan sa pagaradalan sa mga cristianos. 1871, pp. 32, 8° (in Kuyo). [A 402, Ap. 1301.]

166. - Plan de la Religion . . . traducido todo en lengua cuyona, Manila, 1886, pp. 155, 12° (A), 8° (P) (in

Kuyo). [C 79, A 954, P 1179, Ap. 2350.]

167, Gisbert, M.—Diccionario bagobo-español. Manila, 1892, pp. 70, 4°. [R 1513, P 1186, Ap. 3151.]

168. — Diccionario español-bagobo. Manila, 1892, pp. xviii -190, 4°, [R 1512, P 1185, Ap. 3150,]

169. GONZALEZ (DE SAN VINCENTE FEBRER), N.-Gramática

bisaya-cebuana del P. Francisco Encins reformada... Manila, 1885, pp. 160 + 44 + 2; 4°. [A 872, P 1196, Ap. 2217.1

* GOYENA, R. IRURETA-cf. Irureta Goyena, R.

170. Guillés, F.-Gramática Bisaya para facilitar el estudio del dialecto Bisaya Cebnano. Malabon, 1898. [Co.]

171. HAYNES, T. H .- English, Sulu, and Malay Vocabulary. Journal of the Straits Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society. Dec., 1885 and Dec., 1886. [Co.]

HERNANDEZ, A. FLORES—cf. Flores Hernandez, A.

172. HERNANDEZ, F. and Sainz, F.-Devocionario sa sarita sambalen binobolinao. Nipatanid conran maomacooray. Binondo, 1879, pp. 248, 8° (in Zambal). [P 1307.]

173. Herrejón, S.—Lecciones de gramática bicol·hispana. Binondo, 1882, pp. 218, 4°. [A 697, P 1308, B, Ap. 1907.] * HERRERA, V.-Manga onang turo. . .-ef. Garcia, F.

174. Hervas (Y Panduro), L.—Catálogo de las lenguas de las naciones conocidas. Vol. II, Madrid, 1801, 4º. [C 76, A 83, Ap. 442.]

175. — Vocabulario Poligiotto. Cesena, 1787 (specimens of the Tagalog of 1593, 1604 and 1787); Spanish ed., 2 vols., 1801 (remarks on Tagalog in Vol. 2). [Mc.]

176. - Aritmética. Cesena, 1785 ["bears upon Tagalog to a slight extent"-Me.].

· HEVIA CAMPOMANES, J.—cf. Campomanes, J. Hevia.

177. Himnos y Oraciones en alabanza de Jesus, Maria, y José. Manila, 1882, pp. 76, 12 (in Kuyo). [A 698, Ap. 1908.]

178. Holle, K. F.-Tabel van oud- en nieuw-indische alphabetter. Bijdrage tot de paleographie van Nederlandsch-Indië. Batavia, 1882, text pp. 20, plates pp. 50, Fol. P 1324 BL L

179. HUMBOLDT, W. von-Ueber die Kawi-Sprache auf der Insel Java. Berlin, 1838, 3 vols. (discussion of the Tagalog verbal system and formations, Vol. II, pp. 347-396;

cf. also p. 288). [Mc.]

180. HUMBOLT, G. DE-Extraits d'une lettre de Msr. le baron G. de Humbolt à Msr. E. Jacquet sur les alphabets de la Polynésie asiatique. Nouveau Journal Asiatique, 1832, pp. 481-511. [Bl. I.]

181. IBÉREZ (DEL CARMEN), A.—Devoción á San Francisco de

Borja. Manila, 1887, pp. 88 + 1, 4° (two columns Spanish and Chamorro). [A 1041, P 1338, Ap. 2481.]

- 182. Gramática chamorra que traducida literalmente de la que escribió D. Luis Mata y Aranjo dedica a las escuelas de Marianas con el fin de que los niños aprendan el Castellano el P... Manila, 1865, pp. 50, 4°. [P 1336, B under Y.]
- 183. Diccionario español-chamorro, Manila, 1865, pp. 88 + 1, 4°. [P 1337, B under F.]
- ISIGUEZ, J.—Gramática ibanag. (1), 1720-30 (1) (first Ibanag grammar, cf. Introduction to Cuevas' grammar). [B under Y.]
- 185. Inureta Govena, R.—Sistema métrico decimal. Antiguo sistema de pesas, medidas y monedas de Filipinas. Manila, 1893, pp. 68, 8°. [R 1669, P 1388, Ap. 3343.]
- 186. Jacquer, E.—Considerations sur les alphabets des Philippines. Paris, 1831, pp. 30, 8° (Extrait du Nouveau Journal Asiatique). [P 1396, Ap. 611.]
- 187. Notice sur l'alphabet yloc ou ylog. Nouveau Journal Asintique, 1831, pp. 3-30. [Bl. I.]
- 188. Ueber tagalische Literatur. Ibid., 1832, pp. 557-569.
 [Bl. L.]
- 189. Jenes, A. E.—The Bontoc Igorot. Department of the Interior; Ethnological Survey Publications; Vol. I; Manila, 1905, 4°, chapter x (contains besides an account of Bontok Igorot and a vocabulary of 16 pp., brief lists of Malay, Sulu and Benget Igorot words), pp. 227-248. [Ap. 4439.]
- Jerónimo de la Virgen de Monserrate—Vocabulario calamiano-castellano por . . . (printed in Retana's Archivo from a MS. of 1789; ef. Tom II, pp. 207-225 and Prologo p. xxiv.)
- 191. JIMENES, CHISTORAL—Arte del idioma bisaya. Manila 1610. [P 1414.]
- 192. Poética criatiana y preguntas en lengua visaya, y juntamente una introducción á esta lengua y confesionario breve. 3º ed., Manila, 1732. [P 1416, B.]
- JUANMARTI, J.—Cartilla moro-castellano para los Maguindansos. Manila, 1887, pp. 58, 4°. [A 1017, P 529, Ap. 2442.]

194. — Gramática de la lengua de Maguindanao. Manila,
 1892, pp. 112, 4°. [R 1522, P 1434, Ap. 3163.]

195. — Diecionario moro-magindanao español, and Diecionario español-moro-maguindanao. Manila, 1892, pp. 274 + 244, 4°. [R 1521, Ap. 3162.]

ef. Catecismo, . . en castellano y en moro. . . No. 85.

ef. Compendio de historia universal... No. 102.

196. Keate, G.—An account of the Pelew Islands. London, 1788; new ed. Basil (f), 1789 (at end of Vol. II, pp. 361-372, is a short vocabulary of the language of the Pelew Islands). [P 1445, 1446, 1447; Ap. 403, 406, 407, 472.]*

197. Kern, H.—Over zoogenaamde verbindings klanken in het tagala en wat daarmee overeenkomt in t Kawi. BNI, 1876, pp. 138-157. [P 1453, Bl. 15, Ap. 1524.]

198. — Sanskritsche woorden in het tagala. BNI, 1880, pp. 535-564. [P 1449, Bl. 15, Ap. 1815.]

199. — Sanskritsche woorden in het bissyn. BNI, 1881, V Deel, I Stuk. [P 1459, Bl. 50, Ap. 1814.]

200. — Over de taal der philippijnsche Negritos. BNI, 1882, VI Deel, 2 Stuk. [P 1451, BL 77, Ap. 1911.]

 Eene bijdrage tot de kennis van't onde philippijnsche letterschrift. BNI, 1884, X Deel, 1 Stuk, pp. 17. [R 2285, P 1448, Bl. 15.]

202. — Review of C. W. Seidensdel's "The first grammar of the language spoken by the Bontoe Igórot." In Internationales Archiv für Ethnographie, Bd. XIX, 1910, pp. 232-3.

 Sprachvergleichende Bemerkungen (on the language of the Negritos)—cf. Mayer, A. B., and Kern, H., No. 244.

 Opmerkingen omtrent de taal der Agta's...-ef. Blumentritt, F., and Kern, H., No. 61.

 Kirk, May—The Baldwin Primer. Tagalog edition. New York, copyright 1899 and 1902. [Bf.]

204. Kunary, J. S.—Ethnographische Beiträge zur Kenntniss des Karolinen Archipels. Leiden, 1889-95, large 4° (pp. 112-133, list of words of Ponapé and Yap). [Ap. 2731.]

^{*}French translation, Paris, 1788 and 1793, cf. P 1446, Ap. 403: German translation, Hamburg, 1789, cf. Ap. 407: Spanish translation (without vocabulary) Madrid, 1895, cf. P 1447, Ap. 472.

- " Lacted uga toesoan...cf. Gibert (de Santa Eulalia), P.
- * LACOUPERIE TERRIEN DE-cf. Terrien de Lacouperie.
- LAGARCA, M.—cf. Catecismo sa salita zambale, No. 90.
 LAGARCA, M.—furnished the Kankanai words used by Scheerer in his "Batan Dialect," ef. No. 337.
- · LAKTAW, P. SERRANO-of. Serrano Laktaw, P.
- 205. Lázago, J.—Introducción al estudio de la lengua castellana en Isinay. Manila, 1889, pp. 185, 8°. [C 76, R 1214, P 1507, Ap. 2733.]
- 206. Lennoveo, C.—The Tagalog language. Manila, 1902, pp. 387 + 61 + viii, Fol.; 2d ed., Manila, 1909. [Ap. 4353.]
- (Linguistic work of Catholic missionaries in the Philippines). Zeitschrift f. kathol, Theol., Vol. XXVI, p. 223f.
- 208. Lisboa, M. de-Vocabulario de la lengua bicol. Manila, 1754, 2d ed., 1865, pp. 417 + 103 + 1, Fol. [A 326; P 1533, 1534; B; Bl. I, Bl. 71; Ap. 1098.]
- 209. Arte del idioma bicol. [B, BL 7L]
- LOBATO (DE SANTO TOMÁS), A.—Gramática ibanag (mentioned by Cuevas). [B.]
 - Diccionario ibanag. Cf. Rodriguez, R.
- 211. Lorez, F.—Arte de la lengua iloca. Manila, 1627—2° ed. Compendio y methodo de la suma de las reglas del arte del ydioma ylocano. Sampaloc, 1792-3° ed. (same title as first ed.), Sampaloc, 1793—A later ed. (4°) revised by A. Carro, Gramática ilocana. Malabón, 1895, pp. xvi+354+2, 4°. [B 1899; P 1545, 1546, 1548; B; Bl. I; Ap. 422; 3673.]
- 212. Lozano, R.—Cursos de lengua panayana. Manila, 1876— 2^a ed. Gramática hispana-visaya-panayana. Valladolid, 1892, pp. 278, 4^a. [R 1526, 2534; P 1562; B; Bl. I; Ap. 1527, 3170.]
 - * LYNCH, C .- Joloano Moro-ef. Buffum, K.
- 213. M., M. L.—Review of Allin's "Standard English-Visayan Dictionary," Cebu(1). Philippine Journal of Science. Sec. D, Vol. VI, 1911, p. 281.
- 214. Macasaro, A. A.—Vocabulario castellano-pangasinan. Manila, 1896. [S.]
- 215. MacKinlar, W. E. W.—Memorandum on the languages of the Philippines. Journal of Anthro. Inst. of Great Britain, Vol. XXXI, pp. 214-218.

216. - Some minor languages of Luzon. JAOS, Vol. XXV. 1904, pp. 170-174.

217. - A handbook and grammar of the Tagalog language.

Washington, 1905, pp. 264, 6 folded charts, 8°.

218. Madre de Dios, Serastian de La-Arte de la lengua ilon-

gota. B:

219. MAGDALENA, AUGUSTIN DE LA-Arte de la lengua tagala. México(1), 1679, pp. 8 + 71, 49. [P 1583, B del idioma

tagalog, Bl. I.]

220. Markar, J.—Les Iles Philippines. Paris, 1843 (contains survey of languages and vocabularies of Tagalog and Bisaya, which, however, are of little importance). [P 1517, 1590; B; Ap. 711.]

221. — Archipel de Soulon...suivie d'un vocabulaire français-

malais. Paris, 1843. [Bl. 82.]

222. Magumers, J.-Vocabulario en Gaddan, Español, e Inglés. Manila, 1911, pp. 91, 8°. [Be.]

222. - Vocabulario en Castellano, Inglés e Ifugao del

Quiangan. Manila, 1911, pp. 60, 8°. [Be.]

223. Mga parangadien nga cristianos ig lactéd nga pagturo o casaisayan sa mga pono nga camatundan, nga taqués maelaman ig tutumamanén sa tauo, nga maliag magpacun sa langit. Manila, 1887, pp. 29, 8° (in Kuyo). [C 77, A 1051, Ap. 2500.]

224. MARCHE, A.-Lucon et Palaouau. Paris, 1887 (notes on

Tagbanua important). [R 1052, Ap. 2494.]

225. MARCHAA T MARTIN, C .- Estudio de los antiguos alfabetos filipinos. Malabón, 1895, pp. 110, Fol. [R 1905, P 1616, Ap. 3679.]

226. Manne, A .- De l'introduction de termes chinois dans le vocabulaire des malais. Leiden, 1896, pp. 8, 4". [R 1995,

Ap. 3799,

227. - Madagascar et les Philippines. Vocabulaire comparatif des principales racines malayo-polynésiennes, communes à la langue malgache et à la langue tagalog. Atti R. Acc. delle sc. (Torino, 1900) 36, pp. 145-156, 4°. [Ap. 4113.]

228. - Proverves, maximes, et conseils traduits du Tagalog.

Torino, 1900, pp. 16, 4º. [Ap. 4174.]

Placed by Bl. under the heading "Mindanan, Joloano (Salu)," so it probably contains references to Sulu.

- 229. Grammaire tagalog, composée sur un nouveau plan. BNI, Volgr. VI, D. IX (1901), pp. 547-592. [Ap. 4315.]
- 230. Massney, W.-Miscellaneous Works. London, 1834 (some observations on Tagalog, p. 94). [Me.]
- 231. Martín, J.-Diccionario hispano-bisaya. Manila, 1842, (pp. 461-827 of Mentrida's "Diccionário"). | A 173, P 1703, B.J
- 232. Martin, Gregorio and Martinez Cuadrado, M.—Collección de refranes, frases y modismos tagalos... Guadalupe, 1890, pp. 234, 8° (879 refrancs, etc.; many of Spanish origin). [R 1318, P 1620, Ap. 2884.]
 - * MARTÍNEZ CUADRADO, M .- ef. preceding title.
 - * Mastinez, J.—cf. Calderón, S. G.
- 233. Marrinez Vion., R.—Diccionario de los nombres vulgares que se dan en Filipinas à muchas plantas usuales y notables... Madrid, 1879, pp. 2 + vi + 51, 4°. [A 585, B, Ap. 1687.1
- 234. Exposición del sistema métrico-decimal y su comparación con las medidas de Filipinas, Manila, 1865; 1870, pp. 43, 12°. [A 386, P 1635, Ap. 1254.]
 - (Article on Tagalog Alphabet) cf. Revista de Filipinas,
- 235. Mas, S. m:-Informe sobre el estado de las islas Filipinas en 1842. Madrid, 1843, 2 vola., 4° (contains short vocabulary of Tagalog, Bisaya, Iloko, Ibanag, and Malay: also note on native alphabets, cf. Piddington, No. 287,
- 236. Mason, O. T. (translator) Blumentritt's list of the native tribes of the Philippines and the languages spoken by them; with introduction and notes. Report of Smithsonian Inst. for '99 (1901), pp. 527-547.
 - * MEDALLE v ZAGUIRRE, A.—Pocket Dictionary of Bisayan, cf. Cohen, W. M.
- 237. Medio, P. N. DE-Agguiammuan tae cagui gasila 6 gramatica ibanag-castellana. Manila, 1890; 1892, pp. 400, 8°. [C 76; R 1319, 1530; P 1657; Ap. 2885, 3176.]
- 238. Méntama, A. De¹⁰—Arte de la lengua bisaya-hiliguayna de la isla de Panay. Manila, 1818, pp. 2 + 247, 4° (1st ed.

[&]quot;Blumentrits, Bt. I, p. 84 gives under this name the title "Vecabularie de las lenguas de las Philipinas," 1637, reprinted Maulla, 1818. This is probably a mistake, one or both of the titles listed here being meant.

before 1636); a later ed. revised by J. Aparicio, Tambobong, 1894, pp. 4+xviii+270+6, 4° (contains also paradigms of Haraya, pp. 18-20, of Cebuan pp. 249-251, and of Samaro-Leytean, pp. 251-253). [A 100, R 1808, P 1704, B, Bl. I, Ap. 511, 3537.]

239. — Bocabulario de la lengua hisaia-hiligvoyna y haraia da la Isla de Panai y Sugbu, y para las demas Islas... añadido e impresso por M. Clauer. Manila, 1637, over 900 pp., 4°; another ed. 1698. [P 1701, Bl. 1.]—Diccionario de la lengua bisaya-hiligueina y haraya. Manila, 1841, pp. 460, Fol. (cf. Martin J. for pages 461 ff.). [A 173, P 1702, B, Bl. I. Ap. 690.]

* MERCED (MERCEDES), T. MINGUELLA DE LA (LAS)-ef.

Minguella (de L. M.), T.

240. MERRILL, E. D.—A dictionary of the plant names of the Philippine Islands. Publications of Burean of Government Laboratories, Manila, 1903, pamph., pp. 193. 8°.

241. Meyes, A. B.—Ein Beitrag zu d. Kenntniss d. Sprachen auf Mindanao, Solog, und Siau, der Papuas d. Astrolabe-Bai auf Neu-Guinea, der Negritos d. Philippinen und einige Bemerkungen über H. Riedel's Übersetzungen in's Tagalische u. Visayische. Tijds. v. Taal, Land en Volkenk. v. Nederl. Indië, Bd. XX, 1872, pp. 440-470 (contains also a vocabulary of Tiruray). [Bl. I and II p. 34.]

242. - Ueher die Negrito-Sprache, Zeitsch. f. Ethnol., Bd. VI.

1874, pp. 255-257. [Bl. L]

243. — Geber die Negritos oder Actas der Philippinen. Dresden, 1878 (contains a comparative vocabulary of Tagalog of Bataan, Pampanga of Zambales, Negrito of Mariveles, and Negrito of Zambales). [B. Bl. I. Ap. 1647.]

Meyes, A. B., and Kenn, H.—Die Philippinen. H. Negritos. Dresden, 1893, pp. 92 + 10 plates, Fol. (= Publikationen aus dem Königlichen Ethnographischen Museum

zu Dresden, IX). [R 1683, P 1718, Ap. 3366.]

245. MEYER, A. B., SCHADENBERG, A., and Foy, W.—Die Mangianschrift von Mindoro. Berlin, 1895, pp. 34 + 4 plates, Fol. (= Abh. u. Ber. d. kgl. 2001. u. anthrop, ethnog. Museums zu Dresden, No. 15).

Meyra, H.—Eine Weltreise. Leipzig, 1885. pp. 543 + 1.
 Appendix entitled "Die Igorroten" pp. 505-543.

Another edition, Leipzig und Wien, 1890. (Said by Conant to contain references to Tingyan.) [Co., Be., S.]

247. Mnas, J.—Método teórico-practico...para aprender...el lenguaje tagálog. Barcelona, 1887; 1888, pp. 135, 4° (A). 8° (P). [C 77, A 1054, P 1729, Ap. 2502.]

 Muller, M. L.—The Mangyans of Mindoro. Philippine Journal of Science, Sec. D, Vol. VII, No. 3, 1912, pp. 135-

156, espec. 153-154.

Probably = M., M. L.; ef. No. 213.

249. MINGUELLA (DE LA MERCED OF DE LAS MERCEDES), T.— Ensayo de gramática hispano-tagala. Manila, 1878, pp. 302, 4°. [A 563, B. Bl. 16, Ap. 1648.]

250. — Método práctico para que los niños y niñas de las provincias tagalas aprendan á hablar castellano. Manila,

1886, pp. 164, 4°. [A 970, P 1736, B, Ap. 2379.]

251. — Estudios comparativos entre el tagalo y el sanscrito. In Exposición de Filipinas, collección de artículos publicados en El Globo, Madrid, 1887. [A 1032, P 1036, Ap. 2470.]

252. — Unidad de la especie humana probada por la filología. Manila, 1889, pp. 31, 8º (comparative studies of Tagalog and Sanskrit similar to those in preceding title). [R

1224, P 1737, Ap. 2749.]

253. Mirasci, D. M.—Vocabulario o Manual de Dialogos en Español y Visaya. 2º ed., Manila, 1883, pp. 80, 8º; 2º parte, 3º ed. cor. y aum. por P., Manila, 1889; 3º ed. cor. y aum. por P., Guadalupe, 1889; pp. 90. [A 772; R 1225, 1226; Ap. 2036, 2751, 2752.]

254. Montano, J.—Rapport à M. le Ministre de l'instruction publiqué sur une mission aux Philippines. Paris, 1885 (contains brief vocabularies of Atâs, Bilaan, Manobo, Sâmal, Tagacaolo; also some analysis of Tagalog). [A

885, P 1748, Bl. II, p. 34; Ap. 2172, 2235.]

255. Moreno, S.-Sobre el modo de comprender el idioma pam-

pango y su poesia (MS.1). [B.]

256. Monga, A. DE—Sucesos de las Islas Filipinas. Mexico, 1809; Ch. viii, pp. 139-140 on languages and letters, [A 9, P 1776, Ap. 68.]

257. MCLLER, FR.—Ueber den Ursprung d. Schrift d. malayis-

chen Völker. Sitzungsb. d. phil, hist, Cl. d. k. k. Akad. d. Wiss. zu Wien, 1865. [Bl. I.]

258. — Grundriss der Sprachwissenschaft. Wien, 1876-84, Bd.

II, Abth. II, pp. 1-160, espec. 87-160.

Naves, J.—Gramática hispano-ilocana. Manila, 1876;
 Tambobong, 1892, pp. 431 + vi + 6, 4°. [C 78; R 1533;
 P 1815, 1816; B; Bl. I; Ap. 1533, 3180.]

260 Nenson, P. D.-English-Tagatog Dictionary. Manila,

1903. [Bf.]

260b. - Tagalog-English Dictionary. Manila, 1903. [Bf.]

261. Nepomuceno y Sieisan, V.—Gramática castellana explicada en el lenguaje español é ibanag. Manila, 1894; Malabón, 1895, pp. 368, 8°. [R 1917, P 1818, Ap. 3696.]

262. Ning, C.—A Tagalog-English and English-Tagalog diction-

ary. Manila, 1904, pp. 360, small Fol.

263. Nocena, J. de and San Lucar, P. de—Vocabulario de la lengua tagala. Manila, 1754; 2° ed., Valladolid, 1832; 3° ed., Manila, 1860, pp. 16 + 642, Fol. [C 78; A 136, 268; P 1823, 1824, 1825; B; Bl. I, Bl. 19; Ap. 301, 618, 968.]

NOLASCO DE MEDIO, P .- cf. Medio, P. N. de.

264. Nu Napia Amigo anmana Devocionario du chirin nu Ibatán á ichasantos nu cristiano: á pinarin da ni Padres Misioneros du Islas Batanes. Manda, 1901. [Co.]

Observaciones gramaticales sobre la lengua tiruray. Manila, 1892, pp. 58, 4°. [R 1549; P 1855, Ap. 3197.]

268. Olteras, T.—Apuntes para una gramática bisaya-cebuana en relación con la castellana. Manila, 1869, pp. xviii +

161, 8°. [P 1862.]

267. Ordsamling af nogle af de forskjellige Dialecte som tales paa Luconia. In Beretning om Corvetten Galathea's Reise omkring Jorden 1845, 46 og 47, ved Steen-Bille. Kjöbenhavn, 1850 (references to Negrito in Bd. II, pp. 457-465).
[Bl. L.]

268. Orthography of place names in the Philippines. Geo-

graphical Journal, XVIII, p. 620f.

[&]quot; Said by Conant and Beyer to be by G. Bennisar, et. Co. p. 947, Be. p. 89.

- 269. Ozrız, T.—Arte y regias de la lengua tagala. Sampaloc, 1740, pp. 10 + 125 + 12, 4°. [P 1890, B, Bl. I.]
- 270. Diccionario hispano-tagalo. [B.]
- 271. OYANGUBEN (DE SANTA INES), M.—Tagalysmo elucidado y reducido (en lo possible) á la latinidad de Nebrija: con su syntaxis...etc., y con la alusion, que en su uso y composicion tiene con el dialecto chinico mandarin, con las lenguas hebrea y griega... Mexico, 1742, pp. 8 + 228, 4°. [A 39, P 1901, B, Bl. 21, Ap. 270.]
 - * P. = Perfecto, M.
- 272. Pagunawan, M.—Balarilang Tagalog (on cover Gramatikang Tagalog). Maynila, 1910. [Bf.]
- 273. Pallas, P. S.—Vocabularium Catharinae. St. Petersburg, 1787-1789, 2 vols., 4° (comparative vocabulary of about 200 terms in 200 languages, list No. 186, Pampanga, No. 187, Tagalog). [Me.]
- 274. Palomo, J.—Catecismo de la doctrina cristiana...traducido al...chamorro. Manila, 1887, pp. 69, 8°. [P 1908.]
- 275. Pardo de Tavera, T. H.—Contribución para el estudio de los antiguos alfabetes filipinos. Losana, 1884, pp. 30 + 1 plate, 4° (A), 8° (P). [A 829, P 1914, B, Bl. 22.]
- 276. Les anciens alphabets des Philippines. Annales de l'Extrême-Orient (Paris). 1885, pp. 204-210; 232-239. [P 1915.]
- 277. El sanscrito en la lengua tagalog. Paris, 1887, pp. 55, 4° (A), 8° (P). [A 1066, P 1919, Ap. 2517.]
- 278. Consideraciones sobre el origen del nombre de los números en tagalog. Manila, 1889, pp. 26, 4º (A), 8º (P). [R 1240, P 1921, B, Ap. 2768.]
- 279. Etimologia de los nombres de razas de Filipinas. Manila, 1901, pp. 20, 8°. [P 1931.]
- 280. PARKER, L.—An English-Spanish-Pampango Dictionary. Manila, 1905. [Co.]
- PAYO, P.—Diceionario Españól-Ibanag. Manila, 1867.
 [Co.]
- 282. Peratora, M.—Arte de la lengua pangasinana 6 caboloan. Manila, 1840; 2* ed., 1862, pp. 176 + 4, 4°; 3* ed., Manila, 1904, [C 79, A 292, P 1958, B, Bl. 62; Ap. 680, 1016, Co.]
- 283. Perfucto, M.-Vocabulario de la lengua bicol con sus

significaciones en castellano. Nueva Cáceres, 1897, pp. 48, 8°. [P 1970.]

284. - Frases familiares en español y bicol y gula de conversaciones en bicol y español. Nueva Cáceres, 1896, pp. 32. 8º, [P 1971.]

285. — Vocabulario ó manual de términos en castellano y bicol.

Nueva Cáceres, 1896, pp. 56, 8°. [P 1972.]

286. - Guia de conversaciones en bicol y español. Para escuelas y estudiantes que principian á aprender el eastellano, y paro los estraños que deseau comprender algo del bical. Nueva Cáceres, 1896, pp. 32, 8°. [P 1973.]

* -= P., the reviser of Mirasol's "Vocabulario"-ef.

Mirasol, D. M.

- 287. Proprygrow, H .- A notice of the alphabets of the Philippine islands translated from the "Informe sobre el estado de las islas Filipinas" of Don Sinibaldo de Mas. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. XIV, 1845, p. 603. Bl. 25;
- 288. PINPIN, T.-Habrong pagaralan nang manga Tagalog nang uicang castilla (with questions for confession in Tagalog and Spanish by F. de San José). Bataan, 1610, pp. 258; 2º ed., 1752; 3º ed., 1832, pp. 919, 8°. [P 1983, 2553, 2554. Bl. L.

289. PORTER, R. S .- A primer and vocabulary of the Moro dialect (Magindanau). Washington, 1903, pamph., pp. 77, 8%

* Primer Ensayo de Gramatica de la lengua de Yap-ef.

Valencia, A. de.

290. PRINCE, J. D.-Review of C. W. Seidenadel's "The first grammar of the language spoken by the Bontoe Igérot." The Monist, 1911, pp. 470-475.

291. Puk en Jojua, me kajiranik jon ni lokaia uta ipru; ap kapara ki ton Ponape. En Amerika joupenepan paipel, me tapi ta Nu lok, 1816, pp. 39, 4º (parts of Bible in language of Caroline Is.). [A 714, Ap. 1945.]

* QUINONES, J. DE [Mc.] -cL under Manuscript Titles, No.

448.

292. RAJAL, J.-Exploración del territorio de Davao. . . Madrid, 1891 (contains short vocabulary, Spanish, Bisaya, Malay, Manobo). [R 1440, B, Ap. 3062.]

293. Reep, W. A.—Negritos of Zambales. Ethnological Survey Publications, Department of the Interior, Vol. II, Part I, Manila, 1904; Appendix B, Vocabularies pp. 79-83 (vocabularies of Zambal and Negrito dialects).

294. Relacion de las islas Filipinas. Rome, 1864, 4°, chs. xv

and xvii on languages and letters. [Ap. 57.]

 Religioso de Sto. Domingo, (un)—Arte tagalo en verso latino (mentioned by Totanes)—cf. No. 421. [B.]

296. Religioso de S. Francisco, (un)—Arte tagalo en verso

castellano (mentioned by Totanes). [B.]

297. RETANA, W. E.—Los antiguos alfabetos de Filipinas. Madrid, 1895, pp. 12. Fol. (reprinted from journal La Politica de España en Filipinas: contains criticism of Marcilla). [R 1930, Ap. 3718.]

298. — Archivo del bibliófilo filipino. Madrid, 1895-1898, Tom. I, par. VII; Tom. II, par. VII, X—ef. Bencuchillo, Jerónimo, Catecismo...Batan. [R 1928, P 2354, Ap.

3716.1

299. — Aparato bibliográfico... Madrid, 1906, Fol., Vol. I, pp. xxxv-xxxvij, Tabla tercera, Biblioteca idiomatica oriental (contains classified list of numbers of titles of Bibliography that deal in any way with Philippine languages).

300. Revista de Filipinas: Tom. II, 4° (contains article on Tagalog Alphabet by R. Martinez Vigil). Manila, 1876-

77: [P 2359.]

301. Reves, F. D.—Review of H. O. Beyer's "Population of the Philippine Islands in 1916." Philippine Journal of

Science, Sec. D, Vol. XIII, 1918, pp. 41-42.

302. Ramer, J. G. F.—Bijdrage tot de kennis der dialekten voorkomende op de eilanden Luzon of Lesoeng, Panai of Hong-ilong, Belangingi, Solog, Sangi, alamede op Noorden Midden-Celebes. Batavia, 1868, pp. 44, 4°. [P 2389, Bt. I.]

* Rizar, J.—Die Transcription des Tagalog-ef. Blumen-

tritt, F., translator, No. 54.

 Rosentson, J. A.—Bibliography of the Philippine Islands, Printed and Manuscript. Cleveland, O., 1908 (discussion of languages, pp. 47-49).

304. Rocamora, F.—Catecismo de la doctrina cristiana en la

lengua de Isinay 6 Inmeas. Manila, 1876, pp. 176, 12° (A), small 8° (P). [A 509, P 2412, Ap. 1547.]

RODRIGUEZ, J.—Said to be author of Nos. 87, 88; cf. Co.

pp. 944, 945.

305. Roberguez, R.—Diccionario ibanag-español compuesto en lo antiguo por el R. P. Fr. J. Bugarin, reducido al mejor forma por el R. P. Fr. Antonio Lobato de Santo Tomás; compendiado por el R. P. Fr. Julian Velinchon. . reducido à método mas claro...por...Manila, 1854, pp. 280 + Fol. (innumerable errors). [A 221, P 2418, B, Bl. I. Ap. 844.1

306. ROMUALDEZ, N.-A Bisayan Grammar (Samaro-Leytean).

Takloban (Leyte), 1908, pp. 136, 8°. [Ba.]

307. — Tagbanwa Alphabet, with some reforms. Manila, 1914, pp. xiv + 24, 8°. [Be.]

* Rosa, A. Sanchez de la Rosa, A.

308. Rosa, P. DE LA-Vocabulario Vissya-Ingles. Método práctico sang ingles agud mapagadalan sang mga taga isla sang Masbate cag Ticao. Manila, 1905. [Co.]

309. Rosr, R.—De la lengua y literatura malayas¹⁷ (translated by M. Walls). Madrid, 1895, pp. 60, 8°. [R 1933, Ap.

3722.1

310. Saavedra, M. DE-Arte para aprender la lengua de los

naturales de Nueva Segovia. [B.] 15

311. SAFFORD, W. E.—The Chamorro Language of Guam. American Anthropologist, Vol. V (1903), pp. 289-311, 508-529; Vol. VI (1904), pp. 95-117, 501-534; Vol. VII (1905), pp. 305-319.

* Sainz F.—Devocionario sa sarita zambalen—cf. Her-

nandez, F.

312. Saleeby, N. M.-Studies in Moro history, law, religion. Ethnological Survey Publications, Department of the Interior, Vol. IV, Part I, Manila, 1905, pp. 107, 4° (contains Magindanau and Salu texts and translations).

a The Nueva Segoria mentioned here is apparently the one in northern

Luzon. There is also a N. S. in Nicaragua and one in Venezuela.

Betana says nothing about the content of this work, so it is uncertain whather the term "Malayas" is used in its broader or its micrower signification. The book is included here, however, on the possibility that it is used in the broader sense, thus including the Philippines.

313. - History of Sulu. BS, Vol. IV. Part II, 1908 (contains translations of Malay and Sulu historical documents).

314. Salvá, E.-Vocabulario militar y guia de la conversacion español, tagalog-visaya. Manila, 1884, 4º (forms Vol. II of Biblioteca de la Revista del ejército y armada de Filipinas), [P 2475, B.]

315. SAN AGUSTÍN, A. DE-Arte de la lengua bicol. Manila, 1647; 2* ed., Sampáloc, 1795, pp. 5 + 167, 12* (A), small 8° (P)-cf. also Crespo, M. [A 78; P 2477, 2478; B;

Bl. I. Bl. 73: Ap. 429.1

316. San Agustín, G. DE-Compendio del arte de la lengua tagala. Manila, 1703; 2ª ed., Sampáloc, 1787; 3ª ed., Manila, 1879, pp. 168, 8°, [C 79; A 66, 594; P 2483, 2484, 2485; B; Bl. I, Bl. 29; Ap. 397, 1706.]

317. — Adiciones al Arte visaya de P. Mentrida. [BL 53.]

318. SAN ANTONIO, J. F. DE-Chronicas de la Apostolica Provincia de San Gregorio, Sampaloc, 1738-44, Fol.; ch. xli de las letras, lenguas, y policia de los Philipinos. [A 38, P 2487, Ap. 258.]

319. San Buenaventura, P. DE-Vocabulario de la lengua tagala. Pila, 1613, pp. 6 + 707, Fol. [P 2493, B, Bl.

31.714

320. Sanchez de la Rosa, A.—Diccionario español-bisaya, 10 (f), 1887, (f). [B.]

321. — Diccionario hispano-bisaya para las provincias de Samar y Leyte. Manila, 1895, pp. 8 + 480, Fol.; 3º ed., revised by A. V. Alcazar, ... español-bisaya. . . Manila, 1914. pp. 630 + 8, 4*. [R 1936, Ap. 3726, Be.]

322. — Diecionario bisaya español compuesta per...para las provincias de Samar y Leyte. Manila, 1895, pp. x + 332, Fol.; revised by A. V. Alcazar, Manila, 1914, pp. 440, 4° (this and preceding usually in one volume). |R 1937, Ap. 3727, Be.1

323. — Gramitica visayo-hispana precedida de algunas lecciones prácticas que familiaricen á los niños indigenas con el idioma castellano. Compuesta para uso de las escuelas

"Given by Bl. as Dicciondrio español-Tagalog.

Possibly same us, or earlier estition of, following title.

de la provincia de Samar. Manila, 1878, pp. xxvi + 112

+ 6, 8°. [P 2494, B, Ap. 1654.] 16

324. — Gramática hispano-visaya con algunas lecciones practieas...que facilitan á los niños de Leyte y Samar la verdadera...expresión de la longua castellana. Manila, 1887, pp. 334, 4° (in two columns Spanish and Bisaya). [A 1081, P 2511, Ap. 2539.]

* Sanchez, J.—Diccionario bisaya-español. Aumentada con mas de tres mil voces por ... (1st part of 3d ed. of En-

carnacion's dictionary, which see).

325. Sanchez, Mateo-Vocabulario de la lengua bisaya. Manila, 1711, Fol. [A 29, P 2500, B,11 Bl. I, Ap. 217,]11

326. SANCHEZ, MIGUEL-Arte de la lengua tagala (mentioned by Totanes). [B.]

San Joaquin, R. Zurco de - ef. Zueco de San Joaquin, R.

327. San José (or Josep or Joseph), F. (Blancas) DE-Arte y reglas de la lengua tagala. Manila, 1832, pp. 919, 12°, carlier editions Bataan (†), 1610 (1st ed.); Manila, 1752. [A 134; P 2551, 2552; B; Ap. 619, Bf., Co.]

Librong pagaralan. ..ef. Pinpin, T.

* San Lucar, P. DE-Vocabulario de la lengua tagala-ef. Noceda, J. de.

328. San Lucas, F. DE-Diccionario de los principales idiomas de las islas Filipinas (17th cent. 7). [B.]

* SANTA EULAUA, GIBERT DE-cf. Gibert (de S. E.).

* Santa Ines, M. Ovanguren de-of. Oyanguren de Santa

Ines. M.

329. Santarén, H.—Catecismo histórico nga nagasacop et caripon cang Historia nga Santos et cang pagtolon-an cang mga ('ristianos... Manila, 1877, pp. 226 + 4, 12 (in Haraya dialect of Bisaya). [A 538, Ap. 1604.]

[&]quot;This book is given by P, B, Ap. under Antonio Sanchez, but there seems little doubt that he is the same as Sunches de la Ross.

[&]quot;Given by B as Foonbulario de la lengua tagala...para uso y comodidad de los winistros Bisayos, Manila, 1611. Tsigale is evidently a mistake for bissya, and 1611, for 1711.

[&]quot;Size of book given by Return thus "En fel Hojan: 5 s. n. (i. c. sine numero) - 551, + 1 s. b. 4-41." The numbers after the first probably refer to pages and not to leaves (hojes).

- 330. Santos, D. DE 1.08—Vocabulario de la lengua tagala. Tayabas, 1703; Sampáloe, 1794; Manila, 1835, pp. 8 + 739 + 118, Fol. [A 77, 148; P 2576, 2577, 2578; B; Bl, I; Ap. 428, 637.]
 - * Santo Tomas, A. Lobato de-ef. Lobato (de S. T.), A. * San Vincente Ferrer, N. Gonzalez-ef. Gonzalez (de San V. F.), N.
- Schadenberg, A.—Uber die Negritos der Philippinen. Zeitschrift für Ethnologie. (Berlin) 1880, Vol. XII, pp. 133-174 (vocabularies of Negrito and Tagalog, pp. 167-174). [P 2593, Bl. L.]

332. — Die Bewohner von Süd-Mindanao u. der Insel Samal, Zeitsch. f. Ethnol., 1885 (contains vocabulary of Bagobo). [P 2598, Bl. II p. 34.]

- 333. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Banso-Leute und der Guinanen... Verhand. d. Berliner Gesells. f. Anthrop., Ethnol., u. Urgeschichte, 1887, pp. 145-159 (vocabulary of Ginaán). [P 2599.]
- 334. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der im Innern Nordiuzons lebenden Stämme.¹⁸ Verhandlungen der Berliner Gesellschaft für Anthropologie, Ethnologie und Urgeschichte, XVI, Nov., 1889, pp. 649-727 (vocabularies of Igorot dialects of Bontok, Banaue, and Lepanto, and of Iloko). [P 2601.]

Die Mangianschrift-ef. Meyer, A. B., No. 245.

- Scheener, O.—The Nabaloi dialect. Ethnological Survey Publications, Department of the Interior, Vol. II, Part II. Manila, 1905, pp. 97-178, 4°.
- 336. Ein ethnographischer Bericht über die Insel Botel Tobago mit sprachvergleichenden Bemerkungen. Mittheilungen der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Natur- und Völkerkunde Ostasiens, Bd. XI, T. 2, Tokyo, 1908, pp. 145-212 (espec. pp. 195-212).

337. — The Batan dialect as agnember of the Philippine group of languages (with comparative lists). BS, Vol. V, Part I; Manila, 1908, pp. 131, 46.

338. — On a quinary notation among the Hongots of Northern Luzon. The Philippine Journal of Science, Sec. D. Vol. VI, No. 1, Feb., 1911, pp. 47-49.

[&]quot;P has Stumm, a mistake for Stümme.

389. - Linguistic travelling notes from Cagayan (Luzon). Anthropos, Vol. IV, pp. 801-804, Wien, 1909. [Be.]

340. - The Particles of Relation of the Isinsi Language.

The Hague, 1918, pp. 4 + 115, 63% × 9% in.

341. - Review of C. W. Seidenadel's "The first grammar of the language spoken by the Bontoe Igorot." Philippine Journal of Science, Sec. D, Vol. VI, 1911, pp. 271-281. ef. Diccionário español-ibatán, No. 131.

342. Schemnagel, M.—Filipinas. Distrito de Benguet, memoria descriptiva y económica... Madrid, 1878 (contains vocabulary of Benget Igorot, pp. 39-54). [A 569, P 2607.

Ap. 1655.]

343. Schneider, E. E.—Notes on the Mangyan Language. Philippine Journal of Science, Sec. D, Vol. VII, No. 3, 1912, pp. 157-178.

344. SCHUCHARDT, H.—Kreolische Studien. Ueber das Malaiospanische der Philippinen. Wien, 1883, pp. 42; 8°. [P

2611, B, Bl. 2.1

345. Semenapel, C. W.—The first grammar of the language spoken by the Bontoe Igorot with a vocabulary and texts. Chicago, 1909, pp. xxiv + 588, 4°.

346. Seiple, W. G.—Tagálog poetry. Johns Hopkins University Circulars, Vol. XXII, No. 163, June, 1903, pp. 78-79.

347°. — The Tagalog numerals. JHUC, No. 163, pp. 79-81.

3475. - Polysyllabic roots with initial P in Tagalog. JAOS. Vol. XXV, 1904, pp. 287-301,

348. SEMPER, C.—Ueber die Palausprache, Korrespondenzblatt d. deut. Gesellschaft f. Anthr., Ethnol., u. Urgesch., 1871.

pp. 63-66.

349. SERRANO, R.-Diccionario de términos comunes tagalocastellano. Manila, 1854; 3ª ed., Binondo, 1869, pp. 316 +3, 8°. [A 227, 376; P 2641, 2642; B; Bl. I; Ap. 861, 1226.]

350. — Nuevo diecionario manual español-tagalo. Manila, 1872, pp. 6 + 398, 8°. [C 79, A 426, P 2643, Ap. 1373.]

351. SERRANO LAETAW, P.-Diecionario hispano-tagálog. Manila, 1889, pp. 626, 4º (in reformed spelling). [C 79, R 1260, P 2644, B, Ap. 2801.)

352. — Diccionario tagalog hispano. Manila, 1914. [Bf.]

353. SMITH, C. C.-A Grammar of the Magnindanao Tongue. Washington, 1906 (translation of No. 194).

- Swift, H.—A Study of the Hoco Language, based mainly on the Hoco Grammar of J. Naves, Washington, 1909, pp. 172, 8°.
- 355. Taylor, I.—The Alphabet, an account of the Origin and Development of Letters. Liondon, 1883, Vol. II, Chap. x. [Bl. 37.]
- 356. Terrien de Lacoupeme—Formosa. Notes on manuscripts, languages, and races. Hertford, 1887, 4° (vocabulary of Tagalog, Bisaya, Pampanga, Magindanao). [Ap. 2544.]

 * Tavera, T. H. Pardo de Tavera, T. H.
- Tenomo a Smarán, J.—Costumbres de los indios tirurayes, Manila, 1892, pp. 96, 4° (two columns, Spanish and Tiruray). [R 1596, P 2696, Ap. 3253.]
- 358. Thévènor, M.—Relation de divers voyages curieux...

 Paris. 1696, Fol. (3d part contains remarks on languages and alphabet). [A 24, P 2701, Ap. 173.]
- 359. Totanes, S. DE—Arte de la lengua tagala y manual tagalog. Sampáloc, 1745; 2ª ed., 1796; 3ª ed., Manila, 1850;
 4ª ed., Binondo, 1865, pp. viii + 131 + 166, 4°. [A 42, 79, 202, 329; P 2716, 2717, 2718, 2719, 2720; B; Bl. I; Ap. 277, 432, 788, 1105.]
- 360. Unios, S.—Ancora con sinipit sa pagpanhuas... Manila, 1884, pp. 736, 16° (translation of J. Mach, "Ancora de Salvación," in Bisaya of Mindanao). [A 839, Ap. 2156.]
- 361. Valencia, A. de—Primer ensayo de gramática de la lengua de Yap (Carolinas Occidentales). Manila, 1888, pp. 144, 8° (A). small 4° (P). [C 80, A 1149; P 2018, Ap. 2643.]
- 362. Vanoverbengu, M.—A Grammar of Lepanto Igorot as it is spoken at Bauco. BS, Vol. V, Part VI, Manila, 1917, pp. 331-425.
 - * Velancinon, J.—Diccionario ibanag—cf. Rodriguez, R.
- 363. Vera, R. M. de-Gramática Hispano-Bicol. Manila, 1904. [Co.]
- 364. VERDUGO, A.—Arte tagalo. (†), 1649. [B, Bl. 7 Ber-dugo.]
 - * VRIII., R. MARTÍNEZ -cf. Martínez Vigil, R.
 - * VILANOVA, P.—Diccionario pangasinan-español—cf. Cos-
- VILCHES, M.—Gramática visaya-cebuana. Breves apuntes. Manila, 1877, pp. 183 + 1, 4°. [A 541, Ap. 1609.]

* Virgen de Monserrate, Jerónimo de la Virgen de Monserrate.

Visitas da Santísimo cani Santa Maria à pinayapa ni S.
 Alfonso Ligorio (Batan). Manila, 1901. [Co.]

 Vivo Y Juderias, G.—Gramática hispano-ilocana. Manila, 1869, pp. 225 + 5, 4°. [C 80, A 377, P 2817, Bl. I, Ap. 1227.]

368. — Compendio de la gramática hispano-ilocana. Manila, 1871, pp. 136 + 4, 8°—2° ed., Breve compendio de la gramática iloco-castellana. Manila, 1884, pp. 96, 8°. [C 80; A 406, 840; P 2818; Ap. 1322, 2161.]

369. — Diccionario ilocano-castellano. Manila, 1873. pp. 228, 49 (A), 8^o (P). [C 80, A 434, P 2816, Ap. 1401.]

 Nuevo vocabulario en lengua Hispano-Ilocana, Binondo, 1876. [Bl. I Vivo y Tuderias.]

 WALLESER, S.—Grammatik der Palausprache. Mittheilungen des Seminars für orientalische Sprachen zu Berlin, XIV. 1, 1911, pp. 121-231.

 Palan Wörterbuch: Palan-Deutsch, pp. 165; Deutsch-Palan, pp. 79 with appendix, pp. 81-98 of German-Palan conversational phrases. Hong Kong, 1913.

* WALLS, M .- cf. Rost, R.

374. WATERMAN, MARGARET P.—A Vocabulary of Bontoe Stems and their Derivatives. BS, Vol. V, Part IV, Manila, 1913, pp. 239-299.

375. Williams, H. W.—Grammatische Skizze der Hocano-Sprache, München, 1904, pp. 82, 8° (Dissertation).

376. Wolfenson, L. B.—The infixes la, li, lo in Tagalog. JAOS, Vol. XXVII, pp. 142-146.

377. Worcester, D. C.—The Non-Christian tribes of Northern Luzon. The Philippine Journal of Science, Vol. I, No. 8, Oct., 1906, pp. 791-875 (see especially p. 861f.).

378. WULFF, K.—Review of Brandstetter's "Mata-Hari." Zeitschrift d. deutsch, morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Bd. LXIII (Leipzig), 1909, pp. 615-623.

[&]quot;P has the following note with regard to the size of the book viz., "Pinelo Barcio, II, fol. 919 vta."

 Review of Brandstetter's "Wurzel und Wort in den Indonesischen Sprachen." Zeitschrift für Kolonialsprachen, I, 3, 1910-11, pp. 224-236.

380. — Zur neueren Literatur über die Völker und Sprachen der Philippinen. Zeitschrift für Kolonialsprachen, II, 1, 1911-12. Berlin, pp. 64-78.

* Zarza, F. de La-cf. Blumentritt, F., No. 53.

381. Zucco (pe San Joaquin), R.—Método del Dr. Ollendorff ... adaptado al bisaya. Manila, 1871; 2ª ed., 1884, pp. 26 + 271 + 120, 4ª; 3ª ed., Gramática bisayo-española adaptada al sistema de Ollendorf, Guadalupe, 1890, pp. lxiii + 222 + 3, 4ª (grammar of Cebuan, but contains also remarks on the dialects of Bohol and Mindanao). [A 407, 841; R 1369; B; Bl. 54; Ap. 1323, 2163, 2954.]

382. — Compendio de la gramática bisayo-española adaptada al sistema de Ollendorff. 2ª ed., Guadalupe, 1889, pp.

lxvii + 152 + 27, 8°. [R 1272, Ap. 2814.]

B. Manuscripts."

 ALAFON or ALAFONT, M.—Notas y adiciones al arte pampango del padre Vergaño. [B: Ap. 236, p. 264.]

384. — Arte de la lengua española para uso de los naturales de la provincia de la Pampanga, ca. 1786. [Ro. 363.]

385. ALBUQUERQUE, A. DE-Arte de la lengua tagala (MS. written 1570-801; disappeared when English took Manila 1762). [B, Bl. 3.]

386. APARICIO, J.-Diccionario bisaya, 1896! [Ro. 416.]

387. Arte del idioma gaddang en la mission de Paniqui (MS. of 1838 in the Library of Santo Tomas at Manila). [B.]

388. ASUMPCION OF ASUNCION, D. DE LA (died 16901)—Arte del idioma tagalog. [B, Bl. 6, Ro, 314.]

389. — Diecionario tagalog. [B, Bl. 6, Ro. 314.]

* Avn.a, P. de la Chuz—cf. Cruz Avila, P. de la.

390. Avora, J. DE-Arte panayano. [Bl. 44.]

391. — Vocabulario panayano. [Bl. 44.]

[&]quot;Nos. 6, 18, 19, 77, 82, 184, 210, 218, 255, 310, 317, 320, 326, 328, 364, which, lacking a definite statement as to their character, have been placed under printed works, are probably also manuscripts.

392. - Arte ilocano. [Bl. 63.]

393. — Vocabulario ilocano. [Bl. 63.]

394. — Arte pangasinano. [Bl. 61.]

395. — Vocabulario pangasinano. [Bl. 61.]

396. AZPITARTE, A.—Proyecto de una gramatica bisaya, 1888†
[Ro. 412.]

397. — Addiciones al diccionario bisaya del P. Mentrida.

[Ro. 412.]

398. BENAVENTE, A. DE-Arte y diccionario pampango (author took MS. to China where he died 1709). [B, Bl. 56.]

399. Bermejo, V. E.—Bocabulario de la lengua gaddan (MS.

in Library of S. Tomas at Manila). [B.]

400. Beyer, H. O.—History and Ethnography of the Igorot Peoples (a collection of 120 MSS, relating to the language and culture of the Igorots), 5 vols. of about 500 typewritten pages each. Manila, 1913. [Be.]

401. Biso, J. DEI. (died 1754)—Compendio del Arte Tagalog.

[Bl. 9.]

402. BLAKE, F. R.—A Grammar of the Tagalog Language. Baltimore, 1910(1), pp. xxviii + 368.

403. Blancas, F. (or San Josef) -- Arte para aprender los Indios Tagalos el Idioma Español, ca. 1614. [Ro. 282.]

404 — Arte para aprender la Lengua Tagala, ca. 1614. [Ro. 282.]

405. Brasa, M. (died 1774)-Diccionario tagalo. [B, Bl. 10.]

406. BULLE, E.—Notas y observaciones à la gramatica tagala, 1890 † [Ro. 413.]

407. Cacho—Catechisms in Isinay, Bongot, Iruli, and Igolot (Bl. Igorrota) (between 1707 and 1748). [Bl. 79; S. p. 10.] 122

408. — Confesionario and sermons in Isinay. [Bl. 79.]

409. Calleja, J.—Clave para escribir y leer en pampango, ca. 1765, 1 vol. 4º. [Ro. 350.]

 Castano, N.—Diccionario Español y Batan—ef. Paula, J. de.

410. Castro, A. M. ne—Ortografia de la lengua tagala, 1760† [Ro. 346.]

"This is perhaps the same work or works as No. 77.

[&]quot; Evidently the same as F. Blancas de San José (Josef, Joseph),

- 411. CONANT, C. E.—A list of about 200 Batan words taken down from two natives in 1904 and 1905. [Co.]
- A Bisaya-English Dictionary, prepared with the collaboration of V. Sotto and J. Villagonzalo: about 5500 words. Cebu, 1906. [Co.]
- 413. A list of about 50 Kuyo words (numerals and names of parts of body) taken down from a native. Manila, 1904. [Co.]
- 414. A list of 75 English words with their equivalents in Yogad, Gaddang, and Itawi taken from several natives in N. Luzon, 1904 and 1905. [Co.]
- 415. Isinai-English word list compiled from F. Roesmora's "Catecismo" (cf. No. 304). Baguio, Benguet. 1907. [Co.]
- 416. Kankanai word lists taken down from eight Kankanai boys questioned separately: 50 words, chiefly numerals and parts of the body. Baguio, Benguet, 1903. [Co.]
- 417. Coronea, F.—Arte y reglas de la lengua pampanga.... 1621 (in collection of Eduardo Navaro at Valladolid). [Ro. 286.]
- 418. Vocabulario pampango. [Bl. 59.]
- 419. CRUZ AVILA, P. DE LA-Arte, vocabulario, y catecismo ilocano, ca. 1600. [Ro. 272.]
- 420. Dietlonarium Hispano-Tagalieum (according to B), was in library of Count Wrbna, Vienna, in 1799, pp. 335, 4°). [Bl. L]
- 421. Dominican Friar, A.—Arte tagalog, 1736—cf. No. 295. [Me.]
- 422. Encina, F.—Vocabulario de la lengua bisaya zebuana, 1760. [Ro. 343.]
- FORONDA, S.—Vocabulario pampango, ca. 1710, 1 vol. Fol. (in Candaba Library). [Ro. 327.]
- 424. Gardner, F.-Mangyan Songs, 1905, pp. 3. [Ro. 418.]
- 425. The Hampangan Mangyans of Mindoro, Bulalakao, 1905, 60 typewritten pages. [Be.]24
- 426. Garvan, J. M.—Negrito Vocabularies with notes by E. E. Schneider; five extensive vocabularies collected by Garvan together with a compilation of all known Negrito vocabu-

[&]quot;It is not certain whether this contains any linguistic material or not-

laries by other authors, and comparative notes on the same.

Manila, 1914, about 500 typewritten pages. [Be.]

427. Gonzaga, E. J.—Bisayan Literature. Manila, 1917, 156

typewritten pages. [Be.]

428. — Ibanag-Spanish dictionary (title page lacking): 348 pp. and an "indice de las raices anticandas" (contains a large number of words and definitions not found in the dictionary of Rodriguez, No. 305). [Co.]

429. Jesus, B. DE-Arte del idioma tagalog, ca. 1604. [B. Bi.

14, Ro. 278.]

- 430. MacKinlar, W. E. W.—Notes on F. R. Blake's "Contributions to Comparative Philippine Grammar," Nos. 33, 34: 5 typewritten pages, 1908, in possession of F. R. Blake.
 - Madre de Dios, T. (Quiros) de la—ef. Quiros de la Madre de Dios, T.

 Marín, E.—Arte y diccionario de la lengua igolota, ca. 1600. [B, Ro. 272.]

Martín, J.—Diccionario tagalo-castellano, 1880 (not completed). [Ro. 405.]

433. Martorell, D.—Catecismo de doctrina en idioma iraya 5 egongot. [Bl. 80, S.]

434. Montes, J.—Arte del idioma tagalog. [B.]

435. — Diecionario del idioma tagalog. [B.]

436. Montes y Escamula, G.—Vocabulario de la lengua tagala.²³ Manila, before 1610. [P 1762, Ro. 272.]

437. — Arte del idioma tagalog, ca. 1600. [Bl. 17, Ro. 272.]

 Moreno, S.—Modo y forma de leer los caracteres de la lengua pampanga. [Ro. 327.]

439. Ocnos, D.—Arte, vocabulario y confesionario pampango, ca. 1580, 3 vols. (preserved according to B in "convento de Lubao"). [Ro. 257, B arte y diccionario del idioma pampango.]

 OLIVER, J. DE-El arte tagalog escrito por Fr. Juan de Plasencia, reformado y aumentado de adverbios y parti-

eulas, ca. 1599; [B, Bl. 26, Ro. 271.]

Diccionario tagalog-español escrito por Fr. J. de P. perfeccionado y aumentado, ca. 1599. [B. Bl. 26, Ro. 271.]

[&]quot;Given as Dicesovario del idioma tagalog la Ra.

⁵ JAOS 40

442. OYANGUREN DE SANTA INES, M.—Diccionario trilingüe tagalog-castellano-cántabro, co. 1786. [B, Bl. 21, Ro. 333.]

443. Pastor, M.—Arte del idioma tagalo, ca. 1820. [B, Ro.

378.

444. PAULA, F. DE and CASTANO, N.—Diccionario Español y Batan (19th Century)—an extract from it (about 200 words) is printed in Retana's "Archivo," Vol. II, Prologo, pp. xli-xlix. [Co.]

445. Plasencia, J. DE-Arte del idioma tagalog, 1580. [B, Ro.

256.]

446. — Diccionario hispano-tagalog, 1580. [B, Ro. 256.]

447. — Coleccion de frases tagalas. [B, Ro. 256.]

448. Quiñones, J.—Arte y diceionario tagalo, ca. 1580. [B, Ro. 257.]**

449. Quines de la Madre de Dios, T.—Arte tagalog, between

1627 and 1662. Me.

- 450. Reiz, M.—Vocabulario tagalog, 1580 (date probably wrong, as the Dominicans, to which order the author belonged, did not arrive in the Philippines until 1587).

 [Bl. I. Mc.]
- San Antonio, F. de Institución de la lengua tagala, ca. 1620. [B. Bl. 30, Ro. 286.]
- 452. Diccionario tagalo, ca. 1620. [B, Bl. 30, Ro. 286.]
- 453. San Antonio, J. de-Sermones morales (in Kalamian).
 [Bl. 75.]
- 454. Explicación del Catecismo (in Kalamian). [Bl. 75:]
- 455. San Misura, R. Dr.—Arte y discionario de la lengua tagala.
 [B.]
- 456. Santanén, H.—Gramatica bisaya segun el metodo de Ollendorf, 1880† [Ro. 406.]
- 457. Colleción de voces del dialecta bisaya que no se hallan contenidas en el Diccionário del P. Mentrida, ca. 1880. [R. 406.]
- 458. Santa Rosa, B. DE-Arte del idioma de los Actas, co. 1750. [B, Bl. 78, Ro. 337.]
- 459. Diccionario del idioma de los Aetas, ca. 1750. [B, Bl. 78, Ro. 337.]
- 460. Doctrina cristiana en el idioma de los Actas. [Bl. 78.]

[&]quot;Perhaps printed in Manila, 1581, cf. Mc. p. 8.

461. — Administración de los sacramentos...en el idioma de

los Actas, [Bl. 78.]

462. Santos, D. DE LOS-Arte tagalog, ca. 1695 (some leaves preserved in Dominican Convent at Manila). [Bl. 35, Ro. 316.1

463. SERRANO, J.—Arte ilocano, ca. 1750. [Ro. 337.]

464. — Diccionario ilocano, ca. 1750. [Ro. 337.]

465. SHARTLE, S. Y.—A Tagalog Grammar, ca. 1890, pp. 121: in possession of F. R. Blake.

466: Soriano, J.-Diccionario cebuano, 18701 (said to be in hands of the Recollets). [Ro. 401.]

Sorro, V.-Bisaya-English Dictionary-of, Conant, C. E.

467. Tesauro de la lengua de Pangasinan (MS. in possession of José Maris Ruiz 1889). [B.]

468. Velloquin, J-Estudio sobre las lenguas isinay y de Itay (MS, in "convento de Candaba"). [B.]

* VILLAGONZALO, J.—Bisnya-English Dictionary—cf. Conant.

C. E. 469. Vocabulario tagalo (anonymous MS, by a Dominican friar in Library of S. Tomas at Manila). [B.]

470. Zarza, F. DE LA-Arte del idioma egongot, ca. 1800 (MS. in Convento de S. Francisco in Manila). [B. Bl. 81, Ro. 374.1

471. - Catecismo de doctrina cristiana en Egongot (MS. ibidem: copy in possession of Blumentritt-cf. No. 53.

BL 81.

472. — Administración de los Sacramentos en idioma Egongot 1788-1810 (MS. ibidem), [Bl. 81.]

473.21 - Arte de la lengua zebuana, ca. 1800 (in Ayer Collection). [Ro. 374.]

The total number of titles is 476, as Nos. 153, 222, and 347 are used twice as 1532, 1535 etc.

Index of Subjects"

Abra (ef. Igorot). Asta (ef. Negrito). Agta-61 Agutayna (cf. Kalamian). Alphabets-59, 79, 101, 178, 180, 186, 187, 201, 225, 235, 245, 256, 257, 275, 270, 287, 294, 297, 300, 307, 318, 355, 358, 409(1), 438 (cf. also note on Magindanue below). Animals (cf. Names). Athe 254. Bagobo-167, 168, 332. Banaue (cf. Igorot). Bainn-87, 131, 264, 336, 337, 360, 411, 444. Banco (cf. Lepanto), Benget (cf. Igocot). Bikol-120, 162, 173, 268, 209, 283, 284, 285, 286, 315, 363, 371. Bilnan-254. Hisaya in general-30, 31, 107, 143, 199, 427. dialect not stated-4, 100, 191, 192, 213, 220, 231, 235, 241, 253, 292, 314, 320, 325, 356, 386, 396, 397, 456, 457, of Bahol-141, 381. Cebuau-1, 14, 25, 138, 139, 141, 169, 179, 238, 266, 365, 381, 382, 412, 422, 466, 473 (also probably 4, 100, 213). Haraya-238, 239, 329 (also perhaps 317, 457). Hiligonyan or Panayan-121, 161, 212, 238, 239, 317, 390, 391 (also probably 231, 396, 397, 457). of Mastate and Tieno-308.

of Mindanad-133, 360. Panayan (cf. Hillgunyana). Samuro - Loytean - 141, 148, 238, 306, 321, 322, 323, 324 (probably also 320). Bontok (ef. Igorot). Cabolons (cf. Pangasinan). Calamian (cf. Kalamian). Caroline Is .- 9, 10, 96, 1538, 204, 201, 361. Celman (cf. Bisaya). Champro-109, 152, 1534, 191, 182, 183, 274, 311. Chinese-226, 271. Comparative Grammar and Vocabulary 15, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 41, 42, 53, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 73, 74, 105, 108, 100, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 119, 123, 143, 145, 155, 157, 158, 171, 189, 197, 220, 227, 235, 241, 243, 254, 258. 271, 273, 292, 302, 314, 328, 334, 337, 340, 3476, 356, 376, 378, 379, 414, 430. Cuyo (cf. Knyo). Egongot (af. Hongot). English grammar in Tagalog-159. Gaddan(g)-80, 88, 800s, 387, 200, 414, General Philippine Linguistics-8, 10, 20, 27, 38, 40, 49, 52, 54, 35, 56, 57, 58, 60, 91, 93, 101, 106, 127, 129, 135, 148, 164, 174, 185, 207, 215, 216, 220, 226, 233, 234, 236, 240, 256, 268, 270, 204, 298, 290, 301, 303, 300, 318, 310, 344, 349, 358, 377, 380-ef. also Comparative Grammar and Vocabulary.

"Numbers from 383 upward refer to manuscript title-

Ginsán-333.

Cf. also Ap. 4208-4211, Koran, genealogical tree of prophets of Islam, and Easter prayers all in Arabic characters as used by Moroe of Mindanso (probably all in Arabic, and so not included in the list).

Guam (cf. Chamarro). Haraya (ef. Bissyn). Hilliguayua (cf. Bisaya). Ibanas-126, 130, 163, 184, 210, 235, 237, 261, 281, 305, 339(1), 438, Ifugno - Domb. Igorot in general-48, 77, 98, 434, 400, 407, 431. Abra-151. Bauaue-331. Benget-180, 342; Boutok-37, 99, 110, 189, 202, 200, 334, 341, 345, 374. Inibalai-335. Kankanai-337, 416, Lepanto 45, 334, 363, Nahaloi (ef. Inibaloi). Heko \$2, 83, 125, 147, 150, 160, 211, 225, 239, 334, 354, 367, 368, 369, 570, 375, 392, 393, 119, 163, 164, Hongot-53, 77, 218, 238, 497, 433, 470, 471, 472. Inmeas (cf. Isinay). Iraya-433 (= Egongotf). Iruli-77, 407. Ishnay-77, 115, 205, 304, 407, 408, 415, 468. Imwi-114. Itny-168. Lanut-137. Lepanto (cf. igorot). Literature-40, 188, 228, 222, 300, 427, Kalamian-103, 190, 453, 454. Kankamil (cf. Igorot). Kuyo-II, 100, 165, 166, 177, 223, 413.

Madaganeno-64, 227. Maginianan-53, 85, 102, 154, 103, 194, 195, 289, 312, 353, 356.7 Malny-119, 123, 143, 155, 171, 189, 221, 226, 235, 309, 313. Malayo-Spanish-344, 549(1).

Mangyan-50, 245, 245, 343, 424, 425, Manobo-254, 292 Moro (cf. Launo, Magindanno, Salu). Nabaloi (cf. Igorot). Names (Personal, Race, Place)-48, 55, 56, 57, 58, 92, 93, 95, 100, 104, 936, 268, 279, Names (Plant)-233, 240. Names (Utmails, etc.; Animals)-60. Negrito-50, 92, 200, 541, 242, 243, 244, 267, 293, 331, 426, 458, 459, 460, 461. Neuva Sagovia-310. Numerals -34, 185, 234, 278, 338, 347m. Palan Is. (cf. Polew Is.). Pampanga-6, 23, 24, 63, 111, 117, 145, 155, 243, 255, 273, 280, 356, 383, 384, 398, 409, 417, 418, 423, 438, 439. Panayan (cf. Bisaya). Pangasiman-12, 118, 214, 394, 395, 467. Papuno-157, 241. Polew Is.-114, 157, 196, 348, 372, 273, Plants (of. Names). Poetry-19, 20, 255, 346. Ponnpé (cf. Caroline Is.). Reviews 37, 41, 45, 46, 110, 202, 210, 290, 301, 341, 378, 379, 280, 430. Saum1-254. Samaro-Laytean (ef. Risaya). Sanskrit-28, 198, 199, 251, 252, 277. Semitic-29. Spanish grammars in native dialocts-1, 7, 125, 147, 156, 173, 182, 205, 237, 250, 261, 286, 288, 323, 324, 334, 493, Spelling-54, 268, 351, 410,

Subanu-97, 149.

001, 241, 313, 313.

Sulu-75, 79, 119, 123, 171, 189,

Tagakaolo-254. Tagulog-2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 28, 29, 30, 32, 35, 39, 43, 44, 46, 47, 53, 54, 62, 64, 76, 79, 81, 94, 95, 116, 123, 124, 134, 136, 140, 143, 144, 145, 155, 156, 150, 179, 188, 197, 198, 203, 206, 217, 219, 220, 227, 225, 220, 230, 232, 235, 241, 243, 247, 249, 250, 251, 252, 254, 260a, 260b, 262, 263, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 277, 278, 288, 295, 296, 314, 316, 319, 328, 327, 330, 331, 346, 347a, 347b, 349, 350, 351, 352, 350, 359, 364, 376, 385, 388,

389, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 410, 420, 421, 429, 432, 434, 435, 436, 437, 440, 441, 442, 443, 445, 448, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 455, 462, 455, 469. Tagbanna-104, 142, 224, 307. Tingyan-246. Tino (cr. Zambal). Tiroray-21, 22, 51, 84, 86, 89, 112, 132, 241, 265, 357. Utenails (cf. Names). Yap (cf. Caroline Is.). Yound-114. Zambal-90, 128, 172, 293, Zebuan (cf. Cebuan).

Special Index of Works dealing with Tagalog

Dictionaries—19, 78, 144, 260s, 260b, 252, 263, 270, 319, 330, 349, 350, 351, 352, 389, 405, 420, 432, 435, 436, 441, 442, 446, 448, 450, 452, 455, 469. Short Vocabularies—123, 140, 155, 220, 235, 243, 273, 331, 356. Grammars of Tagalog—13, 18, 47, 76, 81, 116, 206, 217, 219, 229, 247, 249, 269, 271, 272, 295, 296, 316, 326, 327, 359, 364, 355, 383, 401, 402, 404, 421, 429, 434, 437, 440, 443, 445, 448, 449, 451, 455, 462, 465.

Grammars of Spanish and English in Tagalog-7, 156, 159 (Eng), 250, 288, 403.

Phrase Books—2, 62, 124, 136, 145, 203, 232, 314, 447,

Articles on Grammatical and Lexical topics—28, 29, 30, 32, 35, 39, 43, 44, 64, 179, 197, 198, 227, 251, 252, 277, 278, 3474, 3475, 376, 406,

Miscellaneous—3, 5, 17, 20, 46, 53, 54, 94, 95, 134, 143, 188, 228, 230, 241, 254, 346, 410.

BRIEF NOTES

A Loanword in Egyptian

In Pap. Anast. IV, the text, which deals with the sufferings of the army-officer, contains a word, which seems not yet to have been recognized as a loanword. We read (see Möller, Hierat, Lesestücke, Heft 2, p. 41, line 2):

四组2回出3公司会公司的

Brugseh, Wörterbuck, translates 'er wird, als Knabe, herbeigeführt, um in die Caserne gesteekt zu werden.' That is, takapu = 'Kaserne, Soldaten-Hütte.' This is simply a guess from the context.

Takapu is a loanword from Assyrian zaqapu 'to erect. put up,' Hebrew and 'lift up, comfort.' In Assyrian zaqapu means also 'to plant'; kiru zaqpu, 'hortus'; zêru zaqpu, 'a planted field.' Takapu in Egyptian came to mean 'educational institution, Pflanzschule, seminarium.' The root and is also con-

tained in the word Anast. IV).

Brugsch WB. 'Schule, in welcher die Pferde dressiert werden, Reitschule. Coptisch ANZHB, M ANZHBE, ANZHBE, ANZHBE schola.'

H. F. Lurz

University of Pennsylvania

The Hebrew word for 'to sew'

tain word see below. The first of these two words, which by their vocalized spelling betray themselves as loanwords from the Old-Canaanitish tongue, invites, however, an easy etymology, especially on account of its determinative 'copper, metal,' namely from Hebrew 1911, 'to sew,' It seems, therefore, that we have here a word "matpart, or "metport, in Biblical Hebrew, i. e. * מתפרה or more probably יהפרה 'sewing instrument, needle,' If some object of leather belonged to each of these needles, we might guess that this object was a small leather case and that the needles were of larger size, perhaps for leather work, like shoemaker's punchers. So the etymology proposed has at least great probability, and we may ascribe to the Old-Canannitish language the word matpart for the time soon after 1300 B. C. This observation leads to a more important question, namely how the root TED, occurring only in Hebrew, is to be connected with other Semitic roots. The above example shows that the Canaanites possessed the singular word in its later form by about 1300 B, C. The Coptie tor(e)p 'to sew,' however, leads us in the right direction. This form is decidedly older than the later Hebrew form, although the latter already appears in the fragment discussed above. It is evidently accidental that trp has not yet been found in hieroglyphic form. Being clearly the earlier form of the word it must have penctrated into Egyptian a couple of centuries before the nominal formation matport. In the other Semitic languages 'to sew, to mend' is NOT (Arabic and Ethiopic); in the North Semitic languages (Hebrew, Phoenician, Syrian, Assyrian) this root has assumed the more specialized meaning 'to heal,' originally 'to sew up a wound.' Evidently * 777 as preserved in Coptic torp and NOT come from the same root. The Canaanitish language has developed a new triliteral verb from the relative * NOTA in which the reflexive prefix evidently expressed reciprocity. like English 'together,' since sewing generally requires two objects. That reflexive must have been very frequent; possibly the causative reflexive formation "אתרפא" or "אחרפא" was one of the reasons why the reflexive t- was understood as a part of the root.

Uttu, the Sumerian god of commerce

In JRAS 1919, 37-41, Langdon has laid Assyriologists under obligation by discovering new material for the appraisal of the mysterious TAG + KU, who now assumes more tangible shape before our eyes. A more careful sifting of the material, however, requires the modification of Langdon's results. First of all we must examine CT 12, 24, 38129, 64 ff.; cf. Christian, MVAG 1913, 78, who clarifies the situation regarding the sign names:

64 $TAG + \tilde{SU}(tibir, SGl 157) = rittu^m$ 65 $TAG + UT(1)(uttu?) = rittu^m$ 66 $TAG + KU(uttu?) = rittu^m$

Sb 121 (kišib = MIS = rittu") shows clearly that rittu meant not only 'paw, hand, fist,' but also 'seal'; for the development cf. our 'hand' for 'signature.' Line 65 above is a phonetic writing of a common type, indicating the pronunciation utu, or the like; the other two entries leave one in doubt whether the older writing is TAG + SU or TAG + KU, since SU and KUcan hardly be distinguished in Old Babylonian. As rittu means hand, like su. TAG+KU is probably secondary in this use. It can moreover, be shown that $TAG + S\hat{U}$ means 'fist,' as well as 'seal,' The expression zig-tibira-ra means mahacu ša šapri, 'strike the rump' (šapra = Ar. tafr, 'arse, rump,' a sense which fits into all the passages perfectly; šapra is a synonym of imiu, 'seat, fundament'), a common gesture in cuneiform literature, expressive of disgust or despair. But ZIG alone, with the pronunciation gas, means supra, 'rump' (Br. 4688); the sign, which has not been explained, obviously represents this part of the body (cf. the Eg. sign ph). So, as ra = mahacu, tibir must be 'fist'; the whole phrase means 'strike the rump with the fist.' The fact that KU = ikdu, 'seat, arse,' does not warrant the interpretation of TAG + KU in this way, however. In the same way, one could take any of the multifarious values of KU, and erect a hypothesis on it; I have made and rejected several. It is by no means certain that the translation 'full, laundry,' for TAG so KU is correct; the following entry, puc(e) û ka irši, is simply 'clean a sleeping rug'; even if it is right, it most certainly does not result that Uttn is a

fuller-god. Juxtaposition in the vocabularies has been employed as an argument to prove many erroneous contentions.

In the important section last published by Meek, AJSL 31. 287. Uttu is explained as the divine engraver (zadim; the engraver also made seals), the god of the seal, the god of judicial decisions (*Så-bar, "ša-purussë), the god of the judicial staff (*Uš-bar, "paruššu), and *RAT, whose meaning is doubtful, tho 'fuller' is possible. These statements ought to make it clear that Uttu was a god of the contract, which lay at the center of all Babylonian business life. Now we can understand why Uttu appears in the Langdon Epic in a transaction involving the purchase of agricultural products; the Sumerian poet wanted to portray the beginning of agricultural and commercial life, which held a place of such dignity and importance in Babylonia.

Unfortunately, Langdon insists upon maintaining the identification of TAG + KU with Utnapišti²⁰, which the pronunciation Uttu assists him in doing. After JAOS 38. 60, the imaginary 'Utta-napištim arik' should be allowed to die. As a mere possibility I would propose the identification of Uttu with the sun-god Utu, also paris purussé and lord of the judicial sceptre and the contract; Uttu is then a depotentized sun-god, like the Avestan Mithra. It may be noted that Mithra was also a god of the contract, as well as a figure of the Tammuz type, in some respects (cf. the remarks JAOS 39. 81, to which, aside from the reading Summu, I still subscribe). Uttu may easily have been a god of fertility and a god of business at once; Nisaba was a goddless of writing and accounting as well as a grain-deity.

In this connection I wish to correct a typographical error in JAOS 39, 81, n. 28, where the g in Eg. ngr (ndr) should have an inverted circumflex, as in the copy. The serpent hierograph was pronounced $d\tilde{z}$, but since the three Semitic Y's (Ar. s, d, and z) have fallen together in it, as well as the palatalized g, we have adopted the habit of transcribing d in the former case, and g with inverted circumflex in the latter; Dhyti corresponds to Eth. $dah\tilde{a}i$, 'sun,' and is more remotely connected with Ar. yddah, 'moon.'

W. F. ALBRIGHT

NOTES OF THE SOCIETY

The Annual Meeting of the Society will be held at Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y., on April 6-8, 1920. The Board of Directors will meet on the evening of April 5, the day preceding the first day of meeting.

During the absence of the Treasurer, Prof. A. T. Clay, now in residence at the School in Jerusalem, all dues and business communications forwarded to his New Haven address will receive prompt attention.

President Lanman of the Society has appointed the following Committee on Plan for Archaeological Exploration in the Near East: Messrs. Breasted (chairman), Torrey (acting chairman in Dr. Breasted's absence from the country), Butler, Jewett, Nies.

NOTES OF OTHER SOCIETIES, ETC.

The Annual Meeting of the Society of Biblical Literature and Exegesis was held at Union Seminary, New York, December 29 and 30. The Presidential Address on 'The Origin of Acts,' by Prof. E. J. Goodspeed, was accompanied by a symposium on the Criticism of Acts as related to the History and Interpretation of the New Testament. The Society took important action in establishing a commission to catalogue all the Biblical and Patristic manuscripts to be found in this country. The officers elected for the following year are; President, Prof. A. T. Clay; Vice-President, Prof. Kemper Fullerton; Secretary, Prof. H. J. Cadbury; Treasurer, Prof. George Dahl,

In connection with the above Society was held the annual meeting of the Managing Committee of the American School of Oriental Research in Jerusalem. It was reported that the School had been opened with Director Worrell and Professors Clay and Peters in residence, that affiliation had been made with the British School of Archaeology, and the Bute House within the Jaffa Gate had been secured as the home of the two Schools. The Fellow, Dr. Albright, reached Jerusalem on December 30.

The annual meeting of the Archaeological Institute of America, which could not be held in Toronto, the appointed place, because of an epidemie, was held in Pittsburgh on December 29-31. The officers of the organization were in general reelected. Of general interest was the discussion on 'Archaeology and Classical Philotogy', in which Egypt, Mesopotamia, Greece and Italy were represented respectively by Drs. Currelly, Jastrow, Fowler, Laing.

The Palestine Oriental Society was organized in Jerusalem in January at a meeting participated in by about thirty officials and scholars. It adopted a constitution similar to that of the American Oriental Society. The officers elected are: Père Lagrange, president; Messrs. Clay and Garstang, vice-presidents; Mr. Danby, treasurer; Mr. Slousch, secretary; Governor Storrs, Messrs. Ben Yehudah and Crea, directors.

THE AMERICAN COUNCIL OF LEARNED SOCIETIES

Upon the invitation of the presidents and secretaries of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and the American Historical Association, extended to thirteen representative American learned societies devoted to humanistic studies, a conference was held in Boston on September 19, 1919. The following societies were represented by delegates; the American Philosophical Society, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, the American Antiquarian Society, the Archaeological Institute of America, the Modern Language Association, the American Historical Association, the American Economic Association, the American Philosophical Association: and, unofficially, the American Philological Association and the American Oriental Society, the latter being represented by Professors J. R. Jewett and D. G. Lyon. Mr. William R. Thayer was chosen permanent chairman and Mr. Waldo G. Leland permanent secretary. The object of the conference was the establishment of a union of the humanistic societies in America, so as to enable this country to be properly represented in the Union Academique, a proposed international organization of learned societies devoted to humanistic studies, steps towards the formation of which were taken under the auspices of the Académie des Inscriptions et Belles Lettres at a preliminary conference held in Paris on May 15 and 17, 1919,

It was formally resolved by the conference in Boston that, 'It is the sense of this Conference that American learned societies devoted to humanistic studies should participate as a group in the Union Académique.' Professor James T. Shotwell, of Columbia University, and Mr. William H. Buckler, of Baltimore, were appointed as American delegates to the session of the Union Académique to be held in Paris in October. Among the votes adopted by the conference was the statement that 'This Conference desires to express its deep interest in the subject of explorations and researches in Western Asia and hopes that a scheme of coöperation may be considered by the Union Académique.'

A draft of a Constitution of the affiliated American societies was then considered and adopted. It is as follows:

Constitution

ART. I. This body shall be known as the American Council of Learned Societies devoted to Humanistic Studies.

Asr. II. Secr. A. The Council shall be composed of delegates of the national learned societies of the United States which are devoted to the advancement, by scientific methods, of the humanistic studies.

SECT. B. Each of the thirteen societies herein named shall, upon ratification of this convention and constitution, be admitted to representation in the Conneil:

The American Philosophical Society.

The American Academy of Arts and Sciences.

The American Antiquarian Society.

The American Oriental Society.

The American Philological Association.

The Archaeological Institute of America.

The Modern Language Association of America,

The American Historical Association.

The American Economic Association,

The American Philosophical Association,

The American Political Science Association.

The American Sociological Society.

The American Society of International Law.

Sacr. C. Other societies may be admitted to representation in the Council by rate of three-fourths of all the delegates.

ART. III. SECT. A. Each society shall be represented in the Council by two delegates, chosen is such manner as the society may determine.

SECT. B. The term of office of delegates shall be four years, but at the first election of delegates from each society a short term of two years shall be assigned to one of the delegates, and thereafter one delegate shall be chosen every two years.

Aur. IV. The officers of the Council shall consist of a chairman, a vice-chairman, and a secretary-treasurer, who shall be chosen for such terms and in such manner as the Council may determine, but no two officers shall be from the same society.

Ast. V. The Council shall determine its own rules of procedure and shall enset such by-laws, not inscensistent with this constitution, as it may deem desirable.

ART, VI. The Council shall hold at least one meeting each year, which meeting shall be not less than two months prior to the stated semual meeting of the Union Académique.

Any. VII. The Council shall choose such number of delegates to represent the United States in the Union Académique as may be prescribed by the statutes of the Union, and shall prepare their instructions, and in general shall be the medium of communication between the Union and the societies which are represented in the Council.

Anr. VIII. The Council may upon its own initiative take measures to advance the general interests of the humanistic studies, and is especially charged with maintaining and strengthening relations among the societies

which are represented in it.

ARE, IX. SECT. A. In order to meet its own necessary administrative expenses and to pay the annual contribution of the United States to the administrative budget of the Union Académique the Council shall, until otherwise provided, assess upon each society represented in it an annual contribution of not less than twenty-five dollars, nor more, except as a minimum contribution, than a sum equal to five cents for each member of the society.

Sart. B. The Council may receive gifts and acquire property for the

purpose indicated above.

Agr. X. The Council shall make a report to the societies each year miting forth in detail all the acts of the Council and all receipts and expenditures of money.

Agr. XI. Ligarical instructions from a majority of the societies which

are represented in the Council shall be binding upon it.

ART, XII. The Council may be dissolved by a vote of two-thirds of the

sociaties represented therein.

Any. XIII. Amendments to this constitution may be proposed by a vote of two-thirds of the Conneil and shall take affect when ratified by a majority of the societies represented in the Council.

Ast. XIV. This convention and constitution shall be presented to the societies named in Article II, Section B, and shall be put into effect when

they shall have been rutified by any seven of them-

The meeting of the Committee of the Union Académique was held in Paris on Oct. 15-18, 1919, the American representatives being Mr. Buckler and, in the absence of Prof. Shotwell, Dr. Louis H. Gray. A constitution of the Union was drafted, which is to be submitted to the American learned societies for ratification, but no copies of it are known to have reached this country as yet. It was also decided that the next meeting of the Union be held in May, 1920.

The foregoing information was communicated by the Corresponding Secretary of this Society to its Directors in a circular letter dated Dec. 13, 1919, so that they might make such recommendations as they might see fit to the Society at its Annual

Meeting.

The Constitution of the American Council of Learned Societies Devoted to Humanistic Studies has already been ratified by eight of the thirteen societies participating in the Boston Conference, viz: the American Philosophical Society, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, the American Antiquarian Society, the American Philological Association, the Archaeological Institute of America, the American Historical Association, the American Economic Association, and the American Sociological Society. Six of these societies have appointed their delegates to the Council, the first meeting of which, it is now expected, will be held in New York City on February 14.

Although the American Oriental Society has not yet ratified the Constitution of the American Council, it has been asked to send two informal representatives to the coming meeting, and the President of the Society has appointed as such Prof. Morris Jastrow, Jr., and Prof. Maurice Bloomfield.

P. S.—At the first meeting of the American Council, held in New York on February 14, organization was effected. The following officers were elected: Prof. Charles H. Haskins, chairman; Prof. John C. Rolfe, vice-chairman; Prof. George M. Whicher, secretary-treasurer. Professor Jastrow attended the meeting as the informal representative of this Society.

PERSONALIA

M. SYLVAIN LEVI, Honorary Member of this Society, has been commissioned by the French Minister of Public Instruction to organize the department of Oriental Languages in the reconstituted French University of Strasbourg.

PHONETIC AND LEXICAL NOTES

EDWIN W. FAY*

1. INDO-IRANIAN TREATMENT OF IE. & s.

In Avestan, interior and final k's yielded s, through an intermediate stage which we may transcribe by k's or ss. In behalf of the second transcription I note -iks- from iss in Skr. deikeat (he hated), and -it in edhamana-dvit.

REMARK. It is not necessary, however, to invoke the analogy of Sk. -ke < se to support the contention that IE kes (Indo-Iran. &) yielded interior 2s but final 1 .- 1 see no cogent reason for accepting the theory too Wackerungel AL Gram. 1 § 118; 97a) that docker (thou hatest) line analogical ks. The s of IE est (thou art) - Sk. dei (A) may be an earlier treatment of sa- than the ss of ise: (iosi), Plantine eas, Armen. er; (B). ese Brugmann, Gr. 1, p. 725, Anm. It must be remembered, however, that enemphatic est yields no reliable proof for the usual treatment of see. Sh. joss may fall under A, deckel under B. In view of the small number of locative infinitives like built-s in Sangkrit (see Masslenell's Fed. Grant. § 588), more heavily graded jost (imperative from infinitive, type of Lat. case) is not to be excluded from the budhi class; of like variations in gradation in dative root infinitives (see Bartholomus, Gr. Iran. Past, 1. § 258, 1). And who shall decide whether ardes (hear thou) is from dry or from Scas! That gon, useds (Aururae) comes from uses, undured from IE users, rather than directly from us (of, wy-da-i, at dawn), is quite incredible.

2. In Sanskrit, the rules are much more complicated: (1) Interior $k^*s > 2s > ks$ (deiksmahi, like deiksat); (2) final k^*s normally yielded -ss, whence -t (vit, settlement, like adhamanadeit); (3) but after r r, as in djk spfk drk, yielded kj (4) and so after dentals, by dissimilation, as in dik rtoik (cf. Class. Quart. 8, 53, noting also -dhrk for -dhrt). (5) After n and s, as in bhisak and prá-yak (but not 4-not), the product was also k. (6) We find t and t after sth in Präkritic posthavát (cf. on nom. anadván § 4).

3. The nom. purodás (fore-offering) contains dá- (gift), or perhaps an s stem, *dás; but its lingual d testifies to an early metaplastic nominative -dát (d by progressive assimilation). The accusative puro-dásam (fore-honor) is metaplastic (: dás,

^{*} Died Feb. 17, 1920. He had revised proof on up. \$1-102 before his death.

⁶ JAOS 40

acclaims). Likewise awayds (propitiatory offering) belongs to the root yā; see Whitney's note on AV. 2. 35, 1, and cf. awayānam (propitiation). Vedic an-āk (eyeless) has IE. kw.

2. The Phonerics of Ser. anadud-bhijas.

4. The problem is to trace the phonetic development of the Proto-Indo-Iranian weak stem anas-ug'h. This I do briefly as follows: by exterior euphony the compound anas-ug'h- yielded anaz-uzh-, whence by assimilation anaz-uzh- and next, with continued assimilation, ably, *anad-ud-bhyas, loc. *anadufsu, subsequently dissimilated to anadud-bhyas etc. The proper nominative, still reckoning with the accomplished dissimilation, would have been *anadvát, voc. *ánadvat, with euphonic forms in -eda before initial masals. To the generalisation of these euphonic forms the synonymous vocatives of visan and úksan (bull) would have contributed, though Whitney's metaplastic stem anadvant (possessing a wagon) is not inadmissible.-Uhlenbeek's prius anard- is bare assumption; and the Indra epithet anarvii in RV. 1. 121, 7 might mean, as Ludwig realizes in his note, a thousand other things than cor-borne (pace Johannson in BB 18, 17). Perhaps the epithet is a bahuvrihi, with shifted († ultimately vocatival) accent, from haplologic anar [vá]-vis-(having a limitless dwelling, dwelling in infinity).

3. Carrique of JAOS 38, 206-207.

- 5. Professor Edgerton has made a just, if somewhat harsh criticism of Uhlenbeck's 'etymology' of Skr. läti (takes). He has also found for ādeša the sense of salutation. Against his derivation of these words from a Hindi dialect I have reservations; nor can I believe that, in noting Hindi leng, the lexicon of Monier Williams intended to represent leng as the source of lāti, but rather to say that lāti and leng derived from a common Prākritic source.
- 6. As for the verb lati, Fröhde correctly placed it long ago (BB 20. 212) with the sept of Greek λάτρον (wage). But Fröhde's definition was defective. As it is reflected, after Walde, in Boisacq (s. v. λάτρον). Iči (noun and verb) meant 'possession, to accord to one'; in the middle, 'to acquire, gain.' We come out better with the one definition of to take. [Giving is a reciprocal act. For the receiver it is a taking (cf. Eng. takings = money)

taken in business, receipts).] In Homer (see the passages in Frölide's article), δλήσοι means 'without one's takings,—a due share in'; λάτροι is the share of the earner, and Lat. latra has come clearly back to 'taker.' The IE. root (z)lii († enlargement of sel in lλών) appears as slo, expanded by various determinatives in l-λλαβε (λήψεται) and λάζεται. Skr. rábhate preserves a trace of the original diphthong in pf. rebhe (see AJP 39, 293) and i is also revealed in -ripsu (cited by Whitney); cf. (with i) λαμμρός (rapidus). Between lātvā (with) and λαβών a close parallel obtains. Was Lat. lētum originally a taking off?

7. As regards desa in the sense of salutation (cf. Eng. bid = invitation and 'I bid you goodday'), I am even further from being convinced. In the context it seems not unlikely that desam datted etc., introducing the interview of a great king with a sage, meant merely 'the king having given a signal <to proceed> was saluted by the sage'; and note in the lexica that d+dis is defined by nominars (beneanen). Granting the definition, however, this sense may have been suggested for ādeša to any user of the cry of greeting (for salutation at departure), disfyā; cf. disfi-vrddhi (congratulation).—In regard to the formula of etiquette disfyā vardhase, I hesitate between the standard interpretation as salute augeris and a more archaic salute appellaris (vardhase; Lat, verbum). The salutation distyā (salve; lit.

S. Likewise ādeša, if it means greating, may belong by honest descent to the sept of $d\bar{a}in\delta ti$, for I take it that, given a colloquial survival of Sanskrit, a word $(l\bar{a}ti)$ or, in a formula $(\bar{a}de\bar{s}aindattv\bar{a})$, a definition of most archaic nature may emerge as late classical Sanskrit, or even in a restricted dialect, that of the Southern recension of Professor Edgerton's text. In point of derivation $\bar{a}de\bar{s}a$ may belong, like $d\bar{s}sy\bar{a}$, to a very interesting group. The original root was $d\bar{e}(i)k^i$, with long interior diphthong; and the cognates exhibit a rather rich vowel gradation, e. g. $d\bar{a}sati$ (acclaims, does homage, greets, offers, consecrates); $d\bar{a}k\bar{s}a$, consecration (this is, to the best of my knowledge, a new derivation); $\bar{a}de\bar{s}a$ (1 salutation). There is also in RV. 6, 56, 1 the reduplicated stem $d\bar{a}de\bar{s}a$:

with homage) is to be derived from dasnots (does homage).

yá esom delidesoti kurombhád (ti pustana | na téan devá ddise; qui hunc salutat "Pultiphagus" nomine Poshanem | non ei deus salutando <est>. In Homer the root dilk¹ is of social rather than sacral import: discrete (saintes, welcomes, pledges with a cup); and in the same sense discrete didirecte (: didirectation). Nor must we any longer, under the spell of the phonetic system that obtained prior to the elucidation of the long diphthong series, follow Wackernagel (BB 4, 269) in the mischievous correction to discrete. In Latin, the i of the diphthong has been lost altogether in decas, honor (: Skr. dasasyáti); but dicat (consecrates) and digmus (honored, honorable) worthy) contain it; of dip-diagras and see AJP 31, 415. A secondary root dek¹s remains in RV, in impv. dakṣatā (do homage), construed (as sometimes dās) with dative of receiver.

9. That the root deik! (acclaim) is anything but a specialized aspect of the root written deik! (to point out, show, in Skr. dis), or conversely, I cannot believe. Clue enough to the special sense is furnished by the Aeschylean compound & service description (adjusted monstratus) honored, conspicuous). I also compare our Biblical show-bread. Personally I think that in the sept of disati the long diphthong series is archaic in the sucral and social word, and is older than the short diphthong series of dico, believe. The reduplication of & discovers is the intensive reduplication of Skr. dédists (displays), formally allocated to dis instead of dās. Again, we should not correct to & discovers.

HINDHSMS IN SANSKRIT AGAIN: A REPLY TO PRO-FESSOR FAY

FRANKLIN EDGERTON
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVARIA

My DERIVATION of adesa, 'salutation,' from Hindi (or som related dialect) ades evidently goes very much against the grain with Professor Fay; for he thinks of at least three distinct and alternative ways of avoiding it. It puzzles me to discover why the suggestion should seem to him a priori so improbable, as apparently it does. But of that later. Let me first consider his alternative suggestions.

1. He thinks adesan datted need not mean 'giving a saluta-

tion, but may mean simply 'giving a signal (to proceed).' The sage's response to the king's adesa is a benediction, sukki bhava. The like of this is regularly delivered by a saint to anyone (king or other person) whom he may meet, in response to a respectful salutation. The salutation is represented as a necessary preliminary to the blessing. If occasionally in such cases no prior salutation is specifically mentiond, that only means that it is taken for granted, because the idea of its necessity is so commonplace and familiar. In another recension of the Vikramacarita the same king tests the omniscience of another saint by saluting him only mentally (that is, without words or other outward sign); when the sage offers a benediction, the king says 'Why do you bless me when I hav not greeted you?' To this the sage replies that by means of his omniscience he perceived the mental greeting of the king. (This incident is found in . Indische Studien, 15, 285.) The royal permission is not needed for a religious person to address the king; on the contrary, the saint ranks higher than the king, and it is the king's duty to salute him first. This is commonplace throont all Hindu literature. Professor Fay's suggested interpretation of adesa is therefore un-Hinda.

- Granting the meaning 'salutation,' Professor Fay thinks this meaning of adeia may be derived from Sanskritic uses of the root (a) dis. Two of his suggestions may be groupt here.
- (a) He calls to mind the frase distyā (vardhase), a form of congratulation (not of salutation). The literal meaning of this frase is not entirely clear. But certainly disti does not mean anything like salutation; and indeed Professor Fay's suggestion implies a very violent transfer of meaning based on a very vague psychological connexion. Another objection is that disti is not adisti, and that in semasiology you cannot jump from a simple base to one of its compounds without hesitation.
- (b) Deserving of much more serious consideration is the claim that ādidešati in RV. 6, 56, 1 means 'salutes.' If this wer so, or if any form or derivativ of ādiš in Sanskrit could be shown to hav such a meaning, then Professor Fay would hav som apparent ground for questioning my etymology. I shal endevor to show in the paper which follows this that he is wrong about ādidešati, and that in the Rigveda at least no such meaning attaches to any form or derivativ of ādiš. Even if I wer wrong

in this (and after reading Professor Fay's Rejoinder I am stil fully convinst that I am right), I do not think that the question of adesa would be seriously affected thereby. The power of the counter-argument would be more apparent than real. Professor Fay has not been able to show any trace of the meaning 'salute' in any derivativ of adis later than the Rigveda. Yet the word and its derivative ar very common in later Sanskrit. I should hesitate long before jumping from the Rigveda to more than a thousand years a. b., with no intervening link, on a point concerning the meaning of a word which is very commonly used in other meanings throont the whole of the intervening period. It is not unimportant, either, that the actual form adeia does not occur in the Rigveda at all. So far as we kno, adesa means, in all periods of Sanskrit where it occurs, 'command, instruction' or the like; until suddenly, like a bolt out of the clear sky, in a single occurrence in a work composed more than a thousand years A. D., we find it meaning 'salutation.' And then we find that Hindi ades means, very commonly the not invariably, the same thing. To refuse to accept the obvious inference requires more self-denial than I hav.

3. Professor Fay's third line of attack involve a series of interesting and ingenious etymological suggestions by which he seeks to link adesa in particular, and the root dis in general, with a number of other words in Sanskrit and related languages which mean 'honor, respect' and the like. His language in this part of his paper is not always quite clear to me. For instance, he says 'adesa (greeting) may belong by honest descent to the sept of disati (does homage).' If he means by this that adesa may be directly connected with das, and only more remotely (if at all) with a-dis, then I cannot follow him. Indeed, I cannot even argue with him on that point; for it implies the non-recognition of what to me ar axiomatic principles. To my mind adesa 'greeting' is either a Sanskrit word by 'honest descent' (or derivation) from a-dis, or it is not a Sanskrit word at all. A third alternativ seems to me to be entertainable only by an act of faith. My own view is that it is not a Sanskrit word at all, but a Hindi (or other modern) word.

On the other hand, if Professor Fay only means that dis, 'indicate, show,' belongs to a group of Indo-European words som of which hav developt such meanings as 'honor, revere, salute'; then, if his etymologies ar sound (they seem to me pretty bold), they would indeed be of use in explaining the origin of this meaning of the Hindi ades. For they would furnish interesting semantic parallels for the development of this word from Sanskrit adesa 'direction, prescription, aim' or the like (but not 'salutation').

The only point at issue would then be whether the meaning 'salutation' for idesa developt in Sanskrit, or whether it developt in a modern dialect and came into Sanskrit as a backformation. Now, it is of course wel-known to all that Sanskriteven much older Sanskrit than the Vikramacarita-is 'chnek full' of back-formations from the Middle Indic dialects, that is from popular speech. Buddhistic Sanskrit is the prize example of this; a large part of it is only rudely and imperfectly Sanskritized Pali (or som related dialect). But all periods of the . language ar sufficiently full of the same sort of thing. Now then, if the very common Sanskrit word adesa never shows any meaning like 'salutation,' except in the one passage discoverd by me; and if the verb a-dis and its other derivative ar equally negativ; and if we find that, in Hindl, odes is an extremely familiar and commonplace word in this meaning; then-I do not see what dignus, decus, or even ddi, can hav to do with the question (except, as aforesaid, perhaps as semantic parallels). Hier stehe ich; ich kann nicht anders.

Let me put a hypothetical question to Professor Fay. Let us assume that in a scolastic Latin treatise written in Bologna in the fourteenth century we find a common Latin word—say dictio—used in a sense in which it is otherwise unknown, even in medieval Latin, but in which its Italian equivalent is very wel known and common. Would Professor Fay look to Old Persian and Latinuanian relative of the original Latin root to find the explanation of the isolated usage? Would be even trouble himself to go far afield among Plantine or Ciceronian cognates of the root in question—particularly among supposed cognates whose relationship is at best doutful, and certainly cannot hav been apparent to the users of the language (as dis: dis)? The parallel seems to me perfect.

The same considerations apply to lati. No Hindi scolar, so far as appears, donts the fact that Hindi le-nā (nā is the infinitiv ending, the 'root' is le) is derived from Prakritic forms of

labh. (See Platts, Hindustani Dictionary, s. v.; Hoernle, Comp. Gram, of the Gaudian Languages, p. 70.) In Bengali the root is la (infinitiv la-ite), and Hindi dialects hav laind (Platts, L. c.). The late appearance of lati, plus its correspondence with these words, is to my mind sufficient evidence that it is from a popular dialect, and that all attempts to connect it with IE. elements le or la ar useless and misleading. The only question open to discussion is whether it is a Prakritism or come from a more modern dialect. In favor of the latter alternativ may be mentiond the following facts. There is no Prakrit base la, so far as I can find. There is indeed a Prakrit le (Hemacandra, 4, 238; see reff. there quoted in Pischel's translation), which Pischel thinks probably connected with lati, but which I think more likely belongs with Sanskrit li (as Pischel also considers possible); cf. Karpūramanjari, ed. Konow (HOS 4), 1. 13. At any rate lāti could with difficulty be derived from Prakrit le. It apparently come from a dialect in which the vowel was d. Cf. the Hindi dialect form laind, and Bengali la; the standard Hindi le is apparently not to be connected with Prakrit is (even if the latter belongs in this group at all), but its e is a contraction of a-i, in which the original vowel of the root appears. The compound land (for le-and), 'to bring,' may possibly, but in my opinion not probably, be the origin of lati,

Again, the disappearance of medial intervocalic h is a familiar (the not exactly common) fenomenon in the modern dialects (cf. Hoernic, i. c.; Kellogg, Grammar of the Hindi Language, p. 54). In Prakrit, on the other hand, it is rare. Indeed, Pischel (BB 3, 246 f., Grammatik der Prakrit Sprachen, p. 184) entegorically and degmatically denies that it ever occurs; but I think this is too sweeping, cf. Weber, Höla' (AKM 5, 3), p. 29; Höla' (AKM 7, 4), on strates 4, 410, 584, especially on strate 4. This is an additional reason for not connecting Prakrit le with labh (lei), besides its meaning ('to lay on'), which does not seem to fit the latter easily. If we har out le, there ar no Prakritic forms of labh except those containing an h as representativ of the Skt. bh.

For these reasons it seems to me fair to assume that lall come from a modern, post-Prakritic dialect. This is certainly what Monier Williams intended to suggest in his Sanskrit Dictionary, a v. Whether the suggestion has also been made elsewhere I

am not sure. It seems to me so obvious that I feel sure it would hav becom commonplace ere now, but for the facts that (1) lati is so rare and late a word in Sanskrit, and (2) comparativly few Sanskritists, unhappily, kno anything about the modern dialects.

STUDIES IN THE VEDA

FRANKLIN EDGERTON
UNIVERSITY OF PENSSYLVANIA

8. A-dis in the Rigveda.1

No careful study of \$\delta\$-dis\$ and its derivative in the Rigveda has yet been made. The nearest approach to one is found in Oldenberg's remarks, \$ZDMG\$ 55, 292, and \$Bqueda Noten on 6.

4. 5. Oldenberg finds that \$\delta\$dis\$ as a noun usually refers to 'feindliche Anschläge.' This I believ to be tru; but I think that both the noun and the verb can be more accurately defined.

My belief is that the verb ā-diś (always in RV a reduplicating present, ōdideiati, or intensiv, ōdediste) means invariably 'to aim at' (with hostil intent), nearly always in the literal sense, 'to aim with a wepon at' (with accusativ of the person or thing aimd at). The noun ādiś likewise always means 'aim,' and in evry case except possibly one or two it also implies hostil intent.

Fundamental ar the two passages 9, 70, 5rd and 10, 61, 3rd.

The first reads:

výsa šúsmena badhate ví durmatír adédisanah šaryahéva šurúdhah.

'The viril (Indra) overcoms the evil-disposed by his furious energy, aiming at them as an archer at opposing warriors (1 suradhak of uncertain meaning, but cannot affect the question).'—The second reads:

d you sarpabhis tuvinruno asydérinitadisam gabhastan. 'Who with vigorous strength prepares his aim with arrows in the hand.'

Most of the occurrences of a-dis as a verb belong so obviously

^{*} Of. Fay, above, page 53. For the dret even Studies is this series, see AJP 35, t35 ff., JAOS 35, 240 ff., AJP 40, 175 ff.

to the sfere of hostil attacks that they require no discussion. Thus, 10, 134, 2^{ed}:

adhaspadám tám im krdhi yó asmán adídesati.

Put him down underfoot who aims against us. The same or a closely similar locution is found 9. 52. 4°, 10. 133. 4°, 1. 42. 2°, Equally simple and obvious is 6, 44. 17°, abhisenán abhy ādédisānān pārāca indra prā mṛṇā jahī ca. The only remaining occurrence of a finite verb form from ā-diš is 6, 56. 1:

yá enam adidesati karambhád iti püsánam, ná ténu devá adise. In the light of the otherwise universal use of the verb, it seems to me clear that it should be understood here too in a hostil sense. I therefore would render, nearly (the not precisely) with Roth, Grassmann, and Oldenberg (Noten, on 9, 21, 5), and at variance with Fay (who follows Ludwig essentially), 'He who aims (matignantly) at Püşan, saying "he is a porridge-eater (hind, weakling) "-the god is not a mark for him (literally, not is the god for siming at by him).' Aside from the superior consistency with other occurrences of the verb, we hereby avoid the bold assumption of an understood anyth, which Ladwig and Fay ar compeld to make. What parallel is there for the omission of anya in such a case! In other words, how can na . . . devih mean 'no other god'? It means nearly the opposit of that: 'not the god (just mentioned).' It is mere easnistry for Ludwig to refer to 1, 140, 11 priyad . . . preyo, 'dearer than a dear one'; obviously this is not in the least parallel.

The noun ādis, naturally, follows the verb in usage. In addition to the passages alredy quoted, it occurs in 8. 60. 12^{sh}: yéna vánsāma příanāsu šárdhatas táranto aryá ādišah. Again the sfere is conflict (příanāsu); 'crossing over (escaping) the aims of the foe.' On the difficult, and pretty certainly corrupt, passage 6. 4. 5 see Oldenberg, places quoted. Oldenberg is evidently not prejudist in favor of the view I hold, for he specifically refers to 8. 93. 11 as showing ādis without hostil meaning. Yet he holds, I think rightly, that in 6. 4. 5 (as wel as în 8. 92. 31, for which see his note on that passage in Rgveda Noten) it refers to 'feindliche Anschläge'; the frascology of the passage (turyámu, ef. táranto 8. 60. 12, árātīr, etc.) bears this out, whatever may be the tru reading and interpretation of the text. The passage 8. 93. 11, which Oldenberg seems to think shows

ādišam in a different sense, is inconclusiv, and can as easily be interpreted in my way as in any other: yāsya to nā cid ādišam nā minānti svarājyam, nā devā aādhrigur jānah. 'Verily they do not at all obstruct (impede) thy aim, thy imperium.' Of course there is nothing in the context which definitly proves that Indra's 'aim' is directed against his enemies; yet it would be only his enemies that would wish to 'obstruct' it, and Indra's general caracter, as wel as the usual meaning of ādis (not to speak of svarājyam, parallel to it) suggest this.

In two or three passages an adis is attributed to Soma. It occurs twice in the consecutiv stanzas 9, 21, 5 and 6, in closely

parallel locutions:

ásmin pisáñgam indavo dádháta venám adise, yó asmábhyam árává. 5. rbhúr ná ráthyam návam dádhátá kétam adíse, sukráh pavadhvam árnasá. 6.

The key to adise is yo asmabhyam arava. The some-drops ar to fix their vend 'for aiming at him who is stingy towards us.' In the next stanza pada b is repeated with kéta for vená; obviously 5° is to be understood also with 6°. Oldenberg (Noten) seems to me wrong on these stanzas, tho he is right to the extent of taking adise in a hostil sense. It seems to me that both pisanga cená and kéte must pertain to the soma, not to the stingy man (proleptically). The locativ asmin causes no difficulty; it depends in sense, at least, on adise (perhaps also in literal construction, since we need not expect with the verbal noun the accusativ which would be found with a finite verb-form of a-dis; but it may also depend on a-dha, 'fix . . . upon him for aiming = 'fix for aiming at him'). The exact meaning of read in this place is a problem which I hav not solvd to my own satisfaction; kéta at least is clearly 'purpose, Absieht,' nearly synonymous with adis except that the latter is distinctly a hostil word; and I incline to the opinion that vend, which exchanges with kôto in these two stanzas, is to be taken in som sense which amounts to the same thing in the final outcom.

The sound of the some is duraddison in 1, 139, 10; the context is colorless and give no clue to the meaning; 'aiming afar off' fits as well as any other meaning.

I com finally to the last occurrence of adis, which Professor

Fay might hav quoted against me, since it is the one and only occurrence of a derivativ of this root in the entire Rigveda which, taken by itself, might plausibly be interpreted in the sense of 'salutation' or the like. It is 6, 48, 14:

tám va indram ná sukrátum várunam iva möyinam aryamánam ná mandrám srprábhojasam vísnum ná stusa ádiše.

Pusan is praised, and is declared to be like unto various other gods in their special sferes. Simple as the language of the stanza seems at first sight, there ar difficulties about it. For instance, we need a qualifying epithet to go with visuum ad in pada d. It is very lame to translate with Grassmann 'den meinend preis' wie Vischnu ich'; for ná implies that Pusan is '(so-and-so) like Visnu,' just as he is 'powerful like Indra' etc. Ludwig sees this and construes srprabhojasam, in the preceding pada, with visuum nd. The pada division and the order of words ar against this, the I regard it as superior to Grassmann's rendering. But is it not at least possible that adise is the complement to vienum nà-like Visnu for aiming (against enemies!)'! It is tru that, so far as I am able to discover, the Vedic accounts of Visnu furnish no clue for explaining this as particularly appropriate to Visnu. But the Rigveda tells us so little about Visnu anyhow, that we can not be sure that there may not be som allusion here to a feature of the god not otherwise made clear,-If, however, this is not acceptable, then Ludwig's interpretation of the passage is clearly the right one. Ludwig renders adlie 'für meine Absieht,' and the like is implied by Grassmann's 'den meinend.' Barring the possibility (which I freely admit is only a possibility) that my new interpretation is correct, we should hav in adisc at this point one 'clear case of the meaning 'aim' without hostil intent. There would, after all, be nothing very startling in this; it is not a very remote departure from the customary (and I believ otherwise universal) meaning of the word. It would stil be a very far cry to 'salutation,' which, as I said, might be conjectured for this passage if we knew nothing about the word otherwise, but which, in view of its constant occurrence in a very different sense, can surely not be adopted here. No interpreter, so far as I kno, has adopted it; not even Ludwig, altho in his interpretation of 6, 56, 1 he come quite close to Professor Fay's idea.

REJOINDER TO PROFESSOR EDGERTON*

EDWIN W. FAY UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

1. To MAKE an Irish reply to Professor Edgerton's hypothetical question (p. 87), what I wish to know is whether the author or editor of the Vikramacarita and the late users of the verb lati employed Sanskrit as a vernacular and mother-tongue, whether they thought in Sanskrit (I do not mean to the exclusion of a Prakritic or Hindi dialect). If these authors had received Sanskrit viva voce vivisque auribus it is entirely possible that they introduced into Sanskrit literature words not written into our record but, in point of origin, of hoary antiquity. Grammstical citations apart, parul (: xipeo1) is not of record. This shows the possibility of a most ancient word never being included in the literary record (supposing us to have it all!), and leaves us to infer that Panini took the example from the speech of his own time. The IE. character of parat would have guaranteed its authenticity even if, without Panini's eitation, it had emerged as late as lati. Again, the history of the root stigh, long known only through the questionable medium of Dhatupatha, shows us how a word of most certain IE. origin was restricted, not (so far as I know) to a definitely ascertainable locality, but to the canticles of a restricted Vedic sect. The relation of literary Sanskrit to the genuine vernaculars is a thorny problem. From the time of the great Epics on, Sanskrit was not, in the narrow sense, a vernacular. But the language was imparted viva voce and received vivis auribus, so that it actually functioned as a standardized class or caste dialect, and its speakers were bilingual. In a genuine, if restricted, sense, this dialect must have begun as speech, so that the question arises at what time, in which century (sorites-wise) from 200 B. C. (shall I say?) down to 1500 a. D., the colloquial founts dried up. For lati and adela there is also the other question of a possible bookish source (see § 9, note). If a word of good IE. stamp appeared first in the learned Epie of Apollonius or in Callimachos I should not question its genuineness as Greek, even

[&]quot;Revised by the author after rending Edgerton's following 'Counter-Rejoinder.'

though the vernacular of these authors was Hellenistic. I cannot think the lateness of *lāti* substantially different from the lateness of *sthagayati* (covers): Lat, *tegit*; or of *hadati* which, exception made of Epic *-hāda*, is classical only, but surely of IE, provenance. Also note *itar*, primary derivative of *i*, but not found till Vāsavadattā, see Gray's edition, pp. 202, 214.

The vocalism of lati.

2. I could not think, because of the conflict of vowels in Sk. lati and Hindi le-nd, that the lexicon of Monier Williams meant to assert the express derivation of the one from the other; nor did I feel sure-though I am compelled to speak without due lexical aids-that the contracted Hindi form lina < le-una was earlier than the emergence of lati. [And now exactly so for the Bengali root la. On the other hand, the morphological relation between lati and labhati has so many analogues to confirm it in IE, grammar that a theory of late emergence, but early origin, for lati is not to be put out of court till something like philological proof of origin from an Indic vernacular is assured. In brief, a colloquial option between lati and labhati may always have existed in that Primary Prakrit from which Sanskrit came, without one of the terms having emerged till a late period. Even what one takes for the commonest words may emerge relatively late into the written record, for instance Eng. leg die bull (see Royster in Studies in Philology, 14, 235).

[2a, In my original critique I failed to mention—because I did not know it then—that Wackernagel (Ai, Gram. § 80) had tentatively proposed the correlation of lāti (root lāu) with Lat. lucrum (gain, takings). The very dialect forms cited by Professor Edgerten, however, make for the root lēi—perhaps from (t)lēi, cf. my explanation of Lat. clē-mens: raλai-φρω as toyed with by Walde on p. 868 and then on p. xx. There is an undoubted Prākrit root le and, whatever Pischel may have thought when he was translating Hemacandra, he categorically correlates the absolutives levi lēppinu levinus with Sk, lā in his Prākrit Grammar § 588. Then Pk. le is from loi (: lēi : ; Av. pai : pli, see Bartholomae's Grammar, § 122, 10). We actually have Pk. lenti in the Karpūra-maūjari 1, 13, as follows:

lenti na taha angammi (loc. ag.) kuppasaam and do not put on a bodice (Lanman).

After Plantus Amphitruo 999, capiam coronam mi in caput, I feel free to render our sentence by

capiunt non turn (for neque, postponed) <sibi> in membra nesticulam.

How a proper sense for leati here-and I have gone over the usage of li carefully in the Petersburg lexics-can be arrived at from Sk. li (cling) I cannot divine. In Sanskrit the flexion of the root kiei (to lie) -so Brugmann correctly writes it in IF 6. ef. Bartholomae, Lex. 1571—generalizes the midgrade k^t>i (sête, accent abnormal). In Greek szirat k'éi is generalized. In Sk. la[i]ti : Pk. lenti we have the alternation &[i]/si. That li would be a legitimate form of laff] in Sanskrit is true enough. and we might in fact derive Pk. levi from *litel, cf. Sk. pitel ; phti (root phi). An Indie root bli | bli is recognized by Franke, BB 23. 177, in Pall layati (harvests). Now this is the root of lati, For the sense of reaps (i. e. harvests, gathers) from takes (seizes) ef. Cicero, Sen. 70, tempora demetendis fructibus et percipiendis, with Cato's more generalized usage (Agr. 4, 1) in the turn fructi plus copies. Further note Skt, V grabh (: Eng. grabs), cognate with Germ. Garbe (sheaf of the reapers).]

- i. Whether I adesa (indicium) came to mean salutation.
- 3. If a sage could utter a benediction to a Hindu king in response to a merely mental salutation (an assumed glum silence, one suspects, to intensify the test of the sage's prescience) our sage might well have acknowledged the same king's intimation (cf. Lat. indicat) or signal (to proceed, of attention; look of recognition), and that quite duly. When a king of England 'commands' a singer or other artist, what remains formally a command is in fact a great courtesy, with all the effect of a salutation. Note that in Latin, by way of ellipsis, but ellipsis is one of the standing elements in semantic development, (ubco (sc. saluere) means saluto.—I still think that one who said distyd (salue; lit. with homage) might have turned for its cases to ādeia, a flexional word in being. In Iranian the correspondent of ādeia is Av. ādišti, whence the semantle proportion Indo-Iran, ā dišti (indicium): Sk. ā-deia: : distyā (with

The closest symmym of adeis is djad, which means not only command but also, as I here assume for deless, permission.

homage): (2) adesa (if = salutation). In Latin, salus (greeting) was adopted as the flexional form of the word of greating. impy, salue (be whole). What I have in mind is a semantic correlation such as we employ when we use appurtenance as the noun corresponding to the technical adjective phrase pertaining to, in the formulae of derivation and definition. The correlation appurtenance x pertaining to is desk English, not the vernacular. Cognate words do interchange their meanings as when, to employ a standard example, to execute a man is developed out of the execution of a sentence. It is perfectly legitimate to suppose that from distyā (salue) dis + ā, or derivatives thereof, might have gathered up the force of salutem dico (saluto); it is quite legitimate, as a question of genesis, to say that a desa does not derive from a+1 dis, but rather from a+dai (do homage, acclaim), in alternation with a +dis. For another example of the gradation & : i in interior position-at root ends nothing is commoner-of. khād ; khid, with intermediate e in khédá (not secondary, pace Wackernagel Ai, Gram. § 15), Av. sus : sis, see Bartholomae's Grammar § 122. 8.

ii. The etymology of 2 adesa (* salutation).

4. If in a formula of politeness such as adesain dattes—formulae may be very old—ideia meant salutation, it may well have come by its meaning through honest descent. The equation of discrete (greets) with dainott (does homage) has not been responsibly questioned for 40 years (see literature in Brugmann-Thumb. Gr. Gram. § 342), nor do I understand Professor Edgerton now to question it; and we are now devising, to satisfy our craving for system, a fit gradation diagram with a place for the root $d\hat{e}(i)k^2$, a place for its derivative \hat{a} -desa (of IE. type); with a place for Lat. dignus, a place for dicat (consecrates), and a place for decus.—On the late development of 2 ddesa from iddi see § 9 fm.

Be it said in passing that dignus has certainly for its nearest of his (morphologically and semantically, I mean) ONorse figina (eminont <dipito monstratus, see the lexicon of Park-Torp, p. 1251). I call particular attention to the Umbrian perfect sum pur-dins' (see AJP 32, 414), with the meral sense of offered. Here we have a must variety of the root of diest; cf. Sk. pure-disina (nec.), offering.

iii. Hindi ades: adesa (adesam dattvā).

5. I assume that odes came from odesa (indicium) and that, excluding the temporary expedient of 2 odesa, its alteged sense of salutation, so far as we may list a contextual shading for a definition, was at some time and place developed by way of connotation (a polite signal to proceed is a salutation) or by way of ellipsis. A situation apt for the development of the connotation lies in fact before us, where tasya odesam dativa etc. = si intimatione <1 sui> facta (rex ipse a sapiente salutatus est). Or, if we inform ourselves that Lat, indicium means not only testimony but also leave to testify, we may grant that, by a like shift of usage, odesa might mean, not only announcement, but leave to announce (1 himself, the sage): si indicatione <ipre>indicatione

iv. The meaning of a + dis.

6. In support of my substantially correct version of RV 6. 56, 1 (p. 83) I go on to demonstrate that this verb means pretty nearly what Lat, inclamare means, both in its good sense of invoke and in the bad sense of jeer at, abuse. Why should one who recalls Lat. facinus or valetudo or inclamare or acciomatio object to the exhibition by a word of both bad and good senses? As a vox media Eng. challenge is a good rendering of a + dis; or Lat. provocars (but with all the range between salutare and lacessere, or even imprecari). În 9, 70, 5, ādidisānak šaryahiva surudhah = inclamans ut sagittarius' igoulatores (suru- : Sahine Lat. curis, spear), and in 10, 61. 3, dérinita adisam = paravit (lit. coxit, cf. coquere iras, verba) inclamationem (imprecationem). One thinks of the 'brag' of Homeric combatants before beginning to fight. The reader may easily go through the ensuing examples from Professor Edgerton's list and substitute due forms of inclame or of challenge,

7. In the three next passages also ādis has the nominal sense of inclamatio, but varying, like acclamatio, between cheers (laus, honor) and jeers (inrisio, minae). The passages are as follows: (1) 8. 60. 125, táranto aryà ādisah = superantes hostis inclamationes (minas). For the situation of again the brag and threats of any pair of Homeric warriors, e. g. Tlepolemos and Sarpe-

[&]quot;The archer and spearmen, typically takes, may have belonged either to hostile armies or, as rival arms of the service, to the same army.

⁷ JAOS 40

don in E 633 sq. (2) In 6.4 Agni is besought to fetch the other gods to the sacrifice (st. 1), and in st. 5 (text of Aufrecht) we read, turydma yas ta ādišām ārdtīr = superemus <eum> qui tibi invocationum (laudum) invidus <est> (cf. 9. 21. 5, below).

(3) I render 8. 93. 11 as follows:

ydsya to nil cid adtiam na minante svardjyam | na devo nadkrigur janak cuius illi quidem laudem non impediunt cius <ve> imperium neque deus <alius, see § 9> neque semperfestiunns(?) gens.

8. In 9. 21. 5 (and likewise for the next stanzs), dsmin ... dádhātā venām ādiše etc. = apud nos facite voluntatem inclamare (cum qui nobis invidus est), i. e. confirm in (or unto) us our desire, viz. to rebuke him who is stingy toward us.

9,-6, 56, 1. To give a hostile sense to addie here involves taking karambhdd (Pultiphagus), the title of Püsan, as defamatory. This seems to me a grave literary error in the interpretation maintained by Roth and Grassmann. Inasmuch as kurumbha was the special food of Püsan it would be strange to summon his worshippers in the first stanza of a hymn by recounting a jeer of the 'pagans' (in this case 'cits') that honored him not. Professor Edgerton will have it that the first stanza of a Pūsan hymn says 'whosoever shall aim at Pūşan (our god) with the taunt of "Porridge-eater," the god is not his to aim at. To me the stanza can only mean what Sayana thought it meant-and he rendered adidesati by abhistauti (praises)- Whosoever shall invoke (praise) Phsan (our god) by his favorite title need invoke no other god." As for karambha, it was mixed-with-the-food (karambhin) of Indra, but besides (ahade of Dr. Samuel Johnson!) it was also shared [and not only in 'porridge-punch'] by Indra-unless we mean to disqualify the evidence of Ait. Br. 2. 24- and Indra was no weak-

^{*}Among the Vedic clerks and priors, the scholars and men of letters, before and after his time (say 1350 a. p.), Sayana would not have been alone in halding and teaching the equation adideinti — abhistanti (landat, celebrat). I confess I am easual soongh to believe, even in the face of Professor Edgerton's ordered genealogical and chronological criteria, that among these scholars many, one or another, even the reductor of the Vikramacarita, seeking to vary the monotony of names (salus, landatio, hence), might have hit upon adelesis datted (landationem dans) as a fit substitute for nameskripe, so giving to adele, a word in being, the sense of adidelati-

ling, nor yet a hind. The real vocative karambhid (here turned to a nominative before iti) is a virtual invitation to Pūṣan to come and eat karambhā; and the Vedic poet said in effect, to make a slight change in my previous version.

qui hune inclamat (invocat) Pultiphagum nomine Püsanam, non ab eo deus invocando <est>.

This version leaves the ambiguity of the original. If, to begin with the less probable, dous = Pusan, the apodosis means that Pūsan will not wait for a second invitation, but accept instanter the call to his favorite food. If deus is not Püsan the apodosis means : not a god is to be invoked by the worshipper, for Püsan alone is sufficient. In my first version I supplied, after Ludwig, alius; but neither Ludwig (I will suppose) nor I actually supplied anyas to the original (see also for no < anyo > devo 8, 93. 11 in § 7). We have here a partitive relation, and Püsan is tacitly excluded from the other gods. [In passing I will state that I think Ludwig was entirely right in interpreting privad . . prégo in 1, 140, 11 by dearer than <any other, or the typical > dear. | One thinks of Corinthians 15, 27: But when he saith, All things are put in subjection, it is evident that he is excepted who did subject all things unto him. Cf. on relator άλλων Class. Rev. 8. 456, and the colloquialism. He runs faster than anybody (for anybody else); or, none such = no other like. On the other hand, there have been grammatical sticklers who, in respect to Milton's famous line, 'the fairest of her daughters, Eve, objected to the inclusion of Eve; cf. Odyssey 5, 262, where Calypso includes herself with Ulysses (those two, and no others) in the words ros apa miden hoxe. The omission of 'other' is common enough, though lists of examples lack. Note, with consideration of the context (&spara in 1, 299 = 86µ0; in 1, 302), Odys. 6, 301, ων μον . . . δώματα Φαύρκων = no <other > residence of the Phaeacians.

10.—6. 48. 14. Omitting the unessential and accepting (without reserve as to the metre) Ludwig's disposition of the adjective complement of Visnu, I would thus render:

[&]quot;I am not unaware that Púsan was a Pan among the gods. To Professor W. Schulze he is Pan, and the sectarian character of Púsan, of which note is made below (§ 12), reminds us again of the difficulty of getting recognition for Pan throughout Greece.

tom (so. Pasanam, again!) . . . | seprabhojanam visnum ná stusa adlie eum ut Vishnum adipicibum habentem> lando invocando.

But for adise (invocando) we must supply a subject like us or you (the worshippers), which yields the meaning ut invocemus (invocetis); cf. 1. 52. 8, ádhārayo divy d sāryam dršé = posuisti in caelo solem videndo i. e. ut videremus (ut homines viderent). Also see excellent examples for subjectless infinitives in Monro's Homeric Grammar, § 231. It were possible, but harsher, to render adise by the imperative, invocate. Or stura adise = I (re) commend to (be) invoke(d).

 The evidence for ā + dis = inclamare has been submitted. The definition recognizes derivation from the root deik'. I doubt not that Professor Edgerton admits the propriety of trying, so far as may be, to utilize IE, derivation and etymology in the effort to fix the definition of Vedic words. To know the approximately original meaning of a word certainly helps in fixing the sense of its further ramifications, as in the case of distyd (with homage) § 3.

12. In conclusion I suggest that the two Pūsan stanzas I have interpreted seem to constitute a sectarian recommendation of Püsan as the equal or superior of other gods. It is because of this sectarian quality that karambhad cannot be a jeer (adis).

but must be a word of praise (adis), see § 9.

COUNTER-REJOINDER TO PROFESSOR FAY

FRANKLIN EDGERTON UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

PROFESSOR FAY (§ 3) seems to miss the point of the story of the 'mental salutation,' which appears to me to prove absolutely that, to the feeling of its author, no sage would bless a king without first receiving a salutation. There was no 'assumed glum silence'-except perhaps to an ignorant bystander who lackt the sage's omniscience; certainly the sage, if he had assumed a glum silence (that is, lack of salutation), would not hav blest the king. That is the whole point of the story. The silence was only technical, not real, because (as the sage afterwards observe), 'mind is superior,' and a mental salutation is fully as efficacious as a vocal one.

For the rest, I hav little to say in further reply except on one point. In discussing 6. 56. 1, Professor Fay objects to my taking karambhād as a scornful epithet because Pūṣan's regular food was karambhā, and because Indra also eats cakes and soma which ar karambhā, 'mixt with karambhā.' Now, I did not mean to say that the worshipers of Pūṣan considerd his eating of karambhā a matter worthy of scorn. Of course they did not. But that would not prevent other people from holding that opinion; and it is quite possible that Pūṣan's worshipers might allude to the opinions of these blasfemers for the purpose of protesting against them, just as the Indra hymn 2, 12 alludes in vs 5 to atheists who deny the existence of Indra.

It is a wel-known fact, which does not by any means depend on the word karambhá alone, that Pūṣan occupies a peculiar position in the Vedic pantheon. He is a sort of 'hayseed' deity; a god of shepherds, and distinctly different from the general run of the gods. So, for instance, he has no share in the soma; he prefers milk and gruel (karambhá). That he should for this reason be more or less laught at by som of the more 'cultivated' and warlike followers of Indra seems quite conceivable, and by no means out of keeping with any known fact of Vedic filology.

Now as to Indra and karambhā. From 6. 57. 2 it is sufficiently clear that karambhā is no normal food for Indra; here Indra and Pūṣan ar specifically contrasted on the ground that Indra consumes soma, and Pūṣan karambhā. That the soma should sometimes be mixt with karambhā—and this is, as Professor Fay himself notes, all that karambhā means—is not at all surprixing, and does not in the least support Professor Fay's contention. Soma was mixt with all sorts of things, notably with milk. Would a drinker of milk-punch be spoken of as living on a dairy diet! Similarly cakes for Indra ar karambhā—in this case presumably 'made of (that is containing) karambhā.' The most elegant enisines use dairy and farm products constantly. But it is another matter to liv on plain rustic fare exclusivly. In spite of Dr. Johnson, I venture to guess that English epicures did in his day, and do today, eat

various confections of oats, and find them very palatable. His jibe was at out-karambhá as a staple of diet. The Scottish Pūṣan drank no soma, and apparently livd mainly or exclusivly on karambhá. So he was distinctly contrasted with Indra (6, 57, 2) and apparently met with som ridicule (6, 56, 1). Indra could not possibly be cald anything like karambhád; and the fact that his 'sporty' food and drink might contain karambhá proves nothing.

As to leati (Fay, p. 94f.), I take it as a causativ formation from li; and so, Ljudge, does Lanman.

THE SLEEP OF THE SOUL IN THE EARLY SYRIAC CHURCH

F. GAVIN

St. THOMAS AQUINAS HOUSE, NASHOTAR, WISCONSIN

IN SYMAC CHRISTIANTTY, from the fourth century on, there appears with more or less consistency and in much the same outline a curious teaching as to the state of the dead. As the earliest example of the sort that is available in Syriac authors is Aphrantes, the 'Persian sage,' I shall quote him first, 'The Spirit is absent from all born of the body until they come to the regeneration of haptism. For they are endowed with the soulish spirit (from) the first birth,-which (spirit) is created in man, and is immortal, as it is written, "Man became a living soul" (Gen. 2. 7, cf. I Cor. to. 45). But in the second birth-that is, of Baptism-they receive the Holy Spirit, a particle of the Godhead, and it is immortal. When men die the soulish spirit is buried with the body and the power of sensation is taken from it. The Heavenly Spirit which they have received goes back to its own nature, to the presence of Christ. Both these facts the Apostle teaches, for he says;1 "The body is buried soulish, and rises spiritual" (1 Cor. 15, 44). The Spirit returns to the presence of Christ, its nature, for the Apostle says: "When we are absent from the body we are present with the Lord" (II Cor. 5, 7). Christ's Spirit, which the spiritual have received, goes back to the Lord's presence; the soulish spirit is buried in its own nature, and is deprived of sensation." (293, 2-24, Parisot's edition.)

In this quotation I have translated the adverte as adjectives.

While Aphrastes teaches also that the body and soul may be 'deprived of sensation,' yet he means by this 'that in this sleep men do not know good from evil' (397, 17). He uses in this same passage three words referring to 'sleep,' and this is the clue to the meaning of his other statement that the good rest with a good conscience and sleep well, waking alert and refreshed at the Resurrection, while those who have done evil in their lives are restive and unquiet, for they are measy with the sense of foreboding and doom impending. He illustrates this by the story of the likeness of the two servants, one of whom is expecting punishment, and the other praise from his lord, in the morning (396, 16-35; 397, 1-14). This is perhaps the clearest statement of the doctrine of the 'sleep of the soul,' and Aph. claims it for an article of the Faith (397, 15).

There is hardly any feature of the teaching of Aph. which has occasioned so universal comment. So far as I can ascertain, all who have written on Aph. have spoken of it. Since his is probably the clearest exposition of the teaching regarding the soul's sleep, I have thought well to give it in full.

Some reputed texts from St. Ephraem Syrus (373) who wrote in the same language as Aph, and with whom there are many fundamental likenesses in thought and expression, would seem to indicate that he, too, held to a tripartite division of man, and to the doctrine of death being a 'sleep,' in which there is the same kind of semiconacious knowledge of what is passing, as in the case of an habitual 'light sleeper.' 'The lesson of the dead is with us. Though they sleep, yet they teach us, their garmenta alone are destroyed,—the body which diseases bring to an end,—while the soul preserved in life, as it is now, (is) without

*Cf., e. g., St. Ephrem, Sermo de Domino Nostro, and Hom. XXIII of Aph.

E. g., Parisot, in Patrologia Syrioca, vol. 1, c. 3, pp. lvi-lvii; Harnack, Dogmengesch. 1, 733; George, Bishop of the Arabe, fol. 251-9, cf. Wright, Homilies of Aphractes, pp. 32-4; Nestle, Ecologie, f. Th. u. K. 1 (1896), pp. 611-12 ('eigenthümliche Psychologie, insbesondere die Lehro von dem Seelenschlaf'); Porget, De vita et script. Aph., pp. 293 ff.; Sasso, Prolegomena in Aph. Sup. Persi sermones homileticos, pp. 18 f.; Bardenbewer, Zeits. kirch. Theol., 3, 369-378; G. Bickell, in Ausgewählte Schriften der Syrisahen Kircheneulter, p. 15 ('eine höchst seltsame und verkehrte Auslegung von 1 Kor. 15, 44').

corruption." 'The souls of the departed are alive and endowed with reason, laid up in Paradise for the Creator, while their bodies are stored up in the earth as a pledge to be restored one day.' The whole figure of death and sleep is brought out in the following: 'Just as in the eventide laborers rest, so do they rest for a time in death, until like sleepers waked from their

sleep in the tomb, they (shall) don glory."

Bickell, in his summary of St. Eph.'s doctrine (Sancti Ephraemi Suri Carmina Nisibena, Leipzig, 1866), says that St. Eph. teaches that the faithful departed are not dead but sleep, since they are slive and have the power of reason (cf. Rom. Ed. 3, 258). Yet the soul cannot yet go into paradise properly speaking, since nothing imperfect must enter there (3. 586-88). This state before the Resurrection is called 'sleep' in the technical sense; for until the Resurrection, together with their bodies, their souls are sunk in 'sleep' (cf. 3, 225 B). This place, or state (which of the two is not to be ascertained) is a sort of ante-room to Paradise. 'One road, my brethren, lies before us all: from childhood unto death, and from death unto the Resurrection; thence branch out two ways,-the one to the flames, the other to Paradise' (Carmina Nisib. LXXIII, II. 24-28). 'Sweet is sleep to the weary,-so is death to him who fasts and watches (i. e. the ascetie). Natural sleep slays not the sleeper, -nor has Sheol slain, nor does it so now. Sleep is sweet, and so is Sheol quiet . . . Sleep strives not to hold the sleeper, nor is Sheol greedy. Behold, sleep shows us how temporary is Sheol, for the morn awakes the sleeper, -and the Voice raises the dead' (XLIII, Il. 158-176). That Eph. taught distinetly a trichotomy in the regenerate man can be seen from such a passage as the following: 'How much more does that soul love its dwelling place, if it get on well with the body, and in agreement with it expel the evil indwelling demon, and invite the Holy Spirit to dwell with both' (XLVII, Il. 97-101). He teaches that 'a dead man in whom is hidden the secret life, lives on after death' (XLVII, II, 135-41). Over and over again St. Eph. compares death to sleep,-the Resurrection is being waked out of sleep (XLIX, Il. 170-189). This is the whole burden of LXV, where death is compared to sleep, which is like the foctus in the womb, the bud of a flower, the bird in the egg.

^{&#}x27;From the 'Necrosima,' Op. Omnia, Rom. Ed., 3, p. 225, D.

In other words St. Eph. seeks to teach that a real life is going on, hidden and secret, and only semi-conscious. 'How like is death to sleep, and the Resurrection to the morning! . . . He is a fool who sees that sleep passes at dawn, yet believes of death that it shall endure eternally' (LXX, II. 58-61, 66-69). 'Our habitation (i. e. m death) is like a dream' (beginning of LXXVII). 'The mouth of a dead man spake to the soul in Eden: whence, why, and how hast thou come hither!' (LXIX, Il. 74-77). Thus Eden must be conceived of rather as a state than a place, if we are to make the teaching of St. Eph, intelligible. Sheol must refer to the place and state of the departed. Death speaks: 'the bodies of the prophets and apostles glow: all the righteous are for lights to me in the darkness' (LXIII. Il. 81-84). Evidently the indwelling presence of the soul of the holy man transfigures the body from within. Of course, St. Ephraem believed, as did Aphraates, that salvation meant 'new life,' and that the work of Christ as Saviour effected the imparting of His Spirit whereby Life was communicated (cf. the 'Discourse on Our Lord,' in S. Ephraem Syri Hymni et Sermones, T. J. Lamy, Mechlin 1882, cols. 147-274).

In general St. Eph. believed much as did Aph. He, following the same authorities, believed in a trichotomy of man, of body, soul, and Spirit—the divine principle, given by God through Christ. After death the Spirit leaves the body, leaving in it the soul. The two carry on life with, however, the natural faculties wholly suspended. This state is technically the 'sleep,' and from it the voice of Christ will call the dead to judgment. It is a little less explicit and complete than Aphrates, but the same teaching underties the system of Eph., with which it is entirely consistent, and to which it acts as complement.

I am indebted to O. Braun's Moses bar Kepha and sein Buch von der Seele (Freiburg i. B., 1891) for the following quotation which he took from a Vatican MS. not yet published. The doubtful reference to St. Eph. gives the same teaching as is found above taken from the certainly genuine Cormina Nisibena.^b Braun quotes: Behold how (the dead) are encom-

Par criticion of St. Ephraem's works of F. C. Barkitt in the Jour. Theol. Stud., 2, 341 ff., and also Combridge Texts and Studies, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1-91.

passed in Sheol, and awaiting the great day, till He come to delight them, and bring hope to the hopeless' (p. 143). On the same page he quotes from a catechism ascribed to Isaac the Great (fl. 410), the teaching of which for our purposes may be summarized as follows: (a) both body and soul lose the power of thought and feeling after death; (b) while the body cannot even live without the soul, the soul, though it cannot see or hear without the body, is yet able to live (he illustrates this statement by the figure of the unborn child in its mother's womb); (c) the soul has no consciousness after death. Braun has doubts about the genuineness of this text (pp. 144-5), but there need be no presumption against this type of teaching, on the basis of internal evidence.

Babai (569-628—acc. to Duval, La littérature syriaque, p. 212) in his commentary on the 'Centuries' of Evagrius, fol. 13^b ff. (quoted in Braun, op. cit. p. 145) says: 'the soul cannot be active without the body, hence one must say that after death it is in a kind of sleep. The Holy Scriptures call death sleep; thus, too, the 'Seven Sleepera' of Ephesus. As light cannot burn without fuel, so the soul in Abraham's bosom possesses only its unchangeable faculties,—i. c., the life from God, and (its) memory. . . . Man is a bodily existence endowed with reason. The soul is not a 'complete nature' (yet) it cannot be said that after death it is as if it were not . . . 'We have seen that the mention of the soul in this state as something imperfect was made by St. Ephraem (cf. above, and Rom. Ed. 3, 566-88).

This same thought is of primary importance to Timothy I (779-823, date from Daval, op. cit.), who says: 'The soul is not a 'complete nature,' but (is) for the purpose of completing man's nature, like the body. . . Will and understanding are only virtually in the soul,—otherwise it would be like the angels, a 'perfected nature'; the other properties, that is, the four essential ones . . . are in abeyance, and the two which it possesses by reason of its union with the body are lost. Thus it is like a child in the womb.' Timothy gives as illustrations and authorities for his interpretation such passages in the Holy Scriptures as Is, 38, 18, Psalms 6, 6, 103, 33, 145, 4, Eccl. 9, 10, etc. 'The soul has no power of sensation, nor the use of memory, else it would suffer or rejoice, which experiences are not to

begin until the judgment, and which; besides, belong to the whole man. If the souls were to possess knowledge, then would the will be active—then what of the body! Under this same Timothy in 790 was held a council of the Syro-Nestorian Church, which condemned the errors of a certain 'Joseph the Seer, the Huzite,' who had been at the head of the school of Nisibis,' the third in line from the great Narses. The canons of that council are preserved in Arabic, and may be found in the Bibliotheca Orientalis, Vol. 3, pp. 100-1. They anathematize those who teach that Christ's Divinity could be seen by His Humanity, or by any other created things; 'they decreed that souls after the separation are destitute of sense until they reënter their bodies, and that none save Christ's humanity has ever attained perfection in this world.'

Much the same sort of teaching appears among the Nestorians; it is not necessary to quote in detail. Elias of Anbar (930) claims that most of the fathers hold it impossible that souls should have any power of sensation after death. In his trichotomy he teaches that the body goes to earth, the soul to the place of souls (is it a state, or a place?), where all are together till the Resurrection, without sense or power of distinguishing between good and evil (cf. Aph. above); and the arcoun, the power of life, returns to God (Braun, p. 146). Emmanuel bar Schahhare (Mallepana of Mosul, 980, cf. Duval, Lit. syr., pp. 280, 293) on the 'Hexameron' teaches that the 'souls of the righteous are in a place of repose as in a sleep, like the child in its mother's womb . . . ' (Braun, ibid.). Thus, also, George of Arbela (945-987, text in B.O. 3, pp. 518-540; on him ef. Duval, op. cit., pp. 172, 393). The witness to this as the predominant Nestorian view is given by Moses bar Kepha, cf. chapters 32 and 33 (Braun, op. cit., pp. 102, 109). It is thus demonstrable that among the Nestorians from the 9th century on this doctrine was current, if not dominant." Having suggested the direction from which emanated this trend of thinking in the Syriac Church, with Aph, and Ephraem Syrus as

Cf. Guidi, Testi orientali inciliti sopra i sette dormienti di Efeso, p. 50, note: 'Del resto la credenza, che le naime dopo la morte, restassero privo di senso fine alla risurrezione, era commune fra i Nestoriani almene dal IX secolo.

the first examples, it may not be without interest to investigate the sources of their own doctrine on the subject.

Before doing so it may be worth while to note that there are certain differences in the later Nestorian teaching, which may rest on the teaching of St. Ephraem. I said that it was not absolutely certain whether by Sheol, or Paradise, he meant a state or a place. Aph. undoubtedly means that the soul remains with the body in the grave, yet he personifies Death, who has a conflict with Jesus in which Death is worsted. So St. Eph. personified Death (in the Sermo de Domino Nostro, etc.), and perhaps localized Sheel as a place where are gathered the souls of those who sleep in death. Perhaps the simplest explanation to account for the facts would be that he spoke of the souls being laid up in store under the guardianship of Death (not always, by the way, a forbidding figure), while the bodies were laid away in store beneath the earth. If neither concept of 'state' nor 'place' was defined in his mind, something like what he meant by 'nature,' in a non-philosophic sense, would represent the condition of the departed. Aph. is more explicit. I think St. Ephraem, save where he waxes poetical, holds the same view. The later Nestorian writers sometimes held that the souls were garnered up in a 'storehouse,' while the bodies were in the earth (e. g., the 'Burial rite of the Convent of Mar Abraham and Mar Gabriel,' Cod. Syr. Vat. 61, fol. 364, in Braun, p. 147), and at other times that they were in the earth asleep in the bodies. Yet a new element has entered into their considerations, even if they did follow the same tradition as Aph., St. Ephraem, and the catechism purporting to be by Isaac the Great. As is apparent, Aristotelian philosophic conceptions (oftentimes misconceived) shaped their doctrine, as will appear below.

Aph. and St. Ephraem lived in the 4th century. Whence did they derive their doctrines as to the 'sleep of the soul't Are there any other examples of this teaching in the early Church outside the Syriac-speaking branch of it! There are; and the resemblances are the more striking if the differences as to time, and the utter disparity as to point of view and idiom of thought, be taken into consideration. Tatian, in his Oratio ad Graccos, maintains the immortality of body as well as soul (c. 25). For the human soul is not of itself immortal, but is

capable of becoming so. 'It dies and dissolves with the body, if it does not know the truth; but it will rise later at the last, to receive, together with its body, death in immortality as its punishment. On the other hand, if it have the knowledge of God, though it be dissolved for a time, it will not die. Of itself it is darkness; and there is no light in it. He quotes St. John 1. 5, and continues: 'It is not the soul which saves the Spirit, but the soul shall be saved by the Spirit. Light has received darkness, masumeh as the Light of God is the Logos, and the ignorant soul is darkness. This is the reason why the soul left to itself becomes lost in matter, and dies with the flesh. If, however, it have achieved an alliance (mérgias, not a 'union,' cf. Puech, Recherches sur le discours aux Grecs de Tation, pp. 70 ff.) with the Spirit, it will be in need of naught else. It rises whither the Spirit leads, for It dwells on high, while the origin of the soul is below. . . . While the Spirit was associated from the beginning with the soul. It abandons the soul if it be unwilling to follow. . . . God's Spirit is not in all, but descends upon such as deal justly, and becomes bound up with their soul . . . ' (c. 13). Thus Tatian is seen to teach an essential trichotomy, and goes on further to state that . . . 'the soul is of many parts, not simple. . . . It sees by means of the physical eyes of the body. . . . 'It cannot see without the body, nor can the body rise without the soul.' A man is only true to his own character as being the 'image and likeness of God' when he is removed farthest from the merely animal and physical side of his nature. The soul is the bond of the flesh, and the flesh the dwelling-place of the soul. . . . When (he) becomes like a temple, then God wills to dwell in him through the superior Spirit (cf. 1 Cor. 3, 16, 6, 19, 2 Cor. 6, 16, Eph. 2. 22). When the whole man is not thus coordinated (i. e., does not make himself fit for God's Spirit to reside in him), then he differs from the beast only by the power of speech (c. 15; with this cf. the quotations above from Aph.).

While Aph.'s notion of salvation is not that of Tatian, to whom it is the Revelation of Divine Light through the Logos, yet there are distinct and definite common elements. It will be remembered that Tatian, too, was a Syrian, and that he taught, after his expulsion from Rome, at the great centre of Syriac learning, Edessa, and that his 'Diatessaron' was the text which both Aph. and St. Ephraem used constantly. The presence of the Holy Spirit restores what was lost to man before the Incarnation of the Logos. By means of the Spirit man attains immortality. Tatian says: 'I was not, then I was. I die, but I shall be raised' (c. 6), and Aph. has almost the same sequence of ideas. 'If God can create from naught, why is it difficult to believe He can raise the dead?' (cf. 369, 21-23). The body of man has its own natural and immortal life, but would be only as a beast before God, if the man chose not to avail himself of the presence of the Divine Spirit brought to mankind by Christ. When the individual has done his best to prepare as well as he may to become the temple of God, God's Spirit comes, and departs only at the believer's death. Since the body and soul are complementary to each other, they must needs abide together, and from Tatian's words we are left to infer that they remain together in the grave. At the Resurrection the Holy Spirit returns to raise the bodies of the righteons, while the wicked are condemned to 'death in immortality.' It is merely a question of terms between Tatian and Aph. as to the immortality of body and soul, and their relation to the Spirit. The thought is largely the same. If soul and body could be condemned to a 'death in immortality' and are to be raised for judgment, such an act at the last day could be considered either a waking from sleep or a quickening of the dead. If it is the former, we have the teaching of Aph. and St. Eph. If the latter, then we merely change the terminology. The idea represented is the same in both cases. If death be not total destruction without hope of rehabilitation, which would utterly forbid any possible recall to a state of life, but rather a temporary dissolution of faculties and properties, then it is as simple to conceive of it under one name as the other. Such a mere suspension of those faculties and powers, even if called 'death," is almost identical with the notion of the 'sleep of the soul.

Irenaeus lived at almost the same time as Tatian, and wrote his great work 'Against Heresies' in the years 180.5. It was early translated into Syriae, and the type of teaching is the same in general outline as that found in Aph. St. Irenaeus

surely held to a trichotomy of the nature of regenerate man. 'Sunt tria ex quibus, quemadmodum ostendimus, perfectus' homo constat, carne, anima, et spiritu, et altero quidem salvante et figurante, qui est spiritus; alter quod unitur et formatur, quod est caro; id vero quod inter haec est duo, quod est anima, quae aliquando quidem subsequens spiritum, elevatur ab co; aliquando autem consentiens carni, decidit in terrenas concupiscientias. Quod ergo id quod salvat et format, et unitatem non habent, hi consequenter crunt et vocabuntur caro et sanguis; quippe qui non habent Spiritum Dei in se. Propter hoc autem et mortui tales dicti sunt a Deo: Sinite . . . mortuos sepelire mortnos suos, quoniam non habent Spiritum qui vivificet hominem' (Adv. Herreses, 5, 9, in Migne, P.G., 7, col. 1144 f.). A little before this he has said, 'Anima autem et spiritus para hominis esse possunt, homo autem nequaquam: perfectus autem homo, commistio et adunitio est animae assumentis Spiritum Patris, et admisto ei carni, quae est plasmata secundum imaginem Dei' (ibid., col. 1137). The souls of the dead are to await the day of Resurrection in a place set apart by God, and after receiving their bodies and 'perfects resurgentes, hoc est, corporaliter, quemadmodum et Dominus resurrexit,' they come to the Divine presence for judgment (ibid., col. 1209).

The essential feature of all of these quotations is that the soul sleeps, or is in some kind of comatose state, from the time of death till the day of Resurrection. The contrary view would be the attainment of a degree of happiness or unhappiness immediately after death by the soul alone, as if the body were not essentially part of the human nature. Aph. certainly held that the soul was with the body during this interim and that both lay dormant in the grave. St. Eph. is not so clear as to the relations of the body and the soul. Isaac, or rather the quotation above attributed to him, agrees in the main with Aph. The Nestorians, who held to the sleep of the soul practically

^{&#}x27;It is true, however, as Klebba has pointed out (Die Anthropologie des hl. Irenaus, Münster, 1894, pp. 100, 165), that there is no essential trichotomy of the natural man in St. Irenaus. It is only the 'perfectua home' who possesses the spirit and then only as 'eine Zierde.' (Cf. Schwane, Dogmengeschichte der cornicämischer Zoit, p. 440; A. Stückl, Geschichte der Philosophie der patristischen Zeit, p. 153.)

universally from 850 on, waver between the belief that the soul is with the body, and that it is stored up elsewhere, though much of the material is not precise enough in its outlines to be certain of. So far as the earlier examples go, we have found thus far that Aph. is much closer to the type of teaching found in Tatian in this detail, than the Nestorians are in that respect. St. Irenaeus, who as regards the composition of the 'regenerate' man is a trichotomist, is definite about the relation of body, soul. and Spirit and is in line with the type of Aphrastes' teaching expounded above, while he differs from Aphraates chiefly in the mention of a 'locum invisibilem, definitum . . . a Deo in medio umbrae mortis . . . ubi animae mortuorum erunt . . . et ibi usque ad resurrectionem commorabuntur . . . ' (loc. cit., col. 1209). Whether this be state or place, or both, it is not certain, and it cannot be shown that he does not mean the buried body to be the natural place of repose for the soul. However, this detail is not of great consequence,

About the year 247, Eusebius tells us (Hist, eccl. 6, 37), Origen successfully combatted at a synod the strange doctrine of 'the Arabians who said that at the present time the human soul dies and perishes with the body, but that at the time of the resurrection they will be renewed together.' McGiffert on this passage (Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers, 2d Series, vol. 1, 1904, p. 279) refers to two passages where similar doctrines are discussed. He feels that Redepenning (Origenes; Leben und Lehre, Bonn, 1841, vol. 2, on the Arabian Church, pp. 74-129) is wrong in claiming that Eusebius misunderstood the theology of the Arabian Church. Redepenning contends that the Christian community in Arabia was nourished on Jewish teaching (p. 75), that St. Paul travelled thither (Gal. 1, 17) and was reputed to have founded a Church at Bostra. early Arabian Christians were Semitic, and probably Jewish, converts. Continual resurgences of the fundamentally Jewish character of their faith disrupted the progress of their church life, and its contact with the Church at large (p. 105). He claims that the proper notion of the Arabian Christians' teaching is not found in Eusebius, who misrepresents it, and says that it is fundamentally Jewish. In Jewish teaching he finds the original teaching from which this is drawn, that the dead sleep in the earth, and maintain a kind of shadowy existence with the Father (p. 109). He refers to Tatian, and to the teaching of Irenaens (cf. above), commenting on which he says; 'the soul... is only the breath of earthly life which through being taken up into the Holy Spirit becomes capable of immortality. The earthly life is itself transitory and passes away so soon as the breath of life (i. e., the soul), by which God quickened the body, leaves it,—unless an external power, the Spirit of God, overcome the transitory' (pp. 106-7, cf. Iren. Adv. Haer. 5. 12; 4. 38). So Heracleon holds that the soul is mortal, and dies with the body in the grave, but is capable of being clothed with immortality. Origen definitely taught a trichotomy of body, soul, and spirit in man (on St. John, vol. 13, p. 275, ed. Migne).

It is not necessary to imagine that Eusebius gave a complete picture of the teaching of the Arabians. The distinction between the ἐπτοψυχίται and the θτητοψυχίται seems not to be based on any valid foundation. Both theories, if indeed there be two, are attempted explanations of the phenomena of death, and the relations of body and soul to each other. To say that the body and soul 'die' and then 'become immortal' is not clearing up what is meant by 'dying' and 'immortality'!

The later references (e. g. in St. Augustine, de Hoeres, No. 83, 'Arabici') do not add much. St. John Damascene (676-760) in liber de Hger. No. 90 (in Migne, P.G. 94, col. 759) says that the Thnetopsychists hold that the human soul is like that of the heasts, for it is destroyed with the body. Still later, Nicephorus Callistus of Constantinople (ob. 1356) repeats what is found in Eusebius, on whom he probably based this passage. His version is however slightly different: 'the human soul, together with the body, dies for the present (mpes ve maper), and with it undergoes decay; at the Resurrection to come it lives again with other bodies, and from then on (row Accros) it is maintained in immortality.' (Hist. cccl. 5. 23, in Migne, 145, col. 4.) The attempt to account for the state of the body and soul after death by calling it 'sleep,' i. e. suspended animation, is in some measure an explanation of the phenomena it tries to deal with. . . . Simply to say that 'death' involves 'death of body and soul,' etc., leaves still the question: what happens to the soul? and does not assist in the settlement of the problem. Thus we have seen that the doctrine of the 'sleep of the soul' is found in full and definite form in Aphrastes, a writer of the Persian Church, while St. Ephraem and perhaps Isaac the Great, west and east of him respectively, and all three nearly contemporaneous, taught much the same doctrine. In the later Nestorian Church, the doctrine of the sleep of the soul had a considerable number of adherents. Before the 4th century we find similar teaching in Tatian, and implication of a similar system in St. Irenaeus. In the 3d century much the same position, this time held by 'Arabians,' was attacked by Origen, and as a heresy it was known in more or less imperfect form, in writers of the 14th century Eastern Church.

I shall not attempt to construe a theory of interrelation between these various and scattered writers. It is sufficiently demonstrated that it was not peculiar or unique in the case of Aphraaces. It may be that another instance of similarity in teaching with the Asianic school, noticeable in other phases of his doctrine, may be found in this case. The Syriae Church undoubtedly had a great sympathy for such teaching. In fact it found peculiar favor with the Christian Semitic communities and writers. From this it may be inferred that there was some kinship in ideas between Eastern Christianity and Judaism, as Redepending has suggested. How much importance can be attached to this fact? What sort of origins and sources can the doctrine of the 'sleep of the dead' be said to have?

(a) To begin with the latest phase, which was presented earlier in this essay-the Nestorian writers from Babai on. In comparing them with Aphraates, a singular difference will be apparent. While Aphrantes certainly utilizes his theory of the trichotomy of human nature as an essential element in the presentation of his doctrine of the 'sleep of the soul,' the Nestorians base theirs on an entirely different psychology and philosophy. Their anthropology was based on a dichotomism. Aristotle began to be known among the Nestorian writers, and to be translated and spread widely in the 8th and 9th centuries. Before that time his philosophy had had many more or less loyal adherents among them, but these students of Aristotle had not always successfully translated Greek ideas and idioms, especially purely philosophical ones, into Syriac, For instance, Moses har Kepha (ob. 903), who wrote a treatise on the dialectics of Aristotle, even at this late date misunderstood the distinction between 'matter' and 'form.' Aristotle says: drayanion άρα την ψυχήν οδοίαν είναι ώς είδος σώματος φυσικού δυνάμει ζωήν έχοντος, ή δ'οδσία έντελέχεια τοιαίτου όρα σώματος έντελέχεια (De anima, II. 1. 412s, 6, Ritter and Preller's text, pp. 339). The orthixum is the actual being of a thing, as against bisance, potential being. In De anima 8. 3 the soul is called the οτελέχεια of the body, as also in II. 2. 414 14: οὐ τὸ σῶμά ἐστον bredigna works, ddd' aven gonaros rupos . . . for the soul is too Correct σώματος alria καὶ ἀρχή (ibid. 415). The soul as έντελέχεια of the body. is that by which it actually is, though it may be said to have had the assume of existing before. The word in Syriac for brekeyen is Lis apparent that the 'Book of the Soul,' for example, is full of misunderstood philosophical terms. Moses b. Kapha, who was a Jacobite, misconstrued the Nestorians about whom he was writing, while oftentimes they were nearer the mind of Aristotle than he himself was. As the soul is the cause of being of the body (De part. an. I. 5, 654b 14), it is also that by which it actually is. Furthermore, it is the 'form' of the body, in that it gives actual being to that which had only existed before potentially, as matter. The word beaut also 'perfection,' 'completion,' and in this sense it could truly be applied to the soul as making possible the life of the whole man, by animating his body. Either element then was 'incomplete,' and so, while the soul was really the more important, yet it could not come to enjoy eternity without the body with which it stood in so intimate a relationship. The Nestorian doctrine of the soul sleep, from the 7th century on, is built on the Aristotelianpsychology, unlike the earlier teaching of e. g. Aphrantes and St. Ephraem.

(b) In his comments on Aphrantes, Braun suggests that he must have been acquainted with contemporaneous rabbinic teaching as to the condition of the soul and body after death.* In much the same vein Redepenning thinks that the 'heresy of the Arabians,' which caused the dissension that Origen had to settle, was none other than a bit of Jewish tradition which the Church had taken over (op. cit. p. 109).

In the books between the Old and New Testaments in which are reflected the speculations of the days preceding rabbinic

^{*} Op. cit., p. 142.

Judaism and Christianity, sources may be found for this doctrine, which appears fully developed in later days. On Gen. 2 and 3 was based the whole general distinction between the immaterial and material principles in man. Man became a living soul (253) because God breathed into him the breath of life (Gen. 2. 7). The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha contain the root of much of the doctrine which was to be found later in the systems of Christianity and Judaism respectively. E. g., in Ecclus, 38, 23, Baruch 2, 17, Tobit 3, 6 and Judith, 10, 31 (=) () (was), the spirit is the divine breath of life as in Gen. 2, 7. In Baruch and Tobit the spirit and soul are different. While the spirit goes back to God, the soul continues to subsist in Sheol. According to Ethiopic Enoch, all the 'immaterial personality' descends to Sheol, and its life there is far from being unconseious (according to R. H. Charles, Critical History of the Doctrine of a Future Life . . . London, 1899, chap. 5). The primitive psychology was trichotomistic, according to Charles, but in the 3d-2d cent. B. C. a change set in toward the type of dichotomism which was to prevail in the first Christian writing. In 2 Mac. 7. 22-27 there is a syncretism of two types of psychology; while the departed are conscious (6. 26), yet the spirit is the life-giving principle of which the living soul is the product, as in Gen. 2-3, and these souls are given back to God at death (cf. Charles, op. cit. p. 232). According to the trichotomistic principle, the soul is the supreme function of the quickened body and the spirit 'the impersonal basis of life, returning to God after death' (cf. Ecclus, 12, 2 and op. cit. p. 44). The state of the dead was spoken of as a condition of sleep, 'terra reddet qui in ea dormiunt, et pulvis qui in eo silentio habitant' (2 Esd. 7, 32, cf. also, Apoc. Bar. 50, 2).

The early distinction between soul and spirit passed completely in later Judaism. Its psychology was, as Bousset says, ungehener einfach,' distinguishing only between the external and internal in man, between soul and body. According to the older views, at the best a kind of shadowy existence in the grave or Sheol was predicated of the departed. This could not refer to the Spirit of God which returned to Him after death, ceasing to exist in that particular individual. Thus soul and body, in the older view, were intimately connected (cf. W. Bousset, Religion des Judentums im nt. Zeitalter, 2d Ed., Berlin, 1906,

pp. 459-60). While there is scarcely any distinct psychology in late Judaism, yet certain elements persisted in the popular religion, which preserved earlier views, or embodied popular speculations.

In the development of the notion of personal immortality, in connection with the teaching about the resurrection of the dead, the inference could hardly be avoided, that if their bodies were one day to rise, the dead themselves must be in a kind of coma or sleep. The intimate connection between death and sleep is suggested in a saving reported in Berachoth 57b that 'sleep is a sixtieth part of death.' Rabbi Isase said: 'A worm is as painful to the flesh of a dead man, as a needle in that of the living' (Ber. 18s, Sab. 13b). (Then there follows the delightful story of the two ghosts who conversed on the eve of משנה and were overheard by the TON who profited by the information gained from overhearing them.) That the dead were spoken of as 'sleeping' is shown in the story of R. Meir's interview with Cleopatra, when she asked about the clothing of the dead on the day of resurrection. The dead are called 'DU (Ber. (bid.). That the dead are to rise is shown by references to Deut. 32, 39, 33, 6, that they talk in the grave by ibid. 34, 4, 5 (cf. Berach, 18b, Pesachim 68a, and the whole list of proofs in Sanhed, 91, 92, etc.). Assignment of punishment is, according to a story reported in Sanh. 91b where Rabbi talks with Antoninus, to be inflicted upon the whole man, when body and soul have been united, as otherwise each could blame the other, like the blind and lame men who were assigned the task of watching an orehard. During their master's absence the blind man bore the lame one to the trees, whose fruits they both enjoyed, and yet, when accused, each could point to his own lack of ability to steal the fruit alone! By inference, the body and soul are neither to be blamed or praised till united at the Resurrection.

The Resurrection according to the dominant Jewish view is for the righteous only (cf. Taanith 2n, 7a). The idea of the Resurrection of the body need not arouse surprise. 'If those who had not yet lived have come into being, how much more can they rise again who already exist?' (words of R. Gebiha b. Pesisa in Sanh. 91a, with which argument cf. Aph. 369, 21-23). 'If vessels (of blown glass) made by the breath of man can be restored if once broken, how much more then a human being.

who is created through the breath of the Holy One?' (Sanh. 91a)—where the double meaning of man as 'breath' and 'spirit' is vital to the argument. The comparison of the grave to the womb appears in Sanh. 92b; as the womb receives and gives back, so does the grave, etc.

(c) One of the first who wrote on Aph. (Nöldeke, in GGA 1869, p. 1524) suggested that his doctrine of the sleep of the soul was true to primitive Pauline thought. As was indicated above in his quotation of the text I Cor. 15. 44, Aph. does not use the words: 'It is sown' but, 'It is buried.' The passage alluded to above (Aph. 369, 21-23) shows clearly that Aph. must have known the Pesh, text of this verse, but for some reasons preferred to use the other. St. Paul deduces the necessity for a twofold existence of man, natural or 'psychic,' and heavenly or 'pneumatic,' from a fresh interpretation of Genesis 2. 7. It is possible that he may have had the comparison of the seed to the plant alluded to above (Sanh, 90b, also in Ber. Rab. 95) in mind in writing I Cor. 15. (Thus H. St. John Thackeray, The Relation of St. Poul to Contemporary Jewish Thought, 1906, p. 112.) He certainly used conceptions and teaching already at hand in the Apoc, and Pseudepigrapha; e, g., the trumpet of 1 Cor. 15, 52 and 2 Esd, 6, 23, Orac, Sibyl, 4, 173-4, and cf. Weber, Jüd.-Theol., paragraph 369; and 'Those who are asleep' in 1 Thes. 4, 13, 15 and 2 Esd. 7, 32. Beyschlag in his Neutest, Theol. (2, 257) commenting on 1 Thes. 4, 14 considers St. Paul to have thought that the state of the dead was that of 'Schläfer im Schoose der Erde.' He did not teach a complete and utter death, because he used for 'to be dead' the word κοιμάσθαι. 'In this condition man's powers are latent, but it is not to last long,' etc. (cf. E. Teichmann, Die Paulinischen Vorstellungen von Auferstehung und Gericht . . ., p. 27, und note 2). St. Paul for the Resurrection uses the word syapo, to wake (from sleep), in preference to the words amorina and respire (thirty-five occurrences of the former to ten of the latter).

The Pauline trichotomy is unique in the New Testament (cf. Charles, op. cit., pp. 408-415) and is necessary to the consistency of St. Paul's whole tenor of thought. Since there are two Adams and two Creations, a natural and a spiritual man, there are two immaterial principles, soul and spirit. He who is purely natural possesses a soul, but when accorded the Spirit

of God, he then has both soul and body, and also the Spirit. Now the Spirit leaves to return to God at death, but not thus the soul. St. Paul nowhere makes a distinct statement, but the inference made by Aph. is most just. The soul is buried with the body, for if the body is to rise again, and the two are inseparably connected, they must needs remain together in the grave.

There is, then, in the doctrine of the 'sleep of the soul' in the early Syriac Church a complex of three elements, clearly discernible. The Nestorians were doubtless influenced most largely by (a) Aristotelian philosophy, which they did not entirely grasp aright. (b) Earlier teaching, which was trichotomistic (while the Nestorians were, in the main, dichotomists), was indebted to certain Jewish conceptions, perhaps of the popular religion of the day, and especially (c) (conspicuously so in the case of Aph.) to a thorough-going allegiance to the Panline teaching.

INDO-IRANICA

EDWIN W. FAY UNIVERSITY OF TRIAS

1. Avestan ačšasa-, petens.

The Avestan boot ass means to seek; to (seek to) hear (Bartholomae, Alr. Which., p. 29, 4); to attack, waylay, seize (ib. b); to obtain, acquire (ib. 6). The long word assac is from a primate aisosko-(Av. s from sk), and the selfsame primate lies behind the Latin denominative verb accusat, begs (as a mendicant). Note s from sk also in the compound vanbuyassa, armythief, waylayer. For further definitions of the root als or als, see CQ 9, 110.

2. Excursus on transros, seized, caught (taken in the act).

For Herodotean imagoros (wrongly accented in the books, in response to a wrong derivation, imagoros) a typical example is imagoros in interest apodición in the was taken betraying. I. e. caught in the act of betraying. In Apollonius Rhodius Arg. 4. 366 we must read in dictor (in as in in la loa, equally), ex improviso.

3. Sanskrit pada-vî (foot-) way.

With Perrson (Beitraege, p. 512) I identify vi in this compound with Lat. via. In the earlier masculine padavis, guide, the posterius meant goer, while pada- seems almost prepositional = with, cf. viò in the Acolic poets, and see on Skr. pad-rathas, footman (with the chariot) in CQ 8. 52, n. In vi, is a weak grade of the ii of the root. Lat. via (and this remark is applicable to many Greek and Latin feminines in ia) is a syncretic form, combining the feminine ending in i with the feminine in ā; in this case the root noun wi with a feminine suffixal ā attached to the weakest form of the root, i. e. w-i. Perrson is in error in writing the root as wei (but see § 10).

4. Indo-Iranian ā-vis, obvious.

This is a compound of ā (i. e. the proethnic preverb ē: ō for which English here or there is too heavy a rendering; German dar suits better) plus the adverb vis, i. e. vi extended by the s

which seems to be joined quite ad libitum with prepositional adverbs. The Avesta preserves vis and we have it in the compound vis-patha, quasi deviously, variously. As will appear later vi comes right close in meaning to the German adverb weg.

5. Indo-Iranian vi, vi, asunder, apart; weg (cf. Ital. via).

I explain the adverb vi as a locative to a root nonn wi(i), with the verbal sense of to wind, whence to wend, wander. For this will see Walde's Lexicon s. v. vico (from a secondary root $wy \cdot i$). As Eng. wends, wanders derive from the root of to wind, we may admit a like development of sense in the root wi(i). Note that in English went, a past tense of to wend, serves as preterit to the verb to go, and has lost all trace of connection with to wind.

6. Excursus on (Sanskrit) doublet roots in -an/-a(y).

In JAOS 44. 341 I made, in part after Macdonnell, a list of these roots, viz. khā(y):khan, jā(y):jan, sā:san, tā(y):tan. To these may be added the Indo-European pair wā: wen, to wound (see Fick, 1* p. 542 and p. 547, Boisacq, s. v. pāovo).

In that list I concluded dra; draw and gat; gam. I now note that the Sanskrit trio del drom dru, to run, justifies the trio ga gam gu, to go. I um exploiting no theory of origins. I am quite willing to believe that the -am and of roots had an entirely nurelated origin, though later they came, must have come, together in speech consciousness in response to a classification as inevitable as it was an willed. To state this extremely, it is altogether possible that in their prototypes pairs, goes (root ewam), and \$-pq, went (root owa), fell into a systematic association only as Latin feet and talif or as Fing, goes and went so fall. But after they once fell into this association they served as a source for analogies, and the analogy groups then formed, without the consciousness, or at least without the conscious will, of the speakers, a morphological system. Assordingly, when we find in Sanakrit a posterius gu, going, we may set it down at first as due to the analogy of Skr. drs. running; or we may place it at once, per saltum, in a morphological system with på gam; ef. also yes, faring: yd, to go. There is neither rhyme nor reason in refusing all gam are if you admit dra dram dra, always, of course, upon evidence. Thus we escape the awkwardness of having to deal with Skr. -goo., in natur-gras, as cow, instead of as going or gang, and we are left free to define spirites by fore-going and not by fore-ball (Ricomfield, AJP 17,424, 29.80; see the literature in Boisseq). The nominative apre-flux will have originated after the vocative in to (Sanskrit e). Thus the vocative was a common term in Greek in the e and in the or stame. We own By instead of the correct ye to Homeric spirita.

Here I add $w\bar{v}(i)$, to wind (go): wen-d, to wind, go. We may here note the special sense of to wither in Lat. viescit, correlative to Slavic ven-d to wither (see Miklosich, p. 380); cf. Eng. gone off = deteriorated, etc.

7. Further on Indo-Iranian avis, obvious.

The Slavic sent of O.Bulg, ave, manifeste (see Berneker Slav. Etym. Wbch. p. 34), reveals that the combination in a-nis was Indo-European. Slavie -vê differs from Av. -vi(\$) as Lat. pras differs from pri. In Greek, as I have pointed out before (see AJP 33, 391), we have a double of Skr. du's in the compound dr-mori, not on the road standing, not obvious, unexpected. Here belongs Skr. avistya- (ty from thy, see AJP 34, 15, n.), obvious, visible. In the Avesta avis-ya=coming on the road, whence obvious, visible. The Indo-European trio wai wi wo (cf. Lat. prac pri pro) exhibits its last member in Gathic Avestan va-daya, to put away, push away, thrust away, cf. & Him. Where Indo-Iranian vi connotes asunder, entzwei, there has been some influence from Indo-European dwis, in-two, apart. To put it otherwise, the word dwis in certain combinations lost its d- by dissimilation. The root widh of Skr. vidhyáti and Lat. di-vido, e. g., will have come by dissimilation from original dwi-dh . In passing I would explain Skr. vyadh (widh) as containing in vya- a correlate of ba, through. Given the doublet dwi(s)/wi(s), we may also

^{&#}x27;The unextended root wen is preserved in Germ. wohnen, to dwell, i. e. to wander in a nomadic preserve; af. Eng. dwells, from O.Eng. dwellas, to go astray, err, tarry, dwell. Skr. vénam. forest, wood (wood before trees, trees was an interpretation of wood) applied at first to the ranges in which the nomads dwelt, or over which their cattle wandered.

^{*}Despite the convenience of recognizing procthule we, weg, in Latin etymology, the words in which we have this vi seem to be best explained otherwise. It is not open to question, in my opinion, that Lat. vehecutens is a compound with imperative prims whee, cf. Avestan vaco-ranswyse, (carrying away i. =) robbing the army-staff. Thus vehecutes (sec.) = carrying away the mind (first of anger etc., for the usage in Plantus see AJP 24.71). The contracted form vi-mens, supported by the influence of dimens and amens, became the pattern for vi-cors, vi-samus etc., and the irradiation even went so far that we have vi-grandis as a negative of grandis. Lat. [s] resulter I cannot bring myself to separate from Skr. agai-redite-, ignicomesus (see TAPA 44.110). In vi(r)-labrum, water-basin (see AJP 35.153) the prims = Skr. var.

expect to find other proethnic forms, or their continuants, with w-, e. g. vi- in Lat. viginti.

S. Excursus on alσ-θάνεται, perceives; Lat. audit, hears.

In the whole range of 'orthodox' Indo-European etymology there is nothing more pretentions than the equation of alo- with Skr. ā-vis. For the treatment of ale as a dissyllable there is no particle of evidence. Of irmores I have already disposed (§ 2), and die, I hear, is a plain denominative from a stem Aust-; ear, in Lat, queis. The correct derivation of alcohirerar is from the root ais, to take (see § 1), as I have before pointed out in CQ 9. 110. Eng. takes (I take it), apprehends, assumes, and Lat. capio, accipio, percipio, all show how the sense to perceive originates from to take. See also § 1 on Av. acs, with the sense of to (seek to) hear. If the current derivation of alo-bávera is a caprice, the derivation of Lat. audio from awisdio is a phantasm. With aus-cultat (ear-lends or leans) before us, anything but ausdit is unthinkable. Of course the elaborately fanciful primate awisdio has been invented to turn a special phonetic trick for oboedio, but it involves far less of unsupported assumption to conclude that here posttonic au on its way to u or, in vulgar circles, on its way to 5, was subject to reenforced rounding from ob modified by anticipatory palatalization from di,-causes resulting in something other than *obudio. But the analysis o-boedit; which means cognation with zeroda (zeitherbai), is always possible, cf. O.Lat. con-foedusti, and note that foedus, ugly, has held on to oe. Festus also gives us amecus (i. e. amoscus) for amicus. and we have os in the second syllable of amoenus, lovely,

9. Semantic excursus; the meaning before the last.

In the classical tongues there is a wide range of turns such as to realk with legs, to see with eyes, to talk with the mouth (ore loqui). These are relies of the time when to walk and to see and to speak were not the original senses of their verbs, and when ore loqui e. g. meant something like to crack (Scottice usurpatum) with the mouth; when to see may have meant some such thing as to sean. The gradual ellipsis of the names of the organs participant, whereby the connotation was raised to the rank of definition, may be aptly illustrated by the comparison of Plautine oculis rationem capio with Terentian rationem capio

(see the great Thesaurus, iii. 321. 12); cf. also in Lucretius, carmina auribus accipere (4. 982) with voces accipio (4. 611). With oculis omitted capio was on the way to becoming a verb of perception.

10. Sanskrit (vayyd) vayi-a-, attendant: d-iras, wooer.

This Sanskrit word, not treated by Uhlenbeck, is from a locative vay-i, extended by suffixal o. Here we come back (see § 5) to the root we(i) (& certain in Lat, venor). I am not disposed to deny à outrance the grade wer; and those who refuse the gradation & : & will perhaps admit that war, by assimilation to wer, was liable to appear as wat. This is what we do accept in Greek for Berós. Or the grade wer may have come by way of assimilation to the synonym root ei. Or [s] w-m may be a compound root (on sw- see TAPA 44. 108 sq.). The additional sense of after (for, towards) in Skr. véti, goes after (pursues, hunts, follows), and its cognates, will have come from the accusative regimen. So in the Rig Veda the participle of été (goes) means, with the accusative, seeking (begging, etc., of. lefrys, suppliant; leveral, comes to). By acknowledging interplay of the roots wit and Er we may account for the al (from st) of the denominative aire. demands.

11. Joining an issue; Avestan vi-nuoiti.

Av. vi-naoiti (only with ava and frā) means necat (Eng. slays, Germ. schlägt). We might derive from the root wā (§ 6) or, as we must then write it, wā(1), to wound, injure (nocere). This root will hardly be different from Lat. vae; ef. Goth. wai-dôdja, malefactor (homo nocens). I take the Latin outery vae to be (a continuant of) the 'root,' not a derivative from it. On the other hand, and this seems to me far more likely, vi-may be the preverb (=weg) and nao the verbal element, cognate with nu-d in Skr. nudáti, thrusts (see on this 'root' Walde, s. v. nuo). In its meanings nudáti combined with vi comes quite close to vi-naoiti, viz. to wound; to strike (Germ. schlagen) the lute. Given Skr. nudáti, then Av. vinaoiti, slays: Goth. naus, slayer: O.Bulg. nawi, mortuus (cf. Goth. b-nauan, confricare) leave no room to challenge a root xv with the general sense of the root rv (cf. Walde, s. vv. tundo, stuprum).

THE DEPENDENCE OF THE TALMUDIC PRINCIPLE OF ASMAKHTA ON BABYLONIAN LAW

H. S. LINFOLD DROPGIE COLLEGE

THE LAW which governed and regulated the life of the Jew in former days is contained in two distinct fiteratures: Biblical literature, especially the five books of Moses, and Talmudie literature. In the latter we must distinguish between an elder stratum and a younger one. The chief work containing the former is known as the Mishnah, a book compiled about 219 A. p.: the chief work containing the latter is known as the Babylonian Gemara, which is a sort of a running commentary to the older stratum of law, especially the Mishnah. The most striking difference between these two literatures as law is the following. The immediate and sole authority for the law in the Bible is God. The Bible rends, as we all know: 'And God spoke to Moses saying, speak to the children of Israel saying,' etc. On the other hand, the Talmudic legal literature resembles our own Anglo-American law: the immediate authority for a certain law is the opinion of this or that judge or jurist. It reads as follows: If one does so and so, he should do this, in the opinion of Rabbi A; but Rahbi B says he should do that; and sometimes there follows the opinion of Rabbis C and D. These were not considered as the ultimate authority for the laws. As in the Bible, so in the Talmudic literature, God is looked upon as the ultimate and sole authority. Yet, for various reasons, the Jews could not regard the law contained in both literatures as one and the same. Thus, the problem arose, what is the relation of the one to the other? After a long struggle, the Mishnah propounded the following theory: Moses on Mount Sinai received two bodies of law: the Law and a sort of a running commentary to it. He was commanded to write down the former, while the latter was to be taught orally. The Law written down is the one we have in the five books of Moses; the other which was intended to be taught orally is the one now embodied in the Talmudic literature. Thus there were given to the Jews a written law and an oral

law, both intrinsically related to each other, both contemporaneous with each other, and both possessing the same divine authority. This oral law, commonly known as Rabbinic law or as Talmudic law, we shall designate as Jewish Law. The older stratum in this we shall refer to as Tannaitic Law, because the jurists cited are known as Tannaim; the latter we shall call Amoraic Law because the jurists cited are known as Amoraim.³

One of the outstanding features of Jewish commercial law is the principle known as Asmakhta. Its legality was a bone of contention among the Jewish jurists for a long time. And finally when it was decided in favor of that principle, the doctors could not agree as to its application and exposition. Writes one of the famous Rabbis of the Medieval period: 'The scholars of former and later generations have fought concerning the principle of Asmakhta—what is the so-called Asmakhta and what does it depend upon; and I have not seen one that agreed with his colleague' (Solomon ibn Adrat, Response, vol. 1, Resp. 933).

The following exposition has the merit of, at least, being put forth by the latest Jewish Code.² An obligation is valid only in the case when there could be no question raised as to its bona fide nature on the part of its maker. Now there are three kinds of obligations in which the question could be raised. They are called Asmakhta obligations.

First, there is the kind of obligation the execution of which depends from the very first upon the good-will of persons other than the maker. For instance:

*Cf. Moses Isserel's Hosh, Mish, 207, 13. We do not mean to subscribe to this presentation. It is hardly possible to arrange all the cases of Asmakhta under three headings (cf. Baba Mes. 67a).

In the course of studies that I have made in Jewish commercial law, I have come to the conclusion that three elements entered into its creation; the economic life of the railey of the Euphrates and the business customs of the people of that country—the Rabylonian element; Biblical laws and the Prophetic spirit of the Bible—the Palestinian element; and the formulation of the new law as if it were an outgrowth of Biblical law—the element of Judaisation. We meet with cases, for instance the institution of inheritance, which show no trace of Babylonian influence. But, as a whole, Jewish commercial law is the product of a harmonious and thorough going blending of those three elements, though the proportions of the elements vary in the different groups of laws. The results of the present paper fall in line with this conception of the nature and rise of the law embedded in the Tulmadic literature, though they do not necessarily presuppose it.

A commission merchant received money from his dominus to buy wine, the delivery of which was to be made at a later date when wine would be higher in price. The time for delivery arrived but the commission man did not deliver the wine. Instead, he brought back the money received from his dominus. The latter refused to accept the money; he dominus his wine or a sum of money sufficient to buy the same quantity at the present market price. Jewish law instructs the courts to render a judgment in favor of the commission man. (Bab. Babe Mes. 73b.)

The Jewish jurists give the following legal explanation:—At the time of the promise, the commission merchant could not be absolutely certain that he would be in a position to fulfil it, since the execution depended upon the consent of others: other people had to agree to sell him that sort of wine. The obligation was thus dependent upon conditions over which the promisor had no absolute control. Such an obligation is an Asmakhta and hence void (ibid).

Secondly, there is the kind of an obligation the execution of which is indeed in the hands of the maker, but which contains an element of exaggeration. For instance:

A man leases a field to till, and makes the following stipulation: 'Should I not till it, I hereby agree to pay you the exorbitant sum of \$1,000.' He did not till the field, and he was willing to pay the owner of the field the actual loss that he made him incur, but he refused to pay the \$1,000. Jewish law instructs the judges to return a verdict in favor of the lessee. (Bab. Bobo Mes. 194b, Misnah ibid. 9, 3, and Caro Code 207. 13.)

For, the obligation from the very beginning was not bona fide.

Thirdly, there is the kind of obligation, the execution of which is neither in the power of the maker nor in the power of others; it is a case of chance. For instance:

A says to B, 'I make a bet that so and so will turn out. If I lose, I shall pay you a certain sum of money.'

In the case before us, it would seem that the bona fide nature of the obligation could certainly be attacked. Contrary to all our expectations, Jewish Law maintains that such an obligation is valid. This is not an Asmakhta-obligation (cf. Bab. Sanhed. 24b and Tur Hosh. Mich. 207. 7, Caro Code 207. 13).

Jewish Law claims no Biblical basis for it. Was there any certain tradition for this far-reaching legal principle? Let me cite further: If one paid off a portion of his debt, the creditor deposited his bill and the debtor said to the depository, 'If I shall not have given you the rest of my debt between now and a certain day, return the bill to the creditor.' The day set arrived, and the debtor had not paid. R. Jose says the depository should give the bill of debt to the creditor, but R. Judah says he should not give it to him. (Mishnah, Bab. Bat. 10, 5.)

The Mishnah offers no hint as to the basis underlying the difference of opinion between these two authorities. If they knew of the principle, we must say that R. Jose does not recognize it, while his colleague does. This is really the opinion of the Amoraim (Bab. Baba. Bat. 168a). But we must notice the following:

He who pledged a house or a field and said to the pledgee, 'If I shall not have given payment to you between now and a certain day, I have nothing in your hands.' The set date arrived and the maker did not carry out his obligation. His stipulation must be carried out—these are the words of R. Jose. Said R. Judah, 'How can the pledgee acquire title to something that is not his?' 'Surely he must return the pledge.' (Tosephta Eabo Mes. 1. 17.)

This is also a clear case of Asmakhta as expounded by the Amoraim. But did those Tannaim know of this principle! R. Judah says that in our case there is nothing that could transfer the object from the possession of one to that of another. What does this mean! Does the jurist deny in such a case the very existence of a state of contingent ownership, as does the principle of Asmakhta! Or does he marely say that the mere fact of the pledger's failure to pay the debt does not convert the state of contingent ownership in which the pledge finds itself, into a state of ownership vested in the pledge? Tannaitic Law goes on to say that all authorities agree that the following obligation is valid:

Two people laid claim to a house or a field and one said to the other, 'If I do not come with my substantiating evidence before a certain day, I agree to waive my claim.' The day set arrived but he did not present his evidence, surely he lost his claim. (Tosephta Bab. Mss. 1. 17b).

So if we say that Tannaitie Law knew of the principle of Asmakhta we must conclude that all agreed that such a case is

[&]quot;Read, in the Tosephta 'R. Judah' instead of 'R. Jose." Evidently a copyist misread 'RJ."

⁹ JAOS 40

not one of Asmakhta. Now, Amoraic law deals with exactly such a case, and there the Amoraim regarded it as a clear case of Asmakhta. We are not interested here in the exposition of these Tannaitic laws. Do the Tannaitic sources know of the principle of Asmakhta or not! This is the question that concerns us here. Later Amoraic teachers assure us that they did. But that is not the point; do we have internal evidence that Tannaitie law knows of the principle of Asmakhta? It is certain that the Tannaim do not speak of this principle as such. More than that, even the early Ameraim like Rabh, Samuel, R. Johanan, etc., do not mention the principle of Asmakhta, although we find sometimes that the late Amoraim speak of the principle 'in the name of' certain early Amoraim." And even the later Amoraim could not agree as to the legality of the principle. One famous judge (R. Nahman) lived long enough to change his mind on that subject. Finally, we may notice that even the late compilers of the Talmud did not agree as to the extent of the legality of the principle. We have at least three 'decisions' rendered by them concerning it:

The law is in accordance with R. Jose's statement that an Assaukhta obligation is valid (Bab. Baba Bat. 168a). The law is that an Assaukhta obligation is valid provided the failure to carry out the obligation was not due to massoidable causes and provided further that the obligation was sanctioned by the 'quien suder' and in the presence of a recognized court (Bab. Ned. 27b). The law is not in accordance with R. Jose's statement; but under all circumstances an Asmakhta obligation is void (Bab. Baba Bat. 168a).

It is perfectly clear that there did not exist a tradition concerning this principle. And, thus, we come to the conclusion that the principle had its origin neither in the Bible nor in tradition. This will become even clearer when we cite two or three judicial decisions which involved or should have involved the principle of Asmakhta.

^{*}The Jerusalmi states that all agree that when a man hires his son out to learn a trade, all Asmakhta obligations are valid; otherwise, continues the Jerusalmi naively, people will be unable to make a living (Jer. Ott. 5: 8). Cf. also Maim., Mekkirah, 11. 4, and commentaries.

⁵R. Huna (in Bab. Ned. 27a-b) does not mention the principle. Jer. mentions R. Abahu (Bab. Bat. 10. 5) and the Bab. mentions later teachers who spake of the principle 'in the name of' Rab and R. Johanan, (Bodo Bot. 168a, Ned. 27b).

One deposited his papers with the court and said, 'If I do not come with additional evidence within 30 days, I agree that the papers deposited about be considered void.' He met with an accident and did not come. Said R. Huna, the papers deposited are void. . . But, continues the Talmud, is not this a case of an Asmakhta?—and an Asmakhta obligation is not hinding. Here it is different; the papers were deposited, and whenever the object of litigation is deposited, there can be no question of Asmakhta. Did we not learn as follows: 'He who paid a portion of his debt and the creditor deposited the hill of debt,' etc. And R. Nahman said the law is not in accordance with R. Jose's statement in which he does not recognize the principle of Asmakhta. Here it is different, since he said he agreed that his papers should be considered void. But, the Talmud continues, the law is that an Asmakhta obligation is valid provided. . . (Bab. Ned. 27a-b.)

R. Kahana claimed money from Rab Bar Sheba. Said the latter, 'If I do not pay you within a certain time, collect from this wine before thee.' R. Papa was of the opinion that an Asmakhta chligation is void only in the case of land, since, as a rule, it is not sold; but in the case of wine, since there is always a market for it, it is like rendy cash. Said B. Huna, the son of R. Jossa, to R. Papa, 'Thus it was said in the name of Rabha, "any obligation involving an "if" is not valid." ' (Bab. Bab. Mes. 66b.)"

This is the earliest statement with reference to the applicability of the principle of Asmakhta. The famous late jurist Rabha is said to be its author.

In view of the fact that this legal principle is not based on the Bible or tradition, and in view of the fact that, as far as internal evidence is concerned, it is a product of Jewish jurists who lived in Babylonia, a product of Babylonian Jewry, it is natural that we should inquire what was the Babylonian law and business custom with regard to it.

There can be no doubt that the Babylonians knew nothing of an invalidating principle of Asmakhta. But first of all, we

^{*} For further instructive examples, cf. Bab. Baba Mes. 104b, 109b, and 73b-74a.

^{&#}x27;Thus from the Old Babylonian law: 'He who breaks the agreement, in as much as he has sworn, should pay a certain sum and in addition he will have his head covered with hot asphalt' (of. Homes. George, 3, p. 223). And from the Assyrian period: 'He who breaks the agreement should place in the lap of Ninlil 10 minas of silver and 10 minas of gold' [an enormous sum] (John, Deeds and Doc., 161). From the Neo-Babylonian period: 'One rents a house at a rental of five shekels per annum. Both parties agree that he who breaks the agreement should pay the other party 10 shekels' (Camb. 97, see also Dar. 25, and 378, Nbk. 103, Dar. 434, and Artax in BE, vol. 9 by Clay).

must notice that the Babylonians had their own conception of obligations involving a fine in ease of default. 'It seems,' writes Prof. Joseph Kohler, 'that a debtor had the right to pay the fine in place of the fulfilment of the obligation; the agreement to pay a fine was conceived as an alternative obligation' (Aus Babyl. Rechtsl. 1, § 6). Now this is just the Jewish view. The principle of Asmakhta, in part, simply says this: An agreement to pay a fine in ease of default is void, unless it is conceived, as it was by the Babylonians, as an alternative obligation.

Then again we must bear in mind that an agreement involving a forfeiture clause was sometimes drawn up as follows:

If on the 29th of Nissan, Marduk-naair-aplu shall not give 3 minus to Bel-ibni, Bel-la-sulum and Lu-balat then belong to Bel-Ibni the three minus as the complete purchase price (Dar. 319. 2, cf. also 309 and Kohler's note, op. cst. 3, p. 33).

This simply means that at the time the loan is made the creditor says to the debtor, 'You will either pay your debt at the date stated, or this money that I am now giving you is purchase price for the object which you are now handing over to me as a pledge.' This is just what Jewish law requires. The principle of Asmakhta says that a debtor can forfeit his pledge only if the agreement is made out in a way similar to the above mentioned Babylonian contract (מני מעכשיו).

We are now in a position to approach the problem before us." In as much as the Jewish business men followed the common law of the land in which they lived, they had no principle of Asmakhta. But in the case of an obligation involving a fine in default, they had a peculiar notion; and in the case of a transaction with a forfeiture clause, the contracts were at times drawn up according to a certain fixed form. The causes underlying that form do not concern us here. What does concern us is that there existed such facts. Some Jewish jurists then insisted upon that form, claiming that otherwise the obligation would not be binding; while others did not insist upon

No attempt is unde here to give a detailed history of the principle of Assaukhta. We are here interested in showing its dependence on Babylonian business and legal customs.

[&]quot;Cf. Kohler's observation quoted above.

it. Such a situation was however intolerable to the Jewish jurists; they wanted every practice to be fixed and provided with a legal basis. The early jurists knew nothing of a principle of Asmakhta. Seemingly, they did not progress far in their expositions of the existent cases (cf. Tosephta quoted above, לכוה יקנה הלו). As time went on, the jurists were more and more inclined to favor the existent practices of the land mentioned above. Those, on their surface, involved the question of the state of mind of the maker of the obligation. This then formed the starting point for discussion in the schools. In the course of time, there was evolved a full-fledged theory which covered the existing cases and similar ones. The doctors in the Babylonian Law Schools then coined for it the technical term of Asmakhta, a word unknown not only to Tannaitic Law but also foreign to the Palestinian Amoraim. That was all accomplished mainly within the four walls of the law academies. The judges and jurists refused to subscribe to it. It was not until the time of the famous judge R. Nahman that the judges began to pay attention to it. That judge himself at first refused to recognize it, but later reversed his position. A younger contemporary succeeded in bringing forth a clear statement of the principle, כל דאי לא קני And it was a generation later that one authority felt justified in claiming that it was a matter of daily practice that Asmakhta-obligations are void (Bab. Baba Bat. 173b).10

Thus the Jewish legal principle of Asmakhta means on the one hand the legalization of a few Babylonian practices, and on the other hand the extension of its own legal theory to cover all

other similar cases.

The statement cannot however be taken too literally, for we find that the latest editors of the Talmud were not agreed as to its application, as sinted above.

PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

MIDDLE WEST BRANCH OF THE AMERICAN ORIENTAL SOCIETY

The fourth Annual Meeting of the Middle West Branch was held at Evanston, Ill., February 20-21, 1920. We were the guests of Garrett Biblical Institute and Northwestern University, and our heartiest thanks must be given to the local entertainment committee, headed by Professor F. C. Eiselen, and including Prof. Kenneth W. Colegrove, Prof. Leslie E. Fuller, Prof. Perley O. Ray, Prof. Edmund D. Soper, Dean R. C. Flickinger, Dean James A. James, Prof. John A. Scott, President C. M. Stuart. The Shaffer Hall Dormitory was set free for the accommodation of those who did not care to go to hotels, and the University Club of Evanston was our headquarters and here we had our meals. An informal dinner, presided over by Dean Flickinger, was given by Northwestern University Friday evening, and a luncheon, presided over by President Stunrt, was given Saturday noon by Garrett Biblical Institute. Through these we became acquainted with the staffs of those institutions, while a dipner of club members alone Saturday evening was an appropriate ending to the meeting. After the Presidential address Friday evening, Professor Eiselen entertained the members at his house, at which Professor Scott made an address.

The members present were Allen, Blomgren, Clark, Cohen, Colegrove, Eiselen, Fuller, Judson, Kelly, Keyfitz, Laufer, Levitt, Levy, Lybyer, Marshall, Mercer, Molyneux, Morgenstern, Olmstead, Robinson, Scott, Smith, Soper, Sprengling, Waterman (25). The following were proposed as new members: Prof. Kenneth W. Colegrove, Northwestern University; Miss Alia Judson, University of Chicago; Mr. I. Keyfitz, University of Chicago; Professor D. A. Leavitt, Chicago, Ill.; Rev. H. I. Marshall, Ohio State University; Prof. John A. Scott, Northwestern University. Letters and telegrams of regret were received from Messrs. Bolling, Byrne, Conant, Tolman. At the business sessions, the nominating committee, consisting of Messrs. Kelly.

Morgenstern, Fulier (chairman), reported the following who were unanimously chosen: President, Prof. A. H. Lybyer, University of Illinois; Vice-President, Prof. W. E. Clark, University of Chicago; Secretary-Treasurer, Prof. A. T. Olmstead, University of Illinois; Executive Committee, Prof. Leroy Waterman, University of Michigan; Prof. L. B. Wolfenson, University of Wisconsin. On motions of Messrs. Levy, Morgenstern, and Smith, the thanks of the Branch were tendered to Northwestern University, to Garrett Biblical Institute, to the local committee of arrangements, and especially to its chairman, Prof. Eiselen.

The papers may perhaps best be reviewed in geographical order. Prof. E. D. Sopen of Northwestern University discussed 'Religion and Politics in Present-Day Japan.' The origin and development of the imperial cult was detailed and its importance emphasized for understanding present political conditions. Still, there is good hope for democracy in future Japan. The Monroe Doctrine of Japan was shown by Prof. Kenneth Colembour of Northwestern University to be the necessary result of our own Monroe Doctrine having been forced upon the Peace Conference. A detailed discussion of the methods by which militarist Japan was strengthening herself in China followed. Dr. Berthold Lauper of the Field Museum of Natural History presented a remarkable series of colored slides which represented some of the finest examples of Chinese pictorial art.

'The Origin of the Karen and their Monotheistic Tradition' was presented by Rev. H. I. MARSHALL, now of Ohio State University, missionary at Insein, Burma. The results presented in this paper form a by-product of missionary enterprise.

The traditions of the Karen tribes of Burma indicate that they are immigrants into Burma from some northern country. They crossed the River of Running Sand' which is not the Gobi desert as earlier scholars thought, but rather the 'River Running with Sand,' and may refer to the Houng Ho, or Yellow River, of China, at the headwaters of which the early home of Eastern Asiatic peoples was situated. The Karen language is Sinitic in form and structure. The people are Mongoleid in physical feature. Their possession of bronze drums peculiar to certain northern peoples of Upper Indo-China and Yunnan makes it probable that they made their home there some time, perhaps at the beginning of the Christian era, in the kills of Yunnan, for Chinese generals who conquered that region then found bronze drums in use. The monotheistic tradition is a close parallel to the account of the creation and full in Genesis. The Pather God made man, then woman from his rib, and put the two in a garden

where there were seven kinds of fruit one of which they must not eat. The dragon called 'Mukawli' came in and tempted the woman to est after he had failed with the man. After this sickness and death followed. This story in verse has been handed down by word of mouth from time immemorial. Since the Kareus were already in Yunnan, they could not have received these traditions from the Jewish colonies which did not enter China until 1122 a. p., nor from the Nestorians who entered in the sixth century. The absence of Christian tradition or Messianic hope shows the tradition could not have come from Nestorian or Portuguese sources. While it appears that a story having so many points in common with the ancient Jewish account of creation must have been borrowed, we cannot trace the direct agency through which it came. The ancient religion of China has been found to be a monotheistic system though references to it are seanty. The Karen are related to the Chinese racially and linguistically. May it not be possible that they are related religiously as well and that in this tradition we have a survival of an ancient faith of which we know very little!

Prof. Walter E. Clark, Chicago University, gave a paper on 'Prakrit Dialects in the Sanskrit Drama,' a close study of those sections in which the lower classes speak lower class language. The majority of editions sin by paying too much attention to rules of late Prakrit grammarians. More attention should be paid to the readings of the manuscripts. In the absence of Prof. H. C. Tolman, Vanderbilt University, the secretary read a note by him on 'An Erroneous Etymology of the New Persian pādāā in relation to the pr. n. Patizeithes (Hdt. 3, 61).' The current belief that Patizeithes is the title of the Pseudo-Smerdis is impossible because of the phonetic difficulties involved, the use of the term, and the Magian title he bore is rather the Oropastes of Justin.

'The Sumerian Paradise of the Gods' was investigated by Prof. Samuel A. B. Mercer, Western Theological Seminary, on the basis of the Langdon Epic, and new readings and interpretations were presented. Prof. George L. Robinson, McCormick Theological Seminary, reviewed a recent work on the Samaritans by Rev. J. E. H. Thompson. Following up studies at earlier meetings of our branch, Prof. Julian Mossenstern, Hebrew Union College, discussed 'The Oldest Document of the Hexateuch and its Historical Significance.' Prof. C. A. Blommen, Augustana College, gave a minute investigation of the Book of Obadiah. 'The Attitude of the Psalms toward Life after Death' was presented with negative conclusions by Prof. J. M. P. Smith, University of Chicago.

The more modern phases of the Near East were well represented. Prof. Leslie Fulles, Garrett Biblical Institute, pointed out the large number of 'Humanitarian Elements in the Koran.' and its relationship to the life of the present. The branch enjoyed a brief visit from Prof. Louis C. Karpinski, of the University of Michigan, who has devoted his life to a study of the history of mathematics, and who talked on Oriental and Arabic mathematics.

The thesis that all science originated with the Greeks has been seriously advanced by prominent writers on the history of philosophy. This parulrions theory has had an unfortunate effect upon many writers on oriental science. The noteworthy progress in real science made by the Babylonians and the Egyptians is minimized; Hindu science is treated as entirely the product of Greek influence; Arabic science is also minimized, and the contributions of the Hindus to the development of Arabic science are frequently not mentioned. In the Hindu treatment of Hindu science, certain writers have minimized the actual records of progress in mathematical thinking, found in the Hindu development of the sine function, of algobesic equations, of a refined process for the solution of indeterminate equations, of the first and second degree, and in the system of numerals which we use. This material is homogeneous and furnishes internal evidence of a common origin, not Greek. In the absence of supporting Greek documents, the Greek delusion has influenced certain writers to postulate the nature of the contents of Greek works which are lost, to support the Greek hypothesis. A sympathetic attitude toward the Oriental peoples may well be expected of the historian of science. Undoubtedly much Oriental material is of poor quality, but so is much that is printed today in our own scientific periodicals. Oriental progress in science cannot be denied and it remains only for Orientalists and scientists to work together to make the record of the progress definitely known and widely approciated.

At the reception given by Professor Eiselen, Prof. John A. Scorr spoke on 'The Dardanelles and Beyond.'

The campaign into the Dardanelles was a campaign of hasts and despair, for the difficulties of making a successful attack either by land or by sea were so great that it was only the drend of seeing Russia make a separate peace which brought on the attempt. It was the original plan to cut off the German connections with the Euphrates-Tigris basin by means of an attack from Alexandretta Bay with Cyprus as a convenient base, but the jestousy of the French preclaided the possibility of landing a British force in Syris, yet the urgency of the Russian situation made some action imperative, hence the attack on the Dardanelles. While from a military point of view this attack may have been an error, yet in the broader strategy of the war it was a deciding issue, since it helped the

Allies to keep the upper hand in Russia, held ber in the war for another great campaign, and thus kept the Austrians from crossing Italy and the Germans from defeating France until the English had time to create and equip un army and until America had come into the struggle. It seems safe to say that this ill fated campaign against the Dardanelles by keeping Russia in the field was the deciding point of the war.

From his experience as a Near East expert at Paris and as chief technical expert for the King-Crane commission on mandates in the Near East, Prof. A. H. LYBYER gave new facts on 'The Near East at the Peace Conference.'

The Near East was represented at the Conference on behalf of the Serbs. Rumanians, Greeks, and the Arabs of the Hejaz, but not on behalf of the Bulgarians and Turks. This led to a one-sided presentation of the situation and looked toward a settlement out of harmony with the facts. The Conference came slowly and late to the treaty with Bulgaria and adjourned before taking up that with Turkey. In both areas, the trend of events was conditioned by secret treaties. The Treaty of London of 1915 proposed to divide Albania between Serbia, Italy, and Greece. The treaty by which Rumania entered the war guaranteed to her the territories she then held, including the Bulgarian strip taken in 1913. The agreement by which Mr. Venizelos expects to receive the undue award of Thrace and western Asia Minur has never been made public. The Sykes-Picot agreement gave the oversight of Palestine and the control of most of Mesopotamia to Britain; Syria, Cilicia, the rest of Mesopotamia, and an interior block including Diarbekir and Sivas, to France. The agreement of St. Jean de Maurienne promised southern Asia Minor to Italy. Russia was promised Constantinople and perhaps northern Asia Minor. Col. Lawrence made promises to the Arabe which overlapped those of Sir Mark Sykes to the French. The whole scheme was based on the imperialism of the Old Diplomacy, and paid small regard to ethnography, geography, economics, or the rights of peoples. At the Pence Conference and since the European effort has been directed toward carrying out the secret agreements, while the effort of America has been to secure a settlement in harmony with the principles for which the war was professed to be fought, and in the direction of permanency. The Enropean scheme can be carried out in all probability only after a considerable war of conquest directed against the Turks and Arabs; and if it should become established it must be corrected sooner or later, either by a cital and effective league of nations, or by another resort to arms.

Introduced in happy fashion by President Stuart of Garrett Biblical Institute, Prof. Lemov Waterman of the University of Michigan delivered his Presidential Address on 'Oriental Studies and Reconstruction.'

The far reaching task of reconstruction affecting the modern world may not seem applicable, even by analogy, to so secluded a field as Oriental

Studies; but such sweeping changes in the present order, in themselves, demand of us new adjustments. The new age brings with it a challenge from the past and for the future. Oriental Studies have suffered in the recent past from an inadequate articulation with the larger cause of humanity that calls for a restatement and a recomphasizing of ideals. A closer practical scrutiny of every discipline in the coming age is bound to require a more intimate touch with living human values. Orientalists beretofere may have been oversealous in vindicating a dead past. Present developments in the Near East should help to bring about a more vital contact between the East of yesterday and the West. Recent world cleavage of thought has terminated our pre-war apprenticeship and calls as to rebuild both our house and its furnishings. Finally, our existing programs and equipment are inadequate to cope with our present opportunities. A comprehensive American policy, fully correlated with the plans of other interested nations, and espable of utilizing all our resources, is needed for the immediate task of recovering the fuller records of the past in the Near East, and for conserving the present sources of inspiration opened up by changed conditions in Palestine.

A. T. OLMSTEAD, Secretary

BRIEF NOTES

Julien's manuscript dictionary of the Manchu language

Sinologists may be interested in knowing that the Cleveland Public Library has just received, in its John G. White Collection of Folk-lore and Orientalia, an unpublished manuscript dictionary of the Manchu language, prepared by the great Chinese scholar, Stanislas Julien. This manuscript the Library referred to Dr. Berthold Laufer of the Field Columbian Museum, from whose letter has been taken, with his kind permission, the following account:

'The manuscript bears the title "Vocabulaire Tartare-Mandchou. Contenant la traduction de tous les mots tartares-mandchou employés dans la version de Meng tseu' par l'Emp. Khian loung." Opposite the title-page, written by the same hand, "Ex libris Stanislas Julien."

'What Julien calls Tartar-Manchu, we now call simply Manchu. It is a special vocabulary to the Manchu translation of the Chinese work Meng-tse (see Legge, Chinese Classics, Vol. 2). In 1824 Julien published a book under the title 'Meng-Tscu vel Mencium, latina interpretatione ad interpretationem tartaricam utramque recensita instruxit, et perpetuo commentario e Sinicis deprompto illustravit Stanislas Julien. Lutetiac, 1824-29. 2 vol.,' published by the Société Asiatique of Paris. . . . A copy of this work, which is in the White collection, has been consulted, but shows no reference to this vocabulary.

'It is obvious that Julien prepared this glossary for the purpose of his translation, and that this manuscript is to be dated prior to 1824. Whether it has ever been published, I am not prepared to say; but nothing is known to me about such a publication. The glossary is not noted by H. Cordier in his Bibliotheca Sinica, either as printed or as manuscript.

'It is interesting that in some instances Julien has added the Chinese equivalent to the corresponding Manchu word. It would not be worth while to publish this manuscript, as we have a Manchu dictionary by H. C. v. d. Gabelentz (Leipzig, 1864) for the classical literature and a complete Manchu-Russian dictionary by Zakharov. Julien's work is essentially of historical interest in that it shows us the working methods, the conscientionsness and industry of this great scholar.'

Perhaps some of the readers of the JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN OBJECTAL SOCIETY may have further information about the history of this vocabulary. If so, they are requested to communicate it to the Cleveland Public Library.

GORDON W. THAYER, Librarian of the John G. White Collection.

Cleveland Public Library, Cleveland, Ohio.

The mosaic inscription at 'Ain Duk

This interesting Jewish Aramaic inscription, recently uncovered by a bursting shell at 'Ain Dük, near Jericho, has been variously published and explained, most fully by Père Vincent in the Revue Biblique for October, 1919.

Some of the characters are missing or uncertain, and their restoration is more or less a matter of conjecture. I would like

to suggest the following as the probable reading:

דכיר לטב ביניטין פרנסה בר יוסה

ורוכירין לטב כל מן (דומתחזק ויָהַב או (דוֹמתחזק ויָהַב או (דוֹיהַב בחרן אתרה (קורישה כן רחב בן (כוֹסף בן כל מקטה (רוֹהיא (להוון חוקהון בהרן אתרה קרישת אמן

'Honored be the memory of Benjamin the treasurer, the son of Joseh. Honored be the memory of every one who lends a hand and gives, or who has (already) given, in this holy place, whether gold or silver or any other valuable thing; for this assures them their special right in this holy place. Amen.

The reading of all the characters which are preserved seems quite certain, though they are somewhat carelessly executed, and several of them are made to resemble one another so closely that they would be problematic in a less plain context.

The basis for dating the inscription afforded by the palaeography is so insecure as to be almost negligible. It may be given

some slight value, however, when taken in connection with the few other indications. The date proposed by Vincent, the age of Herod the Great, seems to me extremely improbable; the evidence points to a much later day. The spelling ניניטין is distinetly late; the relative pronoun is 7, not 17 (contrast the Megillath Tagnith); the noun מקטה, 'valuable possession,' is a later Rabbinical word, not even occurring in Onkelos, but frequent in Talmud and Midrash, and noticeably common in Palestinian Syriac (the Judean dialect of about the fifth century A. D.) The abbreviation []. for בר נש points in the same direction; and finally, the characters of the inscription correspond as closely to those of the fifth century a. D., and the end of the fourth century, as to those of any other time, judging from the seanty material in Chwolson's Corpus and elsewhere, things considered, the fifth century seems to me the most probable date.

C. C. TORREY

Yale University.

An Assyrian tablet found in Bombay

The Assyrian clay tablet here presented was discovered in the storeroom of a house in Girgaum, one of the wards of the city



of Bombay. Through my friend, Dr. Robert Zimmerman, S.J., Professor of Indie Philology in St. Xavier's College, Bombay, it came into my hands. I recently had the opportunity to announce the discovery before the Oriental Club of New York, and at Dr. J. B. Nies's suggestion the tablet was placed in Dr. C. E. Keiser's hands for decipherment. His reading follows. Dr. Keiser notes that of the two women sold by -zer-ukin one was his slave and the other his daughter; the sihi and paqirannu officers who are always mentioned in these slave contracts apparently gave over the document guaranteeing ownership. I may add that it is not known how the relic reached India.

Transliteration.

OBVERSE

| | OBVERSE | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | zêr-ukin apil-šu ša ^{md} Šamaš-ėtir ina hu-ud lib-bi-šu | | | | | | | |
| | ['A]-šar-ši-i-bîti û 'Ina-bîti-pân-kalam-ma-lu-mur-aš-šu | | | | | | | |
| | šu a-na 16 šiqlu kaspu a-na šimi ha-ri-is a-na | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| ō. | [bu-ut] si-hi-i pa-qir-ra-nu ša 'A-šar-ši-i-bîti | | | | | | | |
| | [u In]a-biti-pan-kalam-ma-lu-mur-su martu-su la-ta-nu-su | | | | | | | |
| | zēr-ukin na-ši ina a-ša-hi ša 'Ku-ut-ta-a aššati-šu | | | | | | | |
| | apil-šu ša *Sil-la-a | | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| | Reverse | | | | | | | |
| 10. | e ex ele de | | | | | | | |
| | [apil]-šu ša mdLugal-marad-da-ni | | | | | | | |
| | ut ša =Ba-di-ilu | | | | | | | |
| | arbuSabāṭu ûmu 22km | | | | | | | |
| 14. | šattu 2 ^{ksa m} dNabû-kudurri-uşur šar Bâbili ^k . | | | | | | | |
| | the state of the s | | | | | | | |

Translation.

....zêr-ukin, son of Shamash-êţir, în the joy of his heart [i. e. of his own free will] Asharshi-bîti and Ina-bîti-pân-kalamma-lumurashshu his ... for 16 shekels of silver, for a fixed price, to .. lâ, son of Nabû-zêr-ukin, son of Egibi, gave (i. e. sold). (The document of) the sibi (and) paqirrana officers, which (was taken out over) Asharshi-bîti (and) Ina-bîti-pân-lumurshu his daughter (and) his slave, ... zêr-ukin bears. In the presence of Kûttâ his wife. (Witnesses) son of Sillâ; ... Nabû-nâdin-shum; ... -tu; ... , son of Lugal-marad-

dani; of Badi-ilu. month Shebet, day 22, year 2 of Nebuchadressar, king of Babylon.

V. S. SUKTHANKAR

New York City.

PERSONALIA

There has appeared in the Bryn Mawr Alumnae Quarterly for November, 1919, an "Appreciation" of Professor George A. Barron. It consists of papers by Miss L. P. Smith, of Wellesley College, Prof. A. L. Wheeler, of Bryn Mawr College, and Prof. Morris Jastrow, Jr., of the University of Pennsylvania. It is accompanied with a Selected Bibliography of Dr. Barton's Publications, pp. 13-17.

Dr. TRUMAN MICHELSON, ethnologist in the Bureau of American Ethnology, and professor of ethnology in George Washington University, has been elected a corresponding member of the Société des Américanistes de Paris.

Père Anastase-Marie de St. Elle, the Carmelite lexicographer of Baghdad, has written to an American correspondent of his experiences since the beginning of the war. On Nov. 23, 1914, he was exiled by the Turkish government to Caesarea (Cappadocia), and allowed to return only in July, 1916. Prior to the fall of Baghdad in March, 1917, the retreating Turks set fire to the Carmelite monastery and completely destroyed its two valuable libraries of oriental and occidental books respectively. Père Anastase thus saw obliterated the work of 45 years of his life in preparing an etymological dictionary of the Arabic language, which was nearing completion. The monthly magazine, Lughat al-'Arab, of which he was the editor, has not appeared since, and will not be published again until the price of paper and printing is reduced. Orientalists who desire to send reprints or duplicate books for the reconstitution of the library of the Order, may address them to the Bibliothèque, Mission des Carmes, Baghdad, Mesopotamia.

THE KASHMIRIAN ATHARVA VEDA, BOOK SEVEN EDITED WITH GRITICAL NOTES

LEROY CARE BARRET

TRINITY COLLEGE, HARTFORD, CONNECTIOUT

INTRODUCTION

It has seemed nest to continue the work on the Kashmirian Atharva Veda by publishing Book 7 instead of Book 19 as promised in JAOS 37, 257. The material is presented in the same manner as that used in Book 5: the transliteration of the ms. is given in italies and is continuous, with the number of each line in brackets. Abbreviations and punctuation marks used are the same as in previous books; they are doubtless familiar to all who are interested in this work.

The results attained in editing the text of this book are rather more satisfactory than in previous books, but much is still uncertain.

Of the ms.—This seventh book in the Kashmir ms. begins f97b17 and ends f104a20.—a little more than six and one half folios. There is only one defacement worth mentioning, f105a 15, and it is possible to restore the text in spite of this. Some of the pages have 19 lines, some 20, none more or less.

Punctuation, numbers, etc.—Within the individual hymns punctuation is most irregular; the colon mark is often placed below the line of letters rather than in it. Below lines 17 and 18 of £100a are some five marks which might possibly be intended for accent marks.

The hymns are grouped into anuväkas, of which there are 4, with 5 hymns in each: ann 3 no. 5 has no kānda number after it, only 'anu 3', and at the end of the book no number is written for kānda or anuvāka, tho space is left for one number.

There are a few corrections, both marginal and interlinear, only one of which is at all extended; this is on 198b between lines 4 and 5, where a pada is inserted followed by 'dvitiyapustake'. In the left margin of 1101h at the beginning of hymn no. 11 is 'raksamantram'.

Extent of the book.—This book contains 20 hymns, 4 of them prose. The norm of stanzas in a hymn is clearly 10: ten hymns (probably eleven) have 10 stanzas each. It will be observed that the stanza norm is increased by one in each successive book, starting with four in Book 1. Assuming the correctness of the verse-divisions of the text as edited below we make the following table:

| | hymns | have | 10 | stanzas | carlı | $=\frac{27}{-100}$ | stances |
|----|-------|------------------------|------------|---------|-------|--------------------|---------|
| 10 | | 44 | -11 | 7.1 | 16 | = 33 | 44 |
| 3 | hymn | NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY. | to have 10 | 8 | 44 | $=\frac{36}{10}$ | 43 |
| 20 | hymns | have | | | | 200 | stanzas |

New and old material.—Twelve of the hymns of this book may be called new; the number of really new stanzas is about 100, the number of new padas is somewhat more than 300. Four of the hymns of S Bk 5 appear here and also four of S Bk 19: our no. 14 is counted as new though some of it has parallels in TS and elsewhere.

ATHARVA-VEDA PÄIPPALÄDA-ŠÄKHÄ BOOK SEVEN

1

(\$ 5, 14)

[197b17] atha saptamah odi namo [18] näräyanäya : odi namo

jväläbhagavatyäik oik namo tilotomäyäik ::

[198a1] om suparnas tvāmnavindat kūkaras tvākhanan nasā |
dipsosage tvam dipsantam prati [2] krtyākrto daha | atho yo
smān dipsati tam u tvam jahy osadhe agne prtanāsāt pr.[3] tanā
sahasva prati krtyām krtyākrte | pratiharanena harāmasi |
yāskvārht.[4] ya pautu dyāvāprthivi tatsutat. | ut tam megam
iva viddhat krtye krtyākrtom kr.[5] tā | agham astv aghakrte
šapathās šapathincine pratyan prati prahinvāsi yas co.[6] kāra
tam aschatu | yas tvā krtyety ekā | punas krtyām krtyāmkrte
pratiharanamna harāma-[7] si | samakṣam asmīnu ādadhmo
yathā krtyākrtam hanah putra iva pitaram gaicha sva.[8]
dāivābhisthito daša | tantur ivāvyayamn idi krtye krtyākrtam
kṛtāh | udenāiva vāru-[9] uy abhikrandam mrgāiva kṛtyā kartāram rīchatu | kṛṣvāṣyāiva parīṣāsam parimāya [10] parī tvaca

| druhārde caskṛṣc kṛtyām grīvāsu pro muñcata | yā kṛtye devakṛtā yā [11] vā manuṣyajāsi | tām tvā pratyaň prahinmasi | praticinayana vrahmaṇā | yada strī [12] di vāsmān akṛtyām cakāra pāpmane | tām u tasmāi nayāmassy āšvam ivāšvābhi-[13] dhānyā z 1 z

For the invocation read: atha saptamaş kündo likhyate z om namo narayanaya z om namo jväläbhagavatyai z om namo tilotta-

māyāi z

For the hymn read: suparnas tvanvavindat sukaras tvakhanan nasa | dipsansadhe tvam dipsantam prati krtyaketo daha z 1 z <ava jahi yatudhanan ava krtyakrtam jahi> | atho yo sman dipsati tam u tvam jahy osadhe z 2 z agne prtanāsāt prtanāh sahasva | prati krtyām krtyākrte pratiharanena harāmasi z 3 z isvā rjīyah patatu dyāvāprthivī utsutā | ut tam megam iva vidhyat krtyā krtyākrtani krtā z 4 z agham astv aghakrte šapathaś śapathlyate | pratyak prati prahimmasi yaś cakara tam rechatu z ő z yas tvá krtye prajigháya vidván aviduso grham | punas tvā tasmāi dadhmo yathā krtyākrtam hanah z 6 z punas krtyām krtyākrte pratibaranena harāmasi samaksam asminn ādadhmo yathā ketyāketam hanah z 7 z putra iva pitaram gaecha svaja ivābhisthito daša | tantur ivāvyayann iti krtye krtyākrtam krtā z 8 z ud enīva vārany abhikrandam megiva | krtvā kartáram rechatu z 9 z ršyasyeva parišásam parimáya pari tvacah durhárde cakruse krtyám grivásu pratí muñcata z 10 z vá krtyc devakrtā yā vā manusyajāsi | tāin tvā pratyak prahinmasi pratieinena vrzhmană z 11 z yadi stri yadi vă pumăn krtyâm cakara pāpmane | tām u tasmāi navāmasy aśvam ivāšvābhidhānyā z 12 z l z

I have supplied 2ab from S; the padas would be most easily omitted if 1d and 2b ended alike, so that jahi may have once stood in our 1d. In 4b utsutā is of course only a conjecture. St 5abe occurs S 10. 1. 5abc. St 6 has appeared Ppp 2. 38. 3; it is recalited here, as the suggestions formerly made do not seem good.

2

(\$ 5. 23)

[198a13] osale me dyāvāpṛthivī okalā devī sarasvatī | [14] akala ma indraš cāgniš ca kṛmim jambhayalām imam yasyendra kumārasya kṛmim [15] dhanapate jahi | hatā višvārātayogrena

vacasā mimā yo ksāu parisarpa-[16] ti ye nāsāu parisarpati |
natām yo madhyam gašchami tam krmim jambhayāmasi [17]
virāpāu dvāu surūpāu dvāu krsyāu dvo rokitāu dvāu | babhruš
ca babhrukarnaš ca grdhra-[18] s kokāš ca te hatāh ye krimayas
sitavaksā ye krsnās sitabāhavah ye ke [19] ca višvarūpās tān
krimiā jambhayāmasi | yo dviširsaš caturaksas krimiš carāgo
[20] arjunah šrnāmy asyu prsthīr apa vršcāmi yaš chirah tad
asāu sūryo agād vi- [1986] švadrsto adrīhā | drstānsya ghnīma
adrstān va sarvānš ca pramrnan krimin, yavā-[2] savākhāsas
kaskišyāma dhūksāmaš ca parivrkuavah drstaš ca havyatām
krimir adr-[3] staš cota havyatām, hito yavākho hataš ca pavir
hato sanīganavān uta | hatā vi-[4] švārātoya anena vacasā mama
| sarvesām ca kriminām bhinadmy aiminā širo da-[5] hāmy
agninā mukham z 2 z

Between lines 3 and 4 at the right the ms has sarvāṣām ca

kriminām dvitīyapustake,

Read: oté me dvavaprihivi ota devi sarasvati otau ma indras cágniš ca krimim jambhavatám imam z 1 z asvendra kumārasya krimim dhanapate jahi hatā visvā arātaya ugrena vacasā mama z 2 z yo ksyan parisarpati yo nasan parisarpati | datam yo madhyam gaechati tam krimim jambhayamasi z 3 z virāpāu dvān sarūpāu dvāu krsnān dvān robitāu dvāu babhrus ca babhrukarnaś ca grdhras kokaś ca te hatáh z 4 z ye krimayaś šítivaksa ye kesnaš šítibahavah | ye ke ca višvarūpas tan krimīta jambhayāmasi z 5 z yo dvišīrsas caturaksas krimis sārango arjunah | śrnámy asya prstír apa vršeámi yac chirah z 6 z ud asan suryo agud visvadrsto adestaha destans ea ghnann adrstāns ca sarvāns ca pramman krimin z 7 z yavāsāsas kaskasāso dhunksāsas ca parivrknavah | dīrstas ca hanyatām krimir adrstaś cota hanvutām z S z hato vavāso hataš ca pavir hatah saganavān uta | hatā višvā arātayo anena vacasā mama z 9 z sarvesāth ea krimīnām sarvāsāth ea krimīnām | bhinadmy aśmana śiro dahamy ugnina mukham z 10 z 2 z

In st 1 ote, otă, and otău are given as în \$; but the ms reading may point rather to oște, oștă, and oștău, from ă + vas with the meaning 'shining hitherward' or possibly 'abiding here.'

3

[f98b5] tigmebhir agnir arcibhis sukrena deva socisā | [6] āmādo ni vaha tvam anyam āsu ni krnva tāin In a read agne, in d asam ni krnu tan. RV 6. 48. 7ab has our ab, but with brhadbhir for tigmebhir.

śocisagne arcisa ca nir daheto [7] aghāyavah | sakhyam a

samkramahe tvam cam āmād upa šambhuvam

Reading tvům cămăd in d would seem to give a possible sense to the stanza.

nir āmādo na-[8] nayāmasi niş kravyādho grhebhyah | samyādo nāma ye deva te agne mārabhantām | [9]

Read nayāmasi in a, kravyādo in b : in e mānsādo seems prob-

able.

āmādaš ca kravyādasaš sādasyobhayān saha | prajām ye cakrīrs bhāgam tām i-[10] to nīr nayāmasi |

In a read kravyādas, in b probably mānsādas cobhayān: also tān in d.

yâmeşv aramamtama pakvam uta dădrşu te yantu sarve sasa-[11]mbhûyânyatreto ghâyavah |

For a read ya ameşv arasatamanı, in b dadhışuh: in e sanbhūyā, in d 'ghāyavah.

yo na sīdus krtakrta kilvisakrta sādhya punas tvā-[12]n yajāiyā devā yantu yata āgatāh

For ab read ye has sedus krtyakrtah kilbisakrtas sakhyam; in e tan, in d nayantu. Our ed = \$ 14. 2, 10ed. At the end of b the ms reading might be sakhya.

avarena savarajo nenajam kastim ba-[13]lam | dhātā no bhod-

rayā nesat sa no gapāyatu prajām

There seems to be a contrast in padas a and b between avarena and anena, but I can see nothing more; the sign transliterated 'ba' in 'balam' is not sure. Over the combination ts in negat sa the ms has sea.

kynve ham rodasi varma [14] syāma savitus save | mātā no bhadrayā bhūmi dyāus cāsmān pātv anhasah | [15]

Read 'ham in a, and bhumir in e.

yad asurānām ahany asmān pāpāta medhinah devānām pasya

daivyam apa-[16] s kundhantu mām imām |

In b pāpāta is probably some form of the root pā 'protect'; medinah might better be read. In c pašya probably balances pāpāta; pāda d (perhaps reading imam) can stand, but cf. KS 38. 5d āpaš " māinasah.

yā te pitur marutām sumnam emi mā nas sūryasya samdršo yu: [17] vathā | abhi no vīro rvati kṣametat pra jāyāmahi rudra

praja-[18]yā

Read: ā te pitar marutām sumnam emi mā nas sūryasva samdršo yuvathāh | abhi no vīro 'rvati kṣameta pra jāyāmahi rudra prajayā z 10 z

This is RV 2, 33, 1 with several variants.

yo garbhe antar yo vrdhre | antar yaj jätam janitavyam ca päurusam tasmährdyä [19] sam havisä kamadhya sa nas prajäm jaradastim krnotu zz 3 zz

Read: yo garbhe antar yo vrdhre antar yaj jatam janitavyam ca panrusam | tasmā rddhyā sam havisā huvadhvam sa nas prajām jaradastim krnotu z 11 z 3 z

Cf. S 4, 23, 7b and TB 2, 6, 16, 2d,

1

(\$ 19. 13)

[f99n] idyasű bákű sthaviráu vrsanáv | cittrá yamá vrsabháu pārayisnā tayokse prathama yo-[2] gāgate yābhyām catam asurānā svar yat. | āśuś śiśāno vrsabho no bhimo ghanāgha-[3] nah ksobhunas carsaninam, sankrandano nimisa ekaviras iatam send ajayat sa-[4]kam indrah sankrandanenanimisena jisnund yodhyena duścyavanena dhrznuna | ta-[5]d indrena joyato tat sakadhvain yudho nara isuhastena vrsuya sa isuhastāis sa nakamkri-[6]bhir vasī samszatā adhi indro ganena samsrstajit samupā bāhošaškūrdkvadhanvā [7] pratihitābhir astā on ūrdhvadhanvā pratihitābhir asthā balavijūšyas sthavira-[8]s pravirah sahasvān vāji sahasāna ugrah abhiviro abhissatva sahoji-[9] j jäiträyäi a ratham a tistha kovidain imais viram anu harsādhvam ugram indrain satvāno [10] anu samrabkadhvam | grāmajitam gojitam vajrabāhum jayantam njma praminantam oja-[11]si | ahhi gottrani sahasa gahamano mudayur ugrāš catamatsur indrah duššyu-[12] vanas prtanāsād ayodhyo ssākam senā avatu pro yutsu | vrhaspati pari diyā [13] rathena raksohāmittrān apabādhamānāh prabhanjan satra pramrnain amittran asmā-[14]kainm edhyevitā tanunām. indea ezām nayatā vrhaspatir doksino yajnas pura [15] etu somah devasenānām abhibhañjatīnām jayantinām maruto yantu madkye [16] indrasya vesno marutasya röjña ädityänäin murutám sardha ugram | mahāmonasām [17] bhuvanacyavānām ghoso devānām jayatāmm ud astām, asmākam indras sa-[18] mrtesu dhvajesv asmilkam yā isavas tā jayantu | asmākam vīra uttare bhavg-[19] to asmān devāso vatā havesu = 4 z

Read: indrasya hāhū sthavirān vrsānāu citrā imā vrsabhān päravisnů | tā voksve prathamáu voga agate vábhyám jitam asurānām svar yat z 1 z āśnś śiśāno vrsabho na bhimo ghanāghanah ksobhanas carsaninām | sankrandano 'nimisa ekavīras šatam senā ajayat sākam indrah z 2 z sankrandanenānimisena jisnumāyodhyena dušeyavanena dhrsnumā | tad indrena jayata tat sahadhvam yudho nara isuhastena vrsnā z 3 z sa isuhastāis sa nisangibhir vasi samsrastā sa yndha indro ganena | samsrstajit somapā bāhnsardhy ürdhvadhanvā pratihitābhir astā z 4 z balavijnāya sthaviras pravirah sahasvān vāji sahamāna ugrab | abhiviro abhisatvā sahojij jāitrāyendra ratham ā tistha govidam z 5 z imam yīram anu harsadhvam ugram indram satvāno aun samrabhadhvam | grāmajitam gojitam vajrabāhum javantam ajma pramrnantam ojasā z 6 z abhi gotrāni sahasā gāhamāno adāva ugras satamanyur indrah duseyavanas prianāsād ayodhvo 'smākam senā avatu pra vutsu z 7 z vrhaspate pari diya rathena raksohamitrati apabadhamanah | prabhanjan šatrůn pramrnann amitran asmākam edby avitā tantinām z 8 z indra esam netā vrhaspatir daksinā yajnas pura etu somah | devasenānām abhibhanjatīnām jayantinām maruto madhye z 9 z indrasya vrsno varunasya rajňa adityanám marutām šardha ugram | mahāmanasām bhuvanacyavānām ghoso devānām jayatām ud asthāt z 10 z asmākam indras samrtesu dhvajesv asmākam yā isavas tā jayantu | asmākam virā uttare bhavantv asmān devāso 'vatā bavesu z 11 z 4 z

The version restored here accords very closely with that of S: the emendations are proposed the more confidently because of a growing belief that it will become clear that much of S Bk 19 is drawn from Ppp, as was suggested by Roth, Der AV in Kaschmir, p. 18.

5

[f99a19] väišvanarād arocata jāto hira-[20] nyayo manih tam ābharad vrhaspatih kasyapo vīryāya kam vrhaspatams tam u-[f99b] krno manim väišvānaram saha saptarsayo balāya kam sam dadhus tvā vayodhasah višve de-[2] vās tv indriyam saptarsayaš ca sam dadhuh jāto hiranyayo manir agner vāišvānarād adhi [3] ašvatho jātas prathamo gnes priyatamā tanūh väišvānarasya srstyā krtyādūsi-[4]s krto manih krtyādūsim tvāvidam krtyādūsim bharāsi tvā krtyādūsim kr-[5] nomi tvā

krtyādūsim vayodhasam | patattrī paksī balavān krtyādūsis sa-[6]pātnahā nitanni visvabhesaja ugras patiko manih patattrī te balāya [7] kam nitannir bhesajāya te | jāto hiranyayo manir apa raksānsi sedhatu | de-[8]vo manis sapatnakā raksohāmivacātanah hiranmayam naramsmāna kasya-[9]penābhṛtam saha | vāisvānaram te namekamm āhur ugner yones saha candrena jātam [10] gayasphānas praturano vadhodhas krtyādūsir balagahāsy ugrah yasyedam bhūmyā-[11]m adhi niskrāntam pānsure padam | mrdā nas tanno yad rūpas tasyasnāhi tanūvadhī | [12] dūsā tvāvidam vayam devasya savītus save | jīnātave bharāmasi mahyā [13] aristatātaye | āśchedanas pratyedano dvisatas tapano maniš šatrūnjayas sa-[14]patnahā dvisantam apa bādhatām z 5 z a 1 z

Read: väiśvänarad arocata jato hiranyayo manih | tam ābharad vrhaspatih kašyapo vīryāya kam z 1 z vrhaspatis tam akrnod manim väisvänaram saha | saptarsayo balaya kam sam dadhus tvā vayodhasah z 2 z višve devās tv indriyam saptarsayas ca sam dadhuh jato hiranyayo manir agner vaisvanarad adhi z 3 z aśvattho jātas prathamo 'gnes priyatamā tanūh | väišvänarasva sestyä ketyädüsis keto manih z 4 z ketyädüsiin tvāvidam krtvādūsim bharāmi tvā krtvādūsim krnomi tvā krtyādūsim vavodhasam z 5 z patatrī paksī balavān krtyūdūsis sapatnahā | nitunnir višvabhesaja ugras patiko manih z 6 z patatrī te balāya kam nitunnir bhesajāya te | jāto hiranyayo manir apa raksāńsi sedhatu z 7 z devo manis sapatnahā raksohāmiyacatanah | hiranmayani †naramsmana kasyapenabhrtani saha z 8 z väišvänaram te nämäikam älnir agner yones saha candrena jātam gavasphānas pratarano vavodhas krtvādūsir valagahāsy ugrah z 9 z yasyedam bhūmyām adhi niskrāntam pānsure padam melā nas tanvo yad rapas tasvāsnāhi tamīvasin z 10 z dūsāni tvā vidma vayam devasya savitus save | jīvātave bharāmasi mahyā aristatātave z 11 z ācchedanas pracchedano dvisatas tapano manih | śatruńjayas sapatnakā dvisantam apabādhatām z 12 z 5 z ann 1 z

In 6c and 7b nitannir is a conjecture which may be found acceptable; patiko I would regard as a variant form of pataka. In 8c we might consider as a possibility araśmanan; the two hemistichs do not hang together well. For 9c cf RV 1. 91. 19c; for 11b cf RV 5. 82. 6b etc; for 12b cf \$ 19. 28 passin; and for 12d cf SMB 1. 2. 1c.

[f99b14] patyasya sthū-[15]nā pṛthivī dādhāra ṛtena devā amṛtām anu avindan. | dhruvena tvā ha-[16] haviṣā dhārayāmy abhi tad duāvāpṛthivī ghṛnītām

In a we may probably read pastyasya sthunah; in b tena and amytam, the rtena would seem possible; the form suggested is \$ 13. 1. 7d. In c read dhravena and havisa, in d genitam; our d is RV 10. 47. Sc.

yebkir homáir viíva-[17] karmű dadháremáin pythivíth mátarain nah | tebhiş tvá homáir iha dhárayá-[18] m reain satyam anu carantu homáh

In b read dadhare", in cd probably dharayamy rtam.

iha dhriyadhvam dharune prthivyā ušatyā [19] mātus subhagāyā upasthe | aparānutvā sahasā modamānā asmi-[20]n vāstāu suprajāsāu bhavāthu |

In c I would suggest upārnudhvam; in d read suprajaso (the stem supraja seems not quotable in AV). Note \$ 14, 2, 43b hasāmudāu mahasā modamānāu.

suprajāsāu sahasā modamānā varsman prthi-[f100n]vyā upari krayadhvam | asyāi śālāyāi śarma yacchantu devā dhārābhir enām prthivī pi-[2]partu |

Read suprajaso mahasā in a: mahasā also in st 3c.

imām śālām śrāisthyatamam vasānām aristavīrām abhi sañcarema | drāhā ta-[3] pasito bhavantu sthirāvīrā upasado bhavantu |

The ms corrects to drdhā n° in c. In a read śrāisthyatamām; in c upamito, in d sthiravīrā. The insertion of asyā at the beginning of c would improve the pāda.

imām kālām savitā vāyu-[4]r indro vrhaspatin nimnotu prajānan. | uksamtūrnā maruto ghrtena bhago no rājā ni [5] kṛṣam dadātu |

Read: imām šālām savitā vāyur indro vrhaspatir ni minotu prajānan | uechantūnnā maruto ghṛtena bhago no rājā ni kṛṣim dadātu z 6 z

This is \$ 3, 12, 4; but \$ has tanotu in d.

mānasya patni kaviso jusasva tierāntasya bahulamadhyamasya [6] ā tvā šašir vādhyatām ū kumāra ā vābhyantām dhenavo nityavatsāh

Read: mānasya patni haviso jusasva tīvrāntasya bahula-

madhyamasya | ä tvä šišur väšyatām ä kumāra ā vāšyantām dhenavo nityavatsāh z 7 z

With our ed compare \$ 3. 12, 3ed and also PG 3. 4. 4.

drdhās te sthūnā [7] bhavantu bhūmyām adhi drdhāh paksāsas tavidhe višāle | sthiravīrā annasi-[8] tā na edhi | šarma no yašcha dvipade catuspade |

Read tavise in b; in e probably sthiraviranna°; delete colon

after edhi, and read yaccha in d.

šālā devī gārhāpatyāya ca-[9]klipe tīņam vasānā jagatī susevā | sthirāngam tvā sthirapāurusān asya pa-[10]ttrih sthirā tvā vīrā abhi sancarema |

Read cakipe in a, trnam and susevă în b: in c "ngăm and

°pāuruṣām, but for asya pattrih I can suggest nothing.

võstos pate prati jäniky asmān dvāvešo [11] anamīvo na edki | yan tvemake prtanas taj jusasva catuspado dvipadā vešr e-[12]ka z 1 z

Read: vāstos pate prati jānihy asmān svāvešo anamīvo na edhi | yat tvemahe prati nas taj jusasva catuspado dvipada ā

vešaycha z 10 z 1 z

For this stanza see RV 7. 54. 1, etc., but with a different pada d: Kāuš 43. 13 quotes the stanza as here. Pāda d is \$ 13. 1. 2d.

7

[f100a12] darbhogra oşadkinām śatakāndo ajāyata | sahasra-[13]viryas pari nas pātu višvatah

Over sahasra the ms has a correction mamahasaviryah.

Read darbha agra in a; for c manih sahasra*. Ś 2. 4. 2 has the second hemistich as here; in general of S 19, 32.

yathā bhurbho ajāyamānas tvacam bhinantya [14] bhūmyām evāsya bhidyatām jano yo nah pāpam cikitsati |

Read darbho jāyamānas in a, and bhinatti bhūmyāh in b.

apa nātram a-[15] pa krtyām apa raksasya dhānvā | amīvāš

e * * * * * * sarvānš ca yātu-[16] dhānah

Read rakṣāńsi dhanvā in b: in ed cātayāmasi sarvāś ca yātudhānyah. The the ms is defaced, enough traces of letters remain to give a basis for restoration. At the end of pāda d the ms interlines the correction nyah.

asthi vii nivata udvalam na väi sarvam anuplavam | asi team tasya düsa-[17]no yo nah päpam cikitsati |

With asti in a the first hemistich might stand; and asti would seem rather better than asi.

pari sāyam pari prātas pari madhyandinam pa-[18]ri garbho

hiranyahastaahnas pari nas pätu višvatah

Read madhyamdinum in b; and uta for pari at the end of b would be better but perhaps is not necessary. In e read darbho. girāv jātas svarāsi [19] sākam somena babhrunā | mā pāpakrtvanaš šikho mā pākas puru-[f100b] so rī nas pātu vidvatāh z In a svarād asi might be better than svarāsi (from svr). In c we might read sisur for sikho, and in d pakas puruso risat :

in e read pari and viśvatah.

sahusrakāndas tavisas tīksnavalšo visāsahi | [2] garbhena

sarpā raksānsy asivāš cāpadhāmasi

In b read visāsahih, in c darbhena sarpān, in d amīvāś. apadugdham dusvapni apada-[3] gdhā arātayah sarvaš ca vätudhänyah

For a read anadagdhath dussvapnyam: in c sarvás.

ma tvo dabhan yatudhanan za [4] sa dhradhnis sakunis patham. | darbho rājā samudriyas parī nas pātu vi-[5] svatah z

Read: mā tvā dabhan yātudhānā mā grdhnuś śakunis patan darbho rūjā samudrīvas pari nas pātu višvatah z 9 z 2 z

8

[f100h5] yo nas pāpena vacasā ghosatodrkta vrvat. | [6] ārāš

chapatam aprāsmām upanadyātu survatah

In b perhaps we may read "odrikto 'bravat; in e ārāc chapatham, and possibly a parasmad, or better apasmad; in d apanudvatu.

yan naš šapād varuno ya [7] i sapatniš švašrūr vā yaš chvaśuro vá šapáti | jydyasas capathám vnyi-[8] yavainam yavaya-

Read: yan nas sapād varo no yat sapatni svašrūr vā yac chvašuro vā šapāti | jyāyasas šapathāti vā ye avāinān yāvayāmasi z 2 z

yām samasyante pathām vāksampānrtyām adhi | yuvam [9]

tam bibhrad vähvo pürvas pratissrniyatām |

For ab it would seem possible to read yan samasyante sapathan yan sapan anrian adhi. In e if yuvam is correct it might be followed by tan hibbrad vabyo, or hibbradvahyau; for d we then would read purva pratismiyatam.

rjukešo yavo ma babhrūr maghavā [10] no na sābhya hiranyadhanvām šapathām tupejatu tām pītvendro vṛttram šakno jaghā-[11] na |

For ab a probable reading is rjukeso yavas sa babhrur magbava no na sadhyah. For e we might read hiranyadhanva sapathan tv apejatu; in d read tam and vetram sakro: in the right margin the ms indicates the correction kra for kno.

vāsava sāisāhyata rsabhas sahasvan šapathān īva | ārā carantu šapathā [12] itā ito jihvēditārasās santu sarve |

In a there may be some form of sah, but I can suggest nothing satisfactory; in b sahasvān is probable. In c read ārāc, in de ita ito jihvodītā arasās.

nāsugrām hā vāco heļād i-[13]kṣitā | aghoracakṣasa šarma te varma krumasi |

In the first part of this I can suggest nothing beyond the division of the words: read aghoracaksasas,

apānco yantu sapathā-[14]d anenāstāghāyunā | yo no durasyān jīvase senā nākasyesate | [15]

Read apañco, and probably sapatha anenasta aghayuna. In c durasyan is probable, and if jivase is a verb the third person jivati would seem better; for the rest I can see only isate at the end.

pari pātu sapathā | d anṛtād duritād uta | pari mā jyāyasa\$ śan-[16]sād divo raksatu mām isam |

Read: pari mā pātu šapathād anrtād duritād uta | pari mā jyāyasas sansād devo raksatu mām isam z 8 z

The end of d may not be good, but it seems possible: imam would be better.

anāsta yajāam šapathāir anuci vyāddhyam kṛtam | [17] vrhada varma prati muñcāmi te |

In a read anastati rather than anvästa; in b anuci vyädhyam would seem possible if vyädhyam can be a noun: read vrhad varma.

yuvamtardhyayāyānsīva pakṣanā-[18]višantu patattriņaš šapatāram šapathās punah z 3 z

Read: †yuvamtardhyayāyāńsīva† paksinah | ā višantu patatrinas šaptāram šapathās punah z 10 z 3 z

The text in a looks somewhat like that of 3c above; both padas seem hopeless.

9

(85.7)

[f100b18] a no di-[19]šam sā pari sthārāter mā nor dakṣāir dakṣīnā yātumāvān punah pra jātā [f101a] savitā ca yašchatām nasor virašchāyāsamrddhyāi ca krnva

Read: ā no diša mā pari sthā arāte mā no dhaksīr daksinām yātumāvān | punah pra dhātā savitā ez yacchatām namo vīrtsāvā asamrādhyāi ez krumah z 1 z

This varies greatly from 8, having an entirely different ed: the gender of vatumavan is not consistent with a and d.

yam arāte purodhatsvāi puru-[2]rāprņam | namas te tasmāi krņo mā vanim mama vyathah

Read: yam arāte purodhatse purusam parirāprnam mamas te tasmāi krumo mā vanim mama vyathah z 2 z

S has "răpinam în b; perhaps it should stand here also.

anavamdyābhis prayunjma-[3]he manasā hrdayena ca | arātī
tanvo mā vīrišche dišchantam parirāpraī [4]

In a anavadyābhis would seem possible; in ed read arâte and virtser ditsantam; tanvam would be better than tanvo. This is not in S.

pr no vanir devakrtā divā naktam ca. siddhyatu | rātim anupreme vayam namo stv a-[5] rāyataye |

In a read pra no, in b sidhyatu: in e arātim, in d 'stv arātaye.

uta nagna āpobhavati svapnayyā srjese canam | rāte citti
viri-[6] šchimdy ākūtim purusasya ca |

Read: uta nagnā bobhuvatī svapusyā syjase janam | arāte eittim vīrtsyanty ākūtim purusasya ca z:5 z

paro mehy asimrddhe mrte hetim nayāmasi | yam dvi-[7] smas tam vimvakavyā bhūtvā srgmanī rukmanī dršet.

For ah we may probably read paro mehy asamrddhe vi te hetim nayāmasi; ef \$ 7ab where paro 'pehy stands. If we may read višvakāvyā and sragmant, the rest might stand.

namas to stu sameddhe [8] mämähain purodhiin kenv athavarmi tvähain namivantiin nutadantiin mit te martyäin sa-[9] santyebhyo adhi nirvadantiin

It seems that samrddhe is correct here, not asamrddhe; if so the next pada might possibly he mamahah puramdhim kṛṇu: these suggestions are made to seem the more doubtful by the following words which are in part parallel to S 7ed where tva refers to asamrddhi. It seems clear that Ppp intends nimīvantini nitudantim, and probably arate for ma to; amartyam martyebhyo might be possible. For atha varmi one might think of atha vanve, or perhaps vrave.

mā no vanim mā vācam vīrišcham ugrāv indrāgnī [10] nām bhajatām vasūni sarve no dya dišchatta arātim pratī haryatām

Read virtsir in a, and na ā in b; in c ditsanto, and in c no dva and harvatā.

sa vadā-[11]vi devānām devadūtisu |

These words are all that the ms gives to correspond to S at 4. The stanza in S reads, arrasvatīm anumatim bhagam yanto havāmahe | vācam justām madhumatīm avādisam devānām devahūtisu.

yam vācā mama kuryāj jihvayosthāpidhā-[12]nayā | śraddha cam adya vindatu dattās somena babhrunā z 4 z

Read: yam vācā mama kuryāj jihvayāusthāpidhānayā | šraddhā tam adya vindatu dattā somena babhrunā z 10 z 4 z

The first hemistich in S st 5 is yam yacamy aham vaca sarasvatya manoyuja: our pada a seems possible but if it should be emended to yam yacami then makuryaj may conceal an instrumental agreeing with jihvaya, or parallel to it.

10

(§ 19.39)

[f101a13] aitu devas trayamana kustho himavatas pari | takminam sarvam nasayam sa-[14]rvās ca yātudhāvyah trīni te kustka nămăni naghamăro naghārise na ghā-[15] yain purușo riset, asmāi pari vravīmi tvā sāyam prātar atho divah jī-[16] valā nāma te mātā jivanto nāma te pitā | mārsā nāma te svašāh u- 17 ttamo sy osadhinām anadvān jagatām iva vyāgra svapadām iva naghāyam [18] puruso risat. asmāi pari vravīmi tvā sāyam prātar atho divah ti- 19 syāmividyo girayebhyas trir ādityebhyas pari | trir jāto visvadevebhyas sa [f101b] kustho viivobhesaja | siikain somenu tisthasi takmanain sarvain näinyain sarvāš va gatu-2 dhānyah ašvattko devasadanas trtigasyām itän divi | tatrometasya caksanam tva 3 s kustho jäyatät suh hiranyo non acarad dhiranyardhandhana divi | sa yatra nava-[4]s paribhrasanam yatra himavatas sirah tatromrtasya caksanam tatus kustho ajnya-[5] ta | sa kustham višvablesaja sākam somena fisthasi | takmanam sarvam nasayam sarva-[6]s ca yātudhānyah yam tvā veda pārvaksvāka yam vā tvā kusthīkās ca ahišyā-[7] vasa anusārišchas tenāni višvabhesajah šīrsālākam trtīyakam sa-[8] dantī yaš ca hāyanah takmānum višvadhāviryā adharāncam parā suvah z [9] z 5 z anu 2 z

Read: aitu devas trayamanah kustho himavatas pari | takmānam sarvam nāšayan sarvāš ca yātudhānyah z 1 z trīnī te kustha nāmāni naghamāro naghāriso na ghāyam puruso risat | asmāi pari vravimi tvā sāyata-prātar atho divā z 2 z jīvalā nāma te mātā jīvanto nāma te pitā mārsā nāma te svasā | na ghāyam puruso risat | asmāi * * z 3 z uttamo 'sy osadhīnām anadvān jagatām iva vyāghraš švapadām iva | pa ghāyam puruso risat asmāi pari vravimi tvā sāvam-prātar atho divā z 4 z triš šāmbubliyo 'ngirebhyas trir adityebhyas pari | trir jato viśvadevebhyah | sa kustha viśvabhesaja sakam somena tisthasi | takmanam sarvam nášayan sarväš en yätudhänyah z 5 z ašvattho devasadanas trtiyasyām ito divi | tatrāmrtasya caksanan tatas kuştho 'jayata | sa kuştha " " | takmanam " " z 6 z hiranyayi năur acarad dhiranyabandhană divi | tatră* * * | sa kustha * * takmānam = z 7 z yatra nāvas prabhransanam yatra himavataš širah | tatrāmrtasya caksanam tatas kustho ajāyata | sa kustha viśvablessja siikam somena tisthasi | takmānam sarvam nāšayan sarvāš ca vātudhānyah z 8 z yam tvā veda pūrva iksvāko yani vā tvā kusthikās ca | tahisyāvaso anusārischast tenāsi višvabbesajāh z 9 z šīrsālākam trtiyakam sadandir vaš ca hāyanah | takmānam viśvadhāvīryādharāneam parā suva : 10 25 2 mmu 2 2

There are a number of variations from S here. In 5a sāmbubhyo is adopted on the testimony of the S mss, which also seem to support the form 'ngirebhyas; 5d is emended to harmonize with the tisthasi of 5e. The most important variation is in giving 5d-g with stt 6 and 7; this seems to be indicated by the ms in f101b3 by the sah before hiranye and the sa before yatra. In 9ab I have merely tried to keep close to the ms: in 10a Sīršālākam is probably correct but its meaning is not clear.

П

Cf § 3, 21, 10, RV 10, 162 passim, and MG 2, 18, 2 passim.

[f101b9] ye parvatās zomaprzihāpa uttānašī-[10]varī | vātas parjanyād agnis te kravyādam atīšamam | yas te hantu carāca-[11]ram utthāsyautam sarīsrpam, gurbham yo dašamāsyam

tam ito nāśayāmasi | [12] yad agnibhyapsaraso gandharvām pehya uta | kravyādo mūradevonas tāy ito [13] nāśayāmasi | yas tā urv ŭrohaty asrk te rehaṇāya kam | āmādaş kravyā-[14]dhe ripuns tāy ito nāśayāmasi | yas te śroṇī vyāvayaty antarā dampati [15] śaye | yoni yo antar ārelhi tam ito nāśayāmasi | yas tvā svapnena ta-[16]masā mohayitvā nipadyate | rāyam kaṇvam pāpmānam tam ito nāśayā-[17]masi | hā hī kharva khalute nāṣgur akarna tuṇdīla | indraś ca tigmasā-[18]yudham tena tvā nāṣayāmasi | nasas taṇdāya namaş kusumāya namaş pra-[19] diṣṭhāmne namas kaṣyade namas tubhyam nirrte viṣvavāre jale man dhāpaye [20] tām viṣvarūpam yāvad dyāur yāvat pṛthivī yāvat poyeti ṣūryah tāvatvam n-[f102a]m ugra lulgulo parīmām pāhi viṣvatah z 1 =

In the left margin opposite the first two lines the ms has raksamantrain. Line 18 is slightly defaced,

Read: ye pārvatās somaprstbā āpa uttānasīvarīh | vātas parjanya ād agnis te kravyādam ašīšaman z 1 z yas te banti carācaram utthāsyantam sarīsrpam | garbham yo dašamāsyam tam ito nāšayāmasi z 2 z yad agnibhyo 'psaraso gandharvā gehyā uta | kravyādo mūradevinas tām ito nāšayāmasi z 3 z yas ta ūrvārohaty asrk te rehanāya kam | āmādas kravyādo ripūms tām ito nāšayāmasi z 4 z yas te šronī vyavāity antarā dampatī šaye | yonim yo antar ārelhi tam ito nāšayāmasi z 5 z yas tvā svapnena tamasī mohayitvā nipadyate | arāyam kanvam pāpmānam tam ito nāšayāmasi z 6 z hā hī kharva khalite | nāigur akarna tundīla | indrasya tigmam āyudham tena tvā nāšayāmasi z 7 z namas tundāya namas kusumāya namas pratisthāmne namas tkašyade | namas tubhyam nirrte višvavāre jale sam dhāpaye tām višvarūpām z 8 z yāvad dyāmr yāvat prthivī yāvat paryeti sūryah | tāvat tvam ugra gulgula parimām pāhi višvatah z 9 z 1 z

In at 7b nijur or even näijur might be read: in 8b pratisthämne is probably good but for kasyade I can think of nothing: in 8d we might consider jväle instead of jale.

12

[f102a1] yaikarügnim ekavratā-[2]m ekasthām ekalāmikām | pājām sannacātanim jāitrāyāšchāvadāmasi | [3] yāikarājīm ekavratā ekasthā ekalāmike | na tvā sapatnī sasaha šūi re-[4] cana vāhyā uttarāham tattarabhyo uttared adharabhyah adhas sapatnī sāmakty adha-[5] red adhārabhyah na sāindhavasya puş-

pasya süryo snäpayati tvacám. pāte snāpa-[6]yūtvayā sapatnā varcādadhe | na văi pāte pāte vahasi subhāgamkaranīd a-[7]si pāte bhagamya no dheyatho mā mahisiñ kṛṇu | yat pāte adha vṛkṣe vātapla-[8]vā mahīyame | jayantī prutyātisthanti sañjāyā nāma vāsi | uttānapa-[9]rnām subhagām sohamānām sahasvatīm | aśchā vṛhadvadā vada pātam šapatna-[10]cātanīm pātām ivy āṣnān hantavā amurebhyah tayā sapatnyam sākṣiya mahe-[11] ndro dānavāu iva | pājā bibharty aṅkuśam kiranyavantam aṅkinam | tena sapatnyā [12] varca ālumpasi samedhamat, imām khanāmy oṣadhim vīrudhām balavatta-[13]mām athā sapatnīm bādhate kṛnute kevalam patim. z 2 z

Read: ekarājāim ekavratām ekasthām ekalāmikām | pāţām sapatnacătanim jăitrăvăcehăvadămasi z 1 z ekarăjny ekavrata ekastha ekalamike [na två sspatni sasäha †šäi recana vähyä† z 2 z uttaráham uttarábbya uttared adharábbyah | adhas sapatni tsämakty adhared adharabhyah z 3 z na saindhayasya puspasya sūryah snāpayati tvacā | pāte snāpayatu tvayā sapatnyā varea adade z 4 z na vái pativahási subhagamkaranid asi | päte bhagam a no dhehy atho ma mahisim krnu z 5 z yat pate adho vrfikse vätaplavä mahlvase | jayanti pratyätisthanti sanjavä nāma vā asi z 6 z uttānaparnām subhagām sahamām sahasvatīm acchā vrhadvadām vada pātām sapatnicātanīm z 7 2 pātām indro vyāšnād dhantavā asurebhyah | tayā sapatnīm saksīya mahemiro dānavān iva z 8 z pātā bibharty ankniam hiranyayantam ankinam | tena sapatnya varea alumpasi samedhamat z 9 z imām khanāmy ogadhīm virudhām balavattamām athā sapatnini bādhate krnute kevalam patim z 10 z 2 z

The word ekalāsikā, or ekamālikā, might be better than ekalāmikā as given in stt 1 and 2. Our st 3 is an interesting variant of \$3.18.4; sāsakty would seem quite possible in pāda c, intensive of saāj; Edgerton suggests māmaky. Our st 8 has some similarity to \$2.27.4 and 5 (Ppp 2.16, 3). For our st 10 cf \$3.18.1 and 2.

13

[f102a14] yāsām ārād āghasāso vātasyāi prthag yatah tāsām sanvanām indra apa-[15]krtaš chirah yās purustād ācaranti sākam sūryasya rašmibhih yā vācam a-[16]nasavyamsy antariksed adho divah yāsām preūkhyo divi veddho antarikse hi-[17] ranyayah yās patanti vātarathād uttānās pādaghātinim veksam parisa-[18]rpauti sā cakṣu karikrati | yāš ca tvā risam gašchanti

vikumbháš celanäsinī | [19] yāsam siktavām işur gṛho mito hiranyayah yā rokāis papadyanto pu-[20]skalāir iva jāmaya | yā nadīs pratigāhayante samrabhya kanyā vayah yā-[f102b]s tirthan avagāhante ghnyā svasitīr iva | yās samudrād ušcaranty ušcāir ghosān kanikrati | ā-[2]gašchantī janam janam išchantīs prahitam bahu | tāsām munvatīm indro apakṛtas chirah [3] = 3 =

Read: yāsām ārād āghosāso vātasyeva prthag yutāh | tāsām śvanvatīnām indro apakrntae chirah z 1 z yās purastād ācaranti sākam sūryasya rašmibhih | tāsām z 2 z yā vācam tanasavyamny antarikṣād atho divah | tāsām z 3 z yāsām preākho divi vrddho antarikṣe hiranyayah | tāsām z 4 z yās patanti vātarathād uttānās pādaghātinih | tāsām z 5 z yā vrkṣam parisarpanti ṭsā cakṣuṭ karikrati | tāsām z 5 z yās ca tvā riṣam gacehanti vīkumbhās celanāsinih | tāsām z 6 z yās ca tvā riṣam gacehanti vīkumbhās celanāsinih | tāsām z 7 z yāsām sīkatāvān iṣur grho mito hiranyayah | tāsām z 8 z yā rokāis prapadyante puṣkalāir iva jāmayah | tāsām z 9 z yā nadīs pratīgāhante samrabhya kanyayā vayah | tāsām z 10 z yās tīrtham avagāhante ˈghnyas śvasatīr iva | tāsām z 11 z yās samudrād uccaranty uccāir ghoṣān karikrati | āgacehantīr janam-janam icchantīs prahitam bahu | tāsām śvanvatīnām indro apakrntac chirah z 12 z 3 z

14

OF TS 2. 3, 10. 3, and KS 11. 7

[f102b3] agnir üyuşman sa vanaspatibhir üyuşman, sa müyuşman üyu-[4]şmantam krnotu | väyur üyuşman so antarik-şenüyuşman, sürya üyuşman sa di-[5]vüyuşman, | candra üyuşman sa nakşattrüir üyuşman, xoma üyuşman sa oşa-[6]dhibhir üyuşman, yajha öyuşman sa dakşinübhir üyuşman, samudra üyuşma-[7]n sa nadibhir üyuşman, indrenüyuşman sa viryendyuşman, vrahmüyuşma-[8]t täd vrahmaçüribhir üyuşman, tan müyuşma üyuşmantam krnotu | devü üyu-[9]şmantas te mrtenüyuşmantah teşü üyuşmanta üyuşmanta krnuta | projüpati-[10]r üyuşman sa prajübhir üyuşman, sa müyuşman üyuş krnta krnotu 2 4 z [11]

In the left margin, opposite line 8, is a correction şmannayu. Read: agnir äyuşman sa vanaspatibhir ayuşman | sa mayuşman äyuşmantan krnotu z 1 z vayur ayuşman so autarikşenayuşman | sa 2 z sürya ayuşman sa divayuşman | sa

z 4 z soma āyuşmān sa naksatrāir āyuşmān | sa z 4 z soma āyuşmān sa oṣādhibhir āyuşmān | sa z 5 z yajāa āyuşmān sa dākṣṇābhir āyuşmān | sa z 6 z samudra āyuşmān sa nadibhir āyuşmān | sa z 7 z indra āyuşmān sa viryenāyuşmān | sa z 8 z vrahmāyuşmat tad vrahmacāribhir āyuşmat | tan māyuşmad āyuşmantam kṛnotu z 9 z devā āyuşmantas te 'mṛtenāyuşmantam | ta māyuşmanta āyuşmantam kṛnvantu z 10 z prajāpatir āyuşmān sa prajābhir āyuşmān | sa māyuşmān āyuşmantam kṛnotu z 11 z 4 z

15

[f102b11] daksinā sā daksināto daksinās pātu savyatas pašiād anavyādhāt pātu sa-[12] rvasyā bhavahetyā |

Read: dakşinā mā dakşinato dakşinā pātu savyatah | paścād anuvyādhāt pātu sarvasyā bhavahetyāh z 1 z

This stanza occurs Ppp 2, 85, 3, but was not successfully treated in that place.

pašunā tvām pašupate dvipāddattā catuspadā | ātmanva-[13] ti daksinā prānadattā prāne hi

Here I would suggest dvipaddatta in b, with patu understood; and in d pranena hi. These suggestions are in harmony with what seems to be the intent of the hymn.

yām dadhāsi yaddhadāno daksmām [14] vrākmanakrte | sā tvā yaksmāt pārayaty agne santāpād divyasya šokā

Bead śraddadhāno in a, agnes and śokāt in d.

da-[15]dāmīmām dakṣinām ūtāmamaš chalyābhyakṣmād vibarhā movayante | karṇa-[16] Klam upahatyārātis sarve yakṣmā upo tisthantu sākam

At the end of a there is probably a reference to the acamana rite, but I cannot suggest a good reading. In b read chalyad and mocayante: in c karnasilam, if it is a correct form, would seem to indicate some disease of the ear: read "aratis.

anyena prāni [17] vanute tirodhatte puridhānena yaksmā hīranyam asvain gām dadatu krņute va-[18]rma daksiņā [

The ms interlines a correction, da, over dadatu.

At the end of b yaksmit seems probable; in a read dadatu. Possibly there is a corruption at the beginning of a.

uşnīšamtyā šīšaktyā dvāsas tvāt tam nāmayā candram hi-[19] ranugm mithyā kurnād dattam šukram bhöjātu

Here I can offer no satisfactory suggestions. In a två širşak-

tyñ seems possible, for b dväsas tvät tan namayat: in ed I can see only words, and it is not at all clear that the end of the stanza is as indicated.

vädkuryät pätu daksinä | upa-[f103a] varkanain krtvä griväm ayär manayo yaksinäd atravyä angarogiid

In a badhiryat might stand; if the first pada belongs with this stanza we should read daksinopa", with colon after krtva. For e we might read grivain me ayan manayo; bhratryyad might be considered in d but does not seem to fit the context.

abhyakjana manyantām ni-[2], tvēm nyā adhampadā dāmayatah pado rogān upanahūh daņdas tvā dattas pari pā-[3]tu sarpā

In a abhyañjanam is possible, for b perhaps nis tvam aya adhaspada: in c read upanahan, in d sarpat.

daksinatah preto daksinena | sāumanasum daksinām daksimāna isa [4]m ūrjam daksinām samvasānā | ghrtasya dhārum ase pratīmas

Pada a can probably stand; in b dhoksyamanah is perhaps the best suggestion; in d read avase pratīmah. The second hemistich appears Ppp 5, 31, 8cd with bhagasya in d. Punetuation is to be corrected.

sakasrāingām šatam [5] jyotiyam hy asyā yajāasya paprir umŗtā svargā ā netu dakṣiṇā viśvarūpā a-[6]hinsantī pratigṛhnima enām z anu 3 z

Read: sabasrāngā šatam jyotisām hy asyā yajnasya paprir amrtā svargā | ā na etu daksinā višvarūpāhinsantim pratigrhnīma enām z 10 z 5 z anu 3 z

This is Ppp 5, 31, 9, which however has yajñíyasya in b; probably it should be read here also.

The first and last stanzas indicate the general intent of this hymn; the mention of the sandals, the staff, and probably the turban, seems to narrow the application to the occasion of initiation.

16

(\$ 19. 17)

[t103a6] agnir mā pātu vasubhi-[7]3 purastāt tasmin krame tasmin yam śrapaye (hām puram vravīmi | sa mā raksatu sa mā go-[8]pāyatu tasmātmānam pari dade svāhā z vāyur māntarīkseņa tasyā di-[9]šas somo mā rudrāih daksiņāyā dišah varuna mā natīn etasyā diśa-[10]s sūrya mā dyāvāpṛthivībhyām praticyā diśa apo soṣadhasitīr etasyā di-[11]šas pāntu tāśu krume tā ā šraye thām puram vravīmi | tā mā rakṣantu tā mā [12] gopāyuntu tābhyātutmānam pari dade svāhā | viśvakarmā mā saptarṣībhi-[13]r udīcā diśah indro mā marutvān etasyā dišaṣ prajāpatīr mā praja-[14]nanavān saptabhiṣṭāyā dhruvāyā dišah vṛhaspatīr mā višvāir devāir ūrdhvā [15] yā dišaṣ pātu tasmin krame tasmiyam uraye thām puram vravīmi | sa mā ra-[16]kṣatu sa mā gūpayatu tasmātmānam pari dade svāhā zz 1 zz [17]

Read: agnir mā pātu vasubhis purastāt tasmin krame tasmin śraye tām puram prāimi | sa mā rakṣatu sa mā gopāyatu tasmā ātmānam pari dade svāhā z 1 z vāyur māntariksenāitasyā dišas pātu = | = z 2 z somo mā rudrāir daksināyā dišas pātu ° z 3 z varuno mādityāir etasyā dišas pūtu sūryo mā dyāvāpṛthivībhyām pratīcyā diśas pātu 5 z āpo māusadhīmatīr etasyā dišas pāntu tāsu krame tāsu śraye tăm puram praimi | tă mā rakşantu tā mā gopāyantu tābhya ātmānam pari dade svābā z 6 z višvakarmā mā saptarsibhîr udleya dîsaş patu " | " z 7 z indro ma marntvân z 8 z prajāpatir mā prajananavān etasyā dišas pātu sa pratisthāyā dhruvāyā dišas pātu ° | ° z 9 z vrhaspatir mā višvāir devāir ūrdhvāyā dišas pātu tasmin krame tasmin śraye tăm puram prăimi | sa mā rakṣatu sa mā gopāyatu tasmā ātmānam pari dade svāhā z 10 z 1 z

The text is restored, in places perhaps somewhat violently, to agree with \$; vravimi of the Ppp ms offers the only occasion

for doubts.

17

(S 19, 18)

[f103a17] agnim te vasumantam ršchantu i māmaghāvayaş prācyā dišo bhidāsān so-[18] main te rudravantam ršchanta i māghāyava daksināyā dišo bhidāsān | va-[19] runam tvādityavantam ršchanta i māghāyava etasyā dišo bhidāsān sū-[1103b] ryain te dyāvāprthivīvanta išchanta i māghāyava etasyā dišo bhidāsān višva-[2]karmānam te saptarsīvantam ršchanta i māghāyava udīcyā dišo bhidāsān i-[3] ndram me marutvantam ršchanta i māghāyava etasyā dišo bhidāsān prajāpatim te pra-[4] jananavantam ršchanta i māghāyava odhruvāyā dišo bhidāsān prajāpatim pra-[5] te prajananavantam ršchanta i māghāyava

dhruvāyā dišo bhidāsān vrha-[6] spatin te višvedevāvāntam ršchanta i māghāyava ūrdhvā dišo bhidāsān [7] z 2 z

Read: agniń te vasumantam rechantu | ye maghayavas pracya diśo 'bhidasan z 1 z vayum te 'ntariksavantam rechantu | ye maghayava etasya diśo 'bhidasan z 2 z somam te rudravantam rechantu | ye maghayava etasya diśo 'bhidasan z 3 z varunam ta adityavantam rechantu | ye maghayava etasya diśo 'bhidasan z 4 z sūryam te dyavaprthivivantam rechantu | ye maghayavas praticya diśo 'bhidasan z 5 z apas ta osadhimatir rechantu | ye maghayava etasya diśo 'bhidasan z 6 z viśvakarmanam te saptarsivantam rechantu | ye maghayava udicya diśo 'bhidasan z 7 z indram te marutvantam rechantu | ye maghayava etasya diśo 'bhidasan z 8 z prajapatim te prajananavantam rechantu | ye maghayava otasya diśo 'bhidasan z 9 z vrhaspatim te viśvadevavantam rechantu | ye maghayava ūrdhväya diśo 'bhidasan z 10 z 2 z

Stt 2 and 6 are restored from S to establish the symmetry between this hymn and the preceding. The variations of the Ppp ms from the text as given in S are corruptions rather than variant readings.

18

(\$ 5. 8)

[f163b7] väikankatenedhmena | devebhya äjyam vaha | agnaye thänn i-[8]ha sädaya sarvä yantu me havam

Delete colon after pêda a; read agne tân in e, and sarva a yantu in d.

indrā yāki me havam idam karişyāmi ta-[9]s chenu | imam indrātirākūti sam navambhū me | tebhis sakemam viryam jātaveda-[10]s tanūvasim

Read havam in a, and tae in b: for ed imām indrātisarā ākūtim aam namantu me: in e šakema, in f "vasin.

yad üsüm amuco devidevü sas cikirşati | vätasyagnir ha [11] vyam siksid dhavam devis ca somapa gur mamāiva havam etunah

Read: yad asāv amuto devā adevas sanā eikirsati | mā tasyāgnīr havyam sāksīd dhavam devā asya mopa gur mamāiva havam etana z 3 z

This is the reading of S except that it has vaksid, and perhaps that too ought to be restored here.

ati dhāvatā-[12] tisurā vikvasyešānā ojasā | vṛścatāmuṣya jīv-

ati | indrena sa-[13] ha medhinā |

Read 'sarā în a; for jīvati the only suggestion I have is jīvātum; in d medinā. Our a = \$ 4a, with b cf RV 8. 17. 9b, and with d cf \$ 6. 129. 1b. This only remotely resembles \$ st 4.

otimṛtātisarāv indrasyojasā hata | avim vṛkiva [14] satnīca tato vo jīvan mā mocih punar ā kṛdhi yathāman trinaham janam

Read: atisrtyātisarā indrasyāujasā hata | avim vrkīva mathnita tato vo jīvan mā moci | pratīcah punar ā kṛdhi yathāmum

trnahām janam z 5 z

Pādas a-d here correspond to Ś st 4; ef are Ś 7de; the reading mocih in our ms might suggest that it has dropped Ś 7e plus the word praticah: i. e. tvam tān indra vṛṭrahan pratīcah, which supplies the needed vocative. A completely satisfactory distribution of the pādas given here as stt 4 and 5 seems hardly possible.

[15] yam ami purodadhire vrahmānam abhibhūtaye | indrasya te adhaspadam tvam pršchā-[16]mi mrtyave | kravyād enam samanatu |

In e read indra sa, in d tam pratyasyami, in e samayatu: the

last pāda is new.

yad viprāir devapurā vrahma varmāņi [17] cakrire | tanūpānah paripāņāni cakrire | sarvam tad ara-[18]sam krdhi |

În a read yadî preyur; delete colon after c. S has paripanim

kraváná vad upocire sarvam.

athāinam indra vrttrahamn ugra marmani višya atrāivenam abhi [19] tisthas šakra nedy ahan tavah | anu tvendrārabhāmahe syāma sumatāu tava |

Read: athāinān indra vṛṭrahann ugro marmani vidhya | atrāivāinān abhi tiṣṭhaś śakra medy ahain tava | anu tvendrā-

rabhāmahe syāma sumatāu tava z S z

[f104a] yathendram udvätanam labdhvä cakre adhaspadam | krne mim adharam tathā šašvatībhyas sa-[2]mābhyah z 3 z

Read: yathendra udvātanam labdhvā cakre adhaspadam | kruve mum adharam tathā šašvatībhyas samāhhyah z 9 z 3 z

19

[f104x2] aŭgiraso janmanăsi tam u hāhur vanaspatim sva pi-[3]lo rakșo bādhasva sākam indreņa medhinā | Read angiraso in a, sa in e, and medina in d: tvam would seem better in b. Pada a occurs AB 7, 17, 3a.

apa rakṣāṅsi bādhasva bādhasva pa-[4]rirapṛna | piśācān pīlo kravyādo bādhasva pūradevinah |

For b read bādhasva parirapanā, in d mūra'.

athāhus tistham [5] katukam avagūdkam pale kulam tasyāi hiranyaksiyāi namas kravo arūtaya |

In a testam would seem possible; in d kenmo.

yā [6] sahatī mahormānā sarvāsā vyānaše tasyāi hiranyake; šyāi namas krnvo arā-[7] taye |

Read: yā mahatī mahonmānā sarvā āšā vyānaše | tasyāi * z 4 z This is \$ 5, 7, 9.

yar te yonim pratiredhy ändādo garbhadūşanah rāyam putram prāpyas tvam pi-[8]lus sahajāsitā |

In e I would read prapya, and for d pilos sahajāsitha.

yadā pila mangisah | pakvo tistha vanaspate | tadā-[9]hur indram jajnānam šakram prajjahys prati |

In a read pilo, but for mangisah I have no suggestion; in b tistho seems probable. In d prajäghne might be possible.

yathā sedhim apabādhatāpašyamāno [10] vanaspate | evā pilo rakso bādhasva sakam indrena medinā |

In a sedim apa" would give a possible reading; in d read sakam.

yat piśácāi [11]s purusasya jagdham bhavaty ātmanah ā pīlo pyūyate punas tava casnātu pipr [12]lam |

Read cášnátu in d; piprlam would seem to mean 'fruit.'
pllum tvákuk pitvákur atho tvákur vanaspatím | sarvá tve
bhadra má [13] namání tebhín nas páky ankasak

In a it would seem possible to read pitiin tvalur: in e te bhadra namani would be good; in d read tebhir,

rakşohanam vettrahanam pilum piśāca-[14] jambhanam | jajhānam agre vekṣānām tam te badhnāmy āyuse ez 4 ez [15]

Read: raksohanam vetrahanam pilum piššicajambhanam | jajnaman agre veksānām tam te badhnāmy āyuse z 10 z 4 z

20

[104s15] sagarāya šattrukaņe zvākā | šaramnīlāya šattrukaņe zvākā | sadamsā-[16]ya šattrukaņe zvākā | isirāya šattrukaņe zvākā | avasyave šattruka-[17]ņe svākā | võyave šattrukaņe zvākā | vātāya šattrukaņe svākā | [18] samudrāya šattrukaņe svākā | mātarišvane šattruhane svākā | pavamā-[19] nāya šattruhano sváhá zz sz ity atharvanikapāippalā-[20]dayāi śākhāyām

saptamas kandas samaptah 22 ka 7 22

Read: sagarāya šatruhane svāhā z 1 z šilānīdāya šatruhane svůhě z 2 z sadanšáya šatruhane svähá z 3 z isiraya šatruhane svāhā z 4 z avasyave šatruhaņe svāhā z 5 z vāyave šatruhaņe svāhā z 6 z vātāya šatruhane svāhā z 7 z samudrāya šatruhane svähä z 8 z mätarišvane šatruhane svähä z 9 z pavamānāya śatruhane svähā z 10 z 5 z anu 4 z

ity atharvanikapäippalädäyäm sakhäyäm saptamas kändas

samāptah.

The emendation silanidays (an epithet of Garuds) is none too certain, but seems possible.

THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE WESTERN HAN DYNASTY

TELLY H. KOO DENISON UNIVERSITY

I. The feudal system of the Chou dynasty.

THE FORM of government which the Revolution of 1912 partially overthrew was no sudden creation, but the product of long centuries of growth. It had its roots far back beyond the Christian era and had undergone great modifications in successive dynasties. It has by no means disappeared to-day, but in modified form is the basis of the present republican machinery of administration and may well remain so for years to come. all the long history of the Chinese political organization, there is no more important period than that which spans the dynasty of the Western Han. It was then that the combination was made between the decentralized fendalism of the Chou and the highly centralized and bureaucratic innovations of the Ts"in. As the years of the dynasty progressed, a form of organization increasingly developed which with alterations was to become the framework of the central government under all succeeding rulers. It is not too much to say that the organization of China which we know dates from the great emperors of the Earlier Han.

The history of feudalism in China goes back to the time of Yu, the founder of the Hia dynasty. It had its origin at Tusan's where Emperor Yu had his first conference with the princes of the different existing states. In succeeding generations this feudal system was improved and modified to meet the peculiar needs of each time, and it reached its completion in the middle of the Chou dynasty. It is well nigh impossible to discover the exact beginnings of feudalism, for what records we have of that period are unreliable. To have a full and intelligent understanding of the governmental system and structure of the Western Han, however, it is wise to have in mind a brief survey of the feudal government as it existed under the more important Chou monarchs.

In the present province of Anhui.

At the head of the State was the emperor.2 He had the power to create nobles, appoint ministers, distribute honors, inspect his subjects, confer emoluments, and levy taxes. He was to conduct religious ceremonies, national worship, and meetings of the princes. He granted land to those whom he considered worthy and he retained the power to eject such grantees should they be found faithless.

The central government consisted of the emperor, a prime minister or senior chancellor (T'ai Ssu) who was over all departments and who helped the monarch to execute the latter's decrees, a senior tutor (T'ai Fu) who gave advice to the emperor, and a senior guardian (T'ai Pao) who admonished the ruler whenever he departed from the path of rectitude. Each of the three councillors had an assistant or junior councillor (Shao Fu. Shao Pao, and Shao Ssu). These councillors were to study the needs of the nation and to submit suggestions to the Crown for the improvement of the welfare of the people.

Below the councillors were the six departments.

1. The Heaven Department (T'ien Kuan). The head of this department helped the emperor to regulate the state affairs and public expenses, to determine the national budget, and to fix taxes.

2. The Earth Department (Ti Kuan). The head of this department was charged with the duty of establishing schools, proclaiming laws, providing for the poor and the helpless, encouraging virtue, and appointing teachers to instruct the people in the proper means of life.

3. The Spring Department (Ch'un Kuan). It was the duty of the head of this department to attend to all religious cere-

monies.

4. The Summer Department (Hià Kuzu) was assigned the duty to raise money for war, to organize the army, to crush rebellion, and to examine people who were ready for service.

The Antumn Department (T'siu Kuan). This was the ministry of justice. To its head was intrusted the task of interpreting the laws, punishing criminals, and giving instructions to the judges. On the other hand, he was to see whether the

In Chinese texts all rulers of the Chou are called kings (Wang) and all monarche from Ts in to the present time emperors (Ti).

punishments imposed upon the people were reasonable. Under him were the Great Travellers (T'ai Ying Jen) and the Small Travellers (Sino Ying Jen), who were given police powers, i. e. they were to inspect the feudal kingdoms, to see whether everything was in good order, and to make reports of their tours.

6. The Winter Department (Tung Kuan). The head of this department had the duty of assigning to the people suitable places for dwelling, of providing employment for them, and of overseeing public works.

All six departments were directly responsible to the emperor. They were supposed to make constant and regular reports of their work and to present measures for the emperor's approval. Roughly speaking, the emperor, the councillors, and the departments formed the imperial council.

The monarch reserved a state of one thousand square li for himself. The rest of the land was given to his femilal vassals. Of these there were five classes: first, the duke (Kung) who was given one hundred square li; second, the marquis (Hou) who received the same size of land; third, the earl (Pô) to whom was given seventy square li; fourth, the count (Tsu) and fifth, the baron (Nan) to each of whom were given fifty square li-Territories less than fifty square li were not directly responsible to the emperor but to the princes and were called attached territories.4 All imperial ministers were given lands according to their ranks. Thus the whole nation under the Chou was divided into nine regions including the imperial domain. There were once 1773 feudal states, of which ninety-three were in the imperial domain." The tenure of land within this region was for life, while that outside was a hereditary grant given to the princes.

Under each of the five classes of vassals were a number of officers and ministers, a majority of whom were appointed by

Hawking L. Yen, A Survey of Constitutional Development in Chisa, Columbia University Press, New York, 1911, p. 52.—Friedrich Hirth, The Jacient History of China, Columbia University Press, New York, 1911, p. 103.

^{*}For a detailed study of the departments, see H. L. Yen, op. set. pp. 45-55.

^{*} H. L. Yen, op. cit. p. 42.

^{*} Ibid. p. 43.

^{*} Ibid. p. 56.

the Crown. The number of officers varied according to the feudal rank of their master.3 To express their loyalty and allegiance to the emperor, custom and law required that the feudal princes should send annual tribute to the monarch, model their governments according to the central government, confer with the emperor in ease of difficulties, and help him to subdue rebellious princes. Were trouble to arise between two states, the wronged prince was not allowed to attack without first obtaining the consent of the emperor.

All land was divided for purposes of cultivation into three classes in accordance with its fertility, and it was partitioned among the farmers according to the number of persons in a family,18 In return, the farmer was under obligation to pay rent and to labor and fight whenever emergency arose. Later, the 'Well Farm' (Tsin T'ien) system was inaugurated, a plan by which land was divided into nine equal lots, each comprising seventy square mon. To every adult was assigned a lot, and every eight families were to cultivate the lot in the center. The income of the latter was to go to the imperial government.

When the emperor declared war on neighboring peoples, one from each family was required to join the army. All urban residents between twenty and sixty-five years of age, with the exception of the nobles, officers, the old and the crippled, were required to go to war.11

Ordinary citizens of good character and ability might enter the civil service. They were first to pass satisfactory examinations and were recommended to the emperor and inducted by him into the court.

For a while the whole machinery, complicated as it was, worked well and produced its desired results. The able monarchs who gave vigor to the initial years of the Chon dynasty succeeded in maintaining order and peace and the feudal princes were kept under control.

II. The decline of feudalism.

The later emperors of the Chou dynasty forgot the hardships of their ancestors and gave themselves over to vice, leaving the

^{*} Ibid. p. 42.

^{= 76}id. p. 57.

^{*} Ibid. p. 42

[&]quot; Ibid, p. 58.

government in the hands of incompetent or corrupt ministers. They ceased to give heed to their councillors, and instead of picking the best to fill offices, they surrounded themselves with flatterers. In 842 s. c. rebellion broke out and the ruling monarch, Li Wang (878-842 s. c.), was banished. Bad emperors were followed by worse ones. Yu Wang (781-770 s. c.), in order to please his queen, cheated his princes by lighting false beacon fires, and was finally captured by the Hiunguu, a people related to the Huns.

After Nan Wang (314-255 a. c.), the ministers and princes actually made and dethroned the emperor and ceased to pay tribute to him.¹³ They began to worship Heaven directly, a privilege heretofore reserved to the monarch, and no longer sent troops to the latter's assistance. Before long they ceased to present themselves to the emperor and at one time failed to visit him for thirty years.¹⁴ Those princes who were exposed to the attacks of neighboring states, seeing that they could not expect any help from the central government, now organized their own armies, levied their own taxes, and themselves appointed civil and military officers.

By the time of P'ing Wang (770-719 a. c.), the emperor's leadership had become purely nominal and his power had passed into the hands of the feudal princes. The northwestern states began to expand their territories at the expense of their barbarous neighbors, the Yung and the Ti. By constant struggle with these tribes, they developed their warlike spirit, and with the help of such military leaders as Sung Ping and Wu Chi, the stronger feudal princes annexed all the neighboring small states and became more powerful than the central government. The eastern states had been unable to expand their territories, for they were hedged in by the sea. They began, however, under such statesman as Kunn Tze, to make use of salt and iron, and thus became rich. The emperor now found himself dependent on some states for money, on others for military support.

Among the feudal princes, meetings were held without giving notice to the monarch and alliances were concluded and dissolved

[&]quot;See ma Ch'ien, Shih Chi (Historical Records), Commercial Press, Shanghai, China, 1916; Chapter 4, p. 11.

[&]quot; F. Hirth, The Ancient History of Chino, p. 326.

[&]quot;Sec-ma Ch"len, Shih Chi, ch. 4, p. 9.

without reference to him. This condition of affairs led to periods of decentralization and internal warfare known as the era of 'The Five Leaders' and 'The Seven Heroes.' Several times the emperor attempted to restore his power, but it was too late. The last Chou monarch, Nan Wang, made a bold endeavor to crush Ts'in by concluding an alliance with some of the princes. Ts'in took advantage of this breach, became an open rival, and, by virtue of superior force, defeated the imperial armies. After Nan Wang's death, the empire was left to the relative of the emperor who was ultimately conquered and deposed by Ts'in.

The outstanding weakness of feudalism lay in its decentralization. While the people were technically subjects of the emperor, in actuality they were governed by the local princes. Each local jurisdiction meant the loss to the monarch of just so much land.

III. A period of centralization under the Ts'in dynasty.

With the beginning of the contending states there came a period of anarchy. Warfare was universal. Finally Ts'in Cheng (246-209 a.c.), the feudal prince of Ts'in, with the help of his able warriors conquered and annexed all other states, and China, for the first time, became a united nation. Seeing well the drawbacks of feudalism, Ts'in Cheng determined to rule with an iron hand.

The rulers of the remote past had the title 'Hwang Ti.' All the monarchs of Chou had assumed the title 'Wang,' because they considered themselves unworthy of being called by the earlier title. Ts'in Cheng, however, thought that his merits surpassed all the ancient rulers and so called himself 'Hwang Ti' (Emperor). He has, accordingly, been known to posterity as Ts'in Shih Hwang Ti. When he considered whether it would be wise to divide the nation among the nobles and his relatives, his minister, Li Shih, replied that 'the preceding dynasty, Chou, suffered a great deal because the feudal princes looked upon each other as enemies. They disregarded the mandates of the king, indulged in constant warfare, and at last caused the downfall of the central government. It is sufficient to compensate the princes and ministers with money. This is the way to insure peace." Acting upon the advice of his minister, Ts'in Shih

[&]quot;Sea-ma Ch'len, Shik Chi, ch. 6, p. 5.

Hwang Ti divided the kingdom into thirty-six administrative districts, each ruled by three officials, a governor, a general, and a censor, all appointed by the emperor. All weapons were collected and melted. New laws were put into operation and the 'Well Farm' system was abolished. All the more capable people were ordered to live in the capital in order to permit careful surveillance and so to nip further revolutions in the bud.

This sudden break with the governmental methods installed by the ancient emperors seemed too radical to the scholars of the time and they ventured to comment adversely upon it. To stop these criticisms, Li Shih suggested that 'scholars are wholly ignorant of the present. They care merely to copy the past. If they are allowed to criticize the government, seditions and the decline of imperial power will follow. I suggest therefore that all books but the records of the present dynasty be burnt. People who dare to talk about the older classics should be arrested, tried, and executed. Scholars who venture to compare the present government with the past and thereby make slighting comments are, together with their families, to be killed. Officials who tolerate such outlaws or who fail to execute this order thirty days after its issue should receive the same punishment or be banished from the kingdom. All books but those on forestry, horticulture, and medicine should be gathered and thrown into the fire. Scholars might be allowed to study law under appointed officials."10

This suggestion was embodied in an imperial decree and was put into vigorous execution. Such books as could be found were burnt, all scholars were brought to trial and not less than four hundred were buried alive. It was only through the careful efforts of a daring few that we to-day still have the Confucian classics.

Before his death, the First Emperor saw the beginning of the disintegration of the empire. There was universal and growing dissatisfaction and mobs were common. Within a few months, the whole fabric had fallen to pieces.

There is much to be said in favor of the policy of centralization as it was carried out by the First Emperor. His iron hand was needed to bring the nation together. He did well in abolishing the old system of taxation and in placing national resources

[&]quot;Sen-ma Ch leen, Shih Chi, ch. 87, p. 3.

under the direct control of the central government. He saved the nation from the incessant civil wars of the Chon and wisely took over all military powers of the feudal princes. He centered all political powers in his own hands by making all ministers and governors directly responsible to him. His purpose was to make the nation the personal property of his family for 'thousands of generations.' His dream might have been partially realized had it not been for his excessive tyranny.

IV. Han Kao Tsu's general plan of reconstruction.

The man of iron was gone. Once again the nation was plunged into turmoil. New military heroes were making their fortunes and the surviving feudal princes planned to restore their old kingdoms. It seemed as though the days of the Contending States were fast returning. There was not even a nominally recognized emperor. On the other hand, the people were tired of war. They were willing to follow any one who would guarantee the safety of their property and lives. Such a man was found in Liu Pan (206-194 s. c.) later known as Han Kao Tsu, the founder of the Western Han dynasty.

Kao Tsu started his career as a magistrate of a ting. Through his genius as a warrior and strategiat, he worked his way up until he became a rival of Hiang-yu, then the dominant figure in the empire. His experience convinced him that he could not hold the country together by sheer force, nor by assigning portions of land to the princes. He was sure, however, that a plan such as set forth by Ts'in Shih Hwang Ti was workable if he could combine it with the machinery devised by the ancient sovereigns.17 His first aim was to gain the favor of the people. This he did by allowing them to occupy the gardens of Ts'in and to turn them into fields, by exempting them from taxation for a certain length of time,18 by abolishing the laws of Ta'in, and by the proclamation of 'The Three Principles,' a simple penal code which ran; 'Murderers are to be executed. Criminals who are guilty of robbery or injuring others are punishable by severe laws. The rest of the Ts'in laws are to be void."

[&]quot; Pan Ku, Ch'ien Han Shu (The Former Han History), The Commercial Press, Shanghal, China, 1916, sh. 1b, p. 2.

[&]quot;Ibid. ch. 1, p. 10.

[&]quot;Ibid. ch, 1, p. 7. 13 JAOS 40

Kao Tsu knew well that instead of driving his conquered enemies to the wall it might be well to show his magnanimity. By promising to each the grant of a city of ten thousand families he induced the independent governors to surrender. All prisoners, except those deserving death, were to be free. He ordered that all who, for want of food, had sold themselves as slaves during the war, should be free citizens. Innocent military officers who had lost their positions were to be restored. By liberal treatment, Kao Tsu won the confidence and support of the conquered.

The emperor was no less conscious of the need of granting favors to those who had offered help in bringing the war to a successful issue. On one occasion he made a frank confession that as an organizer Chang-liang far surpassed him, that as a strategist Shiao-woo was much better, and that as a general Hansin was much superior to him. To satisfy all the generals and leaders who had promised allegiance to him, he granted to each a certain portion of land. He even conferred land on his enemies. Soldiers who died in the war were to be buried at the expense of the state, and their families were to be provided for. Those who had rendered important service were to be exempted from taxation forever.

The scholars were the leading citizens and were not to be neglected. To keep them quiet, Kao Tsu proved himself a worthy follower of the past and a worshipper of the sages. He showed honor to the monarchs of the past by assigning positions to their descendants, and even before he became emperor displayed his loyalty by ordering his army to mourn for I Ti, the rightful king of Tsu, who was murdered by Hiang-yu. During his conquest of the empire, he refused to attack the State of Imbecause Confucius taught there, a striking contrast to the attitude of Ts in Shih Hwang Ti. In conformity with the governing principles of the emperors, Kao Tsu made known his

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 1, p. 10.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 1b, p. 1.

⁼ Ibid ch 1b, p. 2.

[&]quot; Joid. ch. 1b. p. 3.

[&]quot; I'dd. ch. 1b, p. 4.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 1b, p. 2.

[&]quot;Ibid. ch. 1b, p. 1.

belief that people were to be taught and not to be punished, and that they were to be governed by the good and the honorable of the community." Good character, favorable reputation, and experience were requirements which he laid down for those who wished to enter the civil service. Promotion was to be based on merit. It was the emperor's idea that all district magistrates should either in person or by deputy visit the scholars who were known for their good conduct and should recommend them to the Palace.28 While he was still on the battle-field Kao Tsu promised that scholars who were willing to follow him should be ennobled.28 To them he gave exclusive privileges which were denied to the merchants.36 By these means, the support of the conservatives who had been alienated by the Ts'in was obtained.

The land problem was a serious one. Kao Tsu was well aware that he could not practise the extreme absolutism of Ts'in Shih Hwang Ti, for he had learned by experience that unless he gave lands to the leaders of the time, the latter would not follow him."1 The question which concerned him was how to grant lands and yet have a central government efficient enough to hold

the princes in subjection.

Remembering the mistake of the Chou dynasty in permitting the nation to become a loose federation of petty states, Kao Tsu decided to create a few large kingdoms. He did not restore the Five Class System of Chon which had been abolished by the Ts'in, but started a two class feudalism made up of the king and the feudal princes with the emperor at the top. During the first decade of the Western Han dynasty, there were only twelve kingdoms, three of which were ruled over by Kao Tsu's brothersin-law who had followed him in the wars, and the remainder by his own brothers,22 The number of officers whom he made fendal princes amounted to little over a hundred." This is in sharp contrast with the beginning of the Chou dynasty, when there were eight hundred kingdoms, fifty of which were ruled

^{= 16}id. ch. Ib, p. 2.

[&]quot; Idid. ch. 1b, p. S.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 1b, p. 7.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 1h, p. 6. " Ibid ch. 1b, p. 1.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 3, p. 2. " Ibid. ch. 14, p. 1.

by brothers and relatives of the king.²⁴ The kingdoms of Han varied in size from thirty-one to seventy-three districts (Chun).²⁵ Each district was again divided into Haiens and contained from three to fifty-one of these. Throughout the Western Han dynasty all grants were counted by the numbers of families, and these varied from 10,000 to 460,000 in a district. The estimated population of the various districts ran from 30,000 to 2,590,000. These figures are by no means reliable, because even to-day an accurate census is unknown in China. They provide, however, fairly satisfactory data on which to base estimates.

Among the methods which Kao Tsu devised for maintaining the power and wealth of the central government was the retention of a considerable body of land for himself. At the time of his accession, the central government had fifteen districts, an amount equal to all the large kingdoms combined. He gave portions of that land to his princesses, who were, of course, powerless. For the administration of the capital, he appointed a viceroy who was directly responsible to him.¹⁸ The capital was approximately three times the size of the royal domain of the Chou dynasty.

Kao Tsu conferred large grants upon his brothers, because he believed that to locate them at the different strategic points of the country would meet two ends: it would satisfy his brothers, and minimize the danger of rebellion. Hence at the very outset the title 'king' (Wang) was almost exclusively given to his brothers and brothers-in-law. He thought that by virtue of their relation to the emperor they would be faithful, but he overlooked the fact that they might become too powerful and thus endanger the throne. Feudal lords outside his family were not made kings without first granting them the surname Liu-Kao. Tsu's family name. Nine of the emperor's brothers and sons became kings. Later the title 'king' was given to ministers and princes of great merit who did not belong to the Liu family, but all of them disappeared before Wen Ti's reign (179-156 n. c.).²¹ It is evident, then, that the larger part of the nation

[&]quot; Ibid. ch, 14, p. I.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. Ib, p. 4.

^{*} Ibid. ch. 17.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 13, p. 1.

was in the hands of Kao Tsn's immediate family and of those

closely related to him.

With a few exceptions, Kao Tsu kept the administrative system of the Ts'in dynasty intact. A majority of the offices, as we shall see later, had their origin in the preceding dynasty, and Kao Tsu did not even change their titles. From time to time the number of officials who were used to strengthen the central government and to watch the kings and fendal lords was increased. Kao Tsu and his successors regarded the positions of the censors as very important and kept their occupants busy.

As time went on, many of the kings died without heirs and others lost their estates through unworthy descendants.48 The central government annexed all such territories and put them under its direct control. The Western Han dynasty owes much of its unity and expansion to Wu Ti (140-86 s. c.), for while to some of the generals he granted his newly-won territories, he spared no effort to make the conquered land a portion of the

royal domain.

The last and perhaps the most important method by which Kao Tsu and his successors maintained the strength of the central government was the retention of military powers in the hands of the emperors. We have seen how Chou Yu Wang kindled beacon-fires to summon the soldiers of the feudal princes for help. This story illustrates the dependency of the Chou emperors upon the feudal princes for military assistance. With this as an object lesson, the Western Han emperors entrusted all military power to a few generals appointed by the central government. It was this system that kept Kao Tsn's widow from usurping the government and that later put down the Seven Kingdoms' Rebellion (154 B. C.). Indeed, had it not been for the emperor's military power, and the military officers who were always faithful to the Crown, the Western Han would have come to an end long before it did.29 While love of peace weakened the Chou dynasty, the constant invasions of Hiungnu gave to the Han emperors a good reason for building up a national army strong enough to meet any emergency.

In a word, then, Kao Tsu effected a sort of combination of the

[&]quot; Ibid, ch, 41.

⁼ Ibid. ch. 1b, p. 10.

feudalism of the Chou and of the highly centralized government of the Ts in. To comply with the desire of the people who were eager to see the return of the Chou days and to quiet those who had done much to win the throne for him, he had to share with his retainers the fruits of his conquests, but he decided to go half way and no more. Along with the restoration of feudalism he limited the number of grants, retained a large area for the capital, created most of his chiefs or kings from the members of his own family, retained and increased all Ts in official positions which were necessary for a strong imperial government, and kept the military power in the hands of the generals of the central government.

V. The feudal government.

We have seen that there were two categories of titles in the feudalism of the Western Han dynasty, king and marquis. We have seen, too, that those who became kings were as a rule the amperor's brothers and children. The title was occasionally given to other men of extraordinary merit, and still later was conferred on the surrendered chiefs of the northern nomads." It was also the custom of the Western Han dynasty to keep in the emperor's ancestral temple a record of the service rendered by ministers, the children of whom might, under rare circumstances, be summoned to enter civil service and given lands. The emperors of the Western Han, however, particularly those who ruled after the Seven Kingdoms' Rebellion, were very careful not to make unnecessary grants.

Before the Seven Kingdoms' Rebellion, the story of which we are soon to relate, the feudal governments were a ministure of the central government. Their officials, both civil and military, were the counterparts of those of the central government, except that their titles were slightly different. It is explicitly stated that Kao Tsu promised his children the right of governing their own territories." All kingdoms were hereditary, that is, the eldest son succeeded the father, just as the eldest son of the emperor was to succeed the emperor. This, however, was later changed. Except the tutor, the prime minister, and the censors, who were chosen by the emperor," the chiefs in the feudal king-

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 17.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 51, p. 4.

[&]quot; Ibid ch 5%, p. 4.

doms were allowed to appoint their own officials and levy their own taxes.44

Points of contact between the central government and the feudal governments were insignificant. All that was required was to send an annual tribute, to visit the emperor once in five years, to attend any conference that the emperor might call, and to send delegates to the imperial palace when ancestor worship took place. When the kings became old, the emperor granted them a cane and freed them from the necessity of coming to see him. The emperor also reserved the right to regulate the taxes of the feudal princes in time of famine. Aside from these restrictions, the feudal princes ruled as independently as the emperor himself.

VI. The growth in power of the feudal kingdoms culminating in the Seven Kingdoms' Rebellion.

In spite of the checks and safeguards which Kao Tsu provided, the feudal kingdoms increased in importance. During the long war at the end of the Ts'in dynasty, many great cities had been deserted. During and before Wen Ti's reign all people who had left their homes returned, and there was such an inrush of immigrants that some feudal kingdoms actually doubled in population. The larger kings got 3,040,000 families, although originally no one of them had had more than 16,000.

With the increase of population and with the natural resources which some of the feudal kingdoms possessed it followed inevitably that industry grew by leaps and bounds, and with it wealth. For instance, the kingdom of Wu (in the locality of the present province of Kiangsu), by virtue of its nearness to the sea, manufactured salt and coined money, and soon became so rich that it was able to free its people from taxation. With the increase of wealth, it might well be expected that Wu's regard for the central government would decline.

It will be remembered that at the end of the period of the

[&]quot;Idid, ch. 1b, p. 9; ch. 24, p. 4.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 5.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 5, p. 1.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 44, p. 4.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 16.

⁼ Ibid. ch. 35, p. 2.

Contending States, some of the fendatories became strong because of the four nobles who used to have a large number of guests.⁴⁹ The nobles would give them pensions, and in return, when emergency arose, these guests would do all in their power to uphold their masters. This was also common in some of the larger kingdoms at the beginning of the Western Han dynasty, and it became at least one of the causes that contributed to the importance of the kings.⁴⁰

The growth of military power was another explanation for the expansion of the feudal kingdoms. In the attempt of Queen Li (Kao Tsu's consort) to kill off all the kings of the Liu family and to fill their places with her own brothers, several of Kao Tsu's sons were executed outright or compelled to commit suicide. This attempted coup d'état gave a pretext for the remaining feudal kings of the Liu name to enlarge their armies, a step which might later tempt them to revolt.

In time, then, the feudal lords came to be more concerned with their own autonomous development than with loyalty to the central government. Within a hundred years after the accession of Kao Tsu they had gotten so far away from the control of the emperor that the realm seemed about to return to the decentralized conditions of the Contending States. The feudal chiefs were ready to challenge the strength of the central government whenever a chance should be given.

The emperors, however, were keenly alive to the danger, and saw clearly that if affairs were allowed to take their course, the fendal governments were certain to surpass the imperial government in wealth and power. In view of this danger several attempts were made to reduce the feudal kingdoms. Two brilliant statesmen, Kia I and Ch'ao Ts'o, initiated the plan. These men suggested in turn to Wen Ti and King Ti (156-140 n. c.) that a part of the feudal lands be annexed by the central government, for the stronger the central government the less the fear of rebellion. Kia I's proposal, however, received but scant attention, and the seven kingdoms demanded the execution

[&]quot;Ping Year Kun, Meng Ch'ang Kun, Sin Ling Kun and Ch'un Shez Kun.

[&]quot; Pan Ku, Ch'ien Han Shu, ch. 44.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 38.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 48, p. 5.

of Ch'ao Ts'o on pain of revolt. An outbreak finally started in the kingdom of Wu. The ruler of that state, fearing that the central government might become too strong, induced his fellow kings to join him. Partly because of the military power of the central government, and partly because of the lack of close cooperation among the rebellions states, the revolt was put down.

VII. A period of centralization.

As soon as the Rebellion of the Seven Kingdoms had been suppressed, the emperor King Ti undertook to reduce the feudal kings to a less independent position. His first measure was to deprive them of the full control of their estates. It will be recalled that except for a nominal tribute which the feudal chiefs paid to the central government they practically ruled as independent sovereigns. Now the central government made it known that the kings were not to be allowed to govern their lands.35 They might keep them as a source of revenue, but must part with their political functions. All officials, civil and military, were now to be appointed by the emperor and were to be directly responsible to him.31 To guard against plots and conspiracies, the number of officials in the kingdoms was greatly reduced.** As a result some of the kings became so poor that they were forced to ride in ox-drawn carts.48 They ceased to exert political influence and became harmless pensioners of the central government.

In the second place, the emperor now put into execution a plan which had been contemplated during the initial years of the dynasty, the division of the kingdoms among the children of the kings. The central government notified the kings that after the death of each, the eldest son was to retain a comparatively larger portion of land and the title of king, whila to the younger sons were to go a definite portion of land and the title of lord. As a result the largest kingdom (Chi) was soon divided into seven parts, Chao into six, Liang into five, and Wei Nan into three.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 10, p. 7.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 38.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 10, p. 7; ch. 14, p. 2.

[&]quot; Ibid, ch. 38.

Sau-ma Ch'len, Shih Chi, ch. 17.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 17.

During Wu Ti's reign all the former wealthy and extensive kingdoms became insignificant. As the number of states multiplied, the spirit of unity increased and the danger of revolt declined.

King Ti and his successors were particularly careful to limit or reduce the size of the kingdoms.12 The big kingdoms of Wn Ti's time did not exceed ten cities, while the lords did not have more than forty or fifty li, an amount of land so small that the income was just sufficient to pay their tribute, their share in the expenses of the imperial worship, and to meet their own private expenses," Each king was allowed to possess no more than three hundred mon (acres) of land and two hundred servants." Violation of the law was punished by confiscation.

The central government, moreover, began to avail itself of every opportunity to annex kingdoms in whole or in part. Sometimes the king died without children, or the children were convicted of crime, and sometimes the king failed to appear when summoned, or neglected to send money to aid in the annual imperial worship. 42 Largely as a consequence the royal domain, which at the beginning of the dynasty possessed fifteen districts, by the time of King Ti increased to over eighty.42 Perhaps the most important feature of the plan was the imperial possession of all mountains and rivers, a source from which the kingdoms once derived much of their prosperity and wealth.24

Another means used to avoid trouble with the feudatories was to shift the kings much as the late Manchu regime shifted the viceroys.42 Suspended kings were usually asked to remove to the frontier provinces, which was equivalent to exile.48

As a final precaution against rebellion, censors were maintained whose duty it was to inspect the kingdoms and to make reports. These officials were to see to it that no large kingdoms trespassed on the neighboring small states, and that there was no disobedience of imperial decrees, no excessive taxation, no injustice in the courts, no practice of favoritism, and no luxury. er

[&]quot;Pan Ku, Ch'ies Hon Shu, ch. 48, p. 4.

[&]quot;Ibid. cb. 11, p. 2. " Ibid. ch. 19, p. 7.

^{*} Phid. ch. 48, p. 14; ch. 14, p. 2. " Sau-ma Ch'ien, Shih Chi, ch. 17.

[&]quot; Pan Ku, Ch'ies Hun Shu, ch. 53, p. 5; ch. 6, p. 9.

[&]quot;Sauma Ch'ien, Shik Chi, ch. 17.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 17.

^{*} Pan Ku, Ch'ien Hon Shu, ch. 48, p. 12.

In a word, the kings were no longer semi-independent rulers, but pensioners, and as such they had merely the right to gather taxes under imperial supervision. They were held strictly to their duties and obligations to the emperor, and were required to attend the imperial worship and to be present at the regular conferences with the head of state."

VIII. The central government.

As in all absolute monarchies, the emperor under the Han was in theory all powerful, the chief executive, the law-giver, and the supreme judge. In time of peace he regulated taxes, examined scholars, and appointed ministers. In time of war he was commander-in-chief of the armies.

Usually, however, the emperor did not exercise all the powers which technically belonged to him. He had a prime minister who was frequently the real ruler. The title 'prime minister' (Chin Siang, later Siang Kuo, in either case meaning 'to assist in ruling') was created by Ts'in Shih Hwang Ti and preserved by the Han emperors. Some emperors indeed had two prime ministers. The duties of the latter were not clearly defined. Upon his suggestion the emperor appointed, dismissed. or punished his kings and officials," made and abolished laws, proclaimed peace, and declared war. All petitions, recommendations, impeachments, and reports reached the crown only through his hands.12 He had two assistants.

The senior tutor, the senior chancellor, and the senior guardian together constituted what was known in the Chou dynasty as the Three Councillors. These were abolished by the Ts'in dynasty but were restored under the Han. Besides offering suggestions and advice, their functions were insignificant.

The general (Ta Ssu Ma) was charged with the direction of all military affairs." Under him were four lieutenant-generals

^{*} For a complete list of the titles of the Western Han officials consult Edouard Chavanans' Les mémoires historiques, five volumes, Paris, 1897; Vol. 5, Appendix 1.

[&]quot;Pan Ku, Ch'irn Shu, ch. 5, p. 4.

[&]quot;The title 'general' corresponds to the 'T'at Wei' of the Ta'in dynasty.

(right, left, front, and rear). The number was increased from time to time. They commanded the two standing armies in the capital, and the national army in case of foreign invasion.

Another official who, with the prime minister and the general, shared the honor of being the most important functionary at court, was the grand censor (Yu Ssu Ta Fu, later known as Ta Ssu K'ung). He was at the head of civil officers, and upon him the positions of all sub-officers depended. He had two assistants, one in charge of the imperial library, the other entrusted with the duty of inspecting all district officers. Under those two were fifteen commissioners (Yu Ssu Yuan) whose duty it was to receive all indictments submitted by local officers.

The administrative board corresponding to the departments of modern governments included, first of all, the Ta Ssu Lung or minister of agriculture. China was then predominantly agricultural, and derived the greater part of her national revenue from the farm. The minister of agriculture was to send around officers to collect taxes from the farm and to distribute grain to all civil office-holders. All taxes coming from mountains, seas, ponds, and marshes went to meet the current expenses of the imperial family.⁷³

There were three governors in the capital. Under them were a number of military officers whose duty it was to maintain order in the royal domain.

There was a special functionary to look after the imperial temple, ancestral halls, and ceremonial observances.

The supreme court was organized under the Ts'in dynasty (the title 'Ting Wei', meaning fair, survived in the Han). The court was attached to the palace, and the chief justice was appointed by the emperor. Later this court was called T'ai Li Yuan, a name which was in vogue even at the beginning of the Republic. In the seventh year of Kao Tsu's reign, each Hsien was ordered to have a local court of its own. If a case could not be settled there it was to be submitted to the governors, who, in case they should fail to settle it, were to hand it over to the supreme court. Final appeal could be taken to the emperor.

[&]quot;There were two kinds of taxes, 'S'al' and 'Fu'; the first for public expenses, the second for the national army.

[&]quot; Sec. ma Ch'ien, Shih Chi, ch. 23, p. 8.

Within the imperial palace there stood the head official of the court. His duty chiefly consisted in reporting on the character of all court officials. Under him were five categories of officers which we need not describe except to say that they were either personal guards or servants of the emperor and the royal family. In addition, there were special officials to look after the different palaces and to take care of the finances of the imperial family.

IX. Local administration.

The country was divided into kingdoms, which in turn were divided into administrative districts. Each district was again . divided into Hsiens. As we have noticed previously, the number of districts under each kingdom varied from three to fifteen, and the number of Hsiens in each district varied from three to fifty-one. Towards the close of the Western Han dynasty, it was estimated that the capital or royal domain had fifty-seven Hsiens and a population of two and a balf million. 72 Outside of the royal domain the country was divided into twenty kingdoms, which were composed of eighty districts, which again were made up of one thousand five hundred and one Hsiens. The total population was approximately sixty millions. **

The Western Han dynasty kept the district system of Ts in practically intact. At the head of each district were a civil governor and a military officer. At the head of each Hsien was a magistrate. Each Hsien was about ten li square and was composed of an indefinite number of counties or Shans. There were three officers in each county, who were collectively known as the 'Three Old Ones.' One was to look after the religious and educational welfare of the people or, more strictly, to enlighten the people in the ways of living, one was the judge and tax collector, and the third was the head of the police. The smallest unit was a Ting, at the head of which was an officer who had no well defined duties." From the prime minister to the lowest official, it was estimated that one time there were not less than 130,000 officials.

[&]quot; Ssu-ma (h lieu, Shih Chi, ch. 28, p. 5.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 28.

[&]quot;After the Seven Kingdoms' Rebellion, all these officials were appointed by the emperer.

X. The effects of the administrative system of the Western Han upon subsequent dynasties.

The Western Han dynasty is generally regarded as one of the most glorious periods in Chinese history, not alone because of the widespread conquests of Wu Ti's reign and the brilliant rulers which it produced, but because of the far-reaching and persistent influences of its administrative system upon later dynasties.

- 1. Perhaps the most outstanding and lasting effect of the Western Han dynasty was the honor paid to scholars. For the purpose of recruiting officials for the elaborate bureaucracy, civil service examinations were established, and success in these was based upon proficiency in the classics. Decrees ordering the recommendations of scholars for governmental service were repeatedly promulgated. People came to regard the mastery of the classics as the only method of obtaining entrance into the time-honored official class. In P'ing Ti's time (1-6 A. D.) the Chou school system was restored and scholars were distinguished by their dress and manner. Later the title 'Five Classics Doctor' was created. A general knowledge of the five classics was required of any scholar who had the desire to be an official. The Confucian school, wellnigh extinguished by the Ts'in, now enjoyed unprecedented popularity. It was this tradition that obtained honor for the scholar class and gave birth to the competitive examination system. It was this tradition, too, which made scholars more eager for official positions than for social usefulness.
- 2. We must not overlook another effect of the Western Han officialdom, which as ages went by contributed much to the corruption of the Chinese administrative system. This was the sale of offices and titles, a practice which had its origin in the latter part of Wu Ti's reign, when the country was on the verge of bankruptey because of the long wars and the successive attacks of famine. To get money, the government created and sold titles and petty offices. In later years, however, when famine was over, the government had no intention of abolishing the system, and gradually it became a regular form of national income; and the wealthy began to look upon political position as a means of acquiring a fortune. So persistent was the corrupt tendency then established that as late as the Manchu

dynasty officials shamelessly regarded office as a source of private gain. With money they procured power; with power they

obtained more money.

3. At the beginning of the Western Han dynasty, people were allowed to mint each and produce salt and iron. Later, however, when the country was flooded with eash, money began to lose value, and as the salt and iron merchants became rich the government relied on them in time of financial stringency. To remedy the situation and to add to the wealth of the central government, coinage of money and the manufacture of iron and salt were forbidden to imlividuals.

4. One of the noteworthy features of the Western Han period was the changes in the penal system made under different rulers. Kao Tsu ordered that all criminals over seventy and below ten should not be held responsible for the crimes committed," It was also in his time that the death punishment was commuted for the payment of 60,000 cash. The punishment of the 'slaughter of three clans' was abolished." In theory and practice the Western Han rulers in the long run carried out the motto set forth by Kao Tsu that 'people are to be enlightened, not punished,' a motto which has inspired many a monarch in

ensuing generations.

5. The emperors of Western Han in their provision for the old and destitute not only showed their own magnanimity and care but also aided materially the initiation of many philanthropic institutions, some of which exist to-day. The emperor Wen Ti was the first one to order that widows, widowers, orphans, and the poor were to be cared for. It was the duty of the district magistrate to send around officers to visit these helpless people. People over eighty were given ten bushels of rice and a certain amount of meat and wine each month. Those over ninety received, in addition, two hundred feet (tsai) of silk and forty ounces of cotton." These grants were constantly fulfilled by the emperor. Sometimes the helpless were exempted from taxes and service. Not infrequently, when the country

"Pan Ku, Ch'ien Han Shu, ch. 1b, p. 1.

[&]quot; Pormerly when a criminal was convicted of some very serious crime, not only was he to be executed, but all his relatives on the side of his mother, father, and wife. * Pan Ku, Ch'ion Hon Shu, ch, 4.

was at peace, the emperor would ask his governors to make through their commissioners a special study of the poor and to provide means of relief and help. This policy encouraged private charitable institutions. Many of the traditions and customs of government aid for the poor have come down to our days.

6. The exact tax system is nowhere to be found in the Chinese records of the Han dynasty. It is quite safe to infer from the various hints found here and there that the government laid taxes on merchandise, while the chief revenue was from the land tax. There was a head tax of sixty-three cash per year in Wu Ti's time, but what became of it in later generations, no one can tell." Unmarried women beyond the age of thirty were to pay sixty cash a year. 92 On the other hand, the pure women, the filial, the old, the parentless, and the good were usually free from taxation, or paid at one half the rate of others. ** It was the custom of the Western Han, too, to grant people wine and silk at the accession of a new emperor. Whether compulsory military service such as was installed by Ts'in Shih Hwang Ti survived in the Han is questionable. We know, nevertheless, that at the beginning, all prisoners held for minor crimes were compelled to enter the service for national defense,"

7. In the royal grants of oxen and wine, women had an equal share. Unusual honors were given to chaste women after their death, and the grants of land and titles to women were an innovation of the dynasty. It is true that in the preceding dynasties women had ruled behind the throne, but the queen of Kao Tsu (Li Shih) became a ruler in fact. Her attempt to kill off all Kao Tsu's soos and to transfer the country to her own family, though a failure, established a precedent which was to be repeated later on and was occasionally to imperil the nation.

8. Very often under the Western Han the emperor was not the sole ruler. The emperors of the Chou diffused their power among the fendal princes, but the Han emperors leaned upon their prime ministers and councillors, to many of whom we must admit the Han dynasty owed its prosperity and development.

[&]quot; Ibid ch. 2, p. 7.

[&]quot;Ibid. ch. 1,

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. L.

[&]quot; Ibid. ch. 2, p. 5.

Later, moreover, under weaker sovereigns, some favorites actually worked for the destruction of the imperial house. From then on, up to the outbreak of the Revolution in 1912, the government was more than once either in the hands of the queen and her relatives, or of the prime ministers; and often the two would plunge the country into chaos.

We have seen that the administrative systems and traditions of the Han have left many good as well as bad influences. On the whole, it is agreed that the Western Han was one of the most brilliant of the formative periods of Chinese history. It succeeded in organizing a central government upon which the subsequent dynasties laid their basis. It revived the Confucian classies and prepared a civil service basis upon scholarship. In strong contrast with the Chou kings there was a close relationship between the people and the central government. Never before were the monarchs so eager to study the people, their needs and problems; and, on the other hand, never before were the subjects so conscious of their obligation towards the rulers. As a dynasty, the Western Han contributed much to the solidification and the general development of the country.

PHRASE-WORDS AND PHRASE-DERIVATIVES

CHARLES R. LANMAN

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

THE TRUE CHARACTER of a linguistic phenomenon sometimes fails to be clearly recognized, for no deeper reason than this, that no one has taken the trouble to describe it and propound a good name for it. An apt designation, if it be clear and self-explaining, suggests at once a category in which many seemingly unrelated facts find unity.

'While we were breakfasting' is English. 'He broke his hip by falldowning' is not. Why I because the combination 'break fast,' as is shown by the pronunciation and by the fact that it is under the domain of a single accent, has become what may fitly be called a 'phrase-word,' while 'fall down' has not become a phrase-word. Derivatives of phrase-words may be styled 'phrase-derivatives.' Phrase-words and phrase-derivatives are common in English and Sanskrit and Päll. These designations may suggest to Anglicists and Indianists and others the interesting task of collecting the facts and studying them. A few examples may be given.

English.—Lady Macbeth's 'Letting I-dare-not wait upon I-would.' Boswell's 'A plain matter-of-fact man.' From a phrase-adjective, good-for-nothing, comes the abstract goodfor-nothing-ness. So straightforward-ness. From the phrase-word et-cetera has been formed the adjective eteeter-al: as in 'the eteeteral term of an equation.' And from pro rata (in proportion) has been made the verb to prorate (assess proportionally). The phrase so and so is as truly a word as is its precise Sanskrit equivalent asau. Hence it is entirely licit to give it a genitive inflection and say 'so and so's oxen.'

Differing from this in degree rather than in kind are the examples given in the 'funny column' of the newspaper. Thus: 'Is that puppy yours or your little brother's!' 'It's both-of-us's.' St. Mark, narrating the betrayal of Jesus, says: 'And one of them that stood by drew a sword, and smote a servant of the high priest, and cut off his ear.' A modern lad renders it: 'He cut off the servant of the high priest's ear.' For other examples,

with interesting comment, see Words and their Ways in English Speech, by J. B. Greenough and G. L. Kittredge (Macmillan, New York, 1901), p. 188-.

On account of their especial clearness as examples may be cited several derivatives. Sir James Murray quotes from Haliburton (1855) the agent-noun comeout-er. (See the verb come, sense 63 m1!!) Similar is the quite recent coinage, standpatter, from stand pat, 'take a position that just suits the exigency.' So standoffish and standoffishness. Sir Walter Scott (1821), in Kenilworth (ii.), has: Married he was . . . and a cat-and-dog life she led with Tony. Professor E. S. Sheldon tells me of the Old French comfaitement and sifaitement (qualiter, taliter) from the phrase-words com-fait and si-fait (qualis, talis).

An ecclesiastical council of the sixth century enjoined that if the presbyter could not preach, a deacon should read a homily. Each homily began with the words 'Post illa verba textus' (after those words of the text), and so a homily became known as a postil, and the verb postillare was coined as Mediseval Latin for 'read a homily, postillate,' Whether the judicial sentence of 'hanging by the neck,' suspensio per collum, was once so frequent as to make a standing abbreviation for it needful, I do not know. The dictionary does in fact book 'sus, per coll.' as such a shortened form, and Thackeray (Denis Duval, i) writes: None of us Duvals have been suspercollated to my knowledge.

From Greek and Latin I have not made collectanea. The prior part of tautologous etc., like that of the Greek ταυτο-λόγος etc., represents a phrase, τὸ αὐτό. Herodotus speaks of 'the people who live beside a river (παρὰ ποταμῷ)' as οἱ παραποτάμου. And the title of Iliad 22 is μάχη παραποτάμου, quite literally. 'Alongtheriver-ish Combat.' I presume that ἀνέπια are literally

¹[H. L. Moncken, The American Language (New York, 1919), p. 229, quotes inter alia: 'That umbrella is the young-lady-I-go-with's.'—Ed.]

[&]quot;So the modern quelque is a phrase-word. In older Franch we find quel + nonn + que + verb: see Sheidou in The Romanic Review, vol. 10, pages 233-249, and especially 2476. An unprinted 'doctor dissertation' (of 1906) by John Glauville Gill on Application as a process of word formation in French may be consulted in the Harvard Library. French on, 'yes,' was originally a (from Latin hoc) + the personal pronoun it. See A. Tobler in Kuhn's Kettschrift, 23, 423. Cf. the geographical name Languedoc (Provençal oc'yes,' from Latin hoc), and the antithetic langue d'oil.

'in-a-dream (things),' và à tre opénera; and that ultramundanus is a derivative from the phrase-word ultra-mundum. So ultramontanus is from ultra-montem, and not (as the dictionary says) from ultra-montanus.

Sanskrit.—In so early a record as the Rigveda, we find a huenlent example of the genesis of a phrase-word. At 9, 1, 5

occurs the couplet:

tuầm áchả carâmasi Unto thee do we go tád íd àrtham divé-dive. For this very purpose day-by-day.

But at 8, 2, 16, vayám . . . tadidarthāh, the phrase has crystallized into a single word, a pessessive compound, under one single accent, 'we, having-this-very-purpose,' that is, 'we, intent on this.' Whitney, at 1314, under the heading, 'anomalous compounds,' registers 'agglomerations of two or more elements out of phrases.' Most familiar is itihāsas, 'story,' from iti ha āsa, 'thus, indeed, it was.' Hence āitihāsikas, 'story-teller.' So from iti ha comes āitihyam, 'tradition.' From na asti, 'non est (deus),' comes nāstikas, 'atheist.' From punar uktam, 'again said,' comes pāunaruktyam, 'tantology.' Quite frequent in ritual books are designations of hymns, made (like 'Te Deum) from their first words: so āpohisthāyam (sc. sūktam), 'the-Since-ye-are-(kindly-)waters-ish (hymn),' for Rigveda 10. 9, which begins with āpo hi sthā mayobhūvah.

Päli.—In Päli, the coinage of phrase-words and phrase-derivatives runs riot, as does the coinage of denominatives in the 'English' of Thomas William Lawson. In so old a text as the Dighs (1. 132), one who greets you with 'Come, and welcome' is called an chi-sāgata-vādī, literally, 'a-' Come-Welcome' sayer.' Nothing could be simpler. The Mahā-vagga (1. 6, 32) tells how, before the Order was established, a monk was summoned to live the Holy Life by the Buddha himself, and with the simple words, 'Come hither, monk' (chi, bhikkhu). Such a one is called a 'Come-hither-monk (monk)' at Visuddhimagga, 2, 140, and his ordination is 'Come-hither-monk ordination,' chi-bhikkhu-upa-ampadā. The Majjhima (1. 77, 29), describing a monk who is slack in observing the rules of propriety, says he is not a 'Come-hither-venerable-Sir-man' or a 'Wait-a-bit-venerable-Sir-man,' chi-bhadantiko, titthabhadantiko,—here using derivatives of the

phrases chi, bhadanta! and tittha, bhadanta! The Religion or Truth is called (at 1, 37, 21) the 'Come-see-io Religion,' the chipassiko dhommo, from chi, passa, 'Come, see.' A gana to Pānini (2. 1. 72) gives chi-svāgata and other similar ones.

I suppose that auto gharam, 'in the house,' is strictly a phrase, in which anto governs gharam. So anto vassam, 'in therains.' But the whole phrase has won the value of a substantive. 'rainy-season,' so that the combination autovass-eka-divasam,

'on a day in the rainy season,' is entirely natural.

The Dhamma-sangani uses the phrase ye va pana . . anne pi atthi . . dhamma, 'or whatever other states there are,' (So at § 1, page 9, line 22: cf. pages 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, etc.) The commentary, Attha-silini (at § 328), quoting § 1 of the text, speaks of these as the ye-va-panaka states, the 'etceter-al' states, the 'whatever-other-al' states. The Visuddhimagga speaks once and again (book 14) of the 'four etceterals,' the yevapanakā caltaro.

Phrases containing inflectional forms sometimes occur in derivatives in such a way as not to offend against logic and grammar. Thus läbhena läbham nijigimsano means 'desiringto-win gain by gain.' The abstract therefrom, labkeng-labkainnijigniksana-tā (in Visuddhi, 2) is quite logical. So idani-

-atthi-tā.

Per contra.-Although layo ca zankhārā, and three sankhāras' (nominative), is quite en règle, the Patisambhidā (at 1. 26, p. 97; ed. Taylor), having occasion to speak of them in the genitive, inflects the whole as a crystallized phrase, and says tayo-co-sankhārānaii. In view of this procedure (although very striking, it is easily intelligible), Taylor would have been wholly justified in adopting the ungrammatical lectio difficilior of his mss. S. and M., at p. 58, catusso-ca-vipassanasu. In fact he reads the strictly grammatical cafasu co mpassanasu. The Dhammapada Commentary (at 3, 38) says that the Teacher gave instruction by a story 'with reference to' (arabbha) 'three groups of persons' (fayo jane: accusative). The title, however, fayojanavatthu, is a compound of vatthu (story) with tayojano, the 'stem' of the crystallized phrase toyo-jane.

So-called 'compounds' of which the prior member is a gorund are, strictly speaking, phrase-words. The famous collocation,

patical samuppādo, 'origination by-going-back-to (a prior cause),' that is, 'dependent origination,' is entirely normal as two words, but it becomes in fact a unit, that is, a single phrase-word. So paticca-samuppanno, etc. Compare Buddhe (dhamme, sanghe) avecca-ppasādo, at Majjhima 1. 37. The Dhammapada Commentary, at 4. 230, tells of a devout layman who asked his wife about the other Paths, and then at last 'the question with-a-stepping-beyond, the question with-a-trans-scending,' the atikkamma-panha, or 'the transcendent question.' 'Ah,' says she, 'if you want to know about that question, you must go to the Teacher and put it to him.' The beautifully weiled phrase means of course the question about Arabatship.

Examples might easily be multiplied. Let these suffice to tempt some Päh student to systematic study of these enrious and interesting linguistic phenomena.

BRIEF NOTES

The Sanskrit passive-stem

Its sign is accented $y\hat{a}$, added to the root. Since the root was unsecented, its form was the weak one: bandh, badh-ya-te. The grammars, in long succession, state that, before added ya, the root undergoes changes: thus final r becomes ri; final i becomes i; and so on.

These changes lose the aspect of irregularity, if we consider that the ya of the passive, like the ya or iya of the gerundive, is often dissyllable, i-a, or (with the 'transition-semivowel' or 'disjunctive semivowel') i/a. Thus kr-iya-te becomes kr-iya-te; ai-iya-te becomes ciyate. The ā-roots (few in number, but of frequent occurrence) weaken to i: pā, piyate. Thus after the powerful analogy of forms like piyate, ciyate, even roots in u show ū; śru, śrūyate.

To this it may be objected that 'the passive-sign is never resolved into ia in the Veda.' So Whitney, Grammar, 771g: cf. Edgren, JAOS 11, p. iv, Oct. 1878.—'Is the passive ya ever resolved into ia?' Clearly, in view of the forms like mriyate, hriyate, dhriyate, etc., it is no less a begging of the question to answer this question with 'never,' than it is to say that these

forms prove that it is so resolved.

Accordingly let us look at the Prākrits and Pāli. (See Pischel's Prākrit Gram., § 535-; Geiger's Pāli Gram., § 176.) Here are found corresponding forms in abundance which show the formative element ya as a true dissyllable: Prākrit, gamīadi, gacchīadi, sunīadi, jānīadi, sumarīadi; Pāli, soāhīyati (śodh-

yate), māriyati, sāriyati, and so on.

The gerundive (it may be added) is simply a verbal adjective. Latin laudandus is properly 'laudable,' just as faciendus (and facilis no less so) is simply 'do-able.' The Sanskrit gerundives 'formed with ya, tavya, and uniya,' are better treated all alike as secondary verbal adjectives in ya (in the Veda often i-a: see Edgren) or iya, from different primary verbal substantives: kâr-ya (kâr-ia) from kâra; kartav-ya from kartu; karan-iya from karuna. (Cf. Pischel, § 571; Geiger, § 199.)

CHARLES R. LANMAN

Harvard University

An erroneaus etymology of New Persian padšah, in relation to the pr. n. Hangelbys (Hdt. 3, 61)

Marquart (Phil. Sup. 10. 531) was the first to propose that the name of the Magian, the brother of Gaumāta (Pseudo-Smerdis), as given by Herodotus in the form Patizeithes was not a proper name but an official title corrupted from the Anc. Pers. *patiziāyaðiya and preserved in the familiar Mod. Pers. pādšāk. This theory has found place in later histories and commentaries to such an extent that it has become almost popularly accepted. My argument against this view is based on the phonetic difficulties involved, on the use of the term in the Middle Persian period, and on what I believe is the restoration of the usurper's real Magian title.

It is doubtful if the hypothetical Ane. Pers. *patizšāyabiya would signify 'pro-king, viceroy, regent,' The chief ground for the existence of such a word with the meaning proposed is its apparent connection with Mod. Pers. sla ob padsah 'king.' This seems to the writer phonetically impossible. The Anc. Pers. prefix patiy becomes in Mod. Pers. pas, pas, never pad. Again, in the Greek transliteration of Anc. Pers. sounds 28 becomes \$ or a, never & except when medial, MeyoBelos (baga 'god' + *buxin fr. buj 'to free'), and in the combination h-xi. Papraçaθρης (farnah 'glory + xšalfa, 'kingdom'). The Anc. Pers. dental tennis asp. does not become 0 or ; except before p, c. g. Mirpo-, Μθρο- < Mibre, but σ e. g. Xarionys (bata 'hundred' + aspa 'horse'), 'Apranipay (Arta, 'divine law' + burg 'strong'). Furthermore such forms of the Magian's name as Harfarns (Chron. Alex. 339, 16) and Havenithes (Dionysius of Miletus) seem to point to a Kasename, based on Av. pailizanta fr. zan, Anc. Pers. dan 'know.' HarZoidys may not be Greek at all (zar + £00060), but the transliteration of the Iranian patizanta. The metathesis of n is seen in Daparkirm < fornah 'glory' + data 'given.' For v < a, cf. "Apores < Av. hu 'well' + Anc. Pers. "mati, YAv. maili 'thought'; for \$ < t, ef. \$ < p in Mardens < Ane, Pers. mah 'month' + pata 'protected.'

The New Pers. pādišāh, pādšāh was given originally to the monarch as a supreme title of honor and only later was extended to subordinate rulers. This would preclude any designation of power delegated from the king which Marquart would see in the

prefix patiy. The prius of the Mod. Pers. compound is more probably to be found in the Anc. Pers. på 'protect.' The nomen agentis patar 'protector' would appear in the Mod. Pers. as pad, cf. Bartholomae, Altiranisches Wörterbuch, 887, Hübschmann, Persische Studien, 35. The Mod. Pers. padisah < Anc. Pers. patar + xšāyabiya, 'protector-king' would illustrate Iranian r changed into i as in New Pers. giriftah, Bal. gipta, cf. Av. gərəptu 'seized'; New Pers. xirs cf. Av. arəša 'bear'; New Pers. dil, Bal. zirde, cf. Av. sərəbaya 'heart'; New Pers. tiš, cf. Av. tarāna 'thirst.' Cf. change of Skt. r to i in the Indian dialects. Skt. krta, Prak. kita; Skt. ghrta, Bang. ghi, Sindhi gihu, Anglo-Indian ghee, cf. Gray, Indo-Iranian Phonology, 71.

Herodotus (3, 61) states that Cambyses had left Patizeithes two okcor padedoror. If this is not a title but his real name as Hdt. implies, we find his Magian designation in Oropastes (Justin. 1. 9.). This reverses the now generally accepted theory which would find in the latter the proper name and in the former the title. The derivation of Oropastes is clear—prins Anc. Pers. nars 'lord.' posterius upastā 'aid.' Just as his brother Gaumāta (nomen proprium as given in the Behistan Inscription) bore the Magian appellation Speckakings according to Ciesias, Pers. 10, which is the YAv. spentodata, 'created by the Holy,' so we can believe that in "auraupasta 'possessing the help of the Lord' we restore the Magian title of Patizeithes.

H. C. TOEMAN

Vanderfellt University

A possible Sumerian original of the name Nimrod

According to the tradition recorded in the genealogical tablet. Gen. 10. 8 ff., Nimrod, son of Cuah, founded the empire of Babylonia. This Nimrod is mentioned in v. 8, as having been 'the first great warrior in the land' (this seems to be the meaning of the words: רהול להיות נבר בארן), and in v. 9 it is stated that Nimrod was a 'great warrior hunter before Jahve,' i. e., so great as to attract the attention of Jahve (יהות לבר ציר לפני), a tradition which does not appear to have any connection with the rest of the text. For this reason some scholars have concluded that verse 9 is a gloss (Procksch, Die Genesis, 1912, p. 74).

Admitting that v. 9 may be an interpolation, there must have been some reason in the mind of the glossator for the assertion that Nimrod was a hunter of distinction. One's first instinct would be to seek the cause of such a tradition, but, unfortunately, the Biblical Nimrod has not been successfully identified with any Bahylonian here and especially with no one who was specifically devoted to the chase.

Thus, the name Nimrod has of recent years been subjected to the following analyses: Nimrod = Nin-Murda, Maynard, AJSL 34, p. 30, cf. Clay, Miscellaneous Inscriptions, 1916, pp. 93 ff.; Nam-urta = the god Ninib (Procksch, op. cit., p. 74); Nimrod = Namir-udda, a supposed epithet of the god Ninib, Jeremias, Light on the Old Testament from the East, 1, p. 290. Here should be noted also Hommel's derivation: Nimrod = Namrauddu, PSBA 15 (1893), pp. 291 ff., 'shining light,' a view opposed by Jensen, Kosmologie, pp. 104 ff.; etc.

Dr. Emil Kraeling has suggested that Nimrod was an Amorite who came to Babylonia from southern Arabia (Aram and Israel, 1918, pp. 13 ff.). More recently, in the Assyrian Seminar of Columbia University, Dr. Kraeling is now inclined to connect Nimrod historically with Lugal-Banda, a mythological king mentioned in Poebel, Historical Texts, 1914, whose seat was at the city Marad, now known to be the modern Wanna Sedoum, west of Nippur on the Euphrates (Clay, Misc. Inscr., notes to No. 10, and Delitzsch, Paradies, p. 220). Following Delitzsch (Sum. Glossar, p. 206), who derives the name Nimrod from a supposed wu-Marad 'man of Marad,' Kraeling suggests rather en-Marad = Lugal-Marad (en = lugal, 'king'), whom he identifies with Lugal-Marrado = *Maš, Br. 12536; viz., *Maš = Ninib, Clay, Amurra, 1909, pp. 126 ff. Hence Nimrod = Ninib (†).

The king Lagal-Banda, however, was not noted as a hunter. The only two great Babylonian heroes distinguished in the chase were Dumuzi (Tammuz), who was killed while hunting boar (Jeremias, Altor. Geisteskultur, pp. 270 fl.), and the renowned Gilgames, whose name, however, contains no suggestion of hunting and has no connection with the name Nimrod (Prince, 'Note sar le nom Gilgames,' Babyloniaca, 1907, pp. 63-65).

A second suggestion of Dr. Kraeling's is that Nimrod may have

For other opinims, cf. the material in Gesenius-Buhl, p. 501.

been an epithet of the first great Semitic Babylonian king Hammurapi, who, however, was not distinguished in the chase, but, like the Biblical Nimrod, was an empire builder, which would correspond with the expansion attributed to Nimrod, Gen. 10. 10 ff., and, so far as the historicity of Nimrod is concerned, it is highly probable that we have in this obscure character a reminiscence of early Semitic territorial extensions in the Euphrates valley. But it is doubtful whether Hammurapi is intended.

How can the description of Nimrod as a great hunter in the presumably glossated text of Gen. 10. 9, be accounted for! In the absence of any known tradition confirming this statement, the next step would be to examine the form Nimrod itself, to discover whether the name does not offer some suggestion of the chase. Assuming Nimrod to be a Sumerian name or epithet, it is highly probable that the first syllable nim contains the Sum. nin, with gloss ni-ni (Del. Glassar, p. 204) = câidu, occurring in lu edin ni-ni (= kili), 'field huntsman.' That this stem nin (ni-ni) is identical with nigin = saxaru, 'turn, seek,' which itself contains gin, gi= taru, 'turn around, seek,' is highly likely. In ninnini, the final n was probably nasal ng, as in the equation gi = mi = 'man' (also = lu = nu, 'man'; Prince, JAOS 39, pp. 270, 275). This nin-nini also has the meaning napzaru, 'entirety,' a variant of saxdru, 'surround,' in which sense the sign has the val. kili = nasal k + l = n = ningi-ningin.

The element -rod in Nimrod is more difficult. It may stand for Sum. gud? = ellum 'bright, distinguished' (Glossar, p. 215), a very common epithet. In this case, ning-h'ud = 'distinguished hunter.' It is, however, possible that a later tradition may have confounded this guttural gud with gud = qarradu (Glossar, 108), the exact equivalent of the Biblical TDL. If this supposition is correct, Nimrod is merely the original of the rendering TY This suggestion has never been made before, so far as I know, and would serve to explain the introduction of the supposed gloss, Gen. 10. 9, implying that the glossator connected the idea of a huntsman with the name Nimrod.

J. DENELEY PRINCE

Columbia University.

Variant had = cilum, cbbum, 'shining, distinguished' (Glasser, p. 209).

PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

AMERICAN ORIENTAL SOCIETY

AT THE MEETING IN 1THACA, N. Y., 1920

The annual sessions of the Society, forming its one hundred and thirty-second regular meeting, were held in Ithaca, N. Y., at Cornell University, on Tuesday and Wednesday of Easter Week, April 6 and 7, 1920.

The following members were present at one or more of the sessions:

| Abbott | Griswold | Lybyer | Schmidt |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Abbott, Mrs. | Haupi | Montgomery | Schoff |
| Barbour | Hopkins | Nies | Torrey |
| Barret | Hyde | Ogden | Waterman |
| Bates, Mrs. | Jackson | Olmstead | Westphal |
| Beery | Jackson, Mrs. | Popper | es confident |
| Brockwell | Justraw | Sanders | |
| Edgerton, F. | Lannan | Saunders, Mrs. | [Total: 29] |

THE FIRST SESSION

The first session was held on Tuesday morning beginning at 9:45 A. M., in Goldwin Smith Hall, the President, Professor Lanman, being in the chair. The reading of the Proceedings at Philadelphia in 1919 was dispensed with, as they had already been printed in the Journal (39,129-151): there were no corrections and they were approved as printed.

Prof. Schmidt, as Chairman of the Committee on Arrangements, presented the report of the Committee in the form of a printed program. The succeeding sessions were appointed for Tuesday afternoon at half past two, Wednesday morning at half past nine, Wednesday afternoon at half past two, and Thursday morning at half past nine. The session of Wednesday afternoon was to be devoted to the presentation of papers on the historical study of religious, and papers of a more general character. It was announced that on Tuesday at 1 p. m. the President and Trustees of Cornell University would entertain

the members at a luncheon in Prudence Risley Hall; that local friends would take the members on an automobile excursion Tuesday at 4:30 r. m., after which the members would dine together at the Forest Home Tea Room; that the members would gather at the house of the Telluride Club for an informal reception Tuesday evening; that the members would have luncheon together at the Ithaca Hotel on Wednesday at 1 r. m.; that there would be a special organ recital in Sage Chapel on Wednesday at 5:15 r. m.; and that the annual subscription dinner would take place in Prudence Risley Hall on Wednesday at 7:30 r. m.

REPORT OF THE CORRESPONDING SECRETARY

The Corresponding Secretary, Dr. Charles J. Ogden, presented the following report:

The rather miscellaneous duties of the Corresponding Secretary are hard to summarize; but they are in the main the arrangement of the formal program of the Annual Meeting, the noting of changes affecting the membership, and the conducting of correspondence with other Societies and organizations.

There is little for the Secretary to say about the program of the sessions, since, the he has been engaged in learning both from precedent and by experience, he is as yet more able to receive suggestions than to make them. Also the problem of coping with the increasing output of the Members' learned seal has been evaded this year three our escaping from cities into a thoreby academic atmosphere where we can enjoy a meeting of a manageable size. The cixth session decreed by the resolution passed at the last meeting (see the Journal, 39, 134) has therefore been omitted, as it is altogether likely that five sessions will give time enough for the presentation in full of all papers and for ample discussion.

The report concerning the membership can best be stated thru statistics. The list of corporate members, as it was at the opening of the meeting in 1919, contained 359 names. At that meeting 24 persons were elected to membership, and three former members were reinstated during the year, the total accessions to the list being 27. The leases during the past twelve months have been: deaths reported, 15; formal resignations, 4; names dropt from the list, 13; total leases, 30. There are therefore at present 356 names in the list of corporate members, which registers a net less of 3 for the year; but it is unnecessary to emphasize these figures, since they will very soon be made obsolete when the imprecedentedly large list of persons recommended for membership is laid before the meeting.

One honorary member, Sir Arthur Evans, was elected at the last meeting to sill the only vacancy then known to exist, and he has signified his accept-

ance of membership. Two deaths reported during the past year leave two vacancies to be filled in the roll of honorary members.

It is now the duty of the Secretary to report to the Society the names of those members whose deaths have been brought to his notice since the last meeting.

Professor Exect Windsch, of the University of Leipzig, a scholar whose activities embraced the extremes of Indo-European philology, since his studies ranged from Old Irish to Sanskrit and Pali. In the Oriental field his solition of the Itivuttaka and his articles on Buddhist legend and doctrine have been of especial value. Elected an honorary member in 1890. Died on October 30, 1918. [See JRAS 1919, pp. 299-306.]

Professor Leonard W. King, Assistant Keeper of Egyptian and Assyrian Antiquities in the British Museum, and professor in King's College, London. He was widely known for his work in editing Babylonian tablets and the great Behistan Inscription and for his books on Babylonian history. Elected an honorary member in 1917. Died on August 20, 1919. [See AJSL 36, 89-94.]

Mr. J. Nalson Robertson, of Toronto, Canada. Elected in 1913. Died in December, 1918.

Dr. Paul. Cards, of La Salle, Ill., editor of The Open Court. He was primarily interested in philosophy, but had written extensively on Oriental religions, notably on Buddhism. Elected in 1897. Died on February 11, 1919. [See memorial number of The Open Court, Sept., 1919.]

Mr. Gustav A. von Brauchttsch, fellow in Semities at the University of Chicago. Elected in 1917. Died on April 2, 1919.

Professor Chawronn H. Toy, of Cambridge, Mass., for twenty-nine years Professor of Hebrew and cognate subjects at Harvard University, and one of the pioneers in America of the critical study of the Old Testament. Elected in 1871. President of the Society in the year 1906-7, being the first President to be elected under the system of annual rotation. Died on May 12, 1919. [See AJSL 36, 1-17.]

Mr. Greats Albrew Rescuerce, of Brooklyn, N. Y., a young scholar of promise, who contributed an article to the Journal only a short time before his death. Elected in 1912. Died on June 18, 1919.

Professor W. Max Millian, of the University of Pennsylvania, one of the most distinguisht Egyptologists in America, and an active member of this Society. Elected in 1995. Died on July 12, 1919.

Mrs. Jane Dows Nies, of Brooklyn, N. Y., wife of the Rev. Dr. James B. Nies, and herself a supporter of Oriental studies thru her gifts to this Society and to the American School of Oriental Research in Jerusalem. Elected in 1916, and from that time a life member. Died on September 16, 1919.

Dr. Panklis Clarks, of Williamstown, Mass., president of Williams College from 1881 to 1901. Elected in 1873. Died on November 22, 1919. M. Victor Smiller, Méderin-major, Brest, France. Elected in 1919. Died during the year 1919.

Dr. Solomon T. H. Hunwirz, of New York City, editor of The Jewish

Forum, professor in the Rabbi Issae Elehanna Theological Seminary, and a leader in Jewish higher education. Elected in 1912. Died on January 12, 1920. [See memorial number of The Jewish Forum, Feb., 1920.]

Rev. HENRY F. JENKS, of Canton Corner, Mass., formerly pastor of the First Congregational Parish in Canton. Elected in 1874. Died on January 31, 1920.

Professor Eswin Whittind Fat, of the University of Texas, where for twenty-one years he had been Professor of Latin. His scholarly activities, however, extended into the wider domain of comparative Indo-European philology, especially in its relation to the classical languages and Sanskrit, and his brilliant and ingentoms discussions of etymological problems had won for him an international reputation. His death is a serious loss to this Society, for, the unable to attend its meetings often, he has been a frequent contributor to the Journal on Indo-Iranian topics. Elected in 1888. Died on February 17, 1920.

Mr. CHARLES MARTYN PRYNNE, of Boston, Mass. Elected in 1919. Died during the year 1919-20.

Among the external affairs of the Society there has been only one matter of prime importance to note; namely, the Conference of Learned Societies held in Boston last September, and the consequent organization, in Pebruary of this year, of the American Council of Learned Societies Devoted to Humanistic Studies. This topic, however, need not be claborated here, as it has been summarized in the Pebruary number of the Journal (40, 77-80) and has thus been brought, at least constructively, to the members' notice.

The efforts of the Corresponding Secretary to obtain some preliminary consensus of opinion by sending a circular letter to the officers and Directors of the Society have made him believe that a board of eighteen persons is too unwieldy to function between meetings of the Society and that a smaller Executive Council, as has been already suggested, could in the interval deal with argent questions, under proper limitations. Such a power is doubtless inherent in the President; but as he is apt to be a distinguisht, and therefore a busy, man, and likewise duly sensible of the brevity of his tenure, he cannot well be compelled to exercise it. And that the Corresponding Secretary, by reason of his strategic position in respect to the Society's affairs and his comparative permanency in uffice, should assume the right of decision, would be a consequence from which he must be mived if need be in spite of himself.

The Secretary cannot end this report without expressing his appreciation of the cordial co-operation that he has received from the officers and the members of the Society in general, both in answering his requests for information, and in other ways. Especially is it his duty and his pleasure to thank his predocessors in office, Professors Jackson and Edgerton, and the President of the Society, Professor Lanman, for putting at his disposal their stores of precedents and their practical wisdom. Of whatever has been accomplisht the merit is theirs.

Upon motion the report of the Corresponding Secretary was accepted. Brief remarks were made concerning several late members: Professor Jastrow spoke of Max Müller; Professors Hopkins, Lanman and Barret of E. W. Fay; Professor Montgomery of Mrs. J. B. Nies; Professors Hopkins and Haupt of E. Windisch; Professors Lanman and Jastrow of Crawford H. Toy; and Professor Waterman of Leonard W. King.

REPORT OF THE TREASURER

The Corresponding Secretary presented the report of the Treasurer, Prof. A. T. Clay:

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDING DEC. 31, 1910

Escripts

| Balance from old account Dec. 31, 1918 | \$3,326.83 1,540.10 |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Chicago, Rock Tsland and Pacific Ry. \$126 Lackawanna Steel Co. 106 Virginia Railway Co. 50 Minnespolis General Electric Co. 50 J. B. Nies, for the Encyclopedia of Islam | 0.00 0.00 0.00 320.00 50,00 |
| Publication Fund Old plates sold | 5.59 456.54 169.30 |
| Expenditures | 45,945.79 |
| To the Corresponding Secretary: printing | * 19.17 |
| | 143 43.43 .18 .27.15 |
| Journal: printing of 38.5 | 115 |
| 39.2 | P0-4 |
| W. Drugulin for printing | L87 |
| Editory to the second second | *00 |
| AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF | .33 135 2,613.00 |

| C. Snoock Horgronje, honorarium of Islam | A ROOM AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY | | 100.40 |
|---|--|-------|-----------|
| Membership Committee Expense: | | 31.75 | |
| | postage | 7.42 | |
| and the second second | olected | 3.00 | 42.17 |
| Balance, Dec. 31, 1919 | | | 3,707,35 |
| | | | 85,945,79 |

REPORT OF THE AUDITING COMMITTEE

The report of the Auditing Committee was presented by Professor Hopkins:

We hereby certify that we have examined the account of the Trensurer. of the Society and have found the same correct, and that the foregoing account is in conformity therewith. We have also compared the entries with the vouchers and the account book as held for the Society by the Treasurer of Yale University, and have found all correct.

> E. WASHSTEN HOPEINS, F. W. WHIJIAMS,

Amstitors.

NEW HAVEN, CONN., March 15, 1920.

On motion the Treasurer's report and that of the Anditing Committee were accepted; and a suggestion from the Auditing Committee concerning the investment of funds was referred to the Directors for report.

REPORT OF THE LIBRARIAN

The Corresponding Secretary presented the report of the Librarian, Prof. A. T. Clay, and upon motion it was accepted:

Periodicals have been added to entalogue eards, marked and placed on shelves to date. New accessions, including both periodicals and books, are now being catalogued. Mr. Paul, a graduate student, has looked over the books and manuscripts in the Tamil and Rengali languages, and has made additions to the catalogue cards which were already made for them.

Accessions to the Library of the American Oriental Society Mar. 1919-Jan. 1920

'Abd al-Karim ibn Muhammad al-Sam'ani. The Kliab al-ansab reproduced from the me. in the British museum. 1912. (E. J. W. Gibb memorial series, v. 20,)

Bancrice, G. N. Hellenism in ancient India. 1919.

Bhandarkar, D. R. Lectures on the ancient history of India . . . 650 to 225 B. C. 1919.

14 JAOS 40

Bloomfield, M. The life and stories of the Jaina Savior Parevanatha.

Calcutta university commission report (1-5).

Claretie, L. Nos frères roumains.

De Roo de la Faille, P. Tets over Oud-Batavia. (Popular-wetenschappeulijke serie, no. I.)

Gann, T. W. F. The Maya Indians of southern Yucatan and northern British Honduras. 1918. (Smithsonian Institution. Burcau of American ethnology. Bulletin, 64.)

Giuffrida-Roggeri, V. Prime linee di un' antropologia sistematica dell' Asia, 1919.

Holmes, W. H. Handbook of aboriginal American antiquities. 1919.

Journal of Jewish lore and philosophy, v. I, no. 2.

Kaplun-Kogna, W. W. Die j\u00e4dischen Wanderbewegungen in der neuesten Zeit (1880-1914). 1919.

Krom, N. J. Da sunntenansche Periode der javnansche Geschiedenis. 1919.
Laufor, B. Sino-Iranica. Chinese contributions to the history of civilization in ancient Iran. 1919.

Le Nain, L. Rapport succinct sur l'état du paluis des académies après le départ des Allemands. 1919.

Marsellle. Chambre de commerce. Congrès français de la Syrie, 3, 4, et 5 janvier 1919. Séances et travaux, fasc. II.

Al-Mokattam, a daily Arabic newspaper. June-Aug. 1919.

Naraaimhachar, R. The Kesava remple at Belur. 1919. (Mysore archaeological series.)

The New China Review, v. 1. 1918.

Parmentier, H. Inventairs descriptif des monuments cams de l'Annam, t. II.

Pratt, f. A., comp. Armenia and the Armenians, a list of references in the New York Public Library. 1919.

The South Indian research, a monthly journal of researches, v. 1, no. 34.

Stein, A. A third journey of exploration in Central Asia. 1913-16.

Tuttle, E. H. Dravidias S. Repr. from Am. jour. of philology, v. 49, 1919.

REPORT OF THE EDITORS OF THE JOURNAL

Prof. J. A. Montgomery, Senior Editor of the Journal, presented the report of the Editors, and upon motion it was accepted:

The five Parts of the Journal for 1919 have appeared very closely to schodale time. We have received more than the usual amount of copy, which has been delayed in printing because we have not yet retarned to the pre-war size of the Journal, the volume for 1819 containing 352 pages against 460 pages of the volume for 1914-15. Unfortunately it is more than ever obvious that only a considerably larger income will enable us to

return to the original quantum, for with the new year the printers notified us that their rates would be increased between 20 and 25%. We have been advised that is the present state of the printing business we must accept the situation. The Editors are practising all possible economy. Among other economies they must now require that authors shall furnish copy in final shape or class bear the cost of changes in composition. They would urge upon contributors the virtue of condensation and the sucrifice of any but necessary display of foreign types.

Included in the last year's printing bill were items for printing a large number of offprints of the very timely Presidential Address and of a brochure containing the papers on the proposed School of Living Oriental Languages which has been widely distributed by the appropriate Committee.

As the Treasurer's report will show, we came off very cheaply in paying our outstanding printing hill in Germany, at about one sixth of the normal rates. Although this hill was paid in the latter part of the summer we have not yet received from the Messrs. Drugulin the missing copies of the Parts of Volumes 34 and 35, which were held up by the War. A letter from the Messrs. Drugulin of date Jan. 22 advised us that they were at once shipping the missing numbers but these have not yet been received.

The Editors would recommend supplying libraries and other learned institutions with the JOCKNAL at the same rates as to members.

A suggestion was made from the floor that abstracts of papers announced for the sessions be printed for distribution before the meeting; upon motion the matter was referred to the Editors of the Jouanal and the Corresponding Secretary with power.

ELECTION OF MEMBERS

The following persons, recommended by the Directors, were elected members of the Society; the list includes some elected at a later session:

HONORARY MEMBERS

Rev. Père Vincent Scheil, Member of the Institute, Paris, France. Dr. Frederick W. Thomas, Librarian of the India Office, London, England.

COUPORATE MEMBERS

Prof. William Fraderic Badd, Mr. Ossar Berman, Mr. Isaac W. Bernheim, Prof. Campbell Bonner, Prof. Edward I. Besworth, Miss Emille Grace Briggs, Prof. C. A. Brudle Brockwell, Mr. Leo M. Brown, Prof. John M. Burnam, Rev. Isane Cannaday, Mr. Alfred M. Cohen, Dr. George H. Cohen, Rabin Dr. Henry Cohen, Mr. Kenneth Colegrovo, Prof. Frank Leighton Day, Mr. Robert E. Dangler,

Rabbi Dr. Israel Elfenbein, Rabbi Abraham J. Feldman, Rabbi Joseph L. Fink, Babbi Leo M. Franklin. Mr. Maurice J. Freiberg. Mr. Sigmund Frey, Prof. Israel Friedlaender, Mr. Dwight Goddard, Rabbi Dr. S. H. Goldenson, Rabbi Selomes Goldman, Mr. Philip J. Goodhart, Rev. Dr. Herbert Henry Gowen, Mr. M. E. Greenebaum, Rev. Dr. J. B. Griswold, Pres. William W. Guth, Dr. George Pilery Hale, Prof. W. H. P. Hatch, Mr. Daniel P. Hays, Mrs. Edward L. Heinsbeimer, Rabbi James G. Hetler, Prof. Max Heller, Mr. B. Hirabberg, Mr. Theodore Hafelter, Mr. G. F. Hoff, Prof. After M. Holmes, Mr. Samuel Horehow, Prof. Walter W. Hyde, Thal All Shah, Babbi Edward L. Bernel, Mr. Melvin M. Israel, Prof. P. J. Fonkes Jackson, Miss Alice Judson, Mr. Julius Kahn, Mr. Vahan H. Kalendarian, Mr. I. Keyfitz, Mr. Eugene Kinin, Rev. Dr. Emil G. H. Kraeling, Mr. Harold Albert Lamb, Mr. D. A. Leavitt, Mr. Samuel J. Levinson, Mrs. Lee Loeb, Rev. Arnold Look, Rev. Dr. Chester Charlton McCown, Mr. Ralph W. Mack, Rabbi Edgar F. Magnia, Prof. Henry Malter.

Rabbi Jacob R. Marcus, Mr. Ralph Marcus, Mr. Arthur William Marget, Mr. Harry S. Margolis, Mr. H. J. Marshall, Prof. D. Roy Mathews, Rabbi Dr. Eli Mayer, Mr. Henry Meis, Mr. Myron M. Meyerovitz, Rabbi Louis A. Mischkind, Rev. Hugh A. Moran, Mr. Effingham R. Morris, Rev. Thomas Kinloch Nelson, Mr. Herbert C. Ottinger, Mr. Robert Leet Patterson, Mr. Harold Peirce, Dr. Joseph Louis Perrier, Dr. Arnobi Peskind, Mr. Julius I. Peyser, Mr. Robert Henry Pfeiffer, Mr. Julian A. Pollak, Mr. Carl E. Pretz, Rabbi Dr. Max Raisin, Prof. H. M. Ramsey, Prof. Joseph Ranschoff, Mr. Marcus Rauli, Prof. John H. Raven. Rev. A. K. Reischauer, Mr. Bobert Thomas Riddle, Mr. Julius Rossewald, Rabbi Samuel Sale, Rabbi Dr. Marcus Salrman, Mr. Jacob H. Schiff, Mr. John F. Schlichting, Peof. John A. Scott, Mr. Max Senior, Mr. Gyokshu Shibata, Rabhi Abba Hillel Silver, Mr. Hiram Hill Sipes, Mr. Jack H. Skirball, Prof. Edmund D. Soper, Mr. Alexander Spanakidis. Prof. Wallace N. Steams, Dr. W. Stede. Mr. A. J. Simstein, Prof. Leo Suppen,

Mr. L. Newton Trager,

Mr. David Arthur Turnure,

Mr. Dudley Tyng,

Mrs. John King Van Rensselaer,

Mr. Ludwig Vogelstein,

Mr. Morris P. Westheimer,

Mr. Milton C. Westphal,

Mr. Peter Wiernik,

Mr. Herman Wile,

Prof. Clarence Russell Williams,

Prof. Curt Paul Wimmer,

Mr. Lonis Gabriel Zelson,

Mr. Joseph Solomon Zuckerbaum,

Rev. Dr. Samuel M. Zwemer.

[TOTAL: 122.]

Upon motion it was voted that the thanks of the Society be extended to the Committee on the Enlargement of Membership and Resources, and particularly to the Chairman, Prof. Morgenstern, for zealous and efficient work.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS FOR 1929-1921

Dr. J. B. Nies for the Committee on Nomination of Officers reported as follows:

President-Professor Talcott Williams, of Columbia University.

Fice-Presidents-Professor Paul Haupt, of Johns Hupkins University; Dr. Archer M. Huntington, of New York City; Professor Albert Howe Lybyer, of the University of Illinois.

Corresponding Secretary-Dr. Charles J. Ogdon, of New York City.

Recording Secretary-Professor LeRoy Carr Barret, of Trinity College.

Treasurer-Professor Albert T. Clay, of Yale University. Librarius-Professor Albert T. Clay, of Yale University.

Editors of the Journal-Professor James A. Montgomery, of the University of Pennsylvania; Professor Franklin Edgerton, of the University of Pennsylvania.

Directors, term expiring 1923-Dr. Justin Edwards Abbott, of Summit, N. J.; Professor A. V. Williams Jackson, of Calumbia University; Pro-

fessor Charles Rockwell Lanman, of Harvard University.

The officers thus nominated were duly elected.

Upon motion reports of other committees were deferred.

The President, Prof. C. R. LANMAN of Harvard University, delivered an address on 'India and the West' (to be printed in the JOURNAL).

At the luncheon which followed adjournment of the first session Dean J. E. Creighton of the Graduate School made an address of welcome, acting in behalf of President Schurman who was at the time on a mission to Japan.

THE SECOND SESSION

The second session was called to order by President Lamman at 2:30 o'clock on Tuesday afternoon. The reading of papers was immediately begun:

Professor M. Jasthow, Ja., of the University of Pennsylvania; Two New Fragments of a Sumerian Code of Laws. Remarks by Professor Haupt.

A discussion of two texts recently published by Dr. H. F. Luta (Selected Sumerian and Babylonian Texts, Philadelphia, 1919) containing fragments of laws dealing with agricultural regulations and with family relationships. A comparison of the fragments with the Hammurabi Code shows only a general dependence of the latter with many variations. Differences between the Sumerian and Babylonian regulations throw an interesting light on shiftings in social conditions in Ancient Babylonian.

Professor F. Engravous, of the University of Pennsylvania: Evil-wit, No-wit, and Honest-wit. [To be printed in the Journal.] Remarks by Professors Lanuar and Hopkins.

Professor N. Schmidt, of Cornell University: (a) Traces of Early Asquaintance in Europe with Ethiopic Enoch; (b) The First German Translation of Ethiopic Enoch. [To be printed in the Jouxnal.] Remarks by Professors Jackson and Montgomery.

Professor G. R. Benny, of Colgate University: The Pealms called Songs of Assents. Bemarks by Professors Haupt and Jactron.

Professor L. C. Banger, of Trinity College: The Kashmirian Atharva Veda, Book Eight. [To be printed in the Journal.]

Professor C. R. Lanman, of Harvard University: (a) Phrase derivatives; (b) The Sanskrit Passive-formative, ya or its. [To be printed in the Jouenat.] Remarks by Professors Haupt and Ogden.

At 4:25 P. M. the Society took a recess to enjoy an automobile ride.

THE THIRD SESSION

The third session was called to order by President Lanman at 9:45 o'clock on Wednesday morning. Some additional nominess for membership, included in the list already given, were duly elected.

It was announced that the next meeting of the Society would be held in Baltimore at Johns Hopkins University and at Goucher College on Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday of Easter Week, March 29, 30, and 31, 1921.

Upon recommendation of the Directors it was voted to amend

ARTICLE V of the Constitution so that the present wording thereof shall be denominated Section I; and to add thereto the following:

SECTION 2. An Executive Committee, consisting of the President, Corresponding Secretary, and Treasurer, and two other Directors each elected for a term of two years, shall be constituted by the Board of Directors. The Executive Committee shall have power to take action provisionally in the name of the Society or on the Board of Directors, and on which, in the Cammittee's opinion, action cannot be postponed without injury to the interests of the Society. Notice of all actions taken by the Executive Committee shall be printed as soon as possible in the Jounnal, and shall be reported to the Directors and the Society at the succeeding annual meeting. Unless such actions, after being thus duly advertised and reported, are disapproved by a unjointy sote of the members present at any session of the succeeding annual meeting, they shall be construed to have been ratified and shall stand as actions of the Society.

Upon recommendation of the Directors it was voted to amend By-Law VII so that as amended it shall read:

VII. All members shall be entitled to one copy of all entrest numbers of the Jounnal issued during their membership. Dack volumes of the Jounnal shall be furnished to members at twenty percent reduction from the list price. All other publications of the Society may be furnished to members at such reductions in price as the Directors may determine.

Upon motion it was voted that greetings from the Society be sent to the newly organized Palestine Oriental Society, and that it be placed on the exchange list.

For the Directors it was reported that they had voted to send as a gift to the Library of the University of Louvain a set of the JOURNAL.

Professor Lanman reported for the Committee on Co-operation with other Oriental Societies, as follows:

Delegates of the Société Asiatique, American Oriental Society, and Schola Orientale (of Rome), most in joint session with the Royal Asiatic Society, at Landon, September 3-6, 1919. The representatives of our Society were Professors Breasted, Ciny, Woods, and Worrell.

(A full account of the meeting is given in Number I of the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1920, pages 123-162. This number arrived in Cambridge, Massachusetts, between April 5th and 8th, 1920, that is, while the annual meeting of the American Oriental Society was in progress at Ithaca, and so too late for oral presentation.) Report upon plans concerning the progress of Semitic and related studies may best be left to the competent hands of Professors Breasted and Clay and Worrell, who have not yet returned from Egypt and Palestine. And us the issues of our Journal are now frequent, the delay need not be serious. On the other hand, a brief report upon the projected General Dictionary of Buddhism, drawn up by Professor Woods, who came back to America soon after the meeting, may well be submitted herewith.

At a meeting of the officers of the joint-session, including M. Senart, Professors Finot, Sylvain Levi, Maedonell, and Woods, Dr. F. W. Thomas, and Sir George Grierson, it was decided to plan a General Dictionary of Buddhism, with special reference to biography, history, geography, doctrine, and philosophical technique, and in the form of short and precise definitions or articles, and with characteristic passages from the printed texts.

The point of departure would be the vocabulary of Rosenberg (Tokyo, 1916). The first undertaking would be to collect on uniform cards the words already assigned to local groups of workers; a Japanese group, a Cingalese group, an Indian group at Calcutta, and a Tibetan group at Darjeeling or Petrograd. Provisional arrangements for these centres of study have already been made. The revision and editing, especially of the historical and geographical cards, would be the work of the Western members.

The Chairman of the Committee for the conduct of the undertaking is Sylvain Lévi of the Collège de France. With him are associated Dr. Thomas of the India Office Library, and Professor Woods of Harvard. The services of those who make the collections will have to be paid for and there will be (besides necessary incidentals) elected expenses. A lengest of say six thousand dollars will be required. It is proposed to prepare a circular letter to be sent to persons interested in furthering such scholarly work in the various countries,—the letter to be approved and signed by the four bodies already represented at the joint-meeting.

On behalf of the above Committee, Professor Woods asks that the American Oriental Society give its general approval to this undertaking and join the other societies in signing the letter thus approved.

It was voted that the matter of relations be referred back to the Committee for further report.

Professor Jastrow offered the reports of several Committees.

The Publication Committee reported some progress.

The Committee on the Establishment of a School of Living Oriental Languages reported that it had discovered sympathy for the project in important quarters.

The Committee on Enlargement of Membership and Resources pointed to the nominations for membership as its report.

It was voted that members be requested to send to Professor Morgenstern suggestions regarding new members. The Committee on Honorary Associates reported progress.

The Committee on the Statement of Scope, Character, Aims, and Purposes of Oriental Studies reported inability to prepare a suitable statement and asked to be discharged.

The Committee on the Formation of a National Academy of

Humanities reported progress.

At this point it was voted: that the American Oriental Society ratify and it does hereby ratify, the convention and constitution of the American Council of Learned Societies devoted to Humanistic Studies. This constitution has already been printed in the Journal (40, 78 f.).

It was also voted: that the Society's delegates to the Academic

Council just mentioned be appointed by the Directors.

The Committee on the Interests of the American School in Jerusalem gave a brief report on the activities of the school during the last year.

The Committee on a Plan for Archaeological Exploration in the Near East reported that Professor Breasted is now in that region looking over the ground.

At this point the presentation of papers was resumed.

Mrs. A. H. Saundras, of New York: Some Literary Aspects of the Absence of Tragedy in the Sauskrit Drama. Remarks by Professors Edgerton, Jackson, Ogdan, Jackson, and Brockwell.

This paper is a consideration of the loss of possibly great tragedies through the rules of dramaturgy against unhappy endings for Sauskrit

plays

Mr. W. B. Schorr, of the Philadelphia Commercial Museum: Cinnamon, Cassia, and Somaliland. [To be printed in the Johnnam.] Remarks by Professors Turrey, Ogden and Haupt.

Mr. P. L. Bannoux, of New York: Some Observations regarding the Burnshashi Language of Northern Kashmir. Remarks by Professors Haupt

and Brockwell.

The purpose of this paper is to draw attention to certain features of this unclassified language of Northwestern India. The poculiarities

particularly noted are:

(1) a system of prenominalizing or adding a pronominal predx to the various words, be they nouse, adjective, or verb, which express the idea of family relationship, or name the parts of the body or concepts of the mind;

(2) the use of a vigesimal system in counting.

In conclusion the author expresses his desire to investigate the language at first hand.

Professor C. A. B. BROCKWELL, of McGill University: Some of the basic principles of the science and art of measuring time, as used among the early Mcditerranean peoples. Remarks by Professors Haupt and Justraw.

Rev. Dr. J. E. Annertt, of Summit, N. J.: Maloba, the Maratha Saint. [To be printed in the JOURNAL.] Remarks by Professor Jackson.

The President announced the appointment of the following committees:

On Arrangements for the meeting in Baltimore in 1921: Professors Haupt, Bloomfield, and Dougherty, and the Corresponding Secretary.

On Nominations for the year 1021-1922: Professors Justrow and Schmidt and Dr. W. N. Brown,

Auditors for 1920-1921: Professors F. W. Williams and Torrey.

The Society took a recess at 12:15 P. M.

THE FOURTH SESSION

The fourth session was called to order by President Lanman at 2:40 o'clock on Wednesday afternoon. The reading of papers was immediately begun.

Professor Paul Haurr, of Johns Hopkins University: (a) Ventriloquism in Babylonia: (b) The Nuprials of Jahveh and the Sun: (c) Sumerian Stillatories: (d) Suckling Sea-monators.

- (a) The instruction at the end of a camelform exorcistic manual (ZA 30, 213) to pipe like creatures of the desert (cf. Arab. 'arf) and female voices refers to untriloquiam, which has a higher pitch and a different timize (Assyr. Bidian cuita). The Hebrew necromances were reaffloquiate (Ia 8, 19; 29, 4). The Sipirmeneaus were said to pipe like wemen (ZA 30, 227 n. 3; cf. Herod. 4, 183) because they spoke a bonal language. The Sumerian times may have been more marked in the older (cmc-ml) dialoct (ZA 31, 240) and in the language of the women (JAOS 37, 312). The Tibetans say that sounds uttered with a high tone are spoken with a sounds of the language of the PSBA 40, 25).
- (b) MVAG 22, 69 regards Ps. 19 as Davidie, and Ps. 132 (JBL 33, 168) as Solomonic. Ps. 19 is called a song for the Neomenia or the Peast of the Taberencles, from the Solomonic Book of Songs (JHUC No. 316, p. 22) which is identified with the Psalter. Before is them both Re set a taberancle (or brital pavillon) for the sun the line Johnsk laws (Gen. 4, 1; cf. JHUC, No. 316, p. 24) the sun is houses. He thought to dwell in thick darkness (see Hings, SEOT 101) is supposed to have been omitted. This reconstruction is untenable (JBL 38, 182).

- (c) Sum. Eakkul, Assyr. namelits, Talmad. advailed is not a mash-tun for the browing of boar (ZA 32, 168) but the receiver of a still for the distillation of brandy (JHUC, No. 287, p. 33). The boiler of the still is called in Assyrian: quasa or quaquasatu Talmad. quaque. Siduri (which may be the prototype of Calypso; cf. Luttumat, HW 363) had a still near the san; she was not a Sabeau malden: sabitu is the feminine of sabu, taverner (cf. Heb. sobé i júja, wine-bibbers) Sum. In-geitia or In-kaitia. During the alege of Erech (JAOS 22, 8) the bostess in despair annualed the receiver of her still (KR 6, 273, 6).
- (d) In the Maccabesu Elegies (JBL 38, 157) Lam. 4, 3 we must read: Gene-launinies half-co ligibles, healed durdhes. Even semmensters offered (lit. drew out) their tests, and suckled their young. The Jews may have observed dugongs suckling their young in the Red Sea. There were also whales (both right whales and sperm-whales) in the Mediterranean (JHUC, No. 290, pp. 37, 43). Whales bring forth their young alive and suckle them: the two tests are placed in depressions on each side of the genital aperture. The dugong often raises its round head out of the water and carries its young under the forefin (see plate in Brockhaus, 14, 1002).

Rev. Dr. F. K. Sanders, of New York: The Publications of the Board of Missionary Preparation relating to Religious. Benarks by Professors

Haupt, Jackson, Torrey, and Montgonery.

The purpose of the speaker is to report certain results already reached, illustrating these by the actual publications and indicating the further policy of the Board in that direction, and then to speak of a proposed series. Each is of interest as representing a distinct attempt to utilize the very best scientific knowledge is order to assist young missionaries to enter thoughtfully and broadly into their work.

Professor A. T. Olisserad, of the University of Illinois: The Assyrian

Land System. Romarks by Professors Haupt and Jastrow.

Professor A. V. W. Jackson, of Commbia University: On the Site of the most ancient Zoronstrian Fire. Remarks by Professor Hopkins.

In Zoronstrian tradition the Farming Fire, or the special fire of the priestly class, is the most sacred of all fires, as it represents the divine fire of Ormand. Tradition assigns its original foundation to the logardary ruler Yim, who established it in Khvarasm, to the seast of the Caspian Sea. According to the Indian Bundakishn it was removed to Kabul by Zoronster's patron, King Vishtasp; but according to the Iranian recension of that work (now available) it was carried to a place which may be identified with Karlyan in Fars. The paper discusses this latter tradition in the light of various other sources.

Professor C. C. Tomatv. of Yale University: The So-called Original Hebrew of Sirach. Remarks by Professors Montgomery and Jastrow.

The Hebrew text of Sirach recently discovered is not the original Hebrew, but the result of a process of retroversion. The proofs of this are chiefly the following: (1) Our Greek text is by no means a revthering of this Hebrew. (2) The style of the Cairo fragments is wratched. (3) Unlike the Greek, there is everywhere a weak repetition of Old Testament phrases. (4) The Hebrew of the fragments is fargely the language of a much later day than that of Ben Sira. (5) The original metrical form is very often wanting. (6) Not seldom there is munistakable evidence of translation. (7) There is good reason to believe that the real Hebrew of Sirach was lost at a very early date.

Professor E. W. Horgins, of Yale University: The Ethical Element in the Rig Veds. Remarks by Professors Lamman, Haupt, and Dr. Abbott.

Some ethical quality is inferable from pre-Vedic period. Vedic gods are possibility related to man. The idea of mediation has been exaggerated. The relation of sinner to gods and nature of the divine baws. These laws are according to the divine Order and Supreme Being; extracts in illustration. Nature of sin. Punishment of sinner; reward of pions.

By manimous consent Prof. Lybyer's paper on The Syrian Desire for Independence was postponed for presentation in the evening, after the annual dinner.

After discussion it was voted: that the Executive Committee consider the preparation of questionaires to be sent to missionary areas for the purpose of gathering information which might be useful to scholars.

On motion of Professor Jackson, the following resolution was unanimously adopted:

Resolved, that the American Oriental Society, in appreciation of its particularly pleasant visit at Ithaca, wishes to express its cordial thanks to the President and Trustees of Cornell University for welcoming the Society at Goldwin Smith Hall, where its sessions were held, and for hospitably entertaining the members at lunchoon; also to thank the Telluride Association for the reception kindly given at its home and for various other attentions; to thank furthermore the Town and Gown Club and the University Chab for courtesies extended; to express appreciation likewise to the Ithana Chamiser of Commerce for the enjoyable automobile ensureson, and to thank Professor Quarles for the delightful organ resital which he gave for the members of the Society. It wishes, in conclusion, to add special acknowledgements to the Chairman of the Committee on Arrangements and his very efficient Reception Committee for the remarkable manner in which they contributed to make the meeting a memorable one for all those in attendance.

The President announced the formal presentation by title of the following papers.

Professor F. R. BLAKE, of Johns Hopkins University: A Bibliography of the Philippine Languages, Part II. Professor M. BLOOMFIELD, of Johns Hopkins University: (a) Notes on the Divyavadans. [To be printed in the Journal (b) On overhearing, as a motif in Hindu Fiction.

Dr. E. W. Bunkersher, of Albany, N. Y.: Buddhist influence on Bidpail's Pables. [To be printed in the Journal.]

Dr. E. Chirna, of the University of Pennsylvania: The Sin Offering.

Professor R. P. Doummerr, of Goucher College: The Temple Guard in Erech.

Professor F. Elsaurron, of the University of Pennsylvania; The Paneatantra Reconstructed; a report of progress.

Dr. L Ernos, of Baltimore: An Emendation to Jer. 4, 29,

Dr. A. Eumen, of Johns Hopkins University: Several Semitic Etymologies.
Professor E. W. Hopkins, of Yale University: Bto Scantage, 'without toil,' BV, 4, 33, 13.

Mr. V. H. KALENDARIAN, of Columbia University: The Turanian Element in Armenian.

Professor M. Jastzow of the University of Pennsylvania: Notes on Criticism of Inscriptions: 1, The Behiston Inscription of Darius the Great. [To be printed in the JOURNAL.]

Professor A. V. W. Jackson, of Columbia University: Notes on the Persian Poet Baba Tahir.

Professor M. Jastaow, of the University of Pennsylvania: Notes on the Text of Ishtar's Descent to the Lower World

Dr. H. S. Lawrand, of Dropele College: (a) An Approach to the Study of Jewish Contracts from the point of View of Babylonian Contracts. (b) The Porms island island island island trained to the Equivalents in other Semitic Languages.

Professor D. G. LTON, of Harvard University: Assyrian City Gates.

Dr. D. I. Macur, of Johns Hopkins University: A Pharmacological Appreciation of Biblion Incomes.

Professor T. J. MEER, of Mendville Theological School: (a) Some New Assyrian Ideograms. (b) An Assyrian Copy of the Hammurahl Code.

Dr. J. J. Phick, of Plainfield, N. J.: The Rabbinic Conception of Labor.

Professor J. D. Phinck, of Columbia University: The Sumerian Original
of the name Nimred. [To be printed in the Journal.]

Rev. J. E. Saynen, of Johns Hopkins University: (a) Habbakuk's Maledictions. (b) The d before the affixes of the Assyrian permansive.

- (a) The four imprecatory triplets in Hab. 2, 6° = 17 (18-20 is a sub-sequent addition) rater to events and conditions recorded in 1 Maz. 10, 30, 42; 11, 24, 35, -1, 21-22; 2, 9; 6, 12; 1, 35; 10, 32; 11, 41, -1, 46; 2, 12; 3, 31; 4, 38; 7, 35, 42; 14, 36; 9, 50-53, -1, 24, 30; 2, 38; 5, 2; 7, 17, 19. We must read to obtain fur to to and 'allo to obtain, also notatives and mercordely, and mappin, bloodshod (miswritten mispin in Is. 5, 7 and mispai in Each. 7, 23) for mesuppin.
 - (b) The a in Assy, pairdly, I fear, does not correspond to the a in

Heb. subblita, which is conformed to the verba tertiac y (JAOS 25, 113), but to the 6 in Heb. andly I. The pronoun of the first person was (an)dim. This d was afterward transferred to the other persons. And in Arabic and Aramaic (Ethiopic and) is shortened from andly and Heb, and and andly are conformed to the suffix of the first person (SFG 53).

Professor C. C. Tonney, of Yale University: The Site of Ninivels in the Book of Tobit.

The Society took a recess at 5:10 P. M.

THE FIFTH SESSION

The fifth session was called to order by President Lanman at 8:35 p. m., after the annual dinner, in Prudence Risley Hall, for the purpose of listening to Prof. Lybyer's paper, postponed from the afternoon session, and of transacting certain business. The following paper was presented:

Professor A. H. Lysysm, of the University of Illinois: The Syrian Desire for Independence. Remarks by Professors Haupt, Jastrow, Montgomery, Popper, and others.

Impressions of the Syrian character and desire for self-rule as observed with the American Commission on Mandates in Turkey last summer. The program of the Syrian Conference at Damascus. How the Syrian desires conflict with the secret treaties which are in process of being put into effect. How America might soive the problem of the world. If the triple partition be enforced upon the country, there is small prospect of permanent peace.

At the end of the discussion of Professor Lybyer's address, the Society beld a brief business session.

Professor Lanman, as Chairman of the Committee on Co-operation with the Société Asiatique, presented the report of that Committee. On motion of Professor Haupt, properly seconded, it was voted, after some discussion, that the report be referred to the Executive Committee with power to act upon the proposal therein contained that this Society co-operate with the Société Asiatique and other Oriental Societies in regard to planning a General Dictionary of Buddhism and issuing an appeal for aid in its preparation.

On motion it was voted that the President of the Society be authorized to appoint delegates to represent the Society at the joint meeting of Oriental Societies to be held at Paris in July, 1920.

Certain additional nominees for membership, included in the

list already given, were duly elected.

Professor Olmstead extended an informal invitation for the Society to hold its annual meeting with that of the Middle West Branch in Easter Week of 1922.

At 11:10 o'clock the Society adjourned, to meet again in Baltimore on March 29, 1921:

PERSONALIA

FERILS.

Of the staff of the School of Oriental Research in Jerusalem Director WM. H. Workell expected to leave for America in May and Prof. A. T. Clay in June, the latter returning via Europe. Prof. J. P. Peters plans to return in July. Prof. C. C. McCown, of the Pacific School of Religion, Berkeley, Calif., has been appointed Thayer Fellow at the School for the coming year. Professor Clay made an extensive trip through Babylonia, reaching Mosul. He met there Prof. J. H. Breasted and his party. The present Fellow, Dr. W. F. Alexagner, has been appointed Acting Director of the School for 1920-21.

Père J. N. Strassmaier, the pioneer in the study of Babylonian astronomy and in Babylonian contract literature, died in London, January 11, 1920. A biographical sketch is given by Père Condamin in Recherches de Science Religieuse for January-March.

Mr. T. Ramakrishna Phalai, of Madras, a member of our Society, died on Feb. 29, 1920. He had been for twenty-five years a fellow of the University of Madras, and was a valued member of the Tamil Lexicon Committee. That Committee has adopted a resolution on the death of Mr. Pillai, which we are glad to print, as follows:

The Tamil Lericon Committee records with sorrow the death of Rao Saheb T. Ramakrishas Pillai, B.A., P.R.H.S., in whom it has lost one of its original members, who has all along remiered invaluable help by his enthusiasm for the work and by his readiness to further it in every way.

Dr. Israel. Friedlander, Professor of Biblical Literature and Exegesis in the Jewish Theological Seminary, New York City, was killed by brigands in the Ukraine on July 8, while he was engaged in distributing money for Jewish relief. Dr. Friedländer became a member of the Society this year.

INDIA AND THE WEST WITH A PLEA FOR TEAM-WORK AMONG SCHOLARS

CHARLES ROCKWELL LANMAN HARVARD UNIVERSITY

It is a comous reminiscence of a journey to India of thirty odd years ago, that no less than two pamphlets were given me discussing the religious right of a Brahman to cross the ocean. Remote indeed must be the corner of India in which that question is now debatable. Railways, electric motors and lights, telegraphs and telephones, a successful flight from Europe to Karāchi,—such things must make it clear to any Hindu, whether learned or illiterate, that the old order is past and gone, and with it the possibility of maintaining the old-time caste-restrictions, and the isolation that they fostered.

Fostered, not effected. For India has never been wholly isolated. Thither, for conquest and gain, Alexander led an army, and upon the observations of his generals and followers rest the Greek and Latin accounts (such as those of Megasthenes), which it is a fascinating study to test upon the touchstone of native Hindu records (such as those of Kāuṭilya).—Thither, again, came the Chinese pilgrims to the Holy Land of Buddhism,—their purpose, to get the authentia records of Buddha's teaching and carry them home to China. Of all foreign visitors to India, none challenge our sympathy and admiration more splendidly than do these stout-hearted men who braved the awful perils of the Sand-desert, the Sha-mo, upon so exalted

Presidential address delivered before the American Oriental Society at Ithmen, April 6, 1920.—In it are embodied a few statements already made by the author in print elsewhere,—in official documents 'not published,' or in books of very restricted circulation.

Por the sake of readers who live outside of the world of American sparts, be it end that 'team work' means 'work done by the players of a team soficatively, for example, by the players of a foot-ball eleven.' These must do each his best for the soccess of his team as a whole. To this each, they must be free from the slightest feeling of personal jendensy, and must not allow the hope of personal satvantage to influence any thought or act. The application of the team 'team work' to the scholarly co-operation as between India and the West which weather have in mind, is obvious.

an errand.—And thither, again, came 'visitors' of a very different stripe, invaders, beginning in 1001, who in long succession, from Mahmud of Ghazni to the Moguls, set up foreign rule in India. Of the Moguls, the greatest and best was Akbar, and the time of his life (1542-1605) accords very nearly with that of Queen Elizabeth, as does also the time of his reign of nine-and-forty years. It was on the very last day of the sixteenth century that Elizabeth gave a charter to 'The Governor and Company of Merchants of London trading into the East Indies.'

This marks the beginning of a new era, the era of British India, The isolation of India, so far as it concerns India and the West, has been, upon the whole, pretty complete from the days of Alexander to those of the Company. To Horace, India was the land whose forests were 'lapped by the storied Hydaspes.' And more than a hundred years before Elizabeth's Charter, Columbus set out, in 1492, to seek India by sailing to the west. And five years later, Vasco da Gama started from Lisbon to reach the same fabled goal by sailing in general to the east. It was in May, 1498, after a voyage of nearly cleven months, that the intrepid Portuguese captain cast anchor off the coast of Malabar, near Calicut. On returning, he bore a letter from the Prince of Calicut to the King of Portugal: 'In my kingdom there is abundance of einnamon, cloves, ginger, pepper, and precions stones. What I seek from thy country is gold, silver, coral, and scarlet.' Portuguese, Dutch, French, Danes, even Prussians, strove in vain for a permanent foot-hold in India. It was reserved for the unconquerable persistence and self-restraint of the English, and for their loyalty to far-sighted principles through two hundred and fifty years, to establish the greatest colonial empire of human history,"

Modern scientific knowledge of India in the Occident is often said to begin with Sir William Jones and Henry Thomas Colebrooke. These are the most illustrious names on the earliest bead-roll of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, founded by Sir William in 1784. But even a hundred years and more before that, two remarkable observers had written books to which I should like to call attention. One is 'The Open Door to hidden heathendom, or truthful description of the life and customs, religion

See Imperial Gazetteer of India, Oxford, 1908, il. 446-469.

and worship of the Bramins on the coast of Coromandel and lands thereabouts. By Dominus Abraham Rogerius, in his life, Minister of the Holy Gospel on the same coast,' published in Dutch at Leiden in 1651. A German translation was published a dozen years later, at Nürnberg, in 1663. The Dutch original is of extreme rarity, and has accordingly just been republished by our colleague, Professor W. Caland of Utrecht, at The Hague, in 1915.—The other work is the 'Truthful detailed description of the famous East Indian coasts of Malahar and Coromandel and the island of Ceylon. By Philip Baldeus, sometime Minister of the Divine Word in Ceylon,' published in German at Amsterdam in 1672. I have long been the fortunate possessor of a copy of the Nürnberg Rogerius, and of a copy of Baldaus (both destined for the Harvard Library), and Rogerius has just been laid on the table before you.

The 'visitors' in India, to whom brief allusion has been made, are typical. On the one hand are the conquerors and traders, to whom cinnamon and ginger, coral and searlet, mean much. On the other are the pilgrims and missionaries, seekers for the things of the spirit. But notice how these latter represent two exactly opposite types. The Chinese pilgrims go to learn. The men from the West go to teach. And the purpose of each type is clearly reflected in the mental attitude of each towards what there is to see. The work of Baldæns has for a sub-title 'Heathen Idelatry,' Abgötterey der Heyden, and its pages have many descriptions and pictures of abominations. For contrast, let me read a bit from Få-hien, the concluding paragraph of his own record of his pilgrimage to India (399-414 A. D.).

After Ph-him set out from Ch'ang-gan, it took him six years to reach Central India; stoppages there extended over (other) six years; and on his return it took him three years to reach Ts'ing-chow. The countries through which he passed were a few under thirty. From the sandy desert westwards on to India, the beauty of the dignified demonstrate of the mankhood and of the transforming influence of the Law was beyond the power of language fully to describe.

At the end of the work is added one more passage by an unnamed writer, Få-hien's host, who says:

^{&#}x27;In Shen si, near the great bend of the Yellow River. Fa-hien speaks of himself in the third person. The Law or Great Doctrine means Buddha's religion.

It was in the year Keah-yin (414 A. D.) that I met the devotee Fa-kien. On his arrival, I longed him with myself in the winter study, and there, in our meetings for conversation, I asked him again and again about his travels. The man was modest and complaisant, and answered readily according to the truth. I thereupon advised him to enter into details, and he proceeded to relate all things in order from the beginning to the end. He [Fa-hien] said himself,

When I look back on what I have gone through, my heart is involuntarily moved and the sweat breaks forth. That I encountered danger and trod the most perdons places, without thinking of or sparing myself, was because I had a definite aim, and thought of nothing but to do my best in simplicity and straightforwardness. Thus it was that I exposed my life where death seemed inevitable, if I might accomplish only a ten-thousandth part of what I boped.

These words [of my guest, Fa-hien] affected me [his host] in turn, and I thought;—'This man is one of those who have seldem been seen from ancient times to the present. Since the Great Doctrine flowed on to the East, there has been no one to be compared with Hien in his forgetfulness of self and search for the Law. Henceforth I know that the infinence of aincerity finds no obstacle, however great, which it does not overcome, and that force of will does not fail to accomplish whatever service it undertakes. Does not the accomplishing of such service arise from forgetting (and diregarding) what is (generally) considered as important, and attaching importance to what is (generally) forgottent'

Simple, straightforward, self-forgetting seeker for the truth, hoping all things, and yet during death to do even a little part of what he hoped, and, above all, judging values not as the world judgeth! such was Fā-hien, The Illustrious Master (Hien) of the Law (Fà). For us, as scholars and as students of the East, where may be found a braver, a nobler, a wiser exemplar?

Fâ-hien's 'definite aim' was to seek and carry home the authentic records of Buddha's Teachings. But since these would be useless without a knowledge of the language of the originals, it follows that he must have recognized the fact that the first essential for knowing Buddha's religion was to know the language of its ancient sacred books. A similar fact with reference to Hiadu jurisprudence was recognized fourteen hundred years later by Henry Thomas Colebrooke. Warren Hastings saw that if the Company's wise intentions of governing the Hindus by their own laws were to be carried out, those ancient laws must be made accessible to their European judges. As no one was found to translate them directly from the original Sanskrit into Eng-

lish, they were in fact translated from Sanskvit into Persian and from Persian into English. The result was Halhed's Code of Gentoo Laws (1776). Colebrooke arrived at Calcutta in 1783, as a lad of eighteen. But he acquitted himself with such distinction in the revenue service, that at thirty he was transferred to the judicial service, to a post in the Court or Adawlat of

Mirzapore, near Benares.*

In 1787, Sir William Jones wrote home to Charles Wilkins: You are the first European that ever understood Sanscrit, and will, possibly, be the last.™ It was probably very soon after this date, perhaps in 1790, that Colebrooke took up Sanskrit. He had been seven years in Bengal, and his eagerness to acquire a knowledge of ancient Hindu algebra was what first moved him to study Sanskrit. The difficulties were so great that he twice abandoned the study. But the duties of his office, and the inadequacy of Halhed's work, forced him to renew the fight. For, with the lack of help, and the constant pressure of official duty, it must indeed have been a fight. The result was his monumental

Digest of Hindu Law, dated 1798.

In a letter of January, 1797, to his father, Colebrooke announces the completion of his task of translating the Digest of Hindu Law, and his plan of working out a Sanskrit grammar. and the fact that 'types have lately been east, in Calcutta, for printing the Sanscrit language in its appropriate character,1 that is, in Nagari letters. The first Sanskrit book to be so printed was the Hitopadesa, with parts of Dandin and Bhartyhari, and a copy of it lies on the table before you. Its editor was Carey, and it was printed at his press in Scrampore in 1804, and with a preface by Colebrooke, saying that it was 'To promote and facilitate the study of the ancient and learned language of India in the College of Fort William.' It was followed in 1805 by Colebrooke's Sanskrit Grammar. Of this also a copy lies before you. In a letter of 1801, Colebrooke says: 'My chief literary occupation now is a Sanscrit Grammer, which is in the press. I undertook it because I accepted the Professorship of Sanscrit in the College, but do not choose to deliver oral instruction to the students; and I am expediting the publication, that this may be

See The Life of H. T. Colebrooks, by his sm, Sir T. E. Calebrooke, Landos, 1870, for these and the following statements.

See JAON 9, p. heavill.

one of the valuable legacies of the College, if it do die the death to which the Court of Directors have condemned it. And such a legacy indeed it is. It is based upon Pāṇini, the greatest of all Hindu grammarians. But since the Hindu system of grammar is infinitely more difficult than the Sanskrit language itself, the work was unusable except as a sure stepping-stone for Colebrooke's successors.

We cannot realize how difficult were the beginnings of a scientific study of India for these brave pioneers. Wilkins, the Caxton of India, arrived in Bengal in 1770, and Halbed at about the same time. Sir William Jones and Colebrooke arrived in 1783, and Carey in 1793. Carey, the learned shoemaker, established his mission at Serampore in 1800. He became a translator of the Bible, and justly earned the title of 'The Wyelif of the East.' Wilkins was the first to make a direct translation of a Sanskrit work into English. This was the Gitā (London, 1785). Of it and of Wilkins, Colebrooke says:

I have never yet seen any book which can be depended on for information concerning the real opinions of the Hindus except Wilkins' Bhagvat Geeta.' That gentleman was Sanscrit mad and has more materials and more general knowledge respecting the Hindus than any other foreigner ever acquired since the days of Pythagoras.

Wilkins was very skilful with his hands and his pen. He had with his own hands designed and cut the punches and cast the types from which Halhed's Bengali grammar was printed at Hoogly in 1778. And he taught his art to a Bengali blacksmith, Panchanan. The latter came to the Serampore Mission Press most opportunely. Carey was in sore need of Nagari types for his Sanskrit grammar and texts. Panchanan met the need. The excellence of his work you may see for yourselves from the beautiful volume before you, the Hitopadesa. His apprentice, Mohonur, continued to make elegant fonts of type for many Eastern languages for more than forty years. Rev. James Kennedy saw him cutting the matrices and casting the type for the Bibles while he squatted before his favorite idol, under the auspices of which alone he would work. Scrampore continued down till 1860 to be the principal Oriental type-foundry of the Elast.*

^{*} The Life of William Carey, by George Smith, 2d ed., London, 1887. See especially pp. 217-8.

Let me cite, from an essay' of a dozen years ago, some facts for which in part I was indebted to our confrere, Dr. Justin E.

Abbott, formerly of Bombay.

On the 'Bombay side' the case was similar. The first important press of Western India was started by the American Mission in 1816. A young Eurasian of that press, Thomas Graham, cut the first Marathi and Gujarati type. At this press were later employed also two young Hindu lads, one of whom, Jāvajī Dādājī, learned the art of printing from the Americans, and founded the Nirnaya Sāgara Press, now carried on by his son Tukārām Jāvajī. The other, taught by Graham, is still living, and cuts all the beautiful Nirnaya Sāgara type.

Printing in India is therefore modern, and essentially un-Indian in its origin; but no same man would refuse a Sanskrit text because it was printed, and insist on having one made by a Hindu scribe. The consideration of cost alone would utterly condemn such a preference. Meantime, Bombay and Poona and Calcutta are producing admirably printed Sanskrit texts; printed texts are beginning to come from such out-of-the-way places as Nagpore; and from Kumbhakonam, the 'Oxford of Southern India,' they come in great numbers. Whether we like it or not, printing will are long have ousted memorizing and copying as a means of handing down texts. In short, the sucient Hindus are no longer ancient; like the rest of the world they too are moving on.

The Sanskrit philology of the Occident is but little more than a century old. But its achievements are already great. The last work from the hand of our colleague, Ernst Windisch of Leipzig, is entitled History of Sanskrit philology, Part I, and goes down through the time of Christian Lassen. Whether Part II would have contained an outline of Sanskrit philology in India (manuscript-collections, text-editions, epigraphy, numismatics—the work of what Windisch calls his 'Fourth period'), I am not sure. But in this connection it is noteworthy that Sanskrit philology is in fact commonly taken to mean the work of Occidental scholars.

What I especially desire to bring to your attention today is the great fact that it is only through the most whole-hearted co-

Profixed to J. Hertei's Panchatantra, Harvard Oriental Series, vol. 11, p. zxii.

operation of Indianists of the Occident with those of the Orient' that we may hope for progress which shall be fruitful in good to West and to India alike. And there is a very peculiar propriety in emphasizing this fact just at this time.

Almost three years ago, when we Americans were engaged in the stupendous work of fighting mighty nations separated from us by thousands of miles of land and sea, there appeared in India, at Poona, a splendid volume of Commemorative Essays presented to Sir Ramkrishna Gopal Bhandarkar on the occasion of his eightieth birthday, July 6, 1917. It consists of forty essays, mostly in English, partly in Sanskrit and French, contributed by scholars of India and the West in token of their mimiration for Dr. Bhandarkar as a scholar who has for decades combined Indie and Western learning, and so has been an example and an inspiration to us all. Thus in these dark days,-when internationalism seems almost dead, when for the older generation the hope of reorganizing international effort for great undertakings seems faint,-comes this virile messenger from India, the Continent of the Bharatans, to quicken our courage and our hope. I trust that it may be an added measure in the cup of gladness of Dr. Bhandarkar, who has been for thirty-three years one of our Honorary Members, to learn that here in distant America it is deemed worth while to pause and do honor to a life that has been devoted to the noble ideal of helping the West to understand his native India.

And, before turning to the main subject which this volume suggests, let me add that to us, as Americans, it is a matter of satisfaction and pride that Dr. Belvalkar, who was a leading spirit in planning the volume and in organizing the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute of Poona, is a member of our Society, and that, although in the wide fields of Indian antiquities there is many a subject about which he knows as a matter of course vastly more than any American professor of Sanskrit can hope to know, he was nevertheless wise enough to devote two years to study in an American university. This last I mention with hope and with gladness. I am glad that a Hindu, well versed in the learning of his native land, should think it worth while to learn of the West. And I hope that his residence in America may make his Eastern learning for more fruitful for his countrymen and fire us Occidentals than it ever could be, if he had not come

hither to study our methods and to find out what lessons from his country's past may best be taught to us.

The main thought which the stately Bhandarkar volume suggests is the happy one that Indianists of India are now joining hands with Indianists of the West in the great work of belining each to understand the other. The supreme folly of war is in the last analysis a failure—as between two peoples—to understand each other, and so to trust each other. It follows then that the business of us Orientalists is something that is in vital relation with urgent practical and political needs. The work calls for co-operation, and above all things else for co-operation in a spirit of mutual sympathy and teachableness. There is much that America may learn from the history of the peoples of India, and much again that the Hindus may learn from the West. But the lessons will be of no avail, unless the spirit of arrogant self-sufficiency give way to the spirit of decility, and the spirit of unfriendly criticism to that of mutually helpful constructive effort. Both India and the West must be at once both reacher and taught.

The whole spiritual and material background of the life of India differs so completely from that of the West that neither can ever understand the other from a mere study of the other's literary monuments. Such study is indeed inexorably necessary, and it must be fortified by broad and rigorous training in the manysided methods of today. But that is not enough. An Occidental who would faithfully interpret India to the West must also know the life of India from actual observation and experionee, and must be able to look at it from the Eastern angle of vision. Accordingly, for example, the Sanskrit professor of the next generation must have resided in India, have mixed (so far as possible) with its people, and have mastered one or more of the great modern vernaculars, such as Marathi or Bengali. And, on the other hand, since the Hindus themselves are already actively engaged in interpreting the East to the West, it is needful also that they visit us, not merely to learn our way of doing things, but also to look at life as we look at it, and thus to find out what things-such, let us say, as repose of spirit or the simple life the West most needs to learn of the East."

^{*}C. R. L., in a Note prefixed to S. K. Belvalkar's Roma's Later History, Harvard Oriental Series, vol. 21, page xiii.

Colebrooke, in a letter of 1788 to his father, says: 'Never mixing with natives, an European is ignorant of their real character, which he, therefore, despises. When they meet, it is with fear on one side, and arrogance on the other.' And I must confess that I have myself in India seen that the basis of Colebrooke's charges had not become wholly a thing of the past. Sir William Jones and Colebrooke are ideal instances of the spirit and methods that were and are and must ever remain exemplary. They went to India, they learned of the Hindus, and to the task of making India known to the West they gave, with heroic devotion, all that they had to give. And ever since their day, the business of the East India Company or of the Imperial Government has taken men to India who have proved to be not only men of lofty personal character and faithful officials, but also Indianists of large achievement.

To France belongs the honor of establishing the first professorship for Sanskrit upon the Continent of Europe. This was at the Collège Royal de France, and a copy of the inaugural address of the first incumbent, de Chézy, delivered Monday, January 16. 1815, lies before you. In the second third of the last century, there arose men who, like de Chezy's successor, Engène Burnouf, or like the lexicographers, Böhtlingk and Roth, accomplished great things without ever visiting the Land of the Rose-apple. As late as Carey's day, it took about half a year to go from England to India. Just before the World War, letters often came from Bombay to Boston in three or four weeks. And now appears Sir Frederick Sykes before the Royal Geographical Society, announcing the projects of Great Britain for the development of commercial aviation. Egypt must for a long time be the 'Hub' or the 'Clapham Junction' of the serial routes to India, Australia, and Cape Town. Between Egypt and India weather-conditions are found to be stable on the whole; and whereas the normal time for the sea-voyage from Port Said to Bombay is nine days, that traject is made through the air in four days, flying only in the day-time. When I was a graduate student at Yale, it was not even suggested that I should go to India; and an occasional letter of scientific interest from India was deemed worthy of publication in Weber's Indische Studien or in our Journal.

But soon, when a letter can be transmitted from Boaton to Bombay in ten days, and the writer can be carried by ship and train in a fortnight, it is evident that the increased opportunities will bring—as always—increased obligations, and that for professed Indianists in America a period of residence and study in India—preferably, perhaps, at such a place as Poona or Benares—will become rather a matter of course. Meantime, it may be added, the development of the discipline of tropical hygiene will tend to reduce to a minimum the dangers to health from living in an unwonted climate.

The time is ripe for instituting a system of international exchange-scholarships as between the universities of India and America. This will encourage and promote the tendency to inter-university migration, which is already well under way. Scores of students from India and the Far East are now listed in the Harvard Catalogue. Within the last two years I have had upon my rolls a recent Harvard graduate who has returned from Burma to complete his preparation for a professorship in Judson College, another American back from a long residence in China, two young Chinese students, one of extraordinary promise, and Hindus to whom it was an especial delight for me to explain their sacred Upanishads. It would be an entirely legitimate use of the Harvard Sheldon Fellowships (which are intended for non-resident students) to award them to men who propose to study in India, and I am glad to make this fact known.

Political and economic conditions are just now such as to make it a peculiarly unpromising time to move for the establishment of chairs for Oriental philology in the United States. But things have their ups and downs—utpadyante cyavante ca, say the Hindus—and it is for us in these dark days to do the best we can in the way of leaving works which (all in good time, it may be after we are gone) shall bear fruit by substantially promoting an understanding between India and the West.

I must not quit this theme without mentioning that the Indian Government has already recognized the value of these exchanges by sending young men on government stipends to pursue their studies in Europe and America. They are of course especially numerous in the fields of the technical sciences. But men of notable excellence in the things of the spirit are also not lacking.

Young Todar Mall was a pupil of Macdonell of Oxford, and had accomplished valuable work upon Bhavabhūti, when death disappointed his hopes and ours. An elaborate study of Kälidäsa as he appears in the Hindu writers upon rhetoric or Alankara has recently been published in French and Sanskrit by Hari Chand, a pupil of Sylvain Lévi of Paris, now of Strassburg. It is a significant book, which no one could produce who had not had thorough training in these difficult writings. Such training is hardly to be had outside of India. No one in America even offers to expound them, and the offer would be vain even if made, On the other hand, professors of Oxford and Cambridge have recently presented to the Secretary of State for India a memorandum advocating the establishment of a few fellowships to enable young British scholars to study in India fibe classical languages and antiquities of India, and such related subjects as could be pursued to better advantage there than in Europe. Although the memorial has not yet gained its immediate object. it has gained public recognition of an important fact.

Sir Ramkrishna Gopal Bhandarkar was the first great Indianist of India to combine the native learning in which they must ever excel us, with the knowledge of the Occidental methods which give us in some ways important advantages over them. It is futile to make invidious comparisons of Hindu and Occidental scholars and scholarly results. Far better it is to take them all. gratefully or modestly as the case may be, for what they are worth, and make the most of them for further progress. The recent pamphlet of the Bhandarkar Institute concerning the new edition of the Mahā-bhārata, inviting suggestions from Western scholars, shows how generously ready Hindu scholars now are to adopt Western methods and ideas, so far as serviceable and applicable. Shankar Pandorang Pandit, the editor of the great Bombay quarto edition of the Atharva-veda, had the utmost respect for our illustrious Whitney-a feeling that he made plain by deeds. And I have often wondered whether there is any oldtime shrotriya still left in India, whose learning and memory would enable him even distantly to compete with the achievements possible for a Western scholar armed with Bloomfield's wonderful Vedic Concordance. And I say this without fear of offence to my Hindu friends and colleagues. We must, as Yusuf

All in his Copenhagen lectures of 1918 rightly says," recognize the actuality and importance of the modern spirit in Indian life.

Let me cite a case or two which have been a part of my own experience, as showing the openness of mind of our colleagues in the Orient. The obling Bombay edition of 1889 of the Mahabharata exhibits some very substantial and valuable and practical improvements over that of 1878. I am under the impression that they are due to suggestions from Occidental sources. Once more, on June 24, 1910, Mr. Simon Hewavitarne of Colombo wrote me of his plan of publishing a complete text of the Buddhist sacred books in Cingalese characters. I have the earbon copy of a memorial which I addressed to him on July 25, 1910, in which I discussed the choice of the texts to be published first; the use of Cingalese authorities for a Cingalese edition; the importance of the native commentaries for the projected Pali lexicon; the urgent need of having not only a Cingalese title-page, but also (for Occidental librarians) an English one as well; the extreme inconvenience and wastefulness of issuing large texts in many small parts (as is so often done in the East); the Impertance of the native divisions of the texts, and (at the same time) of possibly other, but truly convenient, means of citation; the need of practical and intelligently made indexes; the great importance of clear typography and other externals. Not long after, Mr. Hewavitarne passed away; but the administrators of the 'Simon Hewavitarne Bequest' are now issuing most beautiful and practical and scholarly volumes, one after another. which are certain to be of immense help for the progress of Buddhist studies. to

Before passing on, I must call to your notice a letter from Mr. N. B. Utgikar, Secretary of the Mahā-bhārata Publication, and Professor P. D. Gune, Secretary of the Bhandackar Institute in Poona, sent with the prospectus of the new edition of the Mahā-

^{*} See JEAS for 1919, p. 277.

[&]quot;A brief extract from the preface to my memorial may here be given:
"The first thing that I would argu upon you is the tremendous usefulness and importance of co-operation—untramneled by any potty personal jeal-onsies. If you can secure for your undertaking, granting and true-hearted scholars who are imband with the true spirit and precepts of The Exalted One, half the tattle will be won."

bharata already mentioned, and asking for suggestions regarding the work undertaken and the methods of preparing the edition as outlined in the prospectus, and for advice on other relevant matters which the prospectus may not have noticed. The most eminent authority among us, Professor Hopkins, has already responded—as I am glad to learn. In a multitude of counsellors there is wisdom. Any colleague who has often vainly wished that the old editions might have been made more conveniently usable, will find pleasure and honorable satisfaction and, I believe, also profit in accepting this most kind invitation.

One brief corollary to this I should like to draw in passing. And that is, that there is now very much that is distinctively Indian, which will very soon have passed away. Western scholars must go to India, and go speedily, if they are to make the observations and records which must be made soon or never. A remarkable illustration of this point is that remarkable book of Sir George Grierson's, Bihar Peasant Life. A large part of the edition was destroyed, so that the book is of extremest rarity and worth its weight in silver and more. While he was in active service, he conceived the idea of photographing the natives as engaged in their various industries and using their primitive implements, often so like those of centuries ago that the precious volume is frequently an illustrated commentary upon books one or two thousand years old. The introduction of modern agricultural and other machinery into India will soon make an undertaking like that of Grierson too late, if indeed it be not so already.

Or, to take another case, when I was in Benares, beautiful lithographed texts of the Upanishads with the commentaries of Hiestrious Sankara were offered to me, which fortunately I purchased. (A specimen, the Kena, lies on the table.) I do not think that such works can be picked up now. Recent Hindu pupils have told me that they have never even seen such books. And for accuracy and general excellence they are of large practical value. They are doubtless the work of old-time Benares pandits quite innocent of Occidental learning, who were at once competent Sanskritists and skilful lithographers.

As further evidence of the modern spirit in India, must not be left unnoticed the activity recently shown in the organization of societies for co-operation in scholarly research. The Panjab Historical Society was founded in 1910 by scholars of the Panjab University,—doubtless not without the stimulus and help of Dr. Vogel, a distinguished pupil, and now the successor at Leyden, of the greatest Dutch Indianist, Hendrik Kern, himself once a professor at Benares. Thus Kern, being dead, yet speaketh. Another organization of promise is the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, which already has to its credit the edition of the great inscription of Khāravela, king of Kalinga. Strong and promising is the Hyderabad Archeological Society, founded in 1915, and with the resources of the Government of the Nizam behind it.¹⁶

These things show that the Indianists of India already realize the importance of turning to account the modern methods of organization and business efficiency, and the modern progress of the graphic arts. The value of organization, and of combining the labors of isolated scholars for well-considered ends, is splendidly illustrated by the Series called Kāvya-mālā of Bombay, and by the Anandāśrama Series of Poona. As regards wide circulation and usefulness, complete works issued in such large groups or series as those, and in such form as only a strong and adequate printing establishment can give them, have an enormous advantage over works issued singly or in incomplete parts, and at some obscure and feeble press, and in a small edition. The work of eminent printers, such as the late Jāvaji Dādāji of Bombay, seems to me to be a very substantial service to science, and as such to deserve generous recognition from scholars.

That India, with her great learning, is eager to adopt modern methods to make that learning available to her own sons and to us, and is ready to join hands with us of the West in order to make her spiritual heritage earieh our too hurried life,—this much is clear. It remains (of the few things that one may consider in so brief a time) to emphasize some of the tasks which seem to be most immediate and most pressing.

And first may be said what I said years ago in one of the earliest volumes (vol. 4) of the Harvard Oriental Series: Make available to the West good Sanskrit texts and good English translations thereof. The labors of the last seventy years have given to the world of scholars editions of most of the really great works of the Indian antiquity—the Jaina texts excepted. Roth

[&]quot; See JEAS 1919, p. 651.

and Whitney, Weber, Aufrecht, Max Müller, von Schroeder, have given us the Vedas. The Hindus themselves, the Epos. Rhys Davids and his collaborators of the Pali Text Society, the texts of Buddhism. The World-war is perhaps the end of this pinneering period. It is not the least disparagement to these brave pioneers to say that these first editions ought now to be regarded as provisional, and that the coming generation of Indianists must set to work to make new editions, uniform in general plan and in typography, and provided with manifold conveniences for quick and effective study, such as it would have been most ungracious even to expect in an editio princeps. To illustrate: Aufrecht has printed the text of the Rigyeda as solid prose, like a German hymn-book. It is incontestable that hosts of critical facts which it needed the expert eye and mind of a Bergaigne to discover from Aufrecht's or Müller's texts, would have been obvious almost to beginners from a Rigveda text printed so as to show its true metrical character.104

There still remain very important texts of which good editions and versions in Occidental style are a pressing need. Only two such will I mention, but they are texts of absolutely transcendent importance. One is Bharata's Natya-sastra, the oldest fundamental work upon dramaturgy and theatric arts. This we may hope to receive from the hand of Professor Belvalkar. The other is the Artha-sastra of Kautilya, Chandragupta's prime minister, the greatest Indian writer upon the science of government. Considering the age, authorship, scope, and intrinsic interest of the treatise, the future student of this science may not ignore it. It abounds also in discussions of most modern topics, such as profiteering, control of liquor-traffic and prostitution,

[&]quot;" Rudelph Roth's last letter to Whitney is dated Tübingen, 22 April, 1884.
Roth mys: "An Lamman, der mir den Harvard Pharmio als Gruss geschickt hat, habe ich heute eine Karte abgelassen und ihn gemaknt für künftig auch eine Ausgabe des Rigveda im Auge zu behalten. Eine Ausgabe des Rigveda nach der Gestalt der Verer, wie unser Atharvaveda, ist absolut notwendig. Ich wundere mich, dass andere nicht darauf gedrungen haben. Die Art Müllers und Aufrechts ist hungerleiderisch. Ich selbst bediene mich desaulb nie der Ausgaben, sondern nur meiner Absehrift, die richtig angelegt ist."

The postal card I still have. In it Noth moulions his article, Rechtscherebung im Pedo (ZBMG, vol. 48, p. 101), as relevant to the problems of a new edition.

public stables and laundries, use of poison-gases, and so on. Of this, the learned Librarian of Mysore, R. Shamasastri, working in a most admirable spirit of co-operation with Fleet and Thomas, Jolly and Barnett, and other Western Indianists, has already

given us an excellent provisional text and version.

Other tasks I will not try to specify for the coming Indianists. But to them, by way of needed warning, one word! It is a deplorable misdirection of power to spend toil and money over the corrupt manuscript readings of third-rate ritual texts or over books of pornography,—so long as the Buddhist and Jaina scriptures are largely untranslated, so long as new texts and versions, or even well-revised and annotated ones, of the Vedic literature, of the treatises on medicine and law and philosophy, of the dramas and stories and epics, are still desiderata,—in short, so long as work of really first-rate importance still remains to be done.

At present, for whatever causes, the future of humanistic studies does not look bright. Schools for advancing material progress flourish as never before. In devotion to the things of the spirit there is a falling off. For our future as a nation this is a very real danger. To meet it, we must awaken the interest of many young students. To this end, better elementary text-books are an indispensable means. And for this reason, I believe that the work of providing such books is at the present time more important than even the work of enlarging the boundaries of our science. I am convinced that one single year of Sanskrit study may, with proper books, be made so fruitful, that any one who intends to pursue linguistic studies—be he Latinist or Hellenist or Anglicist—may well hesitate to forego the incomparable disciplinary training which it offers.

Of 'proper books,' the first is an elementary Sanskrit grammar. Such a book I have long had in hand. But for the war, it might already have been issued. The inflection and sound-changes of the Sanskrit are very far less difficult than is commonly supposed. The right method of teaching Sanskrit is to separate the difficulties of the language from those of the writing. The reason why so many a beginner balks at the outset, is that these difficulties are not separated, and that he has to grapple with them all at once. Accordingly I am easting the elementary grammar into a form which employs only Roman transliteration. The use of Roman

type makes clear to the eye, instantly and without a word of comment, countless facts concerning the structure of the language which it is utterly impossible to make clear in Nāgarī letters, even with a good deal of added comment. Moreover, by combining ingenious typography with Roman letters, it is possible, literally, to accomplish wonders for the visualizing memory. I have already succeeded in tabulating the paradigms of declension and conjugation (always in parallel vertical columns) in such a way that even beginners admit that a real and speedy mastery of the common forms is an easy matter.

This elementary grammar is to be very brief. I think that some fifty pages will suffice to give all the grammatical facts needed for the first year of reading of judiciously selected texts. Stenzler's famous grammar shows how easily it may happen that brevity is attained at the expense of clearness and adequacy. On one of his title-pages Joseph Wright cites the couplet, 'Nur das Beispiel führt zum Licht; Vieles Reden thut es nicht.' This I too have taken to heart. The examples have been gathered and culled with extremest care, and are often combinations of such frequent occurrence as to be worth learning as a help in reading.

The addition of explanatory or illustrative material to the sections of a grammar in such a way as to interrupt the sequence of the descriptive exposition is a fatal procedure. This is proved beyond a shadow of doubt by the Sanskrit grammar of Albert Thumb. And yet the illustrative material, drawn from languages usually familiar among us (English, Greek, Latin), is

This is due to the fact that the Nagari writing is partly syllabic, that a commonantal character curries with it an inherent unwritten vowal a unless that vowel is expressly negated by a subscript stroke or by some other and written vowal. Thus the one single character for ma means two sounds, m and c, of which the m may be the end of one word, and the s the initial of the next. I can cite nothing analogous from English but a line from the Whimsey dathology of Carolyn Wells (New York, 1966), p. 52: 'I'm sorry you've been 6 o (_mink so) long; Don't be disconsold.' Here the one character 6 (_mink so) designates sounds belonging in part to the word sick and in part to the

At first blush, the critic may say that the use of Roman letters is by itself enough to condemn this book, so far as Hindu learners are concerned. But a most intelligent Maratha pupil is of contrary opinion. I am not without hope that my paradigm-tables in Roman letters may prove so successful as to convince even Hindu tenchers of their usableness with beginners.

exceedingly helpful, and may even be made highly entertaining. For this reason I propose to give a running Comment on my Grammar, entirely separated from the Grammar, but bound up with it as an appendix between the same pair of covers, and with the section-numbers of the Comment corresponding throughout with those of the Grammar, so that reference from the one to the other is 'automatic.'

To make it easy to learn to read Sanskrit in Nagari characters, I am making a small, but quite separate volume. This is not to be taken up until the beginner has acquired a considerable vocabulary of common Sanskrit words, and such familiarity with the not too numerous endings and prepositional prefixes, and with the rules of vowel-combination, as shall enable him quickly to separate the confusingly run-together words. For this book, I believe that some of the salient facts of Indian palæography can be used to great practical advantage. One should, for example, never begin with the initial forms of the vowels, but rather with the medial forms in conjunction with a preceding consonant. I do not think that the historical identity of form between medial and initial a was ever suggested to me by either a book or a teacher in my early years, nor yet the relation of long u to short u. And even to this day, the form of r in groups beginning or ending with r is treated as an anomaly; whereas, in fact, it is the r that stands by itself which is anomalous (in appearance, at least: for the apparent anomaly is very easily explained). By printing this book about the Nagari alphabet at Bombay, at the Nirnaya Sagara Press, and with the rich and admirable typefonts of that Press at command, it will be very easy to make scores of matters clear which are now stones of stumbling for the beginner.

The way thus cleared for teaching quickly and effectively the essentials of Sanskrit grammar, and incidentally also the main structural features of our native English (of which even advanced students are now lamentably ignorant),—it will then be in order to induct the beginner into the literature. At present, he reads, between October first and Christmas, usually about five chapters of Nala, or about seven pages of the big oblong Bombay edition of the Mahā-bhārata. This would be a pitiful showing, if it were possible to do better with books now available; but I fear it is not. The next step is then to prepare a

number of little text-books (they must be little books) from which the beginner can see for himself how exceedingly easy the easy epic texts are. These texts must be chosen with skill and common sense and good taste. They must be purged of long-winded descriptive passages. They must not be puerile. (This objection lies against many much-read fables of the Hitopadeśa: these are quite proper for Hindu boys studying Sanskrit at the age of ten, but not for our students of twenty or more.) Above all, they must be in simple unstilted language, entertaining, full of rapidly moving action and incident. These requirements can all be met by an abbreviated text of the story of Nala.

Some sixty years ago, Charles Bruce, a pupil of Roth, trimmed down the story from about a thousand quatrains to about the half of that. It can be reduced to even narrower compass, and without impairing the charm of the really beautiful story, and so that a beginner can easily read and understand and enjoy the substance of the entire poem in the first two or three months after the very start. To this end I propose to print the Sanskrit text, each quatrain in four octosvilable lines, with suspension of the sound-changes at the end of the first and third, and with a simple English version in a parallel column at the right.12 Thus divested of the wholly adscititious difficulties of the strange alphabet and of all avoidable running-together of the words,-it is simply amazing to find how easy a really easy and well-chosen piece of the great epic may be made for an intelligent young student who has mastered the principal inflections and soundchanges.

Two other little anthologies are called for: one of interesting brief stories from the Mahā-bhārata, and one from the Rāmāyana. From the former, the Sakuntalā-story ought certainly to be read, as presenting the material of Kālidāsa's famous play. The story of Yayāti (1. 76-), the Gambling-scene (2. 60-), the wonderful Night-scene on the Ganges (15. 32-), in which the fallen heroes come forth and talk with the living, the Great Journey (17),—these and many others are available as easy and readable and characteristic specimens of the Great Epic.

[&]quot;Specimens of this typographic procedure may be seen in the article on Hindu Ascetics in the Transactions of the Am. Philological Association for 1917, vol. 48.

As long as on the earth the hills Shall stand, and rivers run to sea,— So long the Tale of Rama's Deeds Throughout the world shall famous be-

So says the Rāmāyana itself (1. 2. 36), in almost the very words of Virgil, In freta dum fluvii current, dum montibus umbrae, etc. There is, I think, no other more immediate way of acquainting the Occidental with the very spirit of the Hindu, than by familiarizing him with a reasonable number of episodes from the Tale of Rāma's Deeds, the epic that has long been the Bible of untold millions and is so today.

A similar volume of quatrains (variously called proverbs, Sprüche, epigrams), each complete in itself and with a real point, each in simplest language and meter,—would be useful as providing matter for learning by heart. I am convinced that the student of Sanskrit should begin committing such stanzas to memory at the very first lesson, just as beginners in French are wont to learn LaFontaine. Such quatrains are easily culled from the Mahā-bhārata, or from the collections of Parab or Böhtlingk. A small anthology of passages illustrating the Hindu sense of humor would be very taking with beginners. Parab gives many such. An occasional selection from the Mahā-bhārata, like the Jackal's Prayer (12, 180), might well be put with it.

These little books are only four of a considerable number that the Indianists owe to the beginners. There should be one made up of extracts from the Ocean of the Rivers of Story or Kathāsarit-sāgara. This should include characteristically diverse selections, such as Upakośā and the Four Gallants (4. 26-86), part of the Book of Noodles (61), and some of the Vampire-stories (75-99), such as the amusing tale of the Father who married the Daughter and his Son who married her Mother. Another should give extracts from the Purānas. Thus from the Vishnu, what could be more interesting for the man who reads of the achievements of modern astronomy, than the Hindu theories (6. 3-) of the evolution and dissolution of the universe and what could be finer and more fit for the century of the World-

⁴⁸ Subhüsita-ratus bhändigära, 2d ed., Bombay, 1886, p. 622. See also Böhtlingk, suni drete, etc., ekonä vitiástic näryak, etc.

war than the Earth-song (4. 24)? At least four small volumes should be devoted to specimens from the Rigveda, the Atharvaveda, the Brahmanas, and the Upanishads. These last might well be entitled 'Theosophy of the Hindus: their doctrine of the all-pervading God.'

Two Sanskrit dictionaries are greatly needed. The wonderful thesaurus of Böhtlingk and Roth was finished almost half a century ago, and (as the exploitation of the Artha-sästra, for example, and of other texts makes evident) needs now to be thoroughly revised and brought up to date. For this very purpose there is in London, at the India Office Library, a large amount of unpublished lexicographical material which came from Aufrecht and Cappeller. But who is to find the money for so large an undertaking and when and where may we look for two such giants as Böltlingk and Roth to do that Herculean task!-But not only is a revised lexicon on a grand scale a desideratum, even more pressing is the need of a dictionary of moderate compass for the use of beginners. For this purpose Cappeller's was good, and its price was small, but it is out of print. The second edition of Monier Williams's is full and accurate, but its price was 64 shillings before the war. All things considered, typography and size" and scope and low price, -Macdonell's Sanskrit-English Dictionary, issued in 1893, is of incomparable excellence. But the copies were all sold by 1910, and the book has now been unobtainable for ten years. All these three dictionaries were printed from type and not from electrotype plates. This was a very great and most unfortunate mistake. For a new issue cannot be made except by setting up the entire work from a to izzard, and at an expense which is now commercially almost out of the question.

Dictionaries, like tables of logarithms, ought never to be printed except from electrotype plates. As for Macdonell's book, its whole life upon the market was only seventeen years, a period lamentably short when compared with the time (the time of an expert) which the author spent in writing it. Instead of a separate glossary for each of the little volumes of text mentioned above, it would be far better to have a small but adequate dic-

[&]quot;Its weight is a trifle over 2 pounds; that of the St. Petersburg Lexicon is over 34.

tionary like Macdonell's. I am at a less to know what course to suggest at this time, which is so critical for the maintenance of Indic studies. But as soon as the costs of production are lower, I think the best plan would be to reset Macdonell's dictionary, even if it were practically unchanged, and to electrotype the work, so that a new issue of say five hundred copies could be struck off at any time as needed, and with small expense.

As was just said, the present time is indeed a critical one in the history of Oriental studies. The war brought us to a height of moral elevation and of enthusiasm for the noblest ideals. which, on such a scale, was without precedent in human history. Among the signs of the unhappy reaction that has set in, are the fatal dawdlings of partisan polities and the wranglings for bonuses. Another is the feebler interest in things which, although not in a material way, do yet most truly enrich our life. But, with all the political and economic miseries that the war has brought us, it has also, for better or worse, brought the East nearer to the West. With this hard fact we must reckon. Students of the Orient must so direct their work as to make it most effective in helping our countrymen to understand and respect our neighbors across the Pacific, and to deal justly and honorably with them. We must realize that their prophets and saints and sages have made great attainments in what is most truly 'the fulness of life.' And to make this fact clear to the Occident, we must faithfully devote ourselves to just such prosaie tasks as those which I have outlined. If these are well done. done by teachers who themselves have the teachable habit of mind and never forget the broader bearings of their life-work, we may hope that Oriental studies will not fail to maintain their value and to justify the belief in their practical and political significance.

STUDIES IN BHASA

V. S. SUKTHANKAR

ACCHARGLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA

Introduction

No methopical study has yet been made of the thirteen anonymous dramas issued as Nos. XV-XVII, XX-XXII, XXVI, XXXIX, and XLII of the Trivandrum Sanskrit Series and ascribed by their editor, Pandit T. Ganapati Sastri, to the celebrated playwright Bhasa. The first attempt at a comprehensive review of the plays-and the only one that has contributed substantially to our knowledge of them-is found in the editor's own introductions to the editio princeps of the Svapnavasavadatta and that of the Pratimanataka respectively. Opinion may be divided as to whether the learned editor has fully vindicated his claims regarding the age of the dramas or the authorship of Bhilsa, but it seems unquestionable that the arguments brought forward by him in support of his case deserve serious consideration. Another approach to a study of these dramas is found in the introduction to a subsequent edition of the Svapnavasavadattà by Prof. H. B. Bhide. This author replies to the arguments of a scholar who had in the meanwhile published an acticle in a vernacular journal calling into question the concinsion of Ganapati Sastri regarding the authorship of Bhasa, and attempts to reestablish it by adducing fresh proofs in support of it. Mr. Bhide then turns his attention to the question of Bhasa's age, which he endeavors to fix by what may be termed a process of successive elimination. Incidentally it may be remarked that his arguments lead him to assign the dramas to an epoch even earlier than that elaimed for them by Ganapati Sastri." While it would be invid-

A complete hithlingraphy of the literature, Indian (including the works in vernaculars, of which there is a considerable number already) and European, bearing on the subject, will be the theme of a separate article.

The Svapna Vasavadatta of Bhasa edited with Introduction, Notes etc. etc. by H. B. Bhide, . . . with Sanskrit Commentary (Bhavangar, 1916).

^{*}According to Ganapati Sastri the author of these dramss, Bhasa, 'must necessarily be placed not later than the third or second century B. C.'; according to Mr. Bhide, 475 B. C. to 417 R. C. would be the period of Bhasa.

ions to belittle the work of these pioneers in the field and deny them their meed of praise, it must nevertheless be confessed that their investigations are characterised by a narrowness of scope and a certain perfunctoriness of treatment which unfortunately deprive them of all claims to finality. Vast fields of enquiry have been left practically untouched; and, it need not be pointed out, a study of these neglected questions might seriously modify the views on the plays and the playwright based on the facts now available.

Nor have the critics of Ganapati Sastri, who challenge his ascription of the plays to Bhāsa, attempted—perhaps they have not deemed it worth their while to attempt—to get below the surface; their investigations confine themselves to a very restricted field, upon the results of which their conclusions are based. Corresponding to the different isolated features of these plays selected by them for emphasis, different values are obtained by them for the epoch of these dramas; and having shown that these dates are incompatible with the probable age of Bhāsa, these writers have considered their responsibility ended.

Now whatever opinion may be held regarding the age of these plays it seems underiable that they are worthy of very close study. Their discovery has given rise to some complicated literary problems, which demand elucidation. Their Prakrit, which contains some noteworthy peculiarities, requires analysis; their technique, which differs in a marked manner from that of hitherto known dramas, requires careful study; their metre, with its preponderance of the śloka, and their Alamkāra of restricted scope, both call for minute investigation. The fragment's Caradatta alone, of which the Mrechakaţikā looks almost like an enlarged version, suggests a whole host of problems. Some verses (or parts of verses) from these dramas are met with again in different literary works; we find others referred to in critical works of different epochs: have they been borrowed or quoted (as the case may be) from our dramas! If so, what chronologi-

^{*}Prof. Pandeyn in the vermicular periodical Strade (Vol. 1, No. 1), who assigns the plays to the 16th century A. D.; and Dr. L. D. Barnett in JRAS, 1919, pp. 2331., who ascribes them to an anonymous poet of about the 7th century A. D.

^{*}Thereon see my article ! "Charudatta" - A Fragment in the Quarterly Journal of the Mythic Society (Bangalore), 1919.

cal conclusions follow from these references! Some of these questions have never been dealt with at all before; there are others whose treatment by previous writers must be called superficial and unsatisfactory; but all of them merit exhaustive investigation. In these Studies I shall try to discuss various problems connected with these plays with all the breadth of treatment they require. I hope that they will in some measure answer the demand.

At first I shall devote myself to collation of material; subsequently, when I have a sufficient number of facts at my disposal, duly tabulated and indexed, I shall turn my attention to the question of the age and the authorship of these dramas, and consider whether, from the material available, it is possible to deduce any definite conclusions regarding these topics. From the nature of the case it may not be possible to find for the question of the authorship an answer free from all elements of uncertainty; but it is hoped that the cumulative evidence of facts gleaned from a review of the plays from widely different angles will yield some positive result at least regarding their age.

In conclusion it should be made clear that nothing is taken for granted regarding the author or the age of these plays. It follows, therefore, that the choice of the title 'Studies in Bhāsa,' or the expression 'dramas of Bhāsa' if used in the sequel with reference to them, does not necessarily imply the acceptance of the authorship of Bhāsa; the use of Bhāsa's name should be regarded merely as a matter of convenience, unless the evidence adduced be subsequently found to justify or necessitate the assumption involved:

I. On certain archaisms in the Prakrit of these dramas.

The scope of this article, the first of the series, is restricted to a consideration of certain selected words and grammatical forms, occurring in the Prakrit of the dramas before us, which arrest our attention by their archaic character. There are many other questions relative to the Prakrit of these plays which await investigation, such as, for example, its general sound-system, its varieties, its distribution, etc.: they will be dealt with in subsequent articles. 'Archaic' and 'modern' are of course relative terms. The words noticed below are called 'archaic' in reference to what may be said to be the standard dialect-stage of the Prakrit of the

dramas of the classical period, such as these of Kālidāsa. No comparative study has yet been made of the Prakrit of Kālidāsa and his successors with a view to ascertaining the developmental differences (if any) obtaining between them; marked differences there are none; and we are constrained, in the absence of detailed study, to regard the Prakrits of the post-Kālidāsa dramas as static dialect-varieties showing only minute differences of vocabulary and style.

Methodologically the question whether all these thirteen anonymous plays are the works of one and the same author should have been taken up first for investigation. But even a cursory examination of these plays is enough to set at rest all doubts regarding the common authorship; moreover the point has already been dealt with in a fairly satisfactory manner by the editor of the plays, whose conclusions have not hitherto croked adverse comment. The question will, however, in due course receive all the attention and scrutiny necessary.

Meanwhile we will turn to the discussion of what I regard as archaisms in the Prakrit of these plays,

AN ALPHABETICAL LIST OF SELECTED ARCHAISMS.

1. amhāam (= Skt. asmākam).

Syapna. 27 (twice; Ceți), 28 (Ceți); Pañca. 21 (Vrddhagopālaka); Avi. 25 (Dhātri), 29 (Vidūsaka).

amhāam is used in the passages just quoted; but in other places the very same characters use the later form amhānam, which is formed on the analogy of the thematic nominal bases: cf. Ceți în Svapna. 24, 32; Vrddhagopālaka în Pañea. 20, 21; and Dhātrī in Avi. 23. The latter form occurs, moreover, în Cāru. 1 (Sūtradhāra), 34 (Ceți). The form amhā(k)am, it may be remarked, is neither mentioned by grammarians nor found in the dramas hitherto known. But Pāli, it will be recalled, has still amhākam, and Ašvaghosa's dramas (Lūders 58) have preserved the corresponding tum(h)āk(am). Owing to the simul-

^{*}Thus, for instance, Markandeya in his Prakrtasarvasva (ed. Granthapradarsani, Vizagapatam, 1912), IX. 95, lays down specifically that the gen. plu. of the 1st pers. pron. in Sauraseni is amham or amhānam.

^{*} Here and in similar references 'Lüders' stands for Lüders, Bruchstücke Buddhistischer Dramen (Kleinere Sanskrit-Texte, Hoft I), Berlin 1911.

taneous occurrence in our dramas of both the forms in the speech of one and the same character, we are not in a position to decide at this stage whether the amhāam of our manuscripts is a genuinely archaic use of the word or whether there is a contamination here with the Skt. asmākam. It may again be that the promiscuous use of the doublets points to a period of transition.

2. Root arh.

Svapna. 7 (Tāpasī); Abhi. 5 (Tārā).

Twice the root appears in Prakrit passages in these dramas with unassimilated conjunct; once as a nominal base arha (Syapna. 7) and again as a verbum finitum arkadit (Abhi. 5). In the latter case the editor conjecturally emends the reading of the manuscripts to arihadi. A priori the conjunct rh seems hardly admissible in a Prakrit dialect;" and one is tempted to follow the editor of the dramas in regarding it as a mistake of the scribe. In the Sauraseni of later dramas an epenthetic i divides the conjunct: arik- (Pischel 140). Of this form we have two instances in our dramas: arihadi in Pratimā. 6 (Avadātikā) and anarihani in Abhi. 15 (Sita). In another place, however, the word appears with an epenthetic w. Abhi. 60 (Sitā) we have anaruhāni (instead of anarihāni) in a passage which is otherwise identical with Abhi, 15 quoted above. Thus, an emendation would have seemed inevitable in the two isolated instances containing the conjunct, had not the Turfan manuscripts of Asvaghosa's dramas, with which our manuscripts will be shown to have a number of points in common, testified to the correctness of the reading, by furnishing a probable instance of the identical orthographic peculiarity. In a passage from a speech placed in the mouth either of the Courtesan or the Vidusaka (and therefore Sauraseni) occurs a word that is read by Prof. Lüders as arhessi (Lüders 49). Unfortunately the portion of the palm-leaf which contains the conjunct rh is chipped, and the reading, therefore,

^{*}The actual reading of the text is a (rhafriha) di, meaning apparently that the MS, reading is orbadi and that the editor would emend to orthodi.

^{*}See Pischel, Grammatik d. Prakrit-Spracken (abbreviated in the sequel as 'Pischel'), § 332.

^{*}Pischel (§ 140) remarks that the Dovardgari and South-Indian recensions of Sakuntala and Malavika, and the Priyadarika, have arabadi in faurasent; seconding to him it is an incorrect use.

cannot claim for itself absolute certainty. However that may be, Prof. Lüders appears to have in his own mind no doubt regarding the correctness of the reading adopted by him. Should this restoration be correct, we should have a precedent for our seemingly improbable reading. It is not easy to explain satisfactorily the origin of this anomaly. We can only conjecture, as Prof. Lüders does, that the conjunct rh was still pronounced without the svarabhakti, or was at any rate written¹¹ in that manner. Assuming that our reading of the word ark- in both sets of manuscripts is correct, this coincidence, which is a proof as positive as it is fortuitous of the affinity between our dramas and those of Asvaghesa, has an importance which cannot be overrated.

3. ahake (= Skt. aham).

Cāru. 23 (Sakāra).

Occurs in these dramas only once in the (Magadhi) passage just quoted. Sakara uses only in two other places the nominative case of the pronoun of the first person, namely Caru. 12 (which is a verse), and 15; in both these instances, however, as elsewhere in our dramas, occurs the ordinary Tatsama uham, The derivation of chake is sufficiently clear; and since in Saurasent and Magadhi the svarlhe-suffix -ka may be retained unaltered (Pischel 598), the form is theoretically, at any rate, perfectly regular. It has moreover the sanction of the grammarians, being specifically noticed in a Prakrit grammar, namely the Prakrtaprakāša (11. 9) of Vararuci, which is the oldest Prakrit grammar preserved (Pischel 32). In his paradigma of the 1st pers, pron. Pischel encloses this form in square brackets, indicating therewith that there are no instances of its use in the available mannscripts. Probably this view represents the actual state of things in Pischel's time. It would be wrong on that account to regard its occurrence here as a pedantic use of a speculative form which is nothing more than a grammarian's abstraction. For we now have in Asvaghosa's dramas an authentic instance of the use of a still older form, ahakam, in the 'dramatic' Magadhi of the Dusta

[&]quot;It would be worth white examining the Prakrit inscriptions to assertain whether they contain any instances of this usage, and if so to determine its epochal and topographical limits.

(Bösewicht); Lüders 36. The ahake of these dramas and of Vararuci stands midway and supplies the necessary connecting link between the ahakam of Aśvaghosa and the hake, hag(g)e of later grammarians and dramatists. The legitimacy and archaism of ahake may, therefore, be regarded as sufficiently established. Incidentally the correspondence with Vararuci is worthy of note.—The occasion for the use, in this instance, of the stronger form ahake, instead of the usual aham, appears to be that the context requires an emphasis to be laid on the subject of the sentence: ahake dāva vancide... 'Even I' have been duped...'—The later forms hake, ha(g)ge occur neither in the preserved fragments of Aśvaghosa's dramas nor in our dramas, a fact which is worthy of remark.

4. āma.

Svapna. 45 (Vidūşaka), 80 (Padmāvatī), etc.; Cāru. 4 (Națī), 20 (Sakāra); etc. etc.

An affirmative particle occurring very frequently in these dramas and used in all dialects alike. This word, which is met with also in the modern Dravidian dialects, where it has precisely the same sense, seems to have dropped out of the later Prakrit. It need not on that account be set down as a late Dravidianism introduced into the manuscripts of our dramas by South Indian scribes, for its authenticity is sufficiently established by its occurrence in Päli on the one hand and in the Turfan manuscripts of Asvaghosa's dramas on the other (Lüders 46).

5. karia (= Skt. kṛtvā).

Svapna, 52 (Vidūsaka), 63 (Vāsavadattā), 70 (Pratīhārī); Pratijāā 10, 11, and 15 (Hamsaka), 41, 45, and 50 (Vidūsaka); etc. etc.

The regular Sauraseni form is kadua (Pischel 581, 590). But Hemacandra (4.272) allows also karia. While this rule of the grammarian is confirmed by the sporadic occurrence of kari(y) a in manuscripts, it is interesting to remark that it is met with also in a Sauraseni passage in Asvaghosa's dramas (Lüders 46).

[&]quot; [Editorial note.—The suffix his cannot, in my opinion, have this meaning. Here it is very likely pitying ("poor unlucky I"); or it may be swarthe.—F. E.]

According to Pischel (KB 8, 140, quoted by Lüders in Bruchstücke Buddhistischer Dramen, p. 48, footnote 3) the use of
karia is confined exclusively to the Nägari and South Indian recensions of Sakuntalä and Mälavikä. But its occurrence in
the Turfan manuscripts of Aśvaghoṣa's dramas shows that it is
a genuinely archaic form and not a vagary of South Indian or
Nägari manuscripts.—kadua does not occur in our dramas, nor in
the preserved fragments of Aśvaghoṣa's dramas. Incidentally
we may note that our playa also furnish instances of the use of
the parallel form gacchia (Skt. gatvā) of which the regular
(later) Saurasenī form is gadua; see Cāru. 1, etc. etc.

6. kissa, kiśśa (= Skt. kasya).

Avi. 16 (Vidūsaka), 20 (Nalinikā), 71 and 73 (Vidūsaka); Pratimā. 6 (Sītā); Cāru. 24 (Sakāra).

The dialects are Sauraseni (kissa) and Magadhi (kissa). Formally these words represent the genitive singular of the interrogative pronoun, but here as elsewhere they are used exclusively in the sense of the ablative kasmāt—'whyt', 'whereforet'. Neither of these words—in this stage of phonetic development—occurs in the Prakrit of the grammarians and other dramatists (with but one exception), which have kisa (kisa) instead (Pischel 428). kissa occurs frequently in Pāli, kissa is used by the Duṣṭa ('Bösewicht') in Aśvaghoṣa's dramas (Lüders 36); in both these instances the words have precisely the same sense as here. Like akake (above no. 3), kissa (kissa) corresponds exactly to the theoretical predecessors of forms in use in the Prakrit of later dramas. kisa occurs once in these plays also: Svapna. 29 (Ceṭi).

Unless a period of transition be assumed, kissa would appear to be the right form to use here. For, kisa may represent the spurious correction of a learned transcriber; but were kisa (kisa) the original reading in all these places, it would be difficult to explain the deliberate substitution of an archaic kissa (kissa) in its place. In other words I assume the principle of progressive correction, that is the tendency of successive generations of scribes to modernize the Prakrit of older works so as to bring it in line with the development of the Prakrit of their own times. Unless, therefore, as already remarked, it is assumed that the simultaneous use of the two forms be regarded as indicating a period of transition, kissa (kissa) would appear to be the form proper to the dialect

of our dramas. In passing it may be pointed out that kissa (kiśśa) cannot be arrived at by the Prakritization of any Sanskrit form; therefore a question of contamination does not rise in this case.

7. khu (= Skt, khalu).

Svapna. 5 (Vāsavadattā), 7 (Tāpasī), 11 (Padmāvatī), 13 (Cetī), etc. etc.

Written almost throughout without the doubling of the initial. Now the rule deduced from an observation of the usage of manuscripts appears to be that after short vowels and after a and o (which then are shortened under those circumstances), we should have kkhu; after long vowels, however, khu (Pischel 94). This rule applies to Sauraseni and Magadhi alike. But in the manuscripts of Aśvaghosa's dramas the initial is never doubled; and in our text of the present plays there are only two instances of the doubling, both of which are spurious and due to mistakes of copyists. We will turn our attention to these first. They are:-(1) Ahhi. 23 (Sitā): aho aarunā-kkhu issarā,12 and (2) Pratimā. 22 (Sîtă) : nam saha-dhamma-ārinī-kkhu aham. It is quite evident that the doubling in these instances, which takes place after the long finals a and i, is contrary to every rule, and is nothing more than a mistake of some transcriber. It may therefore be assumed that at the stage in which the dialects of our dramas find themselves the doubling of the initial in khu had not yet taken effect. We notice here, however, the first step taken to its treatment as an enclitic. In the dramas of Asvaghosa khu remains unaltered throughout with undoubled initial;10 but in our dramas we find frequently ha substituted for it in the combinations na + khu and him nu + khu; Svapna. 23 (Väsavadattä). 58 (Vidūsaka), 63 (Vāsavadattā), etc.; Pratijāā. 9 (Hamsaka); Pañca, 20 (Vrddhagopālaka); Avi, 79 (Nalinikā), 82 (Kurangi), 92 (Nalinikā); etc. etc. Sporadically khu is retained unaltered even in these combinutions.14

[&]quot;But note Sympas. 27 (Väsavadatth); aho abarund bhu teams. Of course the retention of the intervocalic b is unjustifiable.

[&]quot;Prof. Lüders does cite "Likkhu in Asvaghosa's dramas; but, as he himself points out, it is far from certain that we have the particle khu before us (Lüders 51, footnote 3).

[&]quot;For instance, him au khu, Svapan. 63 (Väsavadattā).

8. tava (= Skt. tava).

Svapna. 17 (Tāpasī), 40 (Padmāvatī), 78 (Dhātrī); Pratimā. 8 (Avadātīkā); etc. etc.

This is the usual form of the word in our plays in all dialects alike; in addition, of course, the old enclitie te (de) is also in use. The Sauraseni of Aśvaghosa's dramas furnishes also an example of its use in the Prakrit of dramas (Lüders 46), and it is common enough in Päli. On the other hand the later forms tu(m)ha, and tujjha are unknown alike to the Prakrit of Aśvaghosa and these plays. According to Prakrit grammarians and the usage of the manuscripts of later dramas tu(m)ha (and not taea) is proper to Sauraseni; is evidently this represents the state of things at a later epoch. The use of tava seems later to be restricted to Māgadhī, Ardhamāgadhī, and Jaina Māhārāsṭrī (Pischel 421).

9. tuvain (= Skt, tvom).

Svapna 37 (Padmāvati), 38 (Vāsavadattā), 53 (Padminikā), 54 (Padminikā), 55 (Padminikā); Pratijūā 40 (Vidūsaka), 42 (Vidūsaka); Avi. 73 (Vidūsaka), 77 (Vidūsaka), 79 (Kuraūgi); Dru. 104 (Durjaya); Cāru. 2 (Natī); etc. etc.

This form, in which the assimilation has not yet taken effect, disappeared from the Prakrit of later dramas, which substitute tumoù in its place. But it is mentioned by Prakrit grammarians (Pischel 420), and it is the regular form of the nominative case of the 2nd pers, pron. in Pali and inscriptional Prakrit. It was, moreover, in use still in Aśvaghosa's time (Lüders 46), which is significant from our viewpoint. The later form tumoù occurs sporadically in our dramas also: Svapna. 78 (Dhātrī); Pratijūā. 58 (Bhata and Gātrasevaka), 62 (Bhata); Avi. 29 (Vidūsaka), 92 (Vasumitrā). In respect to the references from the Pratijūā. (58, 62) it should be remarked that the manuscripts upon which our text is based are just at this place defective, and full of mistakes; consequently the readings adopted in the text cannot by any means be looked upon as certain.—Twice turoù is used in the accusative ase: Ūrn. 105 (Durjaya), Cāru. 71 (Ganikā).

[&]quot;See Pischel 421 for a discussion of the merits and use of the different Prakrit equivalents of Skt. toru.

[&]quot;In the paradigms of the prounts of the 2nd pers. Pinchel gives the form furum for the none and acc. sing., but he encloses it in square brackets.

17 JAOS 40

But the usual form of the accusative case in our plays, as in later Prakrit, is tumain: e. g. Svapna. 27 and 32 (Ceti).

10. dissa-, dissa- (= Skt. drsya-).

Svapna. 70 (Pratihārī); Avi. 22 (Nalinikā), 70 (Vidūsaka); Pratijāā. 58 (Bhaṭa); Bāla. 50 (Vrādhagopālaka); Madhyama. 4 (Brāhmanī); Ūru. 101 (Gāndhārī); Abhi. 54 (Sītā); Cāru. 16 (Sakāra); Pratimā. 5 (Sītā); etc.

In the above instances we have the root-form dissa. On the other hand, in a number of other places the later form dissa, with the simplification of the conjunct, has been used. The relation dissa: disa- is the same as that of kissa: kisa discussed in paragraph 6. According to Pischel dissa- occurs in the Ardhamāgadhi of the Jaina canon, but not in the dramas, which substitute disa- instead (Pischel 541). This later form disa- is met with in our dramas only in : Avi. 28 (Vidūṣaka), 91 (Vasumitrā); Pratijīnā, 54 (Vidūṣaka); Cāru, 16 (Sakāra). It is worth noting that in one instance (Cāru, 16) the two forms occur on the same page and are placed in the mouth of the same character (Sakāra). The remarks made in paragraph 6 on the relation of the forms kissa: kisa are also applicable here. It is interesting to note that the passive base dissa- is in use not only in Pāli, but also in Ašvaghoṣa's dramas (Liūders 58).

II. vaam (= Skt. vayam).

Svapna, 31 (Vidūsaka); Avi. 93 (Vasumitrā); Cāru. 49 (Vidūsaka).

In Svapna. (p. 31) the word is spelt vayam; but in conformity with the orthography of the manuscripts of our dramas, which omit the intervocalic y, the reading vanin should be adopted also in this instance. The form proper to Sauraseni, to which dialect all the above passages belong, is ambe (Pischel 419). But it is interesting to note that Vararuei (12, 25) and Märkandeya 70, according to Pischel 419, permit the use of va(y)asi in Sauraseni. And again in the dramas of Aśvaghosa we do actually meet with an instance of the use of vayam in a dialect which is probably Sauraseni (Lüders 58). The form ambe does not occur in the preserved fragments of Aśvaghosa's dramas. And in our plays it occurs, as far as my observation goes, only three times: twice, enriously enough, in the sense of (the nomi-

native case of) the dual āvām (Abhi. 48; Pratimā. 58), and once in the accusative asse (Pratimā. 35). va(y)am may therefore be regarded as a form peculiar and proper to the older Prakrits.

SUMMARY

Above have been set forth a number of peculiarities of vocabulary and grammar in which the Prakrit of our dramas differs from that of the dramas of Kälidäsa and other classical playwrights. Every one of these peculiarities is shared by the Prakrit of Asvaghosa's dramas. In some instances the archaic and the more modern form are used side by side in our dramus: e. g. amhilam and amhinam; tuvam and tumam; kissa and kisa; dissa- and disa-; arh-, arih- and aruh-. But in other instances the archaic forms are used to the exclusion of the later forms; e. g. ahake (later hage), va(y)am (later amhe, Nom. Plu.), tava (later tumha), karia (later kadua), and āma (obsolete). The absence of doubling of the initial of the particle khu after & and o may be taken to indicate an epoch when the shortening of the final e and o had not yet taken effect. Worthy of special note are the forms shake and sma, which not only are unknown to later Prakrit, but are not the regular tadbhavas of any Sanskrit words. It should also be remembered that ahake and va(y)am (used in our plays practically to the exclusion of hage und amhe respectively) are noticed in Vararuci's Präkrtaprakāša, which is believed to be the oldest Prakrit grammar extunt.

The affinities with Asvaghosa's Prakrit pointed out above have a bearing on the age of our dramas which will receive our attention in due course. Meanwhile it will suffice to note that these affinities go far to prove that below the accretion of ignorant mistakes and unauthorised corrections, for which the successive generations of scribes and 'diaskenasts' should be held responsible, there lies in the dramas before us a solid bedrock of archaic Prakrit, which is much older than any we know from the dramas of the so-called classical period of Sanskrit literature.

[&]quot;It should be remarked that only is the regular base of the oblique cases of this pronoun, and that only, accus, is regular in all dialects.

CINNAMON, CASSIA AND SOMALILAND

WILFRED H. SCHOFF

THE COMMERCIAL MUSEUM, PHILADELPHIA

The ancient Semires sometimes took their tribal totems from trees, which they thought of as animate. The leaves, bark, gum or wood of such trees they conceived as preserving the attributes of the tree itself. Thickets, groves or forests of such trees were sacred places, to trespass in which was disastrous. Setting fire to such a thicket to bring the ground under cultivation is said, in more than one Arabian story, to have brought about the departure of spirits of the trees in the form of flying serpents who brought death to the intruders. From very early times certain trees and plants were thought to possess special virtues for ceremonial purification, and it is not impossible that such uses antedated animal sacrifice as a means of atonement to the higher powers.\(^1\) Echoes of such beliefs may be found in the Old Testament fable of the trees that chose the bramble to be their king\(^2\)

Among known products of Arabia, those especially valued for purposes of purification were the lemon grass (idhkhir) -of which the woody root is more fragrant than the hollow stem (Andropogon schoenanthus)-which grows tall and strong in the valleys of streams in both Arabia and Somaliland; the senna (Cassia angustifolia), a leguminous shrub native in the Somali uplands; the myrrh (Balsamodendron myrrha), a small tree whose rudimentary leaves offer little evaporating surface to the blazing sun of its native uplands; the acacia (Acacia seyal), yielding a valued hard wood and a gum of specific virtue; the balsam (Balsamodendron gileadense), a poorer cousin of the myrch; the sweet flag or calamus (Acorus calamus) : the ladanum or rock rose (Cistus villosus); the fragrant blooming kadi or screw pine (Pandanus odoratissimus); and most valued of all, the frankincense (Boswellia Carterii), a fully-leaved small tree which requires more water than the myrrh and grows therefore in val-

^{*}W. Robertson Smith, The Religion of the Semites, 133; et. Herodotus, 3, 107.

^{*} Judg. D. S. aqq.

^{*} Smith, op. cit. 142

leys at the base of hills, which attract some of the moisture of the monsoons, around the enclosed bays of South Arabia and the valleys of the Horn of Africa.

So firmly rooted was the belief in the efficacy of the lemon grass that Mohammed, in making his reservations of sacred land in Arabia, on which it was forbidden to cut fodder, fell trees, or hunt game (the natural products of the holy soil being exempt from human appropriation), was compelled, we are told by Robertson Smith, to except the lemon grass because of an ancient custom that allowed it to be cut for certain purposes, 'for entombment and purification of houses,' uses which persist to the present day.' Myrrh also had its peculiar uses for the entombment of the dead; senna and frankincense for the purification of the living. Ritual observance in various faiths in our own day calls for a strict fast before partaking of the sacrament. In more primitive times, and even today, as Robertson Smith shows of the Massi in East Africa, such observance requires not only fasting, but the use of strong purges that the body may contain nothing unclean and the individual thus more surely make his atonement. Such was, probably, one of the objects of the formulae of the Babylonians quoted by Dr. Jastrow, which depended apparently upon senna as a prime ingredient."

Frankincense had a religious value greater than the rest, whether its odor was used in the form of ointments or was produced by burning the gum as an altar sacrifice. No other product of antiquity was collected with such strict religious precautions. The Periplus tells us that it could be gathered only by certain individuals; Pliny adds that they must be men upright in life, living in celibacy during the gathering season; and Marco Polo tells of the islands off the south coast of Arabia whereof one was reserved for the women and the other for the men during the gathering season.

Such, in brief, were the principal media of purification of the early Semitic world. The demand for them in neighboring coun-

^{*}Smith, op. cit. 142.

⁵ Smith, op. cet, 434,

^{*} Truns. Roy. Soc. Med. 7, 2, 133.

Periplus, 29, 32.

Pliny, H. N. 12, 30,

[&]quot; Marco Polo, 30, 31.

tries gave a very early impetus to international commerce. Egyptian records as early as the 5th Dynasty tell of Punt expeditions yielding incense and aromatics. The well-known Punt reliefs of the 18th Dynasty tell of frankincense and myrrh, ointments and fragrant woods. Babylonian and Assyrian tribute lists tell of the same substances, and of leaves used for the ceremonial purgatives. It is here that the literary tradition brings in the words, cinnamon and cassia, which refer today to the bark and wood of the tree laurel of India and tropical Asia (Cinnamomum tumula). But it would seem that such reference is not borne out by the original texts.

The occasion for this doubt is the well-known fact that laurel varieties will not grow where lime is present in the soil, that they require considerable moisture, and the tree laurel in particular abundant seasonal rainfall,12 In the Somali peninsula, which the Greeks and Romans thought to be the home of the cinnamon, calcareous rock is everywhere found, the uplands being thereby arid, while calcareous clay is characteristic of the river bottoms. These conditions, with seanty rainfall and high average temperature, make it improbable that laurel varieties ever grew there. The same testimony is furnished alike in geological history and in modern exploration. Fossil cinnamomums are found in Asia but not in Africa.13 R. E. Drake-Brockman, a British officer stationed at Berbera, made special inquiries some years ago at my request, interviewing Somali traders from all the caravan routes and showing them cinnamon bark, wood and leaf. He found them utterly ignorant of any such product.14 and writes, 'had einnamon been a product of the Horn of Africa it is hardly reasonable to suppose that it would have so completely disappeared. I have never met with it in any part of the interior, nor do those Somalis who are acquainted with the imported artiele know of the existence, even of an inferior quality of it. Frankincense and myrrh are collected today, as they were two or three thousand years ago, in what is now British Somaliland.'

A recent Italian expedition headed by Bricchetti explored all

[&]quot;Breasted, Ancient Records of Egypt, 1, 161; 2, 265, etc.

[&]quot;Cf. Harper, Assyrian and Babylonian Literature, pp. 52, 134-136, etc.

[&]quot;Watt, Commercial Products of India, pp. 311-313.

[&]quot;Engler and Prantl, Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien, 3. 3. 157-163. British Somaiiland, pp. 6, 8, 9.

parts of Italian Somaliland, bringing back a full botanical collection, reported on by Professor R. Pirotta of Rome, in which no laurel varieties appear.13 Similarly negative results are found in subsequent Italian colonial reports. Mr. S. E. Chandler, of the Imperial Institute, in a recent letter expresses similar views: 'The erux of the question is whether any Lauraceous bark was, or could have been, obtained from the indigenous flora from the Horn of Africa. So far as I can ascertain, the answer is in the negative. No cinnamomums occur in tropical Africa. On this opinion Mr. H. W. Dickinson, of the Science Museum, South Kensington, observes: 'He practically negatives the possibility that any tree of the einnamon-bearing laurel variety could have been obtained from the Horn of Africa.' The researches of Robertson Smith apparently yielded nothing concerning cinnamon, which does not appear among his lists of ceremonial substances valued by the ancient Arabs. The literary tradition, however, is explicit as to substances bearing the names, cinnamon and cassia. The explanation may be found by inquiring into the significance of the names themselves.

So far as the Egyptian reliefs are concerned, Dr. Breasted informs me, the translation, cinnamon, is merely hypothetical, the original being tyspsy from the root spsy, meaning 'to sweeten': so that the word designates nothing more than a wood

or product of fragrant or agreeable taste.

In a list of commercial substances clearly of ceremonial application in Ezekiel we find as products of South Arabia אום בוכון and translated in our English versions as cassia and calamus. In the LXX the verse is lacking, but אום מסוף appears as social among the products of Judah. The אום שום שום שום שום בולון האום בולון האום בולון בולון האום בולון האום בולון בולון האום בולון האום בולון בולון בולון האום בולון בו

This leguminous shrub, still known botanically as Cassia and native in the Horn of Africa, reaches the market in two forms—the long, stiff pods, and the tender leaves. The pods are gathered from the plant and tied in bundles without covering. The plant is cut down and spread in the sun to dry. The leaves are

"Erek, 27. 19.

A Bricebetti, Somalia e Benadir, pp. 628-629, 700-726.

then stripped off and packed in bags. Senna reaches the market in both forms, and from the same places, to this day, and is described in the pharmaceutical books as folia sennae and folliculi sennac.17 A dealer in drugs tells me that he is now earrying 'Tinnevelly pods' (Somali semma) for the first time to meet the insistent demand of Russian Jewish women; a curious survival indeed, if that race came originally from South Arabia.

The tabernacle specifications in Exodus,18 probably later in their present form than the text of Ezekiel, give in this connection three substances -קנמן and קנמן, rendered by the LXX salapor, ios, and surrigumer. The rendering iris is interesting, this being the orris root of commerce noted by Theophrastus18 as an ingredient of sacred ointments among the Greeks, but found by them much nearer home than Arabia. Korapopor ruises at once our question of the laurel product to which the word is now applied. The Hebrew form קנמן כשם suggests not only that the substance was sweet, but also that there might be a קנכון that was not sweet; and the form קנכון may possibly be a verbal noun derived from a root DIP, to set up, erect or bundle, applicable to any product brought in that form by the caravans, including the roots of the lemon grass. There is, of course, some doubt as to the existence of such a root, but a similar form [17] means, to set up, build up, and hence to nest; and Herodotus seems to have such a meaning in mind when he says that 'cinnamon comes from great birds' nests in India. 228 That the form of the package is still considered in commerce, I note from a modern specification for licorice coming from a merchant in Valencia, Spain, which passed over my desk a few days ago: 'Natural, in branches, completely dried, in bales, perfectly fastened, without burlap.' In a Psulm of uncertain dates we have the words אהלות and יבועות rendered by the LXX sadis and oracry (a word applied alike to myrrh and balsam) and in a passage in Proverbs, מחלים and אחלים rendered by the LXX

[&]quot; Pillekiger and Hanlery, Pharmacographia, art. Senna!

¹⁰ Exod. 30, 23-24.

[&]quot;Throphrastns, H. P. 9, 9, 2,

^{*} Herodutus 3, 110.

⁼ Pa. 45. 5.

[&]quot; Prov. 7, 17.

κατάρωμου and κρόκουν, saffron (Crocus satious), an interesting reading again suggesting substitution of a substance found nearer the Greek world. Finally in the late text of Ben Sira¹³ we have in a list of ceremonial perfumes, κυνάρωμου and ἀσκάλαθος, but no cassia. Aspalathus (Genista acanthoclada) is an aromatic shrub native in Palestine; so that in Ben Sira's day, notwithstanding the maritime trade of the Red Sea was far more active than formerly, the products of the south were not exclusively specified for the 'sweet savor unto the Lord.'

The Hebrew writings give us, then, two substances: properthings bundled; and and things cut; with a variant, things stripped. The difference no doubt was that the first, whatever its nature, could be tied to a camel's back as a fagot or bundle of twigs, sticks or roots, while the second had to be packed in bags.

The Greek geographers knew little of Arabia, but they diligently pieced together their scraps of information in a definite form, hardly warranted by the material. The Persian Empire had established for the first time a sovereignty coterminous with the Greek and the Hindu worlds, and a Greek adventurer" in the employ of a Persian monarch had demonstrated the feasibility of navigation between India and Egypt. Following the conquests of Alexander, this sea trade was steadily developed, but principally by Arabian and Indian enterprise, for the Greeks give us mainly second-hand information until after the Christian era. Herodotus, 24 who had personally visited both Babylonia and Egypt, mentions ravia as a spice brought from Arabia, and remarks that the Greeks took the word anyanoum from the Phoenicians as an equivalent to sappen, cut sticks, apparently still making the distinction primarily from the form of package. One of the earliest Greek geographers to give us details of trade is Agatharchides,24 a tutor of one of the Ptolemies, perhaps librarian of Alexandria. who had an attractive literary style but no personal knowledge of lands beyond Egypt. He links together, in a passage describing the region of the elephant hunts, κάρδαμον and palm; again,

[&]quot; Reelus, 24, 15.

[&]quot;Seylax of Caryamia: Herodotus, 1 44.

Herodoms, 2. 86; 3, 111.

^{*} Agatharchides, sp. Diod. 84, 103; ap. Phot. 87, 97, 101, 102, 103, 110.

among products brought to Palestine by the South Arabian caravans, he mentions frankincense. He describes the country of the Sabacans as a land yielding balsam and cassia, having great forests of myrrh and frankincense, with καταμόμου φοϊκέ and calamus. This cinnamon-palm suggests the kadi of Yemen, which Glaser proposed to identify with the TTP of Ezekiel; though for that I should rather suggest idhkhir or lemon grass. Herodotus says that cassia grows in a shallow lake, is suggesting a rush or grass of some sort. Agatharchides goes on to tell of the great wealth of the Sabacans derived from their trade in incense and aromatics, and of the enervating effects of their spicy breezes—a romantic flourish, derived perhaps from taboo, but effectively used by Milton in his Paradise Lost. He refers elsewhere to ship-building industry at the mouth of the Indus.

Artemidorus copied from Agatharchides, and Straboss in turn from Artemidorus without other knowledge of the eastern sea trade than he could obtain by talking with Alexandrian merchants who told him that about 120 ships sailed from Myes-hormos to India. Strabo takes for granted the Sabaean forests of Agatharchides without locating them. The military expedition of Aelius Gailus penetrated as far as the Sabaean capital in Strabo's day. The commander was Strabo's friend, and personally told him the details of the enterprise. As they reported no spice forests, Strabo says only that the expedition turned back two days' journey from the land of spices. Indeed this mythical forest which Strabo pushes out at first in South Arabia, and finally in the Horn of Africa to Cape Guardafui itself, reminds one very much of the Western Sea where the sun sets, 12 which similarly recedes in the Chinese Annals from Lop-Nor to the mouth of the Tagus. Cinnamon, cassia and other spices, he says, are so abundant in the land of the Sabaeans that they are used instead of sticks and firewood; and again, pitch (perhaps balsam) and goats' beards are burned to ward off the noxious effects of the spicy atmosphere.12 Herodotus has a similar story about safeguarding the frankincense

^{*} Shizze, p. 41.

^{*} Herodotus 2, 110.

^{* 4. 156-165.}

[&]quot;Strabo, 16. 4. 19; 3, 5, 12; 16. 4. 25.24.

Hirth, Chian and the Econom Orient, pp. 51, 77; Chau Ju-kua, p. 153.
 Strabo, 16, 4, 19; af. Smith, op. cit, 325, 331.

gatherers by burning styrax.33 Here, surely, we have echoes of Semitic sacrifice and purification ritual, further suggested by the statement that the gatherers wear skins, evidently from the sacrificial victims. The country of the Sabacaus, he says, produces myrrh, frankincense and einnamon (evidently copying from Agatharchides' cinnamon-palm), while along the coast are found balsam, sweet-smelling palms, calamus, and another kind of herb of very fragrant smell, but which is soon dissipated. Thus far Arabia. On the African side" he brings us to the frankincense country with its promontory, temple and grove of poplars, its rivers Isis and Nilus, both producing myrrh and frankincense, beyond which lies the tract that bears the false cassia, frankincense, and in the interior, cinnamon, from which flow rivers which produce rushes in abundance (probably the lemon-grass). We have here a word 'cinnamon' taken from Agatharchides who applied it to a paim, and referred to Cape Guardafui as the extreme limit of Strabo's nantical knowledge. But he says also that cassia was 'the growth of bushes,' and that, according to some writers, 'the greater part of the cassia is brought from India.' Nothing that Strabo says of the cinnamon identifies it clearly with the laurel family; nor, indeed, is this the case until we come to the author of the Periplus, who, after the countries yielding myrrh and frankincense, describes Ras-Hafun below Cape Guardafui as a place where cinnamon was largely produced, '11 -a phrase which can be applied to a transit trade, such as other items in the list would indicate this to have been. This led Cooley to conclude that there was near the eastern coast below Cape Guardafui a

[&]quot;Herodotus 3. 107; ef. Smith, op. cit. 437.

[&]quot;Strabe, 16, 4, 14.

n Periphus:—8 (Malao) Kepiperas... xansia exdepôrepa nai dočana nai pánep, rá str'à pagiar epoxupoù era.

^{10 (}Mosyllam) Ejápera... sarolas χρήμα πλείστον (the sat ampleous πλαίων χρήξα τὰ Ιρπόριου) και έλλη κίωδία και άμθρασα... (Cassia trade meant larger ships).

^{12 (}Aromatum emporium) Hoxweet... The recomment of vertice M. The de adracareia and riftee and decome and forme and marks and referent. (An importand export list in which riverse can stand for exemperate: while riftee may represent althibit.)

^{13 (}Opone) de de aut mir? presuras surria sui doupe sul però sul deshed speirzona, d'els Afrectes spoxupei palkher... (a transit trade, su indicated by the shaves alone):

range of hills having silicious rock and soil and a sufficient rainfall to grow the tree laurel. This was merely inference and is not borne out by the Italian explorations. The question could, no doubt, be settled definitely by local examination of the Wadi Darror, which empties on the coast just below Ras-Hafun.

The description of the author of the Periplus"; is of the laurel product known to us as cinnamon; he calls it savoia throughout. It could have been brought to Cape Guardafui in the Indian ships he saw there. In describing the exports at the ports of India he uses, not this word, but palibabpor (tamalapatra, or leaf of the tamala tree, the botanical Cinnamomum).24 This μαλάβαθρον was one of the most treasured ingredients of ointments in the Roman world, but was much confused with rapos, a name in which there was also confusion as between the spikenard (Nardostachys jatamansi), a tall herbaceous plant of the western Himalayas, and the citronella (Andropogon nardus), a near cousin to the lemon grass of Arabia," Strabo says in one passage that 'the same tracts produce cassia, cinnamon, and nard. 40 A modern description of the essential oil distilled from one of these Indian grasses is that its odor recalls cassia and rosemary, but a strong persistent odor of oil of cassia remains. This recalls Pliny's description of cinnamon as the spice, sweet as a rose but hot on the tonguett (which he seems to connect with Guardafui as a product merely transshipped there), and since his day the words, cinnamon and cassia, have been applied exclusively to the tree laurel of India. Before the opening of regular sea trade from India which led in turn to the sudden wealth of the Sabaeans in the second century B. C., there is no proof that this South and East Indian spice reached the world's markets or was meant by the words, cinnamon and cassia. Cassia leaves or strippings is elearly senna in the Babylonian records. Laurel bark is not purgative, but astringent, and does not fit the case at all. In Ezekiel it is uncertain whether semna or lemon grass is meant; the latter, more probably. In the Psalms and Proverbs lemon grass,

[&]quot; JEAS 1849; 10. 166-191.

[&]quot; Periplus, 56, 63.

[&]quot;Watt, op. csf. 311-313.

[&]quot; Watt, op. cit. 450-182.

^{*}Strabo, 16. 4. 35.

*Pliny, H. N. 6, 29.

sweet flag or some such fragrant substance is indicated. Cinnamon, things bundled, in Exodus may be the roots of the lemon grass, or the sweet flag; in Babylonian records and elsewhere, the pods of the senna. Cassia itself could be a hollow grass, for Galen translates it as grove or reed.43 Cinnamon, as Herodotus said, was merely another word for cut sticks. It is only by a secondary interpretation that it becomes 'pipe', or that the idea of a pipe is applied to the tender rolled-up bark of the tree laurel These caravan terms have gone through a course similar to that of the T'DD, which began as the blue jasper of Egypt, then became the mirrouper or lapis lazuli of Media and Badakshan, and finally the sapphire, or blue corundum of Ceylon. The weight of evidence is against any production of laurel cinnamon in 'Panchaia, with its incense-bearing sands'; " and in its bearing on the question of the antiquity of sea trade in the Indian Ocean it may be said that if cinnamon was laurel, it came from India: if it grew in Somaliland, it was not laurel.

The mediaeval Arab geographers are almost as indefinite as their Greek predecessors. Abū'l-Fadl Ja'far, a twelfth-century writer, correctly connects nard (sunbul) with lemon-grass (idhkhir) and speaks of a 'swallows' nard' from India that suggests the birds' nest of Herodotus. Ibn-al-Baiṭār, whose drug treatise of the thirteenth century contains much useful information, lists einnamon under Dar çini, 'Chinese tree' (a curious title if the product had ever originated in Arabian territory) and distinguishes dar çini ad-dun, dar şūş true Kirfa (this word being the same as the Karphea of Herodotus) and Kirfat al-Karanful, 'clove Kirfa'. He mentions still another variety, 'known by its bad odor,' which he calls zinzibar, apparently our ginger. Obviously these trading terms cover various botanical species.

We cannot assume critical botanical knowledge among semisavage peoples. The minute descriptions of fragrant gums suggest that the ancients classified them according to the size, shape, color and clearness of the piece, rather than the botanical orders of the trees that produced them. So, likewise, with the caravan traders who made their painful journey of seventy days along the hot sands of Arabia from Minaca to Aclana (140 shiftings of

[&]quot;Antid. 1 14.

[&]quot; Vergil, Georg, 2, 130.

camel load at the best of it) :44 what more probable than that the camel drivers should have the bag and the bundle in mind as the things to be handled, and that these very general terms should have been specifically applied in consequence to the substances which it paid them best to carry? A less crudely physical concention of holiness would perhaps have crowded out the senna first of all: a change from nomadic to agricultural habits would have increased the cultivation of fragrant grasses and brought in new aromatic plants for ceremonial use; and finally the laurel of India, for which the Roman Empire developed a craze and for which it was willing to pay any fabulous price asked, 43 would have appropriated to itself the ancient terms; cinnamon for the bundled bark, cassia for the treasured leaf, and curiously enough, by confusion with the senna pod and the less precious substances classified under the same name, for the woody parts of the Uinnamomum rather than the maddBallow or leaf.

We may guard against too specific an interpretation of these early trading terms by remembering the dragon's blood, or κονίβαρι, a term growing likewise out of early animistic beliefs, which was applied by the Greeks and Romans indiscriminately to the gum of the Socotrine dracaena, the red oxide of iron, and the red sulphide of mercury. Pliny tells us of a Roman physiciam who thought he had prescribed the vegetable product,** but his patient took the Spanish ore and died!

[&]quot;Cf. Strabo, 16, 4, 25,

[&]quot;Strabo, 16, 4, 4,

[&]quot; Pliny, H. N. 33, 38; 8, 12,

EVIL-WIT, NO-WIT, AND HONEST-WIT

FRANKLIN EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF PERSONNIA

There is a well-known story in the first book of the Panentantra, which is variously called Dustabuddhi and Dharmabuddhi, Badheart and Goodheart, or Dustabuddhi and Abuddhi. The Treacherous Man and the Simpleton. These variations in title ar due to an apparent discrepancy between the eatch-verse and the prose story. It is the purpose of this paper to explain and remove this apparent discrepancy.

The eatch-verse to the Table reads in the Tantrakhyāyikar as

follows:

duştabuddhir abuddhis ca dvāv etāu dhinmatāu mama tanayenā 'tipāndityāt pitā dhūmena mārītah.

'I hav a very low opinion of both the evil-minded man (Evil-wit) and the fool (No-wit) alike. The son, because he was all too clever, caused his father's deth by smoke.'

I shall consider later the variants of the other versions; for the present let me merely say that there is no dout that T's version, just quoted, is that of the original Pañcatantra in all respects, except that possibly in the third pāda the synonym putra may hav occurd insted of tanaya, 'son'. There is, at any rate, no dout that the original Pañcatantra did not mention Dharmabuddhi, 'Good-heart' or 'Honest-wit,' in the stanza, and that it did speak of Duştabuddhi and Abuddhi, 'Evil-wit' and 'No-wit', or the evil-minded man and the fool.

The story then begins, virtually in identical language in all

*T vs I. 167. In the other versions the vs occurs: SP I. 141. N II. 114, Spl I. 206, Pn I. 389, Sy I. 101; cf. So 60, 210 (f), Ks 16, 368 (I. 115).

The story is numberd in the several versions as follows (note that after the name of each version I emclose in parenthesis the obbreviation of the name which I shall use in this paper): Tantrükhyāyika (T) I, 15; Southern Paāratantra (SP) I, 14; Nepaleso (N) II, 14; Textus simplicior (Spl), ed. Kielhorn-Bühler, I, 16; Pārnabhadra (Pa) I, 26; Somadien (So) I, 11 (Kathāsaritsāgara, ed. Durgaprasad and Parab, 60, 211 ff.); Ksemendra (Ks) I, 14 (Sivadatus and Parab, Brhathathāmaājari, 16, 360 ff.; Mankowski, I, 116 ff.; references are made first to the former, then, in parenthesis, to the latter); Old Syrine (Sy) I, 15. The story is not found in the littopadeia.

Sanskrit versions (except Ks, see below): 'In a certain locality there livd two merchants' sons who wer frends, and their names wer Dustabuddhi and Dharmabuddhi (Evil-wit and Honest-wit)." It goes on, also in substantially identical fashion: The two went on a trip together, and Honest-wit found a purse of money, which he shared with his frend. Returning home, they buried most of the money in a secret place, agreeing to take equal amounts as they needed it. Evil-wit stole it all, and then accused his frend of having done so. The case came before the court, and Evil-wit volunteerd to call as witness the devata (spirit) in the tree at the base of which the money was buried, The court adjournd to the next day, when all proceeded to the place in order to take the tree-spirit's testimony. But Evil-wit had hidden his father, in spite of the latter's protest, in the trunk of the tree; and when they put the question 'Who stole the money?', the father, impersonating the tree-spirit, replied 'Honest-wit'. The latter, conscious of innocence, lighted a fire in the hollow trunk of the tree, which soon brot Evil-wit's father tumbling down, half-choked and blinded. The truth of course was thus revealed.

Through this story no other name than Dharmabuddhi, 'Honest-wit', is used for the righteous merchant in any Sanskrit recension. Only in the offshoots of the Pahlavi translation is he cald 'the simpleton' (Schulthess, 'der Einfältige'), representing, apparently, the Sanskrit word Abuddhi. But in view of the unanimity of all the Sanskrit versions it can scarcely be douted that the Pahlavi is secondary, and that the original had in the prose story the name Dharmabuddhi. Evidently the Pahlavi has taken the name Abuddhi from the eatch-verse and applied it to the honest merchant in the prose story.

The problem that confronts us is then this. In the original form of the eatch-verse are mentiond only two names or epithets—Dustabuddhi, 'Evil-wit,' and Abuddhi, 'No-wit.' In the original of the following prose ar likewise mentiond only two names—Dustabuddhi, 'Evil-wit,' and Dharma-buddhi, 'Honest-wit.' It has always been assumed—not unnaturally—that we must infer from this the equation Abuddhi — Dharmabuddhi; or in other words, that the person cald 'No-wit' in the verse is cald 'Honest-wit' in the prose.

It seems to me, however, that we should hesitate long before

accepting this equation, for several reasons. In the first place, the literary harshness assumed is such as could hardly be paralleld in the original Pancatantra. The name Honest-wit would be substituted baldly for No-wit (the righteous man for the simpleton), without a word of motivation or explanation, with nothing to indicate that it is not the simplest and most natural sequence in the world! It almost passes belief that any story-teller could be so slovenly; and the story-teller of the original Pancatantra was in general anything but slovenly.

In the second place, is there anything in the story to justify calling Dharmabuddhi a 'simpleton'! Hertel (Tantrākhyāyika, Translation, p. 51, n. 2) says his dullness consists in the fact that he entertaind frendly feelings for Dustabuddhi and divided his find with him. But a much more prominent place in the story is occupied by the scheme by which Dharmabuddhi exposes the trick playd upon him by Dustabuddhi; and in this incident Dharmabuddhi shows markt cleverness. It seems a priori unlikely that a person capable of such ahrewdness would be cald a 'fool.'

These considerations suggest that perhaps all previous interpreters may hav been wrong in assuming the identity of Abuddhi, the 'No-wit' of the catch-verse, with Dharmabuddhi, the 'Honest-wit' of the prose story. There is, in fact, not a single partiele of evidence to show that this identity was felt by the author of any Sanskrit recension. More than this; there is clear and decisiv evidence to prove that in som Sanskrit recensions, at least, just the opposit was tru; it is Dustabuddhi, 'Evil-wit,' whom they consider the 'fool', not Dharmabuddhi, 'Honest-wit.' And this is, when one thinks about it, just what the story clearly means to teach (compare the last paragraf of this article, below). The eatch-verse and the prose story ar in perfect agreement on this point, that Evil-wit proves himself a fool and causes the deth of his own father by being too clever and tricky. Let us examin the evidence which shows that certain Sanskrit recensions regard it in this light.

 In the prose story of all Sanskrit recensions (I use the term 'prose' loosely to include the poetic versions of So and Ks, distinguishing thus their versions of the story proper from their versions of the original catch-verse), the name Dustabuddhi, 'Evil-wit,' is always used without variant for the villain except that Spl uses the synonym Pāpabuddhi (copied also in Pn in one or two places where it follows Spl), and except also for Ks, which is peculiar and highly interesting. Ks 368 (115) reproduces the original catch-verse thus:

abuddhiyogād adhamāh sarvadā vipadāspadam pitā dhūmena nihatah sutenā 'dharmabuddhinā.

Because of their folly (no-wit) the base ar always subject to disasters. The Dishonest-witted (a-dharma-buddhi) son kild his father with smoke. —In the following story, representing the original prose, Ks begins with the statement: 'There wer once two frends, Honest-wit (Dharmabuddhi) and No-wit (Abuddhi).' The name of the villain occurs later on five times more—twice as Abuddhi, 'No-wit,' twice as Dustabuddhi, 'Evil-wit,' and once as Durbuddhi, a synonym for the latter. It certainly needs no argument to show that Ks that of Abuddhi as a synonym, not of Dharmabuddhi, but of Dustabuddhi.

The variants of the catch-verse, quoted abov in its T form, in other Sanskrit recensions, show that they too had the same understanding. The Jain versions (Pn and Spl) read for the first half of the catch verse; dharmabuddhir abuddhis (Spl kubuddhis) ca dvav etau viditau mama. (It is noteworthy that one manuscript of T reads just as Pn does in the first pada.) It is obvious that to these versions also Abuddhi is the same as Dustabuddhi. In SP we find: dustabuddhir dharmabuddhir dvār etāu vanigātmajāu. So the edition; but several of the best mss. (recension a) either agree absolutely with T or point in that direction; and N agrees with T. This is sufficient to prove that T's reading was that of the tru and original SP text, and of the original Pane. However, the readings of the secondary SP mss, and of the edited text ar interesting as showing that the writers of these codices or their archetype felt averse to a reading which seemd to identify Abuddhi with Dharmabuddhi, the simpleton with the honest man, when the clear intention of the story is inconsistent therewith.

My explanation is that the original catch-verse red like T, but that Abuddhi, 'No-wit,' was not intended to refer to Dharma-buddhi, 'Honest-wit,' in the following story. On the contrary, the meaning of the catch-verse is that Dustabuddhi, 'Evil-wit,' is just as bad as (any, indefinit) Abuddhi, 'No-wit;' in short, that 'honesty is the best policy.' The catch-verse says: 'I hav just as

low an opinion of Evil-wit as of No-wit; one is as bad as the other. And to prove it, I refer you to the case of Evil-wit who caused his father's deth by his excess of cunning, thereby showing himself no better than a fool, or a No-wit."

This is the only explanation that does justis to the point of the story and avoids the unendurable harakness of naming a caracter in the catch-verse by a name wholly inconsistent with the name he bears in the actual story. The variations of the several recensions are due to their failure to see the point of the term Abuddhi, 'No-wit,' in the catch-verse. They all, except Pahlavi, support my contention that Honest-wit cannot hav been identified with No-wit; and Pahlavi is proved to be secondary by the fact that all Sanskrit recensions, without exception, ar unanimous in using the term Dharmabuddhi in the prose story for the caracter which Pahlavi calls 'the simpleton'. This confusion of Pahlavi is explaind by the same misunderstanding which was found, with different results, in various of the Sanskrit recensions.

The location of the fable in the frame story of Pane. Book I shows that 'honesty is the best policy' is what it intends to teach. It is told by the jackal Karataka to warn the evil-minded and trecherous Damanaka of the fate that is in store for him if he follows in the course he has begun. Damanaka is the prototype of Dustabuddhi, 'Evil-wit,' and Karataka, the teller of the story, means to let him see that evil-mindedness is really folly and brings one to disaster. To represent Dharmabuddhi, 'Honestwit,' as foolish would spoil the moral that is obviously intended.

THE TOWER OF BABEL

E. G. H. KRAELING

UNION THEOLOGICAL SEMINANT

Ever since it became definitely known that the great and imposing ruins of Birs Nimrud were remnants of the ziqqurrat of Borsippa, the view that they represented the Tower of Babel has been abandoned by most scholars. This view, according to Koldewey, the excavator of ancient Babylon, was tenable only so long as Oppert's fantastic ideas as to the extent of the city found credence. It is now held as almost certain that Marduk's famous Temple Esagila, with its ziqqurrat E-temen-an-ki, is the structure referred to in Gen. 11. It seems to me however that the ancient and traditional identification of the 'tower of Babel' with the site of Birs Nimrud must be revived.

It is plainly the intention of Gen. 11. 1-9 to tell that Yahweh hindered the builders of the tower, so that they could not complete their work. For only to the temple with its tower and not to the residential sections can the statement in v. 8, 'They had to stop building the city' apply. Since the temple of an ancient city was its real heart and centre this synecdoche is not surprising. Furthermore a cessation of 'building the city' would not become very easily the part of a story if referring to the residential part, but a great temple tower that had remained a torso or had fallen into decay would stimulate the imagination profoundly. To this Birs Nimrud bears ample testimony, for the travellers of all times have been deeply stirred by the sight of its vast ruins. The story of Gen. 11, then, clearly arose and circulated at a time when the tower referred to had been a torso for a considerable period.

Gf. Kohlewey, Das wiedererstehende Babylon, 1913, and Die Tempel von Budylon und Borsippa, 1911. The long lost inhiet describing Essgila in its final grandeur has been rediscovered and published by Scholl in Memoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles Lettres, vol. 39 (1913), p. 293 f. But the famous Bel-Temple described by Herodotus does not seem to have been the one at Babylon, which was no longer standing in the days of the Grock author, but rather the temple of Borsippa. Cf. Delitzsch in Festschrift für Eduard Sachan, 1915, p. 97 f.

Now the J source from which Gen. 11. 1-9 is taken seems to have originated at the time of Solomon, 970-932 B. C.³ If this dating may be regarded as fairly secure we must suppose that the story of the tower of Babel is an 11th century story and that the tower at this time had the incomplete or dilapidated appearance therein described.

Unfortunately our knowledge of the history of the temples of Babylon and Borsippa is very meagre. We may here well omit the references to them in very early times. Suffice it to say they had their ups and downs, as the so-called Kedoriaomer texts show, which speak of the pillage of Ezida and Esagila by the hostile Elamite." During the period of the Cassite rule, lasting over 500 years, Babylonia seems to have enjoyed prosperity and no doubt the temples were well taken care of. King has recently called attention to a boundary stone of Merodach Baladan I (1201-1181), one of the last rulers of the Cassite dynasty, on which appears the symbol of the god Nabū (the stylus) supported by a horned dragon set off against a four-stage tower, which can be none other than the ziqqurrat of Borsippa, E-ur-imin-an-ki. At this period, then, 'the house of the seven stages of heaven and earth' was only a four story structure, but we may assume that it was in good condition and had been well cared for by the king. The fall of the Cassite Dynasty, 1150 B. C., brought a repetition of the conditions that had existed before Hammurapi-invasion by the Elamites. We learn that the statue of Marduk was even carried off by them from Esagila, but there is no record of how they dealt with the temples. Under Nebuchadreszar I, however, a few years later, Babylon recovered the Marduk statue and regained its independence. Among the following kings many bear names compounded with Marduk, and were no doubt zealous in providing for this god's shrine. But the unsettled conditions of the period, the disturbance caused by the Aramaean migration and by the rise of the Assyrian power in the north do not argue for an age of prosperity in Babylon, and only in prosperous days

^{*} Prockach, Die Genezis, 1912, p. 17.

^{*}Re-edited by Jeremins in Festschrift für Hommel. Cf. also Das Alte Testement, 1916, p. 280 f. Esarhadden began to rebuild Esagila and the operations were continued by Ashurbanipal and Shamash-shum-ukin; cf. Streck, Ashurbanipal II, 1916, p. 146, p. 246 f., etc.

^{*} History of Bubylon, p. 79.

are building operations carried on extensively by kings. But the ziqqurrat of Babylon seems to have been standing, for when Sennacherib (705-681), the conqueror of Babylon, entered the city he devastated the temple, tore down the ziqqurrat, and threw it into the Arahtu canal.

The ziqqurrat of Borsippa however seems also to have experienced a destruction, and perhaps at an earlier time. Of especial importance in this connection is the inscription of Nebuchadrezzar's cylinder.* 'At that time E-ur-imin-an-ki, the ziggurrat of Barsip which a previous king had made-42 cubits he had elevated it, not had he raised its head, from a distant day it had collapsed, not were in order the outlets of its water, rain and storm had removed its bricks, the bricks of its covering were split open, the bricks of its body were heaped up like a ruin mound-Marduk, my lord, aroused my heart to construct it.' Now it must be emphasized that the activity of the previous king referred to was also one of restoration, since the temple tower was only elevated 42 cubits. The four-stage tower of the days of Merodach Baladan I was much higher! The necessary conclusion therefore is that this older temple had been destroyed or had fallen into ruin, and that later on a king, who ruled a long time before Nebuchadrezzar, had begun its restoration. The partially restored ziqqurrat had also in the course of time fallen into ruins. This obviously compels us to seek a much earlier date for the destruction of the temple than that of Sennacherib. In fact the attempt at restoration may antedate this king and is perhaps to be accredited to Merodach Baladan II (721-710) who calls kimself 'the worshipper of Nebo and Marduk, the gods of Esagila and Exida, who provided abundantly for their gates and made shining all their temples, renewed all their sanctuaries."

^{*} Bavian Inscription, III R 14, L 51,

^{*}Langdom, Neubobylomische Königsinschriften, 1912, p. 98 f.; cf. also p. 114.

^{&#}x27;Cf. with this the statement in Langdon, p. 60 (Col I. 44 f.) that Nabopolassar raised the riqquerat of Babylon 30 cubits. In both cases it does not seem clear whether this means from the base up. Thirty cubits is not even the height of the lowest stage of Nebuchadrezzar's Tower. Furthermore Rawlinson claims to have found the three copies of the cylinder above quoted on the corners of the third stage of E-ur-imin-un-ki, indicating that here the work of Nebuchadrezzar began.—He figured about 8 metres to every stage; cf. JEAS 18, pp. 1-34, on the excavations.

*Cf. the Biack Stone Inscription.

It seems most likely that immediately after the fall of the Cassite dynasty Ezida and E-ur-imin-an-ki, whether by violence or by neglect, fell into ruins. It seems to have a peculiar significance that the Assyrians in the 9th century founded another temple by the name of Ezida at Nineveh and adopted to a very great extent the worship of the god Nabū." If the shrine at Borsippa had been flourishing in those days such action would not have been very likely. Thus while the continuity of the temple of Babylon seems to be assured to the time of Sennacherib, there is ground for supposing that that of Borsippa fell into ruin right after the Cassite era, in other words at the time of the rise of the Hebrew kingdom in Palestine when the Jahvist lived.

But an additional argument from the mythological point of view speaks most emphatically for the tower of Borsippa. In the 137th Fable of Hyginus we are told that ages ago mankind spoke only one language. But after Mercury had multiplied the languages and divided the nations, strife began to arise among them. Zeus was angered at Mercury's act but could not change it. The tradition presupposed in this fable seems to have no other analogy in Graeco-Roman legend. And if we recall that Mercury is the equivalent of the Oriental Nabū we must immediately ask ourselves whether this is not an eastern myth that was imported with so much other Asiatic lore in the Hellenistic The god Nabū is the author of written language the cryptic signs that seem so wonderful to the uninitiated; the art of writing is once called 'the mother of language and the father of wisdom." Equally mysterious, however, must have seemed the sound of foreign tongues. Who else could be their originator in a Babylonian speculative system than the god Nabû! True, we have no direct testimonial to this in the inscriptions. But if Gen. 11 originated in Babylonia-and of this there can be no doubt-then Yahweh has assumed in the present version the role of some Babylonian deity, and this deity by every argument of analogy and probability can only have been Nabū. We should expect the story of the dispersion of tongues to be centered at Nabū's shrine in Borsippa, rather than at Marduk's sanctuary in Babylon.

^{*}Cf. Streek, op. cst. 2, 272 f. Shamash-shum-ukin, Stele Inser. S 1, 13 f., says that he renewed the walls of Erida which had grown old and weak under a former king.

*Cf. Jeremias in Roscher's Lexicon 3, 56.

The motif of the deity's prevention of the completion of the tower can however be no integral part of the official cult story of Ezida. This element was added at a time when Ezida and its ziqqurrat were greatly neglected. One might be inclined to assign this motif entirely to the imagination of that early Hebrew story-teller who saw in the scene of ruin Yahweh's verdict upon the self-aggrandizement of the people of Babylonia. Yet it also seems possible that the idea of the jealous deity, that is afraid of men's prowess and intervenes in order to defeat their attempt to overthrow him by destroying the ladder on which they seek to elimb into heaven, shimmers through the story. The descent of the deity for punitive purposes (v. 7) finds an analogy also in a passage of the so-called Kedorlaomer texts: 'If the king does not speak righteousness, inclines toward wickedness, then his shedu will descend from Esharra, the temple of all the gods."11 It may well be therefore that this element goes back to a pre-Hebraic stage. Gunkel's view that the story was heard from Aramaean Beduin on the Babylonian border's may not be very far from the truth. The point of view certainly cannot be that of the native Babylonian citizen. Perhaps an ancient Hebrew forerunner of Herodotus who visited Babylonia as tradesman and came into contact with the roving Chaldsean Aramseans brought back the story to Palestine as he heard it from the lips of these nomads somewhere near the great ruins of Birs Nimrud.

A third stage, however, in the development of the story is assuredly Palestinean—that is its attraction away from Borsippa to Babel. Naturally a traveller would relate it in connection with his visit to the metropolis since the name of Borsippa was too obscure and unimportant for his hearers. And since 'Babel' lent itself so excellently to a pun with bălal 'to confuse', the original reference to Nabū's temple was lost. Gunkel has seen that the emphasis on the root pûç, 'to scatter,' thrice repeated, prepared the way for another etymology which has been obliterated—that of the temple or ziqqurrat." His own suggestion of an appellation like 'piçū' (the 'white' tower) is of no value, for

o Cf. Jeremins, Das Alte Testament, p. 180.

[&]quot; Gunkel, Die Generie', ad loc.

se Gunkel divides the story into two sources a city version and a tower version; so also Procksch, who however maintains that the story is a unity in its present form because of the excellent metre.

the towers were many-colored. In seeking the original name we must remember that the key form for the etymology is always the last one used-here heficam (v. 9). There is no other Babylonian temple name so nearly like this as E-zi-da, especially if we recall that Sumerian E (house) appears as he in Hebrew (ep. hēkal = êkallu). The form Hēzida is the most likely representation of the name in Hebrew. An identity of all consonants is not necessary; cp. 'Ēšāw = sē'ār, Gen. 25. 25, etc., where a mere vocalic correspondence was found sufficient.14 In view of all the other material we have presented it seems certain that this name once stood in the text. That the pum is made with the name of the temple Ezida, rather than with the tower E-ur-imin-an-ki, presents no difficulty since even in the Babylonian texts the latter is only rarely mentioned. The shorter and more familiar name of the greater complex of the temple was more likely to be perpetuated.

Originally a cult story of Ezida, then a popular Aramaean legend, then a Babylonian reminiscence of a Hebrew traveller, and eventually a vehicle of deep religious and philosophical thought—such is the evolution of Gen. II. I-9. Surely a fascinating bit of history down whose vistas we here can glance.

[&]quot;A much worse pun on the name of Erida with Ura occurs in a Babylonian text, cf. King's The Seven Tablets of Creation, I. 209 ff. Bev. 7, and Jerumias, Alterientalische Geisterkultur, 1913, p. 30 note. It seems likely however that the Hebrews heard a corrupt form of the name, else a pun with sid 'arrogance' would have been more attractive.

BRIEF NOTES

The First Expedition of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago

This expedition was intended to be a preliminary reconnoissance of the needs and opportunities for field research in the Near East since the changes resulting from the great war; but it was also hoped that many opportunities for the purchase of antiquities and historical documents of the ancient Orient might present themselves. These aims were in the main fulfilled. After attending the important joint meeting of the Royal Asiatic Society, the Société Asiatique, and the American Oriental Society in London early in September, 1919, Professor Breasted proceeded to Paris where he purchased a valuable collection of Oriental antiquities, chiefly Egyptian, including especially a finely illuminated hieratic papyrus of the Book of the Dead.

The remainder of the trip to Egypt via Venice was beset with many difficulties, but Dr. Breasted reached Cairo by the end of October, having fallen in with Professor Clay of Yale on the way. A few weeks' work in the Cairo museum viewing the many new accessions there, included a study of the new Cairo fragments of the so-called Palermo Stone, which disclosed the existence of a new dynasty, or group of at least ten kings of united Egypt who ruled before Menes, that is before the beginning of the usually recognized dynastic period. Extensive purchases of antiquities in the hands of dealers were also made, and a trip up the river as far as Luxor extended these purchases to Upper Egypt. This brief notice does not permit the mention even of the leading items of these large accessions. An interesting feature of the work in Egypt was an airplane trip along the pyramid cemeteries on the margin of the Sahara for sixty miles, on which Professor Breasted was able to make a series of airplane views of these great tomb groups, with the especial purpose of locating prehistoric cemeteries which might show up in the negatives, though not visible on the ground. This opportunity was available through the kind offices of Lord Allenby, who is much interested in archaeological research. The members of the expedition assembled in Cairo and Upper Egypt during December, 1919; and January, 1920, and some of them pushed up the Nile as far as the First Cataract. Early in February, all five of the men belonging to the expedition were in Cairo ready to leave for Asia. They included Prof. D. D. Luckenbill, Ludlow S. Bull and William F. Edgerton, both fellows of the University of Chicago, and Prof. A. W. Shelton of Emery

University, besides the director, Professor Breasted.

The party sailed from Port Said on Feb. 18th, 1920, and after transshipment in Bombay arrived in Basrah on March 9. Every facility was afforded the expedition by the British authorities, and by March 16 the party was ready to leave Basrah for a rapid survey of the leading sites in Babylonia. The Basrah-Baghdad railway line had been completed and opened only a few weeks before and the party was thus the first archaeological expedition to make the Basralr-Baghdad trip with the use of this line, which greatly facilitated the journey. The first stop was at Ur, now called 'Ur Junction' (1), whence the party visited the ruins of Ur and Eridu, using Ford vans furnished by the British Army, and proceeded also via Nasiriyah up the Shatt el-Hai some eighty miles as far as Kal'at es-Sikkar. From this point Tell Yokha was visited, besides a number of unidentified sites of which there are many on both sides of the Shatt el-Hai, especially above Kal'at es-Sikkar on the east side of the Shatt. Returning to the railway at Ur Junction the trip up the Euphrates to Baghdad was made by rail, stopping at all the wellknown sites, especially Babylon, left precisely as last worked by the Germans under Koldewey.

The Tigris trip was likewise made by rail as far Kal' at Shergat (the spellings are those of the new British survey), that is some eighty miles below Mosul and Nineveh. All the leading sites as far as Khorsabad were visited and studied. While there had been more than one dangerous corner of Babylonia through which the expedition passed, it was on the Tigris journey that the most hazardous situations were first experienced. On arriving at Shergat on the return trip the railway was cut by the Arabs and also broken in two other places by a heavy storm.

On the return to Baghdad the Civil Commissioner, Col. A. T. Wilson, the British Governor General of Mesopotamia, asked the expedition to proceed up the Euphretes to Salihiyah, some 300 miles above Baghdad, in order to record and rescue as far as

possible some extraordinary Roman paintings disclosed by the excavation of a rifle pit. The British authorities civil and military furnished the transportation, seven automobiles, and leaving Baghdad on April 29th, the expedition reached the vast Roman fortress of Salihivah on the right bank of the Euphrates on May 4th. The paintings, which proved to be of unusual interest, were duly photographed and as carefully studied as the time would permit, and on the morning of May 5th, the expedition shifted to five Turkish arabanahs or native wagons, and entering the Arab State threw themselves upon the protection of the local officials of King Faisal. Moving up the right bank of the Euphrates through Dêr ez-Zôr and past the mouths of the Khabur and the Balikh, the expedition reached Aleppo in safety on the fifth of May, 1920, being the first group of non-Moslems to cross the Arab State since its proclamation in March, of the same year. Although the expedition passed directly over the fighting ground between Arabs and British, it met with the friendliest reception from all the sheikhs, and learned much of the present situation in King Faisal's dominions. The occasion which made it possible for an American expedition to take the risk, however, was not only the friendly feeling of the Arabs toward Americans. It was likewise the fact that the British had just drawn in their front on the Euphrates about a hundred miles down river from Salihiyah to a point just above Anah. As a result the Arabs were momentarily feeling in the best of humors, during which the American party managed to slip through in safety. The chief danger for the time was from brigands.

As there was imminent danger that the railway south of Aleppe would be cut by the Arabs in order to hamper the French, the expedition made haste southward, stopping only at Tell Nebi Mindoh, the ancient Kadesh of Ramses II's famous battle. A careful reconnoissance of this place was made, and after a visit at Baalbek the expedition hurried out of the hazardous regions of inner Syria and made its headquarters at Beyrut, whence the leading sites along the ancient Phoenician coast were inspected. After a brief visit to Damascus and two conferences with King Faisal, the expedition shifted to Paleatine, but here, just as in Syria, conditions were too disturbed to permit much work. The Plain of Megiddo, where the party endeavored in

vain to reach Tell el-Mutesellim, was quite unsafe, and even Jericho was inaccessible from Jerusalem.

The conditions as to available labor for excavation, the times of year when such work would least disturb the demand for agricultural labor, the varying scale of wages, especially the increase in wages resulting from war conditions, available vacant land for disposal of dump,—all these local questions conditioning excavations were examined at most of the leading sites in Western Asia except in Asia Minor, where the rebellion of Mustafa Kamal Pasha made the country quite inaccessible. At the same time the legal conditions and the regulations of government to which such work would be subject were taken up with the French and British authorities. A valuable collection of cuneiform documents and works of art was obtained in Western Asia also, besides a group of some 250 Cappadocian tablets purchased in Cairo.

Dr. Luckenbill remained in Beyrut to develop the large series of negatives taken by the expedition in Western Asia, while the rest of the party returned to Cairo, especially to look after the shipment of purchases to America. On hearing of the facts observed by the expedition in Asia Lord Allenby requested Professor Breasted to change his route and to return to America via London in order to report in person to Premier Lloyd-George and to the Foreign Minister, Earl Curzon. Professor Breasted therefore left for London in June with letters from Lord Allenby to the two ministers and reported as desired. The antiquities secured have since arrived safely in America, but it will be long before they can be properly installed and exhibited.

JAMES H. BREASTED

University of Chicago September 10, 1920

NOTES OF THE SOCIETY

The following have been added to the Committee on Enlargement of Membership: President Talcott Williams, Dr. J. E. Abbott, Professors F. R. Blake, A. V. W. Jackson.

On page 221 of the last (June) number of this volume (40) of the Journal, in the report of the Proceedings at Ithaca, the paper on 'Notes on Criticism of Inscriptions: I, The Behistan Inscription of Darius the Great' was erroneously attributed to Professor M. Jastrow of the University of Pennsylvania. The paper was by Professor R. G. Kent of the University of Pennsylvania. The copy red correctly, and was correctly set; the galley proof was correct; but by som strange accident the change was made in the printers' offis after galley proof, and the error was overlookt in page proof. The editors and the printers both deeply regret the annoying mistake, and tender their apologies to Professor Kent.

NOTES OF OTHER SOCIETIES, ETC.

Dr. Louis H. Gray, as delegate of the American Council of Learned Societies devoted to Humanistic Studies, has presented a report on the transactions of the meeting of the Union Académique Internationale, held in Brussels, May 26-28, 1920. The following is a summary of the more important points in the report.

Since the first session of the Union at Paris, the academies of Rumania, Portugal, Serbia, and Norway have adhered to the Union.

The Union approved in principle several scholarly projects to be undertaken under its auspices. Among these were (1) a revision of Du Cange, (2) an edition of the works of Grotius, (3) a catalog of Greek alchemic manuscripts, (4) a corpus of Attic vases.

It proved impracticable to obtain a fixt date for the meetings of the Union, as the American delegate had been instructed to propose. Regarding the American proposals dealing with the CIL and CIG, the delegate reports that 'there is, on the one hand, no desire to take over enterprises of international scholarly importance from countries not represented in the Union; but, on the other hand, there is still less feeling that it would be possible to collaborate with the countries in question.'

The American Delegate suggests that serious efforts be made to secure funds to support the extraordinary budget of the Union's secretariat, as for instance by levying a small additional tax on the members of the component societies. He also suggests that in the future the American delegates be chosen from scholars proceeding from America to Europe during the period between the sessions of the American Council and those of the Union, and that

if possible they should be persons who have been personally present at the sessions of the American Council, in order that they may be directly acquainted with the discussions which have taken place of projects to be presented to the Union.

The Pontificio Istituto Biblico in Rome has published the first three parts of its new journal Biblica (1920, pp. 1-428), bearing on Bible studies. While the editorial tongue is Latin the various articles appear not only in that language but also in Italian, French, Spanish, English, German. To the leading articles a Latin summary is prefixed. A full and admirably arranged bibliography is part of the contents, along with personal notes and correspondence. Biblica is received in exchange by the Library of this Society. The same Institute also announces the publication of a series entitled Orientalia, i. e. 'commentarii de rebus assyro-babylonicis, arabicis, aegyptiacis et id genus aliis.' The first fascicle announced will contain articles by A. Deimel.

La Service des Antiquités et des Beaux Arts de la haute Commission de la Rép. Française en Syrie (Beyrouth) announces the publication of a new archaeological series under the title Syria. This will be received in exchange by our Library.

The Société des Études Arménieunes has been established in Paris for the promotion of researches and publications relating to Armenia. It will publish the Revue des Études Arménieunes, the first fascicle of which is to appear this year. The Administrateur-Archiviste is Prof. F. Macler, 3 Rue Cumin-Gridaine, Paris.

The Société Ernest Renau was organized at its first general meeting on December 18, 1919. The Society 'a pour objet de remettre en lumière la tradition française dans le domaine de l'histoire et de la philosophie religeuses, d'en montrer la continuité et la richesse.' It will publish a bimensual Bulletin and has commissioned the preparation of a new edition of Astruc's Conjectures sur la Genèse and of a bibliography of Renau. The Secretaire général is M. Paul Alphandéry, 104 rue de la Faisanderie, Paris, XVI, France.

Of the last year's staff at the American School of Oriental Research in Jerusalem Professors Worrell and Peters returned home in July, Professor Clay in September. Dr. Albright has become Acting Director of the School and will be assisted by the Fellow, Dr. C. C. McCown. The British School of Archaeology in Palestine was formally opened on August 9, with addresses by the High Commissioner, Sir Herbert Samuel, Père Lagrange, Professor Garstang and Dr. Albright. Dr. Garstang has begun excavating Ashkelon in behalf of the Palestine Exploration Fund. A committee including representatives of the Schools of Archaeology and the various nationalities has been appointed by the High Commissioner to assist in drafting a law of antiquities.

Of the last year's staff at the American School of Oriental Research in Jerusalem, Professors Worrell and Peters returned home in July, Professor Clay in September. Dr. Albright has become Acting Director of the School and will be assisted by the Fellow, Dr. C. C. McCoun.

PERSONALIA

Rabbi Ell Mayer, of Albany, died July 29. He became a member of the Society this year.

Professor Friedrich Delatzsch has announced his retirement from his professorship at the University of Berlin.

Mr. BENJAMIN SMITH LYMAN, of Philadelphia, a Life Member of this Society and a founder of the Oriental Club of Philadelphia, died August 30, at the age of 84 years.

Prof. FRIEDRICH SCHWALLY, of the University of Königsberg, died February 5, 1919.

A private communication announces that Prof. Wilhelm Bousser, of the University of Göttingen, died this year.

Prof. Campen M. Cobern, of Allegheny College, Meadville, Pa., a member of this Society, died May 3,

THE TEXTUAL CRITICISM OF INSCRIPTIONS

ROBAND G. KENT UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA.

1. Scholars are now well equipped with treatises upon the corruptions which are found in manuscripts, and upon the manner in which editors must proceed as they make up a corrected text. We may mention, in this connection, the following selected authorities, most of which contain references to earlier works;

James Gow, A Companion to School Classics, 47-66 (1891).

W. M. Lindsay, An Introduction to Latin Textual Emendation based on the Text of Plantus (1896).

Harold W. Johnston, Latin Manuscripts, 79-99 (1897).

- F. W. Shipley, Certain Sources of Corruption in Latin Manuscripts: a study based upon two manuscripts of Livy: Codex Puteanus (fifth century), and its copy Codex Regimensis 762 (ninth century), in Amer. Journ. Archaeology, 7, 1-25, 157-197, 405-428 (1903).
- 2. Well adapted as these are for their purpose, which is to acquaint the scholar with the 'rules of the game' in the criticism and the emendation of manuscript texts, as be edits or elucidates them, they do not so well serve for the handling of inscriptional For the manuscripts may be the results of one copying after another, each new copy suffering perhaps additional corruption at points which are already corrupt; but an inscription is in practically all instances merely transferred from a manuscript draft to its permanent position on stone or bronze, and therefore less subject to complicated corruption. At the same time, the speed with which a copyist transcribes with pen upon paper or upon parchment, is a factor leading likewise to greater error than the slowness with which the engraver transfers his text, letter by letter (not word by word), to its place of permanent record. On the other hand, the inscription may be copied in an alphabet differing from that in which the original draft stands, and this will produce a series of corruptions to which manuscript copies rarely afford parallels, except that we may compare the manner in which Greek words in Latin texts have been miscopied by the

scribes; or unless we include within our field the manuscripts of India and of the Avesta.

- 3. For these reasons, it is my intention to examine critically the accepted or suspected errors in certain inscriptions of formal character, which should be written with a considerable degree of care, and should therefore not contain many errors of a hap-hazard nature, in order to determine precisely the kinds of errors which actually do occur in inscriptions. The results and the principles thereby reached, even if not revolutionary, will be a firm basis on which philologists may found their utilization of the linguistic evidence furnished by inscriptional forms—evidence which, for ancient languages, has no rival for validity excepting only the remarks of contemporary writers upon points of grammar and pronunciation.
- 4. Variations from an original copy may be classified in several ways. Johnston (pp. 80 ff.) prefers a scheme based chiefly upon the causes: (1) Unavoidable changes; (2) Intentional changes; (3) Accidental changes, including (a) those of the ear, (b) those of the eye, (c) those of the memory, (d) those of the judgment. Lindsay (p. 10) groups them mainly by their results: (1) Emendation, (2) Transposition, (3) Omission, (4) Insertion, (5) Substitution, (6) Confusion of Letters, (7) Confusion of Contractions. Neither of these classifications, however, is free from its disadvantages, since the divisions and subdivisions prove not to be mutually exclusive in practice; and for dealing with inscriptions, where the corruptions are not of such complicated nature as those in manuscripts, it seems better to revert to the old and simple classification of (1) Loss, (2) Addition, (3) Change, with subdivisions which will be developed as met with.
- 5. It must be understood that it is not within the province of the present investigation to include phenomena which rest upon a conventionalized orthography or upon confusion in pronunciation. In Latin inscriptions of the older period, the failure to double the consonants in writing would not here be handled, since that is a convention of the alphabet in use; but a doubling of a consonant which should not be doubled would be taken into account. Similarly, in a Latin inscription of the later period, the variation between e and as results from confusion in the pronunciation, and is valuable as evidence for the pronunciation of

the time; it is not the purpose here to deal with such matters. The editor of a text must, it is true, eliminate such corruptions as well as the grosser errors (e. g., Plaut. Epid. 231 crutulum BJ, for crocotulum, found in A); but errors or orthographic variations which rest merely upon conventions in spelling and confusion in the sounds, must in inscriptions be left as precious evidence for the student of philology. Our purpose is, then, to prepare the text of certain inscriptions in such a way that the philologist may use it with confidence in reconstructing the history of the language; and to fix the rules and principles for handling other inscriptions.

6. Again, we are not to deal with restorations of missing characters, which, so far as no traces remain, are entirely conjectural; nor may we accept such conjectures in poorly preserved portions and then seek to find errors in the few characters which are to be read; such a procedure would be quite unscientific. Our attention is to be directed to those words and characters which are legible, and our field overlaps that of conjectural restoration only when characters are preserved in part, so that they may be read in more than one way; in this situation we can hardly draw a definite line of demarcation between restoration and textual criticism.

7. For this purpose the following inscriptions have been selected:

I. Old Persian: the Inscription of Darius the Great, at Behistan.

II. Greek: the Bronze Tablets with the treaties between Naupactus and the Hypocnemidian Locrians, and between the Ocantheans and the Chalcians.

III. Osean: the Tabula Bantina.

IV. Umbrian: the Bronze Tables of Iguvium.

V. Latin: the preamble to the Edict of Diocletian fixing maximum prices.

I. The Behistan Inscription of Darius the Great.

6. The Inscription of Darius the Great, cut high up on the face of the cliff at Behistan in Western Persia, records the accession of Darius to the throne of Persia and his successful suppression of a number of revolts against his power. It is engraved in a canciform syllabary, the conventions of which are well determined and familiar to scholars (cf., for example, E. L.

Johnson, Historical Grammar of the Ancient Persian Language, 29-35; also R. G. Kent, JAOS 35, 325-329, 332, on special points). The text is presented in the coneiform syllabary, with transliteration, translation, and critical annotations, by L. W. King and R. C. Thompson, The Sculptures and Inscription of Darius the Great on the Rock of Behistûn in Persia, 1-91 (1907), a publication of the British Museum embodying the results of their reexamination of the rock and its inscription: this is the definitive text. A transliteration and translation, with critical notes and vocabulary, is contained in H. C. Tolman, Ancient Persian Lexicon and Texts (1908); and the same scholar's Canciform Supplement (1910) contains an autographed copy of the text in the cunciform, and as an appendix E. L. Johnson's Index Verborum to the Old Persian Inscriptions, which is a complete word concordance: these two volumes are Nos. VI and VII in the Vanderbill Oriental Series. These will be referred to hereafter by easily recognizable abbreviations.

- 9. The most striking feature of the inscription is the extreme care with which it is engraved, demonstrable errors being very few, now that the text has been definitively recorded by KT. But this care is not to be wondered at; for without it the record would have become a hodge-podge, since 23 of the 36 characters of the syllabary are transformable into other characters by the addition or the subtraction of a single stroke, and eleven of the remaining thirteen are convertible by subtracting one stroke and adding another—in some cases this being merely a placing of the same stroke in a new position. Besides this, King Darius attached a high value to the records, as is evident from his injunctions for their preservation in 4, 69-80, and must have placed the work in charge of his most skilled engravers.
- 10. There are a few points which lie on the border-line between orthographic convention and epigraphic error. It is a convention that an absolutely final short a be written with the sign of length, and that final i or a be followed by the corresponding semivowel. But when an enclitic follows, the a or y or v, respectively, may be omitted; the examples are listed in Stud. §13, §8, §7 (= R. G. Kent, Studies in the Old Persian Inscriptions, in JAOS 35, 321-352); and the same variation occurs in the final sound of the prior element of compounds. Further, there are a few instances where the a is not written to show the graphic length of the final a, but the instances are chiefly where

the word forms a unit with the following: e. g., the genitive of a month name in -akya before mākyā 'month' and the genitive of a personal name before pub'a 'son' and sometimes before taumāyā 'family'. Other examples of this phenomenon must be regarded as errors (Stud. 329 ftn.).

11. After the characters with inherent i (j^i d^i m^i v^i) or u (k^u g^u t^u d^u m^u m^u r^u), it is a convention to repeat the vowel as a separate character; doubtless because after other consonants, where for want of the special character the sign with inherent a was written, the i or u was of necessity represented separately. But sometimes after the signs with inherent i or u the separate vowel sign was omitted, though not so often as it was inserted. The examples of omission of i are the following:

arminigaly (araminiyalya) 2, 33-34, 39, 44, 48; but arminiga 2, 29, 3, 78-79, 4, 29, arminiyaly 2, 59, 63, armina 1, 15, arminam 2, 30, 32, 50, 52 (all these with araminisal). Some of these examples are mutilated, but they can be read with sufficient accuracy to determine the presence or absence of the i.

v'θam 1. 69, 71; v'θāpatiy 3. 26 (and restored in 2. 16); v'θi[yū] 4, 66 (always v'θ*- in the Behistan Inscription).

visiāspa 1. 65; the normalized spelling is not entirely certain.
vistāspa 1. 4, 2. 93, 94, 97, 3. 4, 7, A. 5; vistāspam 3. 2, 3;
vistāspahya 1. 2-3, 4, A. 3, 5-6 (always visitaspam) on the
Behistan Inscription). Some of the examples are mutilated,
but the absence of the i is always determinable.

12. The omission of u after consonants with inherent u seems to occur in this inscription only in the name Nabukudracara, which appears as nubukudracara in 1. 78-79, 84, 93, but with the full writing (-kudu-) in 3. 80-81, 89 (restored), 4. 14, 29-30, D. 3-4, L 5-6 (-do- omitted; see §24, below).

13. The erratic writings after he are listed in Stud. \$24, and

need not be discussed here.

14. Finally, we should note that in the Behistan Inscriptions the words are carefully separated by an angled sign with the apex to the left. This sign precedes the word rather than follows it, for where the sense suffers a paragraph break there is a blank on the surface of the rock and the word-divider comes after the blank, just before the initial word of the new paragraph. Since the five columns form a continuous text, the divider does not occur at the end of the first four. The end of the fifth is illegi-

ble; yet the divider probably stood there, for in the short inscriptions labeling the figures of the sculptures, which are complete texts in themselves, it is found at the end of all except two (H and K). Between §3 and §4 of A (line 13), KT give no divider; but Tolman CS 43 gives it. We might note that the last stroke of the preceding character, h^a , is identical with the divider, and that this may have led to confusion either of the engraver or of the modern copyist.

I, Errors of Omission.

15. 1. 50 $h^a c^a a < d^a r^a \hat{s}^a m^a$ seems to stand for $h^a c^a a < d^a r^a - (ug^a a < d^a r^a) \hat{s}^a m^a$, = $hac\bar{a}$ draugā daršam, the omission being due to the repetition in the text of the four identical characters $a < d^a r^a$, so that the engraver passed from the one set to the other with omission of the two intervening characters (cf. Stud. §33-§46, especially §44). This species of error may be termed Haplography with Skipping.

16. 1. 54-55 aur*/m*z*am* for aur*/m*z*d*am* = Auramaz-dām, with emission of d*. The emission was made easy by the fact that d* is formed of one horizontal stroke above two vertical strokes, while a, which follows d*, consists of one horizontal stroke above three vertical strokes. The two letters are so similar that the emission is almost an haplography; as however they are not absolutely identical, this species of error may be termed Pseudo-Haplography.

17. 1. 78-79 $n^ab^a/uk^ad^ar^ac^ar^a$, as also at 1. 84 and 1. 93, lacks the character u after k^a , as was noted in §12. The emission seems to be favored not only by a certain superfluousness of the vowel character after the consonant with inherent u, but by the likeness of the following letter. The u is the divider followed by a horizontal stroke above two vertical strokes; d^a is one horizontal stroke above two vertical strokes. The u is therefore identical with the divider plus d^a . It is possible that here again is an example of Pseudo-Haplography, though the fact that this omission occurs three times in rapid succession is rather evidence that it is not a more error of script.

18. 1. 95-96 a/p*iš*im* = āpišim, for nominative āpiš plus the enclitic šim. But as geminates are never written in this syllabary, it is better to regard āpišim for āpiš-šim as an orthographic convention than as an example of true Haplography.

 3. 38-39 vahaya/zadaatahaya and 3. 46 vahayazadaatahaya, = Vahyazdatahya; 3. 49 and again 3. 51 aheta = ahanta.

These four words, found within a few lines of each other, share the same error, the failure to write the conventional final a for a short a which was not protected by a final consonant. The fact that in the first three of the examples the next word begins with a, might seem to be a factor in the failure to write the final a; but the same paragraphs include five or more instances where the conventional final a is written even though the next word begins with the same character. These four words then seem to represent the engraver's resistance to the unphonetic writing; for the a inherent in the preceding consonant sign was adequate to represent the short vowel, and was so used if the short vowel was followed by a weak final consonant not represented in writing. This might be termed Omission for Phonetic Accuracy.

20. 3. 77 wa for uta = uta. As the omitted to bears no close resemblance to either the preceding or the following character, this error may be classed as Omission, without any contrib-

uting factor.

 4. 72 au @až ta = avabāštā, is hardly to be interpreted without emendation. The simplest correction is that of Hoffmann-Kutschke (quoted Tolman Lex. 69, CS. v), who thinks that it is really two words, avaôā štā, run together by the failure of the engraver to represent the divider. Since se consists of two dividers under a horizontal stroke, this is a possible instance of Pseudo-Haplography; but the interpretation 'stand thou thus <and> guard <them>' for the two words and the following pari[ba]ra leaves the final verb without its pronominal object, which is unusual in the inscription, and makes the uncompounded stā assume the 5 which would be proper only after prefixes ending in i or u and after the reduplication in i. Yet as the i is found in aištata and extended in niyaštāyam niyaštāya, such an extension to sta is not too unlikely.

22. Tolman's emendation, making the is a miswriting for the word divider, and to the prenominal object of the following verb, is improbable, since the demonstrative stem to- is not found as a separate word elsewhere in the Old Persian inscriptions, and the addition of the two strokes to the divider so as to make the in is

an unlikely error.

23. 4.83 u] toa[no < no] amo = U] tā[na n] āma, is the proba-

ble restoration of the passage, but KT 76 ftm. 2 state that the gap has room for only two characters, not three. It is likely that either the first or the second as was omitted; an omission which may be termed Tele-Haplography, and is to be defined as the failure to write one of two identical characters or groups of characters which are not contiguous, though the intervening character or characters remain. There is a possible alternative, that it was the divider which was omitted; since the symbol as consists of two horizontal strokes followed by the divider, the omission of the divider at this point would be an instance of Pseudo-Haplography.

- 24. I. 5-6 $n^ab^auk^aur^a/c^ar^a$ for $n^ab^auk^aud^ar^ac^ar^a = Nabukudracara$, has lost the d^a . This is an easy example of Pseudo-Haplography, since n is the same as d^a with a prefixed divider: thus $ud^a = \langle d^ad^a \rangle$.
- 25. I. 11 $b^a a b^a r^a u v^a$ for $b^a a b^a i r^a u v^a = B \bar{a} b i r a u v$. The i of the second syllable is omitted, although the preceding consonant has inherent a, and neither the preceding nor the following character closely resembles i. This must be classed as simple Omission.

II. Errors of Addition.

- 26. 1. 23 $t^ay^an^aa < m^an^aa$ stands for $t^ay^aa < m^an^aa = tya$ manā. The sign n^a is repeated from the following word. This repetition of a character in a position separated by one or more letters from its rightful place, may be termed Tele-Diltography.
- 27. 4. 44 up*av*] r*i*iy*iy* has repetition of iy* at the end of the word, according to Tolman, Lex. 122 (where other interpretations also are listed), and is to be normalized as up*avartaiy, a first singular middle. This is a typical example of normal Dittography.

HI. Errors of Change.

- 28. 3. 55 $ug^aur^at^a$ for $ug^aub^at^a = agaubata$. The sign r^a consists of three parallel horizontal strokes followed by one vertical stroke; b^a consists of two horizontals followed by one vertical. The error here is therefore made by adding one horizontal stroke, which changes b^a to r^a ; this may be termed Change by Addition.
- 29. 3. 66 gadaniavs, = Gaadutava, seems to be an error for Gaadumava, in view of the kantuma + at the corresponding place in the Elamitic version, though KT confirm the reading is

rather than m^a. Since m^a is made of one horizontal stroke followed by three verticals, and t^a is made of two horizontals followed by three verticals, this is a second instance of Change by Addition.

30. 3. 67 arere for abera = abara. By the omission of one horizontal stroke, be is transformed into re (cf. on 3. 55 above, where the converse change is discussed). This may be termed Change by Subtraction.

31. 4. 71-72 d^a/t^as^a should probably be $u/t^av^a = utava$ (Hoffmann-Kutschke, quoted by Johnson IV. 27, cf. Tolman Lex. 98). The divider prefixed to d^a produces u, and a short horizontal stroke prefixed to s^a produces v^a . It may be that these strokes originally stood on the rock, and that they have become illegible through weathering; but if nothing has so disappeared, this word gives two more examples of Change by Subtraction. The divider is recorded by KT as legible before the d^a ; the reduction of < u (= $< < d^a$) to $< d^a$ shows also a haplological element. This particular variety of Change by Subtraction might be termed Semi-Haplology.

32. 4.71 and 73 vik*a*ah*y* = vikanāhy, 4.77 vik*a*ah*d*iš* = vikanāhadiš (so read by Jackson) were read by KT as having s* and not k*. In view of viyaka* 1.64 and aika*tuv 4.80, it seems certain that these are forms from the root kan; and if s* really stands on the Rock, it is another instance of Change by Subtraction, for one vertical stroke followed by three horizontals forms k*, and one vertical followed by two horizontals forms s*.

33. I hesitate to list further possible errors from the text of the Behistan inscription. Scholars have made many conjectures, as may be seen by examining the critical apparatus in Tolman, Lex., but most of the conjectures do not deserve consideration since the minute collation by KT. The following might, however, he listed, even if only to support the actual text:

1, 22, 4, 66-67 ufrastam; 4, 38 ufrastam; 4, 69 ufrastā- (cf. Stud. §64-§69.) The variation between s and \$\vec{x}\$ is merely the result of leveling (Stud. 351, ftn. 4).

1. 30 hamātā for *hamamātā almost certainly represents the actual pronunciation, and is therefore not an example of Haplography, but an example of Haplology (Stud. §46).

 86-87 uš*/b*ar*im* is by many scholars supposed to lack two signs at the end of the prior line: uš* t*r*/b*ar*im* = uštrabārim 'camel-borne,' cf. Avestan uštra 'camel.' But ušabārim may be correct, if uša was a doublet form of uštra as asa was of aspa 'horse' (Stud. §47-§51).

87 as^am^a = asam;
 2, 2, 71, 3, 41, 72 as^ab^aar^aib^ais^a = asabāribis.
 The establishment of asa as a doublet of aspa makes emendation of these forms superfluous (cf. Stud. §50).

2. 74 h³r^ab³an^am^a = harbānam 'tongue'. KT 36 ftn. 4 explain it as from the root in Latin sorbēō; this eliminates the need of correction (cf. Tolman Lex. 134).

 75 and 89 ucasma 'eye' may be correct, though somewhat indistinct on the Rock (cf. Weissbach ZDMG 61, 726, quoted by Tolman Lex. 75).

3. 8 θakatam is the correct singular form, and not an error for θakatā, which is the correct plural form, required in the other eighteen passages where the word is used (cf. Bartholomae, as quoted by Tolman Lex. 95).

4. 6 adamsim: the explanation of the difficult enclitic is given Stud. §52-§63, especially §63,

4. 65++man=uvotemo or + +tan- or ++toun-: the reading is too uncertain for the passage to be used here.

4. 89 i[ya] dipi (the illegible gap has space for but one character, according to KT 77 ftm. 5); 4. 90 iya [d]ipi. This iya is not to be emended to iyam = iyam, but is to be read iy, from Indo-European *i (Stud. 348, ftm. 2).

5. 11 utā < daiy < marda 'and he annihilated them.' Objection has been taken to daiy as an orthotone and as an accusative. But the change of enclities to orthotones and vice versa can be paralleled elsewhere, and the form of the accusative plural in Old Persian, outside the enclitic pronouns (which can have no nominative), is invariably that of the nominative plural (Stud. 336, ftm. 2), notably in the third person pronouns (avaiy, imaiy, tyaiy). The orthotone value and the nominative form as accusative therefore go hand in hand, and mutually confirm the reading of the text rather than make it suspicious.

34. In the passages of the Behistan Inscription which are surely or probably miswritten, therefore, we have found errors of the following kinds, which have been defined as they were met:

I. Errors of Omission:

Omission, with no apparent motive: 20, 25,

Omission for Phonetic Accuracy: 19.

Haplography: 18,

Haplography with Skipping: 15.

Tele-Haplography: 28.

Pseudo-Haplography: 16, 17, 21, 23, 24.

II. Errors of Addition:

Dittography: 27. Tele-Dittography: 26;

III. Errors of Change:

Change by Addition: 28, 29,

Change by Subtraction (including Semi-Haplography: 31): 30, 31, 32.

35. For convenience, the following index of passages, topics, and words discussed above, is appended:

Passages:

1.28 fy < an > 3 mund 24

1.30 hamata 88

1. 50 hacd dra(ugd dur) iam 15

1. 54-55 curemas(d) 5m 16

1. 85 swelbeigers 11

1. 78-79, 84, 93 Nabuludrucuru 12, 17

1. 86-87 wabarim 33

1_87 geam 33 1. 95-96 aptiim 18

9. 74 harbanan 33

2. 75, 89 warma 33

3. 8 pokatom 33

3: 38-39, 46 Fakyadafahya 19

3, 49, 51 ahusta 19

3. 55 agaulusta 29

3.66 Gascimura 29

3, 67 abana 30

3.77 4(4)4 20

4. 6 adamiin 23

4.44 spans rtaig Cary > 27

4, 65 - monuvatom or

4. 71, 78 vikanāhy 32

6.71-72 nerva 31

4,72 arapă ita 21, 22

4.77 rikandhadii 33

4. 83 [7th] (nu) *] ama 20

4. 89, 91 ty dipi 33

5. 11 utd daily marda 33

L.5-6 Nababu(d)racura 12, 24

1.11 B4b(i)rnav 25

Topics:

Enclitic pronouns 33 (his)

Final vowels 10, 19

Geniushed consuments 18

Inherent (11

Inherent w 11, 12, 17

Vowels after he 13

Word diritler 14, 22, 23, 31

Words:

arming 11

graniniya 11

asabaribil 33

ufrasta ofrakta 33

taumdyli 10

++ f(u)nuvutom 33 Nabukudracara 12, 17, 24

pugra 10

milkyd 10

pipe II

militarpo II

MALOBA, THE MARATHA SAINT

JUSTIN E. ABBOTT SUMMIT, NEW JERSEY

THE STORY OF MALOBA, as related by Mahipati in his Bhaktalliamrita, is tragic in the extreme, and well illustrates the Hindu conception of God, as a very present help in time of trouble.

That Mālobā was an historic personage need not be seriously questioned on the ground of the miraculous element in his story. Dnyāneshwar, Nāmdev, Eknāth, Tukarām, and Rāmdās, of unquestioned historic standing, all have the miraculous woven into the accounts of their lives. It is a Hindu feeling that those who live so near to God, as do the true saints, are agents through whom God manifests His power, and that He is sure to do so when they are in distress.

Mahipati (b. 1715, d. 1790) is par excellence the biographer of the Maratha saints, but he was not a higher critic of his sources of information. He accepted the traditional stories as true. His Bhaktavijaya, Santalilāmrita, and Bhaktalllāmrita contain long lists of authors and works used by him. No evidence auggests that he might have been an inventor of Lives. He anticipates the charge, however, and in his Santallämrita 1. 67-69 says, 'You will raise this doubt in your mind and say, "You have drawn on your own imagination." This is not so. Listen. Great Poet-saints have written books in many languages. It is on their authority that I write this Santaldamrita. If I wrote on my own authority, my statements would not be respected. The Husband of Rukmani is witness to this, who knows all hearts'. If Mahipati drew his information from unhistoric sources, Maloba may not stand in the list of actual saints, but the story, illustrating the Hindu idea of God's intervention in the calamities befalling his saints, will not lose its point thereby.

With data so mengre, it is useless to speculate on the date of Mālobā, for in the very unchronologically arranged lists of saints as given by Shekh Mahamad (in 1696), by Jayarāmasuta (c. 1718), by Mahīpati (1715-1790) and by Moropant (1729-1794), the name appears among those of both earlier and later date.

There have been published English translations of the Abhangs of the Poet-Saint Tukaram and there are translations of small portions of the works of other Maratha Saints, but the intensely interesting accounts of their lives, handed down by tradition, and related in verse by the poet Mahipati, though they have frequently been summarized have never been published in an English translation. Mahipati's account of their lives is worthy of translation, for it reveals accurately and most vividly the Hindu ideal of a true saint.

Mālobā, the Marāthā Saint

Translation of Makipati's Bhaktalilamrita, 41. 148-213.

41. 148. There once lived in the Province of Varhād¹ a Bhakta¹ named Mālobā, a man of supremely noble character. He was a worshiper of Vithobā.¹ (149) He was a gentleman and merchant, respected and worthy. His business took him in time to the Karnāṭak, to which country he removed with his family, and there he made his home, but remembering Vithobā in his heart. (150) He had a son of noble qualities, by the name of Narhari. Both son and father excelled in goodness of character, and possessed minds ever discriminating (between right and wrong). (151) They regarded all mankind as themselves. They were compassionate to all creatures. To the needy and to guests they were generous in gifts and hospitality. (152) They were constant in their worship of Vishnu. They greatly loved the services of song in praise of Hari. They were ever ready in ministering to the saints, and they never uttered an untruth.

(153) After some days of sojourn (in the Karnātak) Mālobā's wife died. This caused great sorrow to his heart. 'What shall I dot' he cried. (154) But finally he reasoned to himself thus: 'It is well, after all, that the snare of this world has been broken.' And bringing to mind the Husband of Rukmani, he

Varhad, a District in the Bombay Presidency.

In the word Bhakta is implied not only one who formally worships, but one whose character is marked by goddiness, mural purity, and sincerity.

^{*}The sacred city of Pandharpur has an uncient temple with an image within representing a figure standing on a brick. God, as represented by this idel, has the name of Vithoba, Viththal, Pandurung, Pandharinath, and Husband of Rukmani. Vishnu, Krishna, Hari, Lord of Reaven, etc., are used synonymously with Vithoba.

destroyed the very seat of Ignorance. (155) But Mālobā soon came under pressure of public opinion. A Southern bride was found for him. The marriage took place hastily. Later this union proved the cause of great pain to Mālobā.

(156) Some days passed, when suddenly the father of the bride appeared. He was of the Nameless' caste. He recognized his daughter. (157) He went to Mālobā and told him his story from beginning to end, his town, his name, and all his circumstances. (158) 'I am of the lowest caste,' he said. 'My daughter was stolen away in the dead of night by a thief. You have made her your wife. It is evident you have committed a sin.' (159) Mālobā listened to his story, and an agony of contrition filled his soul. 'Oh save me, Oh save me, Lord of Heaven,' he cried. (160) 'Of all sinners in this universe, I am the one great sinner. Could all sins be collected together, and formed into a human statue, I am it. O Purifier from Sin, O Thou who bast mercy on the lowly, I lay my case before Thee. (161) Mālobā now called his wife to him, and said, 'Do you recognize your father?' She acknowledged all, but made no further reply. (162) Mālobā said to the Nameless, 'Take away your daughter, and as for me I will do whatever the Brahmans prescribe.' (163) The Nameless replied, 'Of what use for me to take away a defiled vessel? My caste fellows will accuse me of wrong, and then what shall I do?' (164) And with this the Nameless left for his village. The affair now become everywhere publicly known, and people remarked, 'She has defiled him.' (165) The rascal who had given this Southern bride in marriage, accompanied by his children, stole away by night and left the country.

(166) Mālobā, in worldly things, was a rich man. Naturally therefore sycophants gathered at his home. But when this great calamity befell him, they all deserted him and fled. (167) His noble hearted son, Narhari, alone remained by his side. All dinner-brothers at once disappeared. ((168) The Brahmans excommunicated him. His relatives abandoned him. Through repentance, however, he now fully atoned for his sin. (169) He called

[&]quot;I am uncertain of the meaning of hedichi. I have assumed it to be a variant of hedbicki, southern.

^{*} Asomile, Nameless, is used by Mahipati as synonymous with Mahar, one of the lowest caster.

the Brahmans together, and had them rob him of all his wealth. As a loving Bhakta, he now spent all his time in the worship of (170) Mālobā finally called together a large assembly of Brahmans, and prostrated himself on the ground before them. With joined hands he exclaimed, 'Prescribe at once a penance.' (171) The Brahmans, the Vedic pandits, the learned Shastris consulted the sacred texts and commentaries, and found the penance to be suicide. There was no other adequate penance. (172) After listening to the decision of the Brahmans, Maloha replied, 'I think so also; but prescribe the method.' (173) The Earth-immortals answered, 'Search for a large cavity in a tamarind tree. Crawl into it, and have the space within filled with cowdung fuel. (174) Then set it afire with your own hands. In performing this penance of suicide all your sin will be destroyed. (175) Mālobā listened and agreed, remarking, 'Whatever one does, one must suffer the effects. There is no escape whatever.' (176) And so Mālobā sat gladly within the cavity of the tree. the cowdung fuel packed around him, and set it afire. In his heart he contemplated the image of Pandurang, and earnestly invoked him.

(177) 'O Dweller in Pandharpur', he cried, 'O Vithabai, my family goddess! Come quickly and deliver me from my Karma. (178) Those who were friends because of my wealth, whom I had regarded as dear relatives, even they, as the end of my life comes, have all forsaken me and fled. (179) And now, as I am entirely stripped of all repute among men, of honor, of son, of wife, of wealth, do Thou break my bodily bond. (180) Though many other calamities, greater than even this, should come upon me; though the heavens should fall crashing on my body; yet, O Hari, this only would I ask for, that I may remember Thee in my heart, (181) Then, with firm determination, Maloba closed his 'eyes, his heart contempisting the image of Vithoba, the source of joy and peace to his devotees. (182) With fixed concentration of mind his lips repeated the names and attributes of God." O Keshava, Näräyana, Slayer of Madhu, Purifier from Sin, Ocean of Mercy, (183) O Unchangeable One, Infinite One,

^{*}The technical term Namassuarana, literally 'remembering name(s)', stands for more than more remembering. It includes the repeating aloud of God's various names and attributes, as is well illustrated in verses 182-185 above.

Govinda, Supreme Being, Saccidananda, Savior of the World, Source of Happiness, Shri Mukunda, World's Gurn, (184) Shri Ram, Raghupati, Slayer of Ravana, Destroyer of Demons, Founder of Religion, Lord of the World, who with mighty power released Vrindaraka, (185) O Krishna, O Vishnu, O Dark-Complexioned One, O Protector of thy Bhaktas, O Thou Being of Goodness, this only I ask of Thee, O Atmaram, that in this my worship there may be love.'

(186) As Mālobā thus worshipped full of love, and tears of love streamed from his eyes, suddenly the Lord of Heaven came to his rescue. (187) The kindled fire had become a roaring flame, but to his body it felt cool. No part of his body was so much as scorehed, (188) The Brahmans exclaimed to one another, 'The wonderfully mysterious might of God's Name! The fire, indeed, has not been able to burn him, for the Life of the World has been his protector. (189) Once long ago, when Hiranyakashipu' attempted to burn the Bhakta Prarhad in fire, the fire would not burn him. And so it is with this man.' Thus exclaimed the Brahmans to one another. (190) The fire in the cavity burnt itself out; the live coals became extinguished and fell to the ground. The glorious loving Bhakta now crawled out of the cavity and descended to the ground. (191) The people all marvelled and exclaimed, Blessed is this loving Bhakta. In his distress the Husband of Rukmani came to his aid. A wonderful miracle has taken place. (192) The Brahmans now said to Mālobā, 'It is you who are holy and righteeus. In your distress Pandharinath came to your help. You are wholly without blame.

(193) Mālobā now relinquished his occupation and commercial business, and gave himself up to performing Kirtana' in praise of Hari. His words were words of grace; his teachings the blessed teachings of a saint. (194) And the daugh-

The well known mythical story (Vishnu Purkan 1, 17) of Hiranya-kashipu, the godless, blaxpheming, atheistic king of the Demnus (Daltyas), to kill whom Vishnu had to assume the fourth incarnation, Narasinha, half man, half lim. Hiranyakashipu was incensed at the piety of his son, Prarhad (or Pralhad; Sanskrit Prahrada) and sought to destroy him by burning him alive, and by other cross means, but God's power always saved him from even the slightest injury.

^{*} Religious cantatas.

ter of the Nameless, whom he had married without realizing her caste, profited by the good companionship with him, and experienced sincere repentance of heart. (195) She said to Mālobā, 'Tell me same means of salvation, by which I may attain to a different birth.' And this indeed took place, (196) Mālobā, the Vaishnav Bhakta, listened to her and replied, 'In this affair you have committed no wrong whatever. It is true your father has deserted you, but I will continue to give you food and clothing. (197) If you ask me for the means of salvation, hold in your heart what I have already told you, namely, keep Shri Hari in your remembrance without ceasing, and have no concern about anything else.' (198) To all this the young woman assented, and from a distance bowed low to him. Mālobā had a small but built for her at some distance from his house, and there she lived. (199) She kept her clothes and vessels clean, and regularly performed her baths. She learned to love the repeating of God's names and attributes, and her thought never turned from it. (200) Mālobā would send her, by the hand of his servant, food served in a dish. This was all she would eat, and then she would give herself up to repeating God's names and attributes. (201) By this contact with the good, she attained a character of goodness, and Narayana, in his graciousness, would reveal himself to her sight. (202) Days passed in this way, and the end of her life now approached. The angel of Vishnu carried off her soul and took it to heaven. (203) Maloba learned the news that she was dead, 'Who is there who will be willing to speed her corpse on its good way?' said he. (204) 'No outeaste or Shudra will even touch her.' Maloba thought and decided; 'I will do it myself,' he said. (205) 'I was the cause. She has suffered intensely, and now that she has gone hence, I must perform her funeral rites.' (206) Thus thinking and determining he proceeded to enter the hut. Opening the door, he looked toward the corpse, when behold, it had changed into a mass of flowers. (207) 'This,' be exclaimed, 'is the mighty glory of the worship of Vishnu, made evident to the sight of men. By this He has truly increased the praise of his servants."

(208) From that day men everywhere began to honor Mālobā. 'The Husband of Rukmani was his help,' they exclaimed, 'and delivered him out of his great trouble.' (209) From that day also Mālobā began to give Kirtans that appealed to the tender sentiment, and pious listeners were moved in their hearts to deep emotion. ((210) In Kirtans the nine sentiments are used, and listened to by the devotees of Vishnu, but the supreme means for the realizing of the presence of God is the tender (karana) sentiment.* (211) The desire was now begotten in Mālobā's heart to reach the other side of the ocean of this worldly life, and so using the tender (karana) sentiment he pled with God. (212) This Bhakta of God now felt the desire to meet with God, and so he went into the forest, and there tenderly pled. (213) The Lord of Heaven heard his cry, and quickly came, for this conforms to his character, a character described by Shri Vyāsa in his Song of Praise.

^{*}The nine sentiments or passions are Shringara, love; Hasya, mirth; Karuna, tenderness; Raudra, anger; Vira, heroism; Bhayanaka, fear; Biblistin, disgost; Adbhuta, astenlahment; Shanta, peace.

GILGAMES AND ENGIDU, MESOPOTAMIAN GENII OF FECUNDITY

W. F. ALBRIGHT

AMERICAN SCHOOL OF CRIESTAL RESEARCH IN JERUSALEY

Two of the most interesting figures in ancient mythology are the heroes of the Babylonian national epic, Gilgames and Engidu. In this paper they will be studied in as objective a way as possible, avoiding the knotty problems connected with the evolution of the epic. Even on the latter, however, some light may be thrown. A thousand and one tempting ideas come to mind, but our materials are still too scanty for the composition of a successful history of Mesopotamian literature and religion, as shown by the recent attempt of the brilliant philosopher of Leipzig. Hermann Schneider.3 Thanks to the discovery of the temple library of Nippur, Sumerian literature is swelling so rapidly that few theories can be regarded as established beyond recall. On the other hand, our knowledge is now sufficiently definite to permit lucrative exploitation of comparative mythology and civilization; indeed, since many of these problems may be treated on the molecular, if not the atomic principle (cf. JBL 37. 112), their solution is an indispensable prerequisite to the future history of Babylonian thought. My general attitude towards the methods and theories of comparative mythology is succinctly given JBL 37, 111-113.

The name Gilgames is usually written ⁴GIS-GIN (TU)-MAS, read Gi-il-ga-mes(i), the Physpos of Aelian, De natura anim., 12, 21 (Pinches, Babylonian and Oriental Record, vol. 4, p. 264). CT³ 12, 50. K 4359, obv. 17, offers the equation GIS-GIN-MAS-

See his Kultur und Denken der Babylonier und Juden, Leipzig, 1010.

Note the following abbreviations in addition to those listed JAOS 39.

65, n. 2: AEW = Archiv for Religionswissemachaft; BE = Publications of the Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania; GE = Gilgames-upic; RT = Poebel, Historical Texts; JEA = Journal of Egyptian Archaeology; KTRI = Ebeling, Keilschriftleste uns Assur religiõuses Inhalts; NE = Huupt, Das Rabyloniache Nimrodepos; PSBA = Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology; RA = Revue d'Assyriologie; RHB = Revue de l'Histoire des Religions; UG = Unguad-Gressmann, Das Gügumesch-Epos, Göttingen, 1911; ZDMG = Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gevellschaft.

SI = Gis-gibil-ga-mes; CT 18, 30 ab. 6 ff, gives KALAG-GA-IMIN = "Gis-gibil-ga-mes, mugtablu, 'warrior,' and alik pana, 'champion, leader,'s The latter ideogram is merely an appellative describing him as 'the seven-fold valiant.' The full form of his name, dGis-gibil-ga-mes (ef. SGI 87), is often found on early monuments, especially seals and votive inscriptions from Erech and the vicinity. In a sacrificial list from Lugas (De la Fuye, Documents, 54, 10, 6; 11, 5) his name appears in the form "Gir-gibil-gin-mes. As the sibilant must have been primarily s (see below), the second element takes the variant forms giamas, games, and ginmes. Since the first of these writings is late, it may be overlooked in fixing the original pronunciation; the other forms point to a precursor *ganmes, which became ginmes by vocalie harmony, and games by syncope. The primary form of the name was, therefor, "Gibilgunmes, whence, by contraction, Gilgames, the meaning of which will be considered below.

According to Sumerian historiographers (Poebel, HT 75), Gilgames was the fifth king of the dynasty of Eanna (name of the ziqqurat of Erech), succeeding Meskingašer son of Babbar (the sun-god), who reigned 325 years, Enmerkar, his son (420), Lugalbanda, the shepherd (1200), and Dumuzi, the palm-cultivator (100). The hero himself was the son of the goddess Ninsam, consort of the god Lugalbanda, and of A*, the enu or ramku (išib)-priest of Kullab, a town as yet unidentified, but certainly near Erech. A is also called the mes-sag Unicg (UT 24, 35, 29-30), chief scribe of Erech, an epithet translated CT 16, 3, 88 (cf. Schroeder, MVAG 21, 180) by nagir Kullabi (the relation of Erech and Kullab was like that existing between Lagaš and Girsu). His consort is called Ningarsag, or Nin-gui-cair-ku, both

^{&#}x27;In allie plant as a heroic appellative we may possibly have the source of the Babylonian royal same Orchanne of Ovid, Mot. 4, 212, since Sexanse, 'Reader of a row,' might well be a translation of the expression into Greek.

^{*}Lungdon; Tanonux and Ishtar, p. 40, n. 1, reside the name #Gi-bil-agamil, taking TU to be originally MIR = aga (Br. 6945), and rendering The god Othil is commander.* This is more guess-work.

Packel took &C. Aguers to be equivalent to \$0.67A "Scherman," not Barton (Archaeology and the Bible, p. 264, n. 3) is almost certainly right in explaining the group as \$6.PES, and translating 'palm-tree-fertilizer,' on fileal accupation for a god of fecundity.

[&]quot;See Fartsek, OLZ 1s. 347 ff. Sum & means 'father' (for u'o, udo); A may have been himself a figure of the Attis type. Was his consort originally Ame, 'mother' (cf. Ame Esgur) like Anatolian Mat

figures closely related to Ninsun. In the Babylonian recession of the second tablet of GE, recently published by Langdon, the mother of Gilgames bears the name $rimtu= \bar{s}a$ supairi Ninsunna, the rimat Ninsun of the Assyrian version (Poebel, OLZ 17. 4 ff.). The 'wild-edw of the fold' corresponds to Leah, consort of the ab(b) ir Ia^aqab , 'bull Jacob,' as pointed out JBL 37. 117.

The king-list gives Gilgames only 126 years, hardly more than Tammuz, who was torn away in the flower of his youth. Evidently there is a close relation between the hero's vain search for immortality and the short duration of his career. son of Peleus and Thetis he was doomed to die young, a fate which was presumably the original reason assigned for his quest of life. The morbid fear of death and the desire to be freed from the venereal disease, which, as Haupt has made probable, the vindictive Istar had inflicted upon him, are, at all events, secondary motives, characteristic of a rather corrupt and cynical society, such as may well have existed in Erech during the last part of the third millennium. From SLT, No. 5, it appears that Gilgames preserved the title of high-priest of Kullab (en Kulabiligit) after being elevated to the throne. Both in GE and its Sumerian prototype he appears as the builder of the wall of Erech, a tradition mentioned in an inscription of Anam of Erech (twenty-second century). According to GE 11, 322 he was assisted in this work by seven wise architects (note the motive of the seven sages). In the Sumerian text of a Gilgames-epic, published by Langdon, we read (obv. 15-20; Engidu seems to be addressing the hero):

> Unughi giš-kin-ti dingir-ri-e-ne-gl č-un-na č-an-ta č-dč dingir-gal-gal-e-ne me-bi ba-an-ag-ck-ám bád-gal bád an-ni ki-uš-sa ki-ma-mağ an-ni gar-ra-ni sag-mu-e-sum za lugal ur-sag-bi =

'In Erech, the handiwork' of the gods, Eanna, the temple which reaches heaven,

^{&#}x27;Sum_ gil-bin-ti (literally 'wooden-work taken hold of'; contrast SLT 125), whence kill-tite and kill-strü (M. 753, 1023), means both 'handiwork,' and 'artisan') of Langdon, Geommotical Texts, p. 26, n. 2.

^{*}Cf. Guden, Cyl. A, 17, 18, stc., for no al alex, 'reach heaven'; the insertion of hi does not affect the same, nor is the oxymoron intentional.

Where the great gods gave their decrees,
The great wall, the wall which reaches heaven,
The mighty structure, of celestial construction,
Thou hast the supremacy (hast made head); thou art king and
here.

This passage implies that Gilgames, of whom it is said (obv. 10-11) gub-gub-bu- $d\ell$ su(KU)-su-u- $d\ell$ dumu-lugal-la da-ri e-ne = 'standing or sitting, ever the son of a king is he,' built the temple Eanna and the wall of the city. A reference to the erection of Eanna is found GE 1, 10; see Poebel, HT 123. The founding of the city itself is ascribed in the Sumerian chronicle to Enmerkar, $l\hat{u}$ $Unuga mu-un-da-d\hat{u}$ -a.

As might be expected, Gilgames was regarded as the special patron of the city, a position in which he may easily have enjoyed more popularity than the distant god of beaven, Anu, theoretically the patron of Erech. Several centuries before Anam, Utu-gegal (ca. 2600), the liberator of Babylonia from the yoke of Guti, says in his triumphal inscription (Col. 3, 1 ff.; see RA 9, 115): *Gis-gibil-ga-mes du[mu] *Nin-sun-na-gè makkim-sù ma-an-sum; dumu Unug-ga dumu Kul-ab-ka šà-gul-la ba-an-gar = 'G, the son of N, he gave him as a guardian genius; the people of Erech and Kullab he (Gilgames) made joyous of heart.' He received divine honors at Lagaš and Nippur, presumably also elsewhere, while his cult survived into Assyrian times; cf. the image (calmu) of Gilgames mentioned Harper, Letters, 1, 56.

In turning to consider the original nature of Gilgames, his solar characteristics become immediately apparent. The hero's adventures in the epic remind one involuntarily of the deeds of Heracles and Samson, whose essentially solar nature is clear, even after sundry adscrittious elements have been eliminated; mythology is a liberal master, employing motives of the most varied origin in its service. Like the sun-god, Samss, our hero (see the incantatory hymn, NE 93) is the da'an Anunnaki, 'the judge of the A'; like the sun, again, he is the ha'it kibrati, 'the overseer of the regions'; it is expressly stated (NE 93. 8) that the powers of Samss are delegated to him. Gilgames figures as Nergal, lord of the underworld, in SLT, No. 6, obv. 3. 10 f., ki-dg 'Ereš-ki-gal 'Gii-gibil-ga-mes lugal-kūr-ra-gè = 'the beloved of

[&]quot;Ki-mu = ki-ma (ki-gar; cf. du(1)-mar-ra and ki-dur, both = labtu).

E. Gilgames, lord of the mountain (i. e., the underworld).' In Langdon, Liturgies, No. 8, rev. 3, he receives the appellation umun-ki-ga-ge, 'lord of the underworld.' In the epic his mistress is Ishara, a form of Istar with marked chthonic associations. Whatever we may think of Egyptian and Greek parallels, in Babylonia it is the sun-god who appears as judge both of the living and of the dead, spending his time as he does half with the shades and balf with mortals. While the writing "Gis, found in the Meissner fragment and the Philadelphia text of the second tablet, is an abbreviation (cf. Poebel, OLZ 17, 5), it is interesting to note that Gis is explained as Samas, and that gis also = isatu. 'fire' (SGI 98). As these equations suggest, Gilgames stands in close relation to the fire-gods (naturally in many respects solar) Nusku (cf. Hommel, OLZ 12: 473 ff.), Gibil (cf. his name), and Gira (cf. Maqlu 1. 37 ff.), who shares some of his attributes. In fact, Gira's ideogram 4018-BAR (for reading cf. Meissner, OLZ 15. 117; for Gira < Gisbara ef. JAOS 39. 87, note; this god must not be confused with "GIR, for whom see below) may be partly responsible for the late writing of the name of the hero as GIS-GIN-BAR (MAS).

In the capacity of solar hero, Gilgames has much in common with 'his god' (ilišu, GE 6, 192) Lugalbanda. It may even be shown that the sagn of Gilgames has been enriched by the spoils of the latter. In the story of the birth of Gilgamos, reported by Aelian, the Babylonian king Senechoros (Newgood), warned by the astrologers that his daughter would bear a son who would deprive him of the kingdom, shut her up in the acropolis. However, she was mysteriously visited, and bore a son, who was forthwith thrown from the tower. An eagle caught the child on its outstretched wings, and saved it to fulfil the decrees of fate. As Aelian observes, this is the well-known motive of Perseus, while the Babylonian sources available assign the Aeneas motive to the hero, who was the son of a priest of Kullab (originally a god) by the goddess of fertility. Lugaibands, on the other hand, so far as the texts inform us, follows the Perseus recipe. He is the son of the sun-god, who, we may suppose, had visited his mother in the guise of a golden shower;10 he passes his youth as a shepherd

[&]quot;The motive of the golden shower is Oriental as well as Hellenic, and may safely be postulated as a common explanation of the mode of solar gen-

before mounting the throne. It is very important to note that his predecessor. Enmerkar, is not called his father; he may safely, however, be regarded as his grandfather. Now, Scoggopos is to be read Evyxopos; the initial C is simply dittography of the final C in the preceding word Buothoovers. Eucehores bears the same relation to Enmerkar (pronounced Ennerkar) as Enedora-(a) chos does to Enmeduranki (cf. also Endower for Enmedigo, pronounced Engedők). We may, therefor, tentatively supply the missing details of the Babylonian legend. Lugalbanda was the son of Enmerkar's daughter by Samas. Being thrown from the tower by his grandfather's command, an engle resenes him; an eagle carries the related Etana to heaven in a similar story. Lugalbanda grows up as a shepherd, and on reaching manhood is elevated by the favor of the gods to his rightful throne. In the later form of the story, transferred to Gilgames, the hero becomes a gardener, since this occupation had become the legendary prerequisite of kingship, as in the sagas of Sargon the Elder and Ellit-bani of Isin.

My reconstruction of the Lugalbanda myth is supported by the indications in the fragments published HGT, Nos. 8-11, all belonging to a single epic, probably part of the Lugalbanda cycle, as follows from the mention of the storm-bird Im-dugud (Zû) in From this text we learn that Enmerkar, son of [Mesingaser! (8, rev. 10), was a mighty king, ruling in Kullab without a rival (8, obv. 4 ff.). Unfortunately, however, the throne has no heir (9, rev. 5 f.; aratta [LAM-KUR-RU-KI] ás-ba - - a-bil [=i-bil (RA 10.97)=ablu] nu-tug-da). The poem goes on to introduce the kurkú bird (9, rev. 9 fl.) : kûr-gir ki-a [] pa-te-si Sumer i-ra | } mu-da-kú-ù-dê kin-gi-a En-me-ir-kár ennun [] = 'The kurkû bird in the land [] the vicercy of Sumer [] to nourish [] the messenger of Enmerker [held] watch." The the name of Imgalbanda does not occur, we can hardly doubt that this passage alludes to the rescue of the youthful hero from his hostile grandfather by the kurka bird (who may be an inter-

eration. In Hindu tales (Indian Antiquary, Vol. 20, 145; Vol 21, p. 374) a traveler, before setting out on a journey, talls his pregnant wife that the borth of a son will be announced to him by a shower of gold, of a daughter by a shower of silver. These showers are primarily metaphoric expressions for the golden and silver rays of the sun and moon, respectively male and female according to the most general belief.

mediary for Zu, whose relations with our hero would then date from the latter's infancy).

Lugalbanda," with the consort Ninsun, was the principal god of Marad, 27 whence he bore the name Lugal-Marada (AMARdo), and of Tuplias (Asnunnak) in eastern Babylonia, He also received divine honors at Erech and Kullab, especially during the dynasty of Amnanu (ca, 2200). Accordingly he is listed among the legendary kings of the postdiluvian dynasty of Erech. Lugalbanda and Ninsun were worshiped also elsewhere, as at Lagas and Nippur; a patesi of the former city bears the name Ur-Ninsun. Lugalbanda belongs to the same class of modified sun-gods as Ninurta, and hence is combined with Ninsubur and Ningirsu, deities of this type (IIR 59, rev. 23 f.). In a hymn published by Radau (Hilprecht Anniv. Vol., Plates 6-7; cf. p. 418), he is addressed as kugu dLugal-banda gu-ru-um kur-ra = 'holy la, offspring of the mountains, and identified with Babbar (Samas); šul Babbar zi-zi-da-zu-de kalam igi-mu-e-da-zi-zi = 'Hero Babbar, when thou risest, over the land thy eye thou dost lift, etc. Like Gilgames, and other old gods of productivity, he came to occupy a prominent position in myth and legend, thanks to the annual celebration of his adventures in mimetic fertility rites. I would not attempt to decide whether his role as shepherd came from solar symbolism (cf. AJSL 34, 85, n. 2), or is on a par with the pastoral aspect of other gods of fecundity (cf. JBL 37, 116 f.); both conceptions doubtless played a part.

Around the figure of Lugalbanda seasonal and reproductive myths soon crystallized, later spreading from their original home, and developing into the heroic legend, the prototype of the true saga, with its historical nucleus and lavish display of mythical and romantic finery. The saga could not spring, as some appear to think, full-armed from the popular fancy, but had to grow apace as utilitarian cult-motives whetted the imagination. Lugalbanda became the focus of a legendary cycle of very great

[&]quot;Badau, Hilprocht Annie. Fel., p. 429, points out that Lugalbanda as lord of Tuplial is Tispak, the am-bande = rime cyle (Ar. 'donde = indda); bence his name means 'mighty king,' rather than 'wise king.'

Modern Wenner es Saddin, on the Euphrates, nearly due west of Nippur; see Clay, OLZ 17, 110 f., and Thureau-Dangin, Ed 9, 84.

[&]quot; For reading kap of Luckenbill, AJSL 33, 187.

interest, 14 since its perfected form, found in the myth of Lugaibanda and Zu, is written in Sumerian, while our Gilgames-epic is a Semitic composition, however much it may have drawn on Sumerian sources. Besides the Assyrian translation of over a hundred lines (KB 6: 1, 46 ff.) we now possess goodly fragments of the original Sumerian: CT 15, 41-43; HGT, Nos. 14-19, and probably also 8-11 (see above); in Nos. 20-21 we have part of a chronicle dealing with events during the reigns of Imgalbands and his successor Tammuz (cf. HT 117). Most of the latter text apparently refers to Lugalbanda, since Tamuniz is not mentioned until the close. Along with victorious invasions of Elam, Halma (= Guti), and Tidnum (= Amūru), a disastrous flood which overwhelmed Eridu is described (obv. 11-12): a-urú-gulla-ge | NUN-KI a-gal-la si-a [] = 'the waters of the destructive deluge Eridu, flooded by the inundation [].' In connection with this the deus ex machina, Ninlil, comes on the scene; despite the pseudo-historical setting we are dealing with myth.

The story of Lugalbanda and Zû, personification of the hurricane, is primarily, as has often been observed, the contest between

[&]quot;It is possible that the saga of Nimrod may be an offshoot of the Lugalbands eyele rather than of the Gilgames cycle, especially since the former seems to have been much more important than the latter in early times, and from a home in Marad more likely to influence the west than the latter, whose hearth was Erech. As lord of Marad Lugalbanda is the Lugal-Marada or the "Nin-Mardda, Just as Nezgal-Lugalgira is the Nin-Girra, the lord of Girsu, and as Marduk is the Nin-Tintir (HR 59, ohv. 47), Ellil the Nin-Nibra, or Lurd of Nippur (ibid. 9); ef. also Sin the Bel-Harvin, etc. The herein depherd and conqueror of wild-heasts, "Nimerid, may thus have become the mighty hunter, Nierrod, just as Dugan becomes Dagon, and Hodel 'Alader. Similarly the shepherd Damu (Tummuz) became in Bybies the hunter Adunia. The figure of Nimrod was probably inflaenced by the impressive monumental representations of the Assyrian Heracles; he may easily reflect a western 'Orion,' but Eduard Meyer's view that he was primarily a Libyan 'Jagdriess' is gratuitous. The recent historical theories are still less felicitous: Sethe (Hastings' Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics, Vol. 6, p. 650) holds that Nimrod is a correption of the official name Nelsmu'ords of the indolent Amenophis III, appearing in conciform as Nimmarija; Van Golderen (Repositor, 1914, pp. 274 ff.) explains Nimrod as a corruption of Nacamon, historically possible, but phonetically incredible. Jansen's explanation, deriving Nimrod from Namerto, his reading of NIN-IB, is antiquated by the discovery of the correct reading Ninesto, which became Isuita (JAOS 38, 197), a form quite unlike Nimrod.

the sun and the storm-clouds, whom he subdues, just as Marduk overcomes Ti'amat in the cosmogonic reflection of the motive. Without entering into an elaborate discussion of the myth, which I hope to treat elsewhere, I will call attention to an episode which has apparently influenced the Gilgames cycle. Lugalhanda's journey to Mount Sabu, where the wine-goddess Ninkasi-Siris helps him to outwit Zu and recover the tablets of fate, is in some respects the prototype of Gilgames' visit to the wine-goddess Sabitu. In GE the episode of Sabitu's mountain paradise is decidedly in the air; in the older recension, however, it is clearer. instead of being merely in charge of a station on the hero's route to Elysium, she is his real goal.15 Only after he despairs of securing from her the immortality for which he yearns does he undertake the perilous voyage to Utnapišti". As I shall show in detail elsewhere, the wine-goddess Sabitu becomes in effect the divinity of life; in her hands was supposed to rest the bestowal of eternal life, so far as this was terrestrially obtainable. Her name is derived from Mount Sabu.16 the abode of Ninkasi, with whom, as will be shown elsewhere, Siduri Sâbitu is essentially identical. I have proved, AJSL 35. 179, that the neighboring Mount Hasur, the abode of Zû, is Kašiari-Masius, and that Sabitu's garden lay in the same region, which corresponds to the northern habitat of the some. as well as to the vineyard-paradise of Anatolia. As clearly indicated in the fragments of the myth, Lugalbanda recovers the duplimati by inviting the bird to a banquet, and intoxicating him with the aid of the goddess of conviviality-a motive which reappears in a multitude of similar tales of the Marsyas type. The motive is closely associated with the some cycle of the Indo-Iranians, as will be shown in another article; two distinct motives: have evidently been fused, the eagle being the tertium comparationis. The duplimati belong with the motive above referred to. as they appear also in the creation myth; Lugalbanda originally

[&]quot;Cf. JAOS 38, 61-54; additional evidence will be adduced in my article." The Mouth of the Rivers, "AJSL 35, 161-195, and in a paper entitled "The Goldess of Life and Wisdom," to appear in AJSL.

[&]quot;Mount Sabn, probably the name of a northern mountain, near Gasur-Kasjari-Mashus (see my article in AJSL, cited in the preceding note), was perhaps selected because of the paronomasia with sabil, 'wine,' and its congruers.

goes after the fertilizing rains, symbolized by wine, just as Indra wrests the some from the bird Garnda, and bestows it upon the thirsty land. As the draught of the gods is also the potion of immortality, this is at the same time a journey in search of life. That Gilgames' visit to Sabitu was originally vicarious, made on behalf of his people, is highly probable; he was a god of fertility (see below). The individualizing of the myth naturally resulted in the idea that his mission was vain; did he not die at a relatively early age (see above) ! The journey to the Mouth of the Rivers; originally to bring the inundation; has undergone the same modification. As Lugalbanda is a more pronounced sun-god than Gilgames, it is interesting to note that solar motives are unquestionably worked in with our episode; GE 9, Col. 4, 46, the nightly journey of the sun thru the harran Samii of the underworld, in order to be reborn from the womb of the mothergoddess the next morning, is expressly alluded to. It may be that the myth has gained admission to the epic cycle thru the influence of the solar analogy.

In the cult, at least, the solar side of Gilgames was quite subordinate to his aspect as a god of fecundity. The enthonic character of our divinity, while in its specific development implying solar relationship, is no less an indication of kinship with gods of vegetation. We cannot, therefore, be surprised to find many Tummuzmotives in the cycle of Gilgames: his amours with Isham and Istar are vegetation-myths (cf. JBL 37, 115-130). Some of the evidence presented to show that Gilgames was primarily a god of vegetation by Schneider, in his suggestive essay,17 is not valid, but the main thesis, if somewhat broadened to include the various functions of a god of fertility, is certainly correct. Equally cogent is Prince's view (Babyloniaca, 2, 62-64), the the explanation of *GIS-GIN-MAS as *heros divin de la production* leaves the older writings of the name entirely out of consideration. The symbol of the god was the sig-am Gilgames (CT 15, 14, rev. 11, 13), with the Semitic equivalent ildaqqu (for *iç-daqqu, 'small tree'), 'sprout, slip.' Hommel (OLZ 12, 473 ff.) has ingeniously connected the sila-am (lit. 'plant of the water of the wild bull') with the cylinder of Sargon the Elder, representing a hero of the Gilgames type watering a wild-ball from a stream, over which a

[&]quot; Zuei Aufnitze zur Religionogenchichte Forderaziene, pp. 42-84.

young shoot is growing. The scene is evidently symbolical; the stream is the Euphrates, which provides growing vegetation and browsing cattle alike with the needful moisture. Similar representations, primarily serving the purpose of sympathetic magic, will be treated below: The a-am zi-da of Gudea, Cyl. A, 5, 8, and 6, 9, is a cult object, apparently a lastral layer, like the abzu; in Gudea's dream it is placed before him, toward the sunrise, a position forcibly reminding one of the basin in the cit Sambi of Silhak-in-Sušinak (RT 31, 48), also, of course, placed toward the aunrise. The name may indicate that the basin was placed on the back of a bull, just as the laver of Solomon's temple was supported by twelve bulls,18 symbolizing, as will be shown elsewhere, the origin of the water from the mouth of the bull Enki, lord of the fresh water (see below), or his attendant bulls, the gud-sig-sig, donors of the fecundating water of the two rivers.18 The gis-a-am, which presumably derived its name from the a-am by its side, from which it draw moisture, like the ildunqu on the bank of the river, may have been a symbolic tree or post, like the wooden pole of Akirat or the dd-pillar of Osiris."

In this connection I may take up the problem touched JAOS 36, 252. Both biffer bi-ir, 'platform,' and biffer bi-irea, 'larer,' are ultimately identical. Primarily bi-ir meant 'base, foundation platform' (durable = iden, temenan), whence, like bi-gal, 'surface, site, ground,' it is used metapharically for 'Hades' (cf. Langdon, Literpiez, p. 138). The explanation of bi-ir as abrile repities, 'antennee to the under-world,' reminds one of the Egyptian magfabd, which served as a link between the two worlds. The shrine i-bi-ir is Nippur reminds one of a shrine near 'Thebes which seems to have been regarded as an entrance to the under-world; cf. Fourart, PSBA 32, 102 ff. The layer biaru may have received its name from being on a platform, or it may symbolize the lower world, like the apple, the hig layer from which the cyabbé were replanished; we my article on 'The Month of the Bivers,' AJSL 35, 161-195.

[&]quot;Cf., for the present, Frank, Religion, p. 275.

When a tree in which a great numer of fertility resided died, the trunk often remained an object of remeration, being replaced finally by a symbolic post, usually representing a pulm or cedar. Lutz has brilliantly shown that the dd-pillar was a stereotyped pulm; etymologically it belongs, as I shall show elsewhere, with Assyr. coulds, 'sign-post.' It may be added that Osiris is the masculine counterpart to Asirat, as both Ember and myself have concluded for different reasons; the old West-Semilie god Asir, a god of fertility with hunar associations, seems to be identical with Osiris (for 'Asiroq, Asir). For Osiris and the moon of, JAOS 39, 73, n. 15.

In view of the close relation of Gilgames to the gods Gibil, Samaš, and Tammuz, I would explain the name *Gis-gibil-gan-mes (see above) as meaning primarily 'torch-fecundating hero' (i. e., the hero who fecundates with the torch of fertility).21 According to a vocabulary cited SGI 68, gis-gibil = iccu kabbu and oilgibil = iccu irru, both meaning 'fire-stick,' or 'fire-brand.' In the above-quoted hymn, Gilgames is called rabbum ša nišš, 'the torch (which illumines) the people.' Similarly we read KTRI 1, No. 32, oliv. 33; Samas diparka kátim mátáti = 'Samas, thy torch overwhelms the lands. The metaphoric allusion to the sun as a lamp is familiar; cf. Sûra 25, 62, where the sun is called sirāg, and note that Gibil was symbolized by a lamp. This explanation of gis gibil is much more likely than the one advanced 861 87; at the same time it is perfectly possible that the name Gilgames was later thought to mean 'ancestral hero,' or the like. My translation of gan as 'fecundity' is strongly favored by the names Sagan and Sumugan (see below). Our name falls in the same category as Dumu-zi-abzu (Tammuz), 'the loyal child of the subterranean lake' representing vegetation as perennial, never-failing, a happy state which the auspicious name of the god was fancied to aid in producing.23 Gilgames was worshiped as patron of the growing forces of nature, felt to emanate from the warm rays of the sun. Hence he is a vegetation god, and, like the plants over which he presides, his quest of eternal life is doomed to failure. Thru his association with the sprouting and vigorous, instead of with the fading and dying, with the virile male rather than with the ewe and lamb, he is placed in conscious opposition to Tammuz, the darling of women, who comes to grief thru the wiles of Istar.

[&]quot;Contrast the formation of the name with others in the same royal list:

Mrs anal-pada, 'Hero chosen by heaven;' Mes king-numa, 'Hero loved by the
prince' (Ana, god of heaven); Meskingoler, perhaps 'Hero sent by the lord'
(kinga = kin-gé-o; Jer older form of ner). Even in name these are lay
figures.

[&]quot;Rend rabbu, frum rbb, 'shoot arrow, flash,' instead of roppu, as in Delitusch, Lescutücke', p. 178a; cf. nablu, 'flame,' from abl, 'shoot arrow,' etc. I shall discuss the word elsewhere.

as Indo-Iranian genius of foundity (cf. Gray, ARW 3. 18 ff.). In the arid lands of Central Asia the subterranean water supply was all-important, which depends on it was most appropriately termed (child of the nater.)

It is also theoretically possible that the name Gilgames means 'Torch of the (god) "Hero of fecundity," a theophorous formation containing the divine name Gan-mes. It is noteworthy that a god Games seems to have been known, to judge from the city-name Kargamis, Karkemis (the shift in sibilants is regular in northern Mesopotamia), 'quay of Games,' Virtually all the names of river-ports beginning with kar (Assyr. kāru), 'quay,' have a divine name as second element; thus, to illustrate without attempting to exhaust the list, we find in the Kossean period Kar-Adad, Kar-Bānīti, Kar-Bau, Kar-Bēl-mētāti, Kar-Damu, Kar-Duniai," Kar-Nābū, Kar-Nīnlīl, Kar-Nīnurta, Kar-Nusku, Kar-Sāmaš. For various reasons, which I will not give here, I am inclined to see in Games." the precursor of the great Euphratean god Dagān."

The most sympathetic feature of the Gilgames-spic is the enduring intimacy between the king of Erech and his companion, the erstwhile wild-man Engidu. So harmonious is their friendship that the latter almost seems a mere shadow, designed solely

[&]quot;Gan-mes would be a form like akkin-mes, 'senator' (puriumu). The word gun, 'fertility' ($= d\ell$), is found especially in ama-gan (see below), and in sa-gan, Summ-gan, and Gan, names of the god of fertility.

There can be little doubt that Strock's explanation of Kordusias is better than Hüsing's (see ZA 21, 255 ff., and contrast OLZ 11, 160, n. 1). KarDunias may have been originally the Kossean name of a city in north-eastern Babylouin, on the frontier.

[&]quot;It is not impossible that our Games, later pronounced "Gayis, is the Gi of Brys (Assyr. Mar (Rus) in the Zakir inscription. The older form may survive in the Moshite Kammés (Assyr. Kammus), for "Kammés, like Saryén for Sarkés, etc.—it was long ago suggested that Karkemis meant fortress of Chemosh!—which would then belong to the Amerite period of contact with Mesopotamia, like Damu and Lahmu (Schröder, OLZ 18. 291 f., 294 f.), Ripara and Dagda, while Gos would be a much later, Aramaoan tonn, like Tilk for Russer, Ruyer, Nikkal for Ningal, Nat for Nussu, etc.

[&]quot;Dagin, like Adad, with whom he alternates, was originally a weathergod; his name is connected with the root dg, 'be cloudy, rainy' (Ar. dagga, dágd, dágana). From the nature of things most gods of productivity are also regents of the weather, and conversely. The ichthyoid development of Dagin in Palestine is due to popular etymology connecting the name with dag, 'fish,' as natural for a maritime people. Helb. dágán, 'grain,' is probably on a par with Lat. Geres, Amyr. Nisoba; of the precisely similar use of Pales, Summgan, and Helb. 'alturôt hegyén. Sanchuniathon's explanation of the name Δαγων from dagan, ἐνκόδ είρε σίνον, is another artificial etymology, impossible from the Assyrian standpoint.

to act as the hero's mentor, a reflection of his buoyant ideal of life and dismal picture of death. The parallelism is so close that the complementary element found, for example in the story of David and Jonathan, or in that of Etana and the eagle, where one supplies the lacks of the other, is wanting. Gressmann has happily directed attention to the contrast between Gilgames, the exponent of civilization, and Engidu, the child of nature, who develops successively thru the stages of love for animals, for woman, and for a friend (UG 92 ff.). The discovery of the Babylonian text of the second tablet has confirmed Gressmann's view; after the vivid description of Engida's initiation into the benefits and snares of civilization, and his grapple with Gilgames to free the latter from the allurements of Ishara, there can be no doubt that the thought of the gifted poet has been correctly divined. Here, however, as in the story of Joseph, we must not rate the inventive genius of ancient rhapsodists too highly, tho they were sometimes able to construct surpassingly beautiful edifices when the material lay at hand. Engidu is not, as might be fancied from the standpoint of literary analysis alone, an artificial creation of the poet; he is a figure of independent origin, related in character to Gilgames, and attracted to him under the influence of the motive of the Dioscuri; Engidu corresponds to Castor, while his companion, who remains inconsolable after the death of his 'younger brother', is Polydences.20

The fundamental identity of Engidu with Gira-Sakan-Sumuquan is now generally recognized (cf. Jensen, Kosmologic, p. 480 f.). Their resemblance is indicated in the epic by the phrase Inbuiti labis kimu "GIR (1, Col. 2, 38), 'he is dressed in a garment like Sumuqan,' which is naturally a cuphemism for 'naked.' Both Sumuqan and Engidu are patrons and protectors of the bul ceri, especially of the gazelle; after death the latter descends to Hades to live with the former, who, being a god of fertility, must die.

It is impossible to reach a definite conclusion in regard to the

The most popular conception of the heavenly twice exhibits them as the sun and moon, so it is by no means improbable that tillgames and Engidu in this cale represent the sun and moon, respectively, as suggested by Lutz. It is, at all events, clear from the present investigation that all Gilgames' astral affinities appear to be with the sun, while part, at least, of English's are with the moon.

oldest name of our deity, as a result of the welter of names and the confusion of ideograms which greet us. Thureau-Dangin (Lettres et contrats, p. 60; RA 11, 103) thinks that the most ancient reading is Gir, but the reading Ug is also possible. CT 12, 31, the god's name is written with the character ANSU; Sa IV, 11 gives the value unse to GIR, a confusion due to the close resemblance in form between the signs. As the original form of GIR, a lion's head (Barton, No. 400), shows, our god was primarily leonine (ug=labbu, néšu, úmu, 'lion'; úmu, núru, Samaš, 'light, sun'); from Sum. gir is derived girra, 'lion,' properly 'the mighty one,' like Ar. 'ásad. The lion is, of course, a typically solar animal (see below). The vocabularies give for "GIR the pronunciations Sakan (UT 12, 31, 38177.4), Sakkan (CT 29, 46, 9), and Sumugan (CT 24, 32, 112), Sumugga (CT 29, 46, 8), a reading which was perhaps the most common, as it appears written phonetically Su-mu-un-gu-an (SIT, No. 13, rev. 12). Sumugan (Akkadian Sumuqan) is probably equivalent to later Sumerian gan-sum-mu, 'giver of fecundity'; Sagan (later Sakan, Sakkan, like Makkan for Magan) is an abbreviation of Amašagan-gub (CT 29, 46, 12), written Ama-GAN+\$A-gub in a cylinder published by Thureau-Dangin (RA 11, 103 f.), a name which means 'He who assists mothers in child-birth' (ama-gan = ummu álittu; see above). CT 29. 46 gives as ideographie equivalents of GIR, GIR-GAZI AM, GAN, and MAS, all referring to his functions as patron of animal productivity.

The name Engidu (CT 18. 30. 10) is written in the Assyrian recension of $GE \stackrel{d}{=} Ea-ki-du$, in the southern text $\stackrel{d}{=} Ea-ki-du$ (g); we also find the writing with a parasitic nasal $\stackrel{d}{=} Ea-ki-du$ (SLT 178, n. 2). Langdon's explanation as bellu is excitate $u_iahhadu$ (du = fahhadu), 'Lord who fructifies the earth,' may be correct. In view, however, of KI-DC = KI-GAL, both pronounced sur (SGI 252) = beruiu, 'depths' (mat beruiu = qibiru, 'grave' = aralu; note that Heb. beruiu and $ext{sahu} = excitation = excitat$

[&]quot;See KR 6. 1, 571 f., and KAT 568, n. 6. See means 'depth, source' (usurraku is 'ground-water, source-water' contrast SGI 251), 'galch' (hares, SGI 252), and perhaps 'submerge' (sur = ZAK = fordra [AJSL 34, 244, 91], otherwise gigri, loc. cit.).

²¹ JA05 40

figures; the latter is mentioned after Ea-bel-hasisi, 'Ea the lord of wisdom," in the Mattinaza treaty." Most interesting is the divine name Sumugan-sigga-bar, 'Sumuqan the wild-goat,' since it virtually identifies our deity with Ea. 11 In an incantation over the holy water (ASKT 77, No. 9, 6) we read: a sigga-bar-ra-mi?3 -cid-de-es-dug- [ga] = 'water' which by the wild goat (Eu; ef. next line: ka-kug dEn-ki-gè na-ri-ga-am, the holy mouth of Enki is nure') is continually made soft (Akkadian very free, mû so ina apsi kêmiš kunnů).' Engidu's own character as donor of fertilizing water to vegetation is clear from SLT, No. 13, rev. 13: [Enkil-im-du ab-si-im-ma e-pa-ri gi-ir-za-al [se-gu]-nu ma-a = 'Engidu, who makes abundant (sal = šutabrū, 'be sated with') the irrigating ditches and canals for the herbage, who causes the sesame (†) to grow.' He also appears as a satyr, or vegetation spirit GE I, Col. 2, 36 f.; ubbuš piritu kima sinništi; [pi] tiq pirtiku uhtannaba kima Nisabu = 'he is decked with hair like a woman: the growth (lit, formation) of his hair is as luxuriant as (standing) grain."

⁼ OLZ 13, 296.

[&]quot;Ea is given the name dDdr, the divine wild-goat (ibex), IVE 25, 40a and dDdr-abru, 'ibex of the nether sea,' IIR 55, 27c, whence in the list of divine barks, K 4378, his ship is called the simul-ddr-abru. The ddr-abru appears in art as a goat-fish, sufar-mdd (cf. JAOS 39, 71, p. 12.)

Delitzsch (SGi 146) prefers to read gone (dug-gu), but the parallel form gri-dug-gu does not make this necessary. The reading wi is proved by the gloss we to SAL in SAL-rid-dug in a text published by Thereau-Dangiu in RA 11.144.14. Some of the passages where our word occups will not nimit Delitzsch's rendering. Assyr. kussa (cf. KB 6. 1.435), from kund, means properly 'fix, appoint, assign, apply' (the root ku, whence ldan and sakans, means 'set, establish'), hence 'apply a name' in Ar. and Helt. 'count' in Fig. (dug), and in Assyr. 'make fitting, suitable, adorn, care fur' (like 712. Job 32, 21; this illustrates the connection between Ar. 'dhaba, 'prepare,' and Helt. ITM. 'love'). Eth. mekenjdt, 'cause, opportunity, pretext,' seems to afford a parallel to Lat. opportunities, properly 'fitness.'

Barton's explanation of qu as 'sesame' (BA 9. 2. 252) seems plansible; the ideogram means 'oil of heaven,' corresponding to Sem. Jamaikamus ('son-plant,' Hampt). Sum, guns may even stand for 'son-ideogram' (the oldest form of the word, reflected by the ideogram SEGIS-NI)> 'sound (like souris, 'vine,' for mattin > positin)> 'munu (by vocalie harmony) > guns. An increasing number of parallels, which I am collecting, shows that such a relation between EME-EU and EME-SAL, or litanic (Hampt) forms to quite regular.

Like Tammuz, the ${}^{4}Sib$ (= $r\hat{e}^{2}\hat{u}$), 44 Sumuqan is a shepherd, guardian of all animal life, wild as well as tame. KTRI, No. 19. oby, 2 f., Sumugan is called nagidu ellum massû sa Ani sa îna pût karši udšū šibirra = 'holy shepherd, leading goat of Ann, who carries the shepherd's staff before the flock (†).' In 13 we hear of the bul Sumugan, his cattle, and in 15 his name is followed by nam(m)astê sa çî[rim], 'the beasts of the plain.' The text is a hymn to Samas; in the first line we must read "Sumuqua ma (1)r[u] narâmka, 'S, the son whom thou lovest'; Sumuqan was the son of the sun. Similarly, SLT, No. 13, rev. 13, we find Su-mu-un-ga-anzi-gal si-in-ba-ar ú-si-im-dib-a = 'S, who oversees living creatures and provides them with herbage." Accordingly, when wild animals were needed for sacrificial purposes. Sumugan had first to be appeased, that his dire wrath over the slaughter of his creatures might be averted. In the interesting 'scape-goat' incantation (ASKT, No. 12),28 Enki, after giving Marduk his commission, instructs him: "Sumugan dumu Babbar sib-nig-nam-ma-gè maš-dá Edin-na gu-mu-ra-ab-tumma: "Nin-ildu (IGI-LAMGA-GID) lamga-gal-an-na-gè illurus" sú-kug-dim-ma-na gu-mu-ra-ab-tum-ma; mas-dá Edin-na du-a igi-Babbur-id u-me-ni-gub. lugal-e - - - mai-da igi-Babbar-id ge-en-sig-ga (rev. 10 ff.) = 'Let Sumugan, sun of Samas, shepherd of everything, bring a gazelle of the desert; let Ninildu, the great artificer of heaven, bring a bow made by his pure hands; place the gazelle toward the sun. Let the king - - - shoot the gazelle, (facing) toward the sun.' When the gazelle is shot, the sin and sickness of the king leave him and enter the beast. Zimmern, Ritualtafeln, No. 100, 25, a wild-sheep, [2a] ibbanû ina supuri elli ina tarbaçi la Gira (written Gir-ra) = 'which was created in the pure enclosure, in the fold of Gira' (i. e., in the wilderness), is presented for sacrifice.

Summan is in a special sense the god of animal husbandry, the fecundity of cattle, and even their fructification being ascribed to

[&]quot;Cf. Zimmern, Tamûs (Abh. Sáchs. Ges. Wiss., Vol. 27), p. 8.

[&]quot;While it must be admitted that the mainful-dub-ba was killed before the termination of the ceremony, the scape-goat was turned loose to be devoured by wild-beasts, which amounts to the same thing, so Prince and Langdon are justified in employing the term. For the debate between Prince and Fossey see J.4, 1903, 133 cf.

[&]quot; For rending see Langdon, RA 12, 74, 17, and 79, m. 7.

his agency. Thus we read (ibid. 35 ff.); and sikunasi - - puhâtta - - - ša aztu lû išhîtu eliša, rihût Sumugan lâ imquta ana tibbiša = 'I bring you a ewe-lamb, upon which a wild-sheep has not yet leaped, into which the sperm of Sumuqan has not yet fallen.' The most important passage is Maqlu, 7, 23-30, hitherto misunderstood :- šiptu: arāhīka rāmānī arāhīka pagrī kima Sumuqan irhū būlšu lahru immerša cabītu armaša atānu mūrša, nartabu erciti" irhū erciti" imhuru zērša, addī šipta unu ramani'a: lirhi ramanima liseçi lumun, u kispi sa zumrî'a lissuhû ilânî rabûtî = Incantation: I impregnate thee, myself; I impregnate thee, my body, just as Sumuqan impregnates his enttle, and the ewe (conceives) her lamb, the gazelle her fawn, the she ass her colt, (just as) the noria" impregnates the earth, and the earth conceives her seed. I apply the ineantation to myself; may it impregnate me and remove the evil; may the great gods extirpate the enchantment from my body.' In the same way we have, PSBA 23, 121, rev. 11, kima šamů irhů irciti im'idu šammu = 'just as heaven impregnates earth (with rain) and herbage increases.' The passage has been misunderstood also by Langdon, Tammuz and Ishtar, p. 93, n. 8; rahū has just as concrete a meaning here as GE I, Col. 4, 21,

As patron of animal husbandry Sumuqan becomes the principle of virility. Hence his association with the remarkable rite of masturbation, by the ceremonial practise of which evil was expelled. We need not suppose that in Assyrian times the rite was more than symbolical; originally, however, it must have been actually performed. In Egypt one of the most popular myths represented the creator, Atum, as creating the gods in this way (cf. Apophis-book, 26, 24 f.; Pyramid 1249: 'Atum became an onanist [ius'u] while he was in Heliopolis. He put his phallus in his fist, in order to satisfy his lust with it [udanf hanf in hff, irf

" To use current terminalogy, he is the man residing in the male.

The stapis = sarfabu was probably a great undershot water wheel, Ar. ad 'are; Heb 'ofan, 'wheel' may be derived from spinne (cf. Maynard, AJSL 34, 29) < apin (in this connection I would like to point out another Hebraw word derived from Samerlan [cf. AJSL 34, 209]; morig, 'threshing sledge,' is Sam, marrag = sarpara, with the same serie, as is certain from the ideogram (cf. SGl 175), which means 'sledge to thresh grain,' or tribula). The ancient Babylenians may also have employed the cerd (Meissner, Bd 5, 1, 194 f.).

ndm mt imf |. The two twins, Su and Tefene, were born').12 The Aegaean peoples doubtless possessed similar ideas about the origin of life, preserved in a modified form in the hermaphrodite god of fecundity, Phanes, who, according to Suidas, was portraved alloior true week rive wryhe, 'penem habens iuxta nates.'40 There is no direct trace of an onanistic theory of creation in Babylomin; the magical ceremony in Maglil is evidently based on a fertility charm, not dissimilar to the many cases gathered by Frazer, Schröder, and others, where a sexual union of some kind is exeented or symbolized in order to induce fertility by homeopathic magic. We may safely trace our peculiar brand of symbolic magic to pasteral customs; both in Babylonia and in Greece the practise of onenism is connected with the satyr-shepherds Sumugan and Pan.41 A curious actiological explanation of the custom is given by Dion Chrysostom (Roscher, III, 1397); Days & sallow τήν συνουσίαν ταύτην εύρημα είναι του Πανός, ότε τής Πχούς έρασθείς αύκ Binero LaBer * * rore of ros Equis (the ithyphallic, like Eg. Miu) Sedefau abrov * * * ar lecirou be rous romous xogatha putiorar. The story is perhaps late; the idea that Pan's ralarange consequent on the escape of the clusive nymph was cured in this way is sufficiently grotesque to be ancient, but hardly naïve enough, Onanism was, of course, common among shepherds, a virile race, often deprived of female companionship, and forced to while away tedious siestas with the flocks, a necessity which gave rise to

[&]quot;A similar conception is reflected in Pyr. 701: so'd Tti - - r'gbi to m'iti, r buit issit hit' = 'Make Teti more flourishing (greener) than the flood of Osiris that is upon his lap (the Nile), more than the date which is in his first' (the date, like the flg, has phallic significance). According to this extraordinary conception, the Nile arises than the continuous masturbation of Osiris; later the gromaces of the symbolism was softened by assaking merely of the efficie (rds) of the god's body, which does not, of course, rafer to the lebor of the decomposing corpse, but to the formalizing seed. The Egyptians also funcied that the Nile was the milk of Isis (Pyr. 707, etc.). The Sumerians fancied that the sili in the civers was caused by Innina's washing her hair in the sources (see especially ASET, No. 21), and that the rivers were the mensurual flow from the lap of the earth-goddess (JAOS 39, 70).

[&]quot;In art, at least, Hermaphrodite is less grotesque, resembling rather Eg. H'pl, the Nile-god.

[&]quot;Pan stands for "Hear, connected with paster and Poles; Sumages and Nisabs are employed for 'entitie,' and 'grain,' precisely like Poles and Geres. Both Engidu and Pan are associated with springs and fountains, where their 'heart became merry, in the companionship of the beasts.'

bestiality as well (see below), as illustrated by an amusing story in Aelian, De nat. anim., 6, 42.

The relation of Sumugan to the reproduction of animals is drastically represented in archaic seal-cylinders (cf. Ward, Seal Culinders, No. 197, etc., and especially the beautiful seal in De la Fuye, Documents, 1, plate 9), where a naked god with a long beard and other marks of virility (the heroic type) grasps a gazelle by the horns and tail in such a way that the sexual parts come into contact.43 The reason for the frequency of this motive on the early evhinders is not hard to find. Many, if not most of the seals in a pastoral country like early Babylonia belonged to men who had an active interest in the prosperity of the flocks and herds. Our scene belongs primarily to the category of sympathetic magic; by depicting the lord of increase in his fecundating capacity the flock would become more prolific. The origin of many similar representations on the monuments must be explained on this principle. One of the clearest cases is the scene showing two genii of fertility (Heb. Kerûbîm) shaking the male inflorescence over the blossoms of the female date-palm, with the winged solar disk above to bestow early maturity of fruit (cf. Von Luschau, Die iomische Saule, pp. 25 ff.)41 The Sumuqua motive was as completely misunderstood in the process of mechanical imitation

da bi-dy bar-bar-ri-de id-ki-dg un-f-ri-dd (for u-ri-ri = u-ku-kul) id bi-ag an to im-dù-dim dab in (1) 17 balag a-gi-dim ge-ca-ca =

When the beloved (of the filtt) was stretched (in sleep), When the beloved lay sleeping (1),

Upon the beloved like a storm from above coming down (!), [] the man like a flood verily she overwhelmed."

[&]quot;In this connection may be mentioned two cylinders published by Toscaune, RA 7, 61 ff., so far unexplained. One represents a female aquatting over a prestrate man, while another man seizes her wrist with his right hand, drawing a dagger with his left. The second shows a similar nude figure havering in the air (so; contrast Toscanne) before a man, who bolds a lance to ward her off. These prestures are ghouls, the Babylonian ordet file; the seals, which belonged to harem officials, may have had apotropacie purpose. A communitary is provided by Langdon, Littergies, No. 4, 14 ff.:

A similar motive is found on a cylinder in the collection of Dr. J. B. Nice, representing a figure stretching out his hands, from which sprouts grow, over a flock, as if in bleming.

as the palm-tree motive." The phallism disappears; the gazelle even becomes bearded, and is transformed into a bull-man wrest-ling with the hero (contamination with the beast-combat motive). In some of the cylinders the latter seems to be protecting the gazelle from a lion which is in the set of springing upon her.

The hero in this scene is unquestionably Sumuqua-Engidu, whose association with the gazelle is familiar from the epic as well as from the passages cited above. I Jastrow pointed out long ago (AJSL 15, 201) that Engidu, like Adam, was supposed to have had intercourse with the beasts before knowing woman. GE 2 describes very vividly how Engidu lived with the gazelles, protecting them from the hunter, accompanying them to the watering place, and drinking milk from their tests (GE, Langdon, Col. 3, 1-2). When he returned after his adventure with the courtesan to consort with the gazelles, they failed to recognize him, as his wild odor had been corrupted by the seven days' linison with the emissary of civilization. So fixed was his semibestial character that he apparently follows the mes pecudum even with the samhat (Jensen, KB 6, 1, 428). Of course, the above described representation is not purely symbolical in character; the idea doubtless came from current practises. The gazelle, so beautiful and graceful, and so easily tamed, was presumably employed in the ancient Orient for the same purpose as the goat in Mediterranean countries, and the llama or alpaca in Peru. An anatomical reason for the superiority of the gazelle in this respect is stated in the Talmudie tractate 'Erûbim, fol. 54 b, commenting on the significant expression אילה אהנים. Prov. 5, 19, in the usual fashion: מה אילה רחמה צר וחכיבה על כועלה כל שעה ושעה כשעה ראשונה אף דברי תורה חביבין על לומדיהן כל שעה ושעה כשעה ראשונה. The gazelle was associated with the cult of the goddess of fecundity among the Western Semites and in Arabia; some references to the older literature are given by Wood, JBL 35, 242 f. At Mekka small golden images of the gazelle were worshiped.

[&]quot;As a sequel to the series of illustrations given by Von Luschan, note a relief from the Parthian period, figured is Andrae, Hatra, II, 149, forming a sort of transition to the familiar beraldic group of the lion and unicorn, fighting for the crown."

[&]quot;Sign 11, 59, 'There is not a beast whose forelock (nicria) he does not grasp,' might almost have referred to Sumuqua, so similar is the posture.

The West-Semitic god Rešep was a gazelle-god; a gazelle is carved on the forehead of his statuettes (Müller, Egyptological Researches, Vol. 1, p. 33). Of special importance is the fact that the gazelle was sacred to the ithyphallic Min of Koptos, also an onanist, and presumably equally devoted to his favorites, who enjoyed the honors of mummification. The gazelles were later, in the interests of decency (1), and in accordance with ideas elsewhere, transferred to Isis (Aclian, op. cit. 10, 23): σίβουσι δέ δρα οἱ αὐτοὲ Κοπτίτω καὶ θηλείως δορκάδως καὶ ἰκθισύσαν αὐτάς, τοὺς δέ δρασιε (naturally!) καταθέσνους. ἄθτρρα δὲ είνω τὰς θηλείως τῆς Ἰσιδός φασιε.

It may further be shown that our divinity was regarded in one important myth as the son of the sun-god by a gazelle. First, however, we must return to the lion-god, tig or Gira,48 who represents the solar heat both in its destructive and in its feaundating aspects. Hence the god of pestilence, the lion (KB 6, 1, 60.3) Irra or Nergal, is associated with Gir-ra (CT 25, 50, 15), and Ninurta is compared (Radau, BE 29, No. 4, 1) to the lion-god who prowls in the night looking for prey ("Gir-ra-dim ge-a du-du). The lion-god is found elsewhere, especially in Asia Minor, where the Anatolian Heraeles (Sandon, etc.) is represented standing on a lion (see Frazer, Adonis, Attis, and Osiris, pp. 127, 139, 184). In Egypt the ferocious goddess of war and destroyer of mankind, Shmt, is lion-headed. The intimate relation between Gira and Nergal (Lugalgira) appears from the fact that both are gazelles as well as lions; Nergal is called the maida in the vocabularies CT 11, 40, K 4146, 22-23, and CT 12, 16b. 38-39. As a gazelle-god he is patron of productivity; his specialized aspect of lord of the underworld was developed after he had been admitted to the greater puntheon of Babylonia.

We should certainly expect to find some reflection of so popular a deity and hero as Sumuqan-Engidu in the list of post-filluvian kings, along with Tammuz, Lugalbanda, and Gilgames. Nor are we deceived; one can hardly doubt that Gira is the successor of Qalūmu*, 'young ram,' and Zuqāqip, 'scorpion,' and the predecessor of Etana, whose name is variously written Ar-uu, Ar-uu-u, and Ar-bu-um. The word was also used commonly as a per-

[&]quot;Engide is called some in cirk, 'panther of the desert' (GF 10, 46). Some og or gir means to have denoted both 'lion' and 'panther,'

sonal name; see Chiera, Personal Names, Part I, p. 64, No. 275; Ar-yu-um, Ar-bu[-um], Ar-mu-e-um (No. 276 is the corresponding fem., Ar-ui-tum, Ar-mi-tum). We can identify our name without hesitation with Heb. 'arie, 'lion,' Eth. arue, 'beast,' Ar. arud, 'ibex'; " arud stands for "aruaju, a form like arnabu, 'hare' (Ar. 'arnab), which also is a common proper name (cf. Chiera, No. 277, Arnablum). Now, Aruûm is called the son of a gazelle in HGT, Nos. 2 and 5. It is true that in No. 3 we have maken-do = muškėnu, for maš-dá = cabitu, but this is evidently a scribal error." The existence of a predecessor of Gilgames named 'Lion' appears further from GE 6. 51-52; rationalism has transformed the lion-god into an animal loved by Istar, more Pasiphass. Fecundizing demigods were often regarded as born of animal mothers; cf. JBL 37, 117. The father of Arun was, of course, Samas, also the parent of the related Meskingaser and Lingalbanda, as well as of the bull-god "GUD mar "Sama" (Dennefeld, Geburtsomina, p. 37, 19). In this connection it may be noted that these three Semitic animal names all belong to the dynasty of Kis, while the rulers of the following kingdom of Eanns are all Sumerian. This is probably due to the fact that the Sumerian legends current in northern Babylonia, which became predominantly Semitic long before the south, were early Semitized.

A most curious reflection of the cycle of Sumuqua-Engidu is found in the popular Indian story of 'Gazelle-horn' (Rsyn-śrüga)," best treated by Lüders (Nach. Gött. Gez. Wiss., Philhist. Klasse, 1897, pp. 87 ff.) and Von Schröder (Mysterium und Mimus, pp. 292-303). There are two principal recensions, Sanskrit and Päli, both based upon a common prototype, now lost, as Lüders has shown. Schröder has adopted the dramatic theory of Hertel, and pointed out further that the representation was a mimetic fertility charm. According to the first recension,

of Cf. CT 4, 50, and 6, 42a, where the name also occurs.

[&]quot;For the development 'then,' cf. Eg. m'hd, 'oryx antelope,' lit. 'white flon.'

[&]quot;There is much confusion between maido, 'gazelle,' and maiendo = maiskins; cf. CT 11, 40, K 4146, 20-26, and CT 12, 16, 41-42.

[&]quot;Cr. also Jensen, ZDMG 67, 528, who, as often, goes altogether too far in the exuterance of discovery.

Rayaśrnga is the son of a gazelle, made pregnant by drinking from water in which a holy man has bathed. He grows up to be a hermit (wild man) in the forest, associating with animals and ignorant of woman. When a drought afflicts the land, the king is informed by the Brahmans that it cannot be checked until the hermit is brought to the court. After a courtesan has seduced him from his ascetic life, rain falls. In the Buddhist Jatuka, Sakra (Indra) sends a three years' famine upon the land, and refuses to remove the ban until the obnoxious hermit is seduced by the king's daughter. The princess succeeds, by a familiar ruse, and Sakra is pacified. The hermit relates the experience to his father, who admonishes him, and draws him back to his ascetic career; the last is naturally a Buddhistic modification, quite foreign to the original tale. The ascetic character of 'Gazelle-horn' is on a par with the Sicilian Santa Venera (Venus), and cannot be regarded seriously. His wild character is original, as also, evidently, his intimate association with gazelles; on a relief of Amaravati (Lüders, p. 133) he is portrayed as a man with long braided hair, a skin over his shoulder and a girdle about his hips, in the company of three gazelles.

In the Gilgames-epic Engidu is molded by Aruru, the creatress of man; he lives in the wilderness, consorting with the gazelles, and protecting them against the hunter. The latter protests to Gilgames, who sends a courtesan to seduce the wild man, a commission which is duly executed. As seduction of the male is a very common motive in the cult-legends of Oriental gods of fertility (see JBL 87, 123 f.), we may safely assume that the theme was once the subject of mimetic representation in Babylouin. The form of the story which has been incorporated into GE is much modified to suit the new situation. Moreover, it is here associated with the motive of the creation of the first man, describing his intercourse with animals, his seduction, and the fall from primitive innocence which ensued (Jastrow, loc. cit.). The myth current among the worshipers of Sumuqan must have been somewhat different. In the first place, the hero is a child of the sun by a gazelle. Being a demi-god, he is not content with breaking the snares of the hunter, and filling up his pits; he sends a famine against the land. This is a motive familiar elsewhere, as in the legends of Brauron and Munichia, whose inhabitants kill a she-bear and are punished by Artemis with famine

and pestilence. Similarly, according to a legend preserved in the Qur'ān, God sent a supernatural camel to test the Thamudites (7, 71 ff.; 11, 67 ff.; 26, 155 ff.; 54, 27 ff.), imposing the condition that they must share their fountain with the nagatu 'llāhi alternate days. Disregarding warnings, they houghed the camel, and were destroyed by a cataclysm. Another parallel is found in Persia, if we accept Carnoy's doubtful explantion of the punishment of Mašya and Mašyôi (JAOS 36, 315).

We may reconstruct the myth of Sumuqan very plansibly, after making the necessary alterations in the form found in GE. The king sends a courtesan to seduce the god or hero of fertility: with sexual union the charm is broken, and rain returns to the land. Whether this was the exact form of the myth or not is, of course, doubtful; it is, however, evident that all the elements are here from which precisely such a tale as the Rsyaśrnga-story may be derived in the most natural way. Jensen is certainly wrong in seeing here a direct loan from GE, as the gazelle-mother does not occur in the latter. But it is very probable that our story goes back eventually to a Mesopotamian origin; in no other ease that I have seen is the likelihood so great. Indologists who regard all Hindu fiction as autochthonous would do well to read Gaston Paris' posthumous monograph on the origin and diffusion of the 'Treasury of Rhampsinitus' (RHR 55, 151 ff., 267 ff.). No doubt a few stories retold in other countries originated in the prolific climate of Babylonia.

The conceptions of Sumuqan hitherto considered exhibit him as a lion, like Nergal, a wild-goat, like Ea, a gazelle, like Nergal, Rešep, and Min. Besides these three animal incarnations, we have a fourth, the ass, as appears from the vocabulary CT 12.31, 38177, 4-5, where ANSU has the pronunciation Sakan (see above). That this datum is not due to graphic corruption with GIR is perfectly evident from the context, which is devoted to ass-names. Moreover, the ANSU appears in early proper names.

Ass-worship did not, so far as we know now, attain much importance in any Mediterranean country except Anatolia, where we find the Phrygian ass-divinity Silenus, reflected in the legendary Midas, whose person, despite its mythical robe, is a reminiscence of a historical dynasty of Phrygian kings (Mita of Muške). Another ass-god was Priapus, whose cult centered in

Lydia and Mysia (Lampsacus), to whom the ass was sacrificed, and who in some myths was the son of an ass (Roscher, III, 2970). In Egypt, from the Hyksos period on, Set (Sts. Sth) of Avaris was worshiped as lord of Asia under the form of an ass(FIO,) which led to the Egypto-Hellenistic libels regarding the worship of Jaho as an ass in Jerusalem. The beast of Set was originally perhaps an ant-bear (Schweinfurth), at all events not an ass, so we may ascribe the identification of the no longer recognized figure with the ass to Hyksos (i. e. Anatolian) influence.21 The association of the ass with fecundity might be illustrated by a mass of evidence, mythological, pornographic, and philological. The quasi-divine nature of the ass appears from Juvenal's statement (6, 334) that prominent Roman matrons consorted with the animal at the orgies of the 'Bona Dea.' That bestiality of this sort was practised elsewhere is clear from Apuleius, Met., 10, 22, and Lucian's America of bres, which draws freely from Syro-Anatolian tales and customs.

As might be expected, the fecundizing sun was symbolized as an ass, and 2 was, accordingly, one of the solar names in the Egyptian litany (PSBA 15, 225). Solar eclipses were fancied to be caused by a huge serpent (hig), which swallowed the ass of heaven, a catastrophe depicted most vividly in the vignettes accompanying the text of the Book of the Dead (ibid. pl. 13, facing p. 219).62

We have also direct evidence that the ass-god šakan was identified with the moon in the name $^4EN \cdot ZU \cdot ^4ANSU = \text{Sin-Sakan}$, Sakan is the moon. The only other clear lunar ass with

Cf. also Müller, OLZ 16. 433-6. Schiffer's Marsyas theory (cf. OLZ 16. 202) is untenable; while an assegod may well have been worshiped in Damasens, the Assyrian name Su imérésia. (City) of asses, refers to the extensive raisvan trade of the latter (Rampt, ZDMG 69, 168-172). Another dis interest in the Zagros, is mentioned among the conquests of the Elamita king Sulpak-in-Sušinak (RT 33, 213, 14).

The Egyptians also believed in an observe ass-demon; of Möller, Sitz. Red., Akad., 1910, p. 545.

Pinches, PSBA 39, Pl. 10, rev. 37. The suggestion (ibid. p. 94) that 'Sakkan would seem to be a parallel to the Rebrew Shekimh, and comes from the same root' would probably be rejected by the author now. Even this is superior to the views expressed by Ball, PSBA 32, 64-72, where among other genus we find the idea that Schem ben Hamor in Sakun maring?

which I am acquainted is the Iranian three-legged Khara (i. e. 'ass,' mod. har), standing in the cosmic sea Vourukaša, related both to the three-fold moon (cf. Siecke, Hermes, pp. 67 ff.) and to the three-legged Priapus, whose phallic nature shows transparantly thru the metonymy. The motive was familiar to the Indo-Iranians, as appears from the three-legged Indian Kubera (cf.

Hopkins, JAOS 33, 56, n. 1).

Finally I will call attention to some curious parallels between Egyptian, Mesopotamian, and Indo-Iranian mythology, suggested by the equation Sin = Sakan. Blackman, in a valuable article, JEA 3, 235-249, has proved that one of the writings of the name of the moon-god Hasu, 'the wanderer,' represents him as the royal placenta, hi-nisut, husu, a conception paralleled among the Baganda. The real meaning of the idea has been eleared up by Van der Leeuw's happy suggestion (JEA 5, 64) that, since the Pharach was the incarnation of the sun-god Rehis astral placents, in which his k' was embodied, was the moon, often considered by the Egyptians as the k' of the sun. The moon's shape is such that it might easily be compared to a placental cake, or a womb, as was commonly done in Babylonia. In the great hymn to Sin (IVR 9), the moon is called (line 24): ama-gan-nigin na mulu hi-ma-al-la-da (so SGI 223) ki-dur-mag ne-in-ri 'Mother (Sem. rimu, 'womb') who bears all life, who together with living creatures dwells in an exalted habitation.' The idea that the moon is the womb whence all life springs is most natural; does not the roucida luna exhibit a monthly failing and dimming corresponding often exactly to the menstrual period! Hence, by a most natural development under the influence of the life-index motive, the moon becomes the index of human life,18 and especially of the permanence of the reigning dynasty; an eclipse foretokened disaster to the state. These conceptions may easily be illustrated from the inscriptions. CT 16, 21, 184 f, we have: lugal-r dumu-dingir-ra-na ud-sar Sinna-dim zi-kalam-ma śń-dű = 'The king, son of his god, who like the crescent moon holds the life of the land.' The principle that the mutations of the moon are an index to the health and prosperity of men could hardly be stated more clearly. The moon

[&]quot;See Thineritas, Ep. 4, 2-3, section appropriate thems, spinceder.

[&]quot;I hope to discuss this Babylonian conception elsewhere.

is the index of the dynasty in the text of Agum II, Col. 8, 3 ff.; aSin aNamar sams zer sarrati and amé raquit liddis = 'May Sin, divine luminary of heaven, renew the royal seed to distant days,' i. e., may the dynasty renew itself spontaneously like the moon (Vedic tananapat, 'self-created'), which is called (IVR. 9. 22) gi-rim ni-ba mu-un-dim-ma, 'fruit which thru itself is created.' To appreciate the intimate relationship between the Babylonian and the Egyptian conceptions it must be remembered that the placents and navel-string are among the mest primitive of life-indices; see Hartland, in Hastings' Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics, Vol. 8, p. 45 a.

A further striking parallel to these conceptions is found in Indo-Iranian mythology. The lumar genius Narasansa- Nairyosanha (Neryosang) is called 'the king-navel' (cf. Gray, ARW 3. 45-49), properly 'the royal navel-string' (the umbilical cord often takes the place of the placenta in folklore). After Hillebrandt's treatment of Narasansa (Vedische Mythologie, II, pp. 98 ff.), his lunar character is certain; in the Rg-veda, 3, 29, 11, he is called 'son of his own body, the heavenly embryo' (or 'womb,' garbho āsuro); his title gnāspati, 'lord of women,' reflects the widespread popular view that female life varies with the moon. The Bundahisn, Ch. 15, tells us that Neryosang received twothirds of Gayomart's semen for preservation; elsewhere we learn that the seed of the primeval bull was kept in the moon, whence, therefore, the race of animals sprang, just as the moon was the father of Apis in Egyptian mythology (cf. JAOS 39, 87, n. 42). I am not competent to decide whether Carnoy is justified in combining the motives of Gaya and the bull, thus deriving the seed of man from the moon (JAOS 36, 314). At all events the theory is good Indo-European, as is the association of the placenta with the moon; cf. 'Mondkalb,' referring to a false conception (Kalb connected with garbha, &Apric, 'womb'), but originally, perhaps, to the placenta.

In concluding this paper, I wish to repeat, with emphasis, the remarks made JAOS 39. 90, regarding the vital importance of combining the philological and comparative mythological

[&]quot;Note ideogram for Zirru (SGt 225), 'priest of Sin,' EN-NUNUZ-ZI, literally 'priest of the constant offspring (of heaven)'. Sum. numer means also 'egg'; the moon might easily be called 'egg of heaven.'

methods in the study of cuneiform religious literature. Surely it is no longer necessary to stress the unique significance of the latter for the solution of comparative religious problems.¹⁷

"In the year that has elapsed between the preparation of the paper and the correction of the proofs, much new material has become available some of which should be mentioned.

The Sumerians had a special word for "life-index," for so I would interpret trium-tile, lit. 'sign, index of life," remiered inadequately in Babylonian by takultu, 'sapport, ' and cipiu, 'pledge,' Sometimes the king is the irkim-tile of the god (especially Samas), and at times the god is the irkim-tile of the king, respectively as the soul of the god was thought to reside in the king, or the soul of the king in the god. For passages of, SGI 28 and Zimmera, Köntg Lipit-Liture Vergöttlichung, p. 25.

In a New-Babylonian text published by Thureau-Dangin, RA 16, 145, 8-9, Lugal-gir-ra is identified with Sin, Gilgames with Meslantinen and Nergal of the underworld. As pointed out above, Lugal-gira is identical with Gira-Sakan, so our association of Engidu-Sakan with the moon is confirmed. In the same way, as Thureau-Dangin observes (p. 149), Gilgames 'est alast nettement caractérisé comme dieu soluire.'

Schroeder, MFAG 21, 180 f., shows that the reading Lagalbanda is gratuitous, and that we must read Lugalmarda, or Lugalmarada, identified in his vocabulary with Ninurta. As late as the second century A. D. Ninmarada seems to have been worshiped under the name of Ninured by the Aramasan population of Hatra (OLZ 23, 37). Kraeling's suggestion En-marad, quoted by Prince in his article JAOS 40, 201-203, is nearly correct; Prince suggests that the name stands for Sum. ning-h'ms = nin-find, 'brilliant lumber.'

NOTES ON THE DIVYAVADANA

MAURICE BLOOMFIELD JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

1. On the practice of giving animals intoxicating drink.

THE SAINT Svägata is delegated by the Buddha to convert the murderons Naga (serpent) Asvatirthika. In this he succeeds so well as to compel thereby the admiration of the Brahman Ahitundika, who has previously fled from fear of that Naga to the city of Śrāvastī. This brings the Svagata story, Divyavadāna xiii, to p. 188, line 12. At that point the story goes on to say that King Prasenajit Kausala takes Ahitundika into his employ, with the words; sa (se. Ahitundika) rāfāā Prasenajītā Kāušalena hastimadhyasyopari viśvāsikah sthāpitah. Naturally the vocabulary to the Divyavadana marks the word hastimadhya with an interrogation mark. A later suggestion in the notes on p. 706, 'does this mean, "he was set over ten billions of elefants!"," does not invalidate that interrogation mark. Ten billiens-the Lexicous rather give ten thousand billions for madhya -is a pretty large order even for a Buddhist text. But it is necessary to fit this word madkya into the sequel of the story, to wit: Emend madhya to madya, 'intoxicating liquor.' The passage above means: 'He (namely, Ahitundika) was placed in charge of the elefants' liquor.' In the sequel Ahitundika, now liquor trustee, in order to show his appreciation of Svagata's saintly power, invites him to dinner in Sravasti. Svagata accepts the invitation, comes to Sravasti, and is entertained by Ahitundika with a full meal. At the close Ahitundika becomes anxious about Svägata's digestion (p. 190, 1, 3): aryena Svägatena pranita āhārah paribhukto no jarayisyati. He decides to give him water to promote the digestive processes; Svagata accepts it. Then on p. 190, line 7 the following statement is made: tena (sc. Ahitundikena) pänakam sajjikrtya hastimadid angulih prakripta. Read, on account of the non-existing combination pru + a + knip, instead of praksipta, prak ksipta: 'While preparing the drink Ahitundika's finger was thrust forth from the elefants' liquor.' Cf., on p. 82, I 21, the parallel expression, angulih patita. 'The implication is, that one of Ahitundika's

fingers, wet with the elefants' booze, got into the water about to be drunk by Svågata (Svågatena tat pänakam pitam). That the Arhat should do this is ascribed to carelessness; asaman-vährtyärhatäm jäänadarsanam na pravartate, 'When Saints are careless they lose the sight of knowledge.'

Svagata takes leave from his host with thanks, and walks in a street of Śrāvasti, covered with mats (in his honor, we may assume).1 He gets a touch of the sun, and shaken by the booze falls to the ground; sa tām (sc. vithim) atikranta ātapena pretha (so the mss.: read episto") madyaksiptah prihivyain nipatitah, The story in the mouth of the Buddha is an extreme plea for monks' total abstinence: tasmān na bhiksunā madyam pātavyam dātavyam vā, 'a monk shall neither drink nor give to drink intoxicating liquor.' And later again (p. 191, l. 2 ff.) more explicitly, as applying to the present case: māin bho bhiksavah šāstāram uddiśyādbkir (text, incorrectly, uddiśyādbkir) madyam apsyam adeyam antatah kuśdgrenapi, 'With me, the Teacher, as authority. O ve Monks, liquor with water shall not be drank or given (to drink), even with the tip of a blade of grass!'-Svägata, we may assure the reader, is properly cared for; the Buddha himself conjures by magic over Svagata a but made of leaves of the suparas tree, lest any one seeing him in that state become disaffeeted from the teaching of the Blessed One.

The practice of giving strong drink to animals, in order to make them mettlesome, is sufficiently attested. In the present-day story (paccuppanna-vattha) of the Cullahansa Jātaka (533), Devadatta, hater of the Buddha, and ever gunning for him (unsuccessfully, of course), has personally made sandry attempts on the Buddha's life.* Foiled, he exclaims, 'Verily no mortal beholding the excellent beauty of Gotama's person dare approach him. But the King's elefant, Nālāgiri, is a fierce and savage animal, who knows nothing of the virtues of the Buddha,

Or, perhaps rather in honor of the Beddha, who happens at that time to be in Sravasti.

^{*}Perhaps the editors are right in suggesting profite sprate, changed by a sort of haplography to profite sprayto. But the word profite, 'on the back,' is pretty certainly not required; this is shown by p. 6, third line from bottom: saryanishbhik sprate atapitak.

^{*}An echo of this story in Parker, Fillage Folk-Tales of Ceylon, vol. iii, p. 306.

the Law, and the Assembly. He will bring about the destruction of the ascetic.' So he goes and tells the matter to the King. The King readily falls in with the suggestion, summons his elefant-keeper, and thus addresses him, 'Sir, to-morrow you are to make Nālāgiri mad with drink, and at break of day let him loose in the street where the ascetic Gotama walks.' Devadatta asks the keeper how much rum the elefant is wont to drink on ordinary days, and when he answers, 'Eight pots,' he says, 'Tomorrow give him sixteen pots to drink, and send him on the streetfrequented by the ascetic Gotama. But the Buddha converts. yea, even the rum-mad elefant. Nălăgiri, on hearing the voice of the Master, opens his eyes, beholds the glorious form of the Blessed One, and, by the power of the Buddha, the intoxicating effects of the strong drink pass off. Dropping his trunk and shaking his ears he falls at the feet of the Tathagata. Then the Master addresses him, 'Nālāgiri, you are a brute-elefant; I am the Buddha-elefant. Henceforth be not fierce and savage, nor a slayer of men, but cultivate thoughts of charity.' The elefant becomes good, being henceforth known as Dhanapälaka (Keeper of Treasure), established in the five moral laws.

Mettlesome horses also were given strong drink, either to inspirit them, or to restore them after great fatigue. In Valodaka Jätaka (183) such horses returning from battle are given (fermented) grape-juice to drink; this they take without getting intoxicated. But the fermented leavings of the grapes are strained with water and given to donkeys, who then romp about the palace yard, braying loudly. The Bodhisat, the King's adviser, draws the moral, applicable to this day:

'This sorry draught, the goodness all strained out, Drives all those asses in a drunken rout: The thorobreds, that drank the potent juice, Stand silent, nor skip capering about."

Animals also intexicate themselves without knowing that they do: cats, with fermented liquor, in Kumbha Jătaka (512); a jackal, in Sigāla Jātaka (113); a pair of crows, in Kāka Jātaka (146). All come to grief. A delicious bit of satire, extant in a modern version, tells in Gūṭhapāna Jātaka (227) haw a drunken beetle

^{*}Cf. the conversion of the elefant Marubhati in Parivanatha Caritra 1. 815ff.

Rouse's Translation of The Jataka, vol. il, p. 66.

comes to grief: Citizens of the kingdoms of Anga and Magadha, traveling, used to stay in a house on the confines of the two kingdoms, there drink liquor, and eat the flesh of fishes. A certain dung-beetle, led by the odor of the dung, comes there, sees some of the liquor shed upon the ground, and for thirst drinks it, and returns to his lump of dung, intoxicated. When he climbs upon it the moist dung gives way a little. 'The world cannot bear my weight!' he exclaims. At that very instant a maddened elefant comes to the spot, and smelling the dung retreats in disgust. The beetle sees it. 'You creature,' he thinks, 'is afraid of me, and see how he runs away! I must fight with him!' So he challenges him:

'Well matched! for we are heroes both: here let us issue try: Turn back, turn back, friend Elefant! Why would you fear and fly;

Let Magadha and Anga see how great our bravery!"

The elefant listens, turns back, and replies:

'I would not use my foot nor hand, nor would my teeth I soil; With dung, him whose sole care is dung, it behooveth me to spail!'

And so dropping a great piece of dung upon him, and making water, he kills him there and then, and scampers into the forest, trumpeting.

The modern instance is of a mouse which happens upon drippings from a whiskey-barrel, drinks its fill, and becomes a hit squiffy: then places itself astride on the barrel, and exclaims: 'Now come on with your blankety cat!' Nothing is new under the sun, but the old story is in a deeper vein of humor.

2. On certain standing epithets of Buddhist Arhats.

As one of the many repeated or stenciled passages characteristic of the text of the Avadanas there occurs in Divyavadana six times, or perhaps more, a passage which describes the state of mind of him who has attained to highest monkhood or Arhatship. The published text has not in all places the same form, and some of its words need explaining. On p. 97, vācāvasāne Bhagavato mundāh samvrītās trāidhātukuvitarāgāh samalostakāācanā ākāš-

^{&#}x27;Closely following Rome's picturesque rendering in the Cambridge Translation, vol. ii, p. 148.

apānitalasamacittā vāsīcandanakalpā vidyāvidāritāndakošāvidyā vijāāh' pratisamvitprāptāh etc. In the remaining passages where the same state of mind is predicated of a single Arhat (arhan samerttah etc.), namely pp. 180, 240, 282, 488, 492, most of the words remain essentially the same, but there are also the following variations:

 p. 180. vidyāvidāritāndakošo vidyābkijāak pratisainvitprāptah.

p. 240, avidyavidárítándakosa vidyábhíjňápratisaiavitpröptah,

pp. 282, 488, 492, vidyāvidāritāndakośo vidyāhhijāāpratisainvitprāptak.

After proper correction there remains the plural form, p. 97, vidyāvidāvitāndakośā vidyābhijāāpratisamvitprāptāh; the singular form, vidyāvidāritāndakośo vidyābhijāāpratisamvitprāptah.

The same cliché occurs frequently in Avadanasataka, Speyer's text, vol. i, pp. 96, l. 6; 104, l. 7; 207, l. 12; vol. ii, p. 129, etc. The editor seems to have been in doubt, for a time at least, as to the correct reading of one of the words; he is finally mistaken as to another. The printed text of Avadanasataka has on p. 96, 1, 7: samalostakäñeana äkäsapänitalasamacitto väsicandanakalpo vidyāvidāritāndakolo vidyābhi jā pratisaikvit prapto etc. Ou p. 104. 1. 7 there is vāsī candanakalpo; but on p. 207, 1. 12 vāsīcandanakalpo (so the Editor's final, correct decision, Additions and Corrections, p. 208; and Index, p. 234, under vasicandanakalpa). As regards vidyavidavitandakośo the editor, on p. kxiii, note 127. argues in favor of "kalpo 'vidyāvidāritāndakośo, a construction which has also occurred to the Editors of the Divyavadana, p. 240, l. 24, but which, be it noted, does not tally with the plural version on p. 97, stated above. Against grammar, Speyer would construe avidyavidaritandakosa as meaning 'whose egg-shell of ignorance has been cleft, but the correctly construed vidyavidaritandakośa yields about the same result, 'the egg-shell (of whose existence in ignorance, avidud implied) is cleft by knowledge.' 'Imprisonment within the egg-shell of life thru nescience' is the point under either construction. See Divyavadāna, p. 203 :

^{&#}x27;Corrected in the Errata to "kosk vidykvijnah.

^{*}The z at the beginning of this extract represents the avagrain of the selitors.

tulyam atulyain ca sainbhavain bhavasainskäram apotsejan munih,

adhyātmaratah samāhito hy abhinat košam ivāndasambhavah.

According to the Editors of the Divyāvadāna, in a note on p. 706, the Pāli of the Mahāparinibbānasutta (3. 10) reads for pāda d, abhida kavacam iv' affasainbhavam, 'he eleft, as the a coat of mail, his own existence's cause' (by means of his vidyā as a Muni

or Arhat).

The remaining descriptions of Arhat condition seem not quite clear to the Editors and Translators of the two Avadāna texts. Feer, on p. 14 of his translation of Avadānašataka, translates, once for all, the passage from samalostakānama to vidyāhhijāā-pratisaāvilprāpto as follows: Tor fut à ses yeux de la rouillé, la võute céleste comme le creux de la main. Il était froid comme le sandal; la science avait déchiré les ténèbres qui l'envelloppaient, la possession claire et distincte des connaissances supérieures de la science lui était acquise. Some help or correction may be gained from a metrical parafrase of this Arhat-cliché in stanta 327 of the metrical text, Avadānamālā, nr. 91, published by Speyer in the Preface to his Edition of the Avadānašataka, p. lxxiii:

nuvitarāgah samalostahemā ākāšacitto ghanasāravāsi, bhindann avidyādrim ivāndakošam prāpad abhijāāh pratīsamvidaš ca.

As regards samulosfakāūcana, or samulosfaheman, 'he who regards gold and a lump of dirt as of equal value,' see Böhtlingk's Lexicon. This is the yogī samulosfāšmakāūcana of Bhagavadgitā 6. 8; 14. 24; or the paramahansah samulosfāšmakāūcanah of Āšrama-Up. 4, showing the continuity between the Samnyāsin of the Upanisads and the Buddhist Arhat. It is, as it were, put into practice at the end of Mūgapakkha Jātaka (538) by, bhandāgāresu kahāpane assamapade vālukā katrā vikirinsu, 'money in the treasuries, being counted as mere sand, was scattered about in the hermitage.' Feer's rendering of losfa by 'rust,' the recorded in native lexicografy, strains needlessly to conform to the biblical idea.

The compound akaiapanitalasamacitta seems to mean, 'he in

Annales du Musée Guimet, vol. aviii.

whose mind the palm of his hand is like ether.' i. e. 'he for whom the plainest reality is no better than the most ethereal substance.' The palm of the hand is the most real thing: 'When one cannot in darkness discern the palm of one's own hand, then one is guided by sound,' Brhad-Āranyaka Upaniṣad 4. 3. 5. Ether is subtle, invisible, and touches upon 'emptiness,' 'nothingness': yac chuşiran tad ākāšam, 'ākāša is hollow,' Garbha-Up, 1. In Amrtabindu-Up, 11 ākāša šānya means 'empty space.' In the Avadānamālā passage (Speyer, p. ixxiii, stanza 327) ākāšacitta seems to mean, 'he whose mind is (empty like) ether.'

As regards vāsīcandanakalpa, Feer reads merely candanakalpa which accounts for his, 'il était froid comme le sandal.' The Editors of the Divyāvadāna leave the word unexplained; Speyer, l. c., note 126, remarks that ghanasāravāsī in the Avadānamālā answers to the enigmatical epithet vāsīcandanakalpa. The latter compound means, 'he for whom the (cooling) sandal is not different from a (painful) sword.' In Bhavabhūti's Mālatīmādhavam, act X, stanza 10 (p. 257 of M. R. Telang's edition, Bombay, 1892), the same antithesis is used to express the quick succession of good and evil in man's fate:

kim nyam asipattracandanarasacekafäsärayugapadavapätah, analasphulingakalitah kim ayam anabhrah sudhavarsah.

'Is it that sharp-edged swords and drops of sandal In the same shower commingle? Is it that sparks of fire and streams of nector Descend together from unclouded skies?'

Sandal is the Hindu beau-ideal of a cooling substance; it cures fever. The pain of a sword is conceived as burning, in absolute antithesis. In the pretty story of Pürnaka, Divyāvadāna pp. 30ff., a man carrying wood cast up by the ocean comes along trembling with cold. Pūrnaka investigates the wood, finds it to be sandal, recognizes its cooling property, buys it, and cures with it the fever of the King of Sūrpāraka. The streets of the city of Sudaršana are sprinkled with sandal-water, to make them cool, as well as fragrant, Divyāvadāna p. 221, l. 5. The yet more curious ghanasāravāsi of the Avadānamālā seems to be a nominative from a stem ghanasāravāsin, parhaps in the sense of 'regarding camfor as a sword.' The Hindus ate camfor as a sort of sweetmeat, as is stated in the proverb, Böhtlingk's Indische

Sprüche, nr. 6921: dantapātah kalham na syād atikarpūrabhaksanāt, 'the teeth of him that eats too much camfor are sure to fall out;' cf. Pet. Lex. s. vs. karpūra and karpūranālikā.

 On some correspondences between Buddhist Sanskrit and Jäina Sanskrit.

Amidst the countless Pāliisms or back-formations from Pāli in the Buddhist Avadana texts none are more interesting than those which occur also in Jaina Sanskrit, a language which in its turn is tainted by the literary and religious Prakrits (Maharastri and Jaina Prakrit), familiarly used by the Jainas. Thus both Avadāna Sanskrit and Jāina Sanskrit have a 'root' vikure (vi + kure). 'to perform magic or miracles.' In the Avadanas this 'Sanskrit' root is a back-formation of Pali vikubb (vikubbana, 'miracle'). Thus Divyāvadāna 269, line 7, proyānti . . . divāukaso nirīksitum Sakyamuner vikurvitam, 'the gods proceed to examine Sakyamuni's miracle.' On p. 403, l. 21 vikurvate occurs in the sense of 'play pranks with'; Kunalo . . . pitrā sārdham vikurvate. In Avadānašataka, vol. I, p. 258, I. 9, vikurvita is again 'miraele', and in Saddharmapundarika occur the abstract nouns vikurvā and vikurvana (Pali vikubbana) : pp. 446, 456, 472 of Kern and Nanjio's edition; note especially the tautological compound vikurvana-pratiharva, 'magic miracle,' on p. 456, and the succession bodhisattva-vikurvayā . . . bodhisattva-prātihāryena on p. 472. The noun vikurvana occurs also in Lalitavistara (ed. Lefmann), p. 422, l. 9; see also Mahavastu (ed. Senart), vol. i. p. 425,

In Jāina Sanskrit vikurv appears to be an independent retrograde formation of Prākrit viuvvai, viuvvai (past participle viuvviya; gerund viuvviūna); see Pischel, Grammatik der Prākrit-Sprachen, §508. The verb is particularly common in Pāršvanātha Caritra, in the sense of 'produce by magie': 1. 601; 2. 352, 411; 5. 101; 6. 1129; 8. 384. Thus, 1. 601, vikurvya mahatīm šilām, 'having produced by magie a big rock;' 2. 352, vikurvya sinharūpam, 'having assumed magically the form of a lion.' Further examples may be seen in my Life of Pāršvanātha, p. 222, where this Prākritism figures as one of a fairly extended list of the same sort. The 'root' vikurv I remember to have seen also in Rāuhineya Carita.

In Divyāvadāna occur eight times apparent derivatives from a causative dhmāpayati, in the sense of 'cause to burn,' 'consign to flames. The word is restricted to descriptions of cremation. Speyer, Avadanasataka, vol. ii, p. 209, has corrected these readings to derivatives from dhyōpayati, retrograde Sanskrit from Pāli jhāpeti, 'consign to fire,' primary jhāyati, 'burn' (Childers), from root jhāi = the Sanskrit root kṣāi, 'burn.' On p. 350, l. 19, the Divyāvadāna mss., as a matter of fact, read dhyāpitah, and Skt. Buddhist (Mahāyāna) texts handle the root dhyāi, 'burn.' quite familiarly (Avadānašataka, Mahāvastu, Lalitavistara, etc.; see Speyer, l. c.).

The analog of this in Jaina Sanskrit is a root vidhyāi (vi+dhyāi) which is in the same way = Pāli-Prākrit root vi-jhāi, in the opposite sense to dhyāi, namely, 'go out,' 'become extinguished.' I have not met with simple dhyāi in Jāina Sanskrit texts, but it may be there. Derivatives from vi+dhyāi are especially frequent in Pāršvanātha Caritra and Samarādityasamkṣepa. The instances from these texts are gathered in my Life of Pāršvanātha, pp. 220, 221 (where other references); they include primary and causative verbs (vidhyāpaya-), as well as noun derivatives (vidhyāpana).

The question arises whether these identical retrograde forms grew up independently, from Päli on the one side, from Präkrit on the other. This is, of course, possible, but I should like to point out that Pärsyanätha Caritra and Samarādityasanhkṣepa are the Jāina replicas of Avadāna texts, both treating 'of the fruits of action or moral law of mundane existence' (karmaploti, karmapāka, karmavipāka); see Speyer, Avadānašataka, vol. ii, Preface, p. i.**

[&]quot;This parallelism tetracen Buddhist and Jaina Avadana texts is brought out by Salibhadra Carita 2. 1: from diadendiarea private diagramble pattle, your proceeding additional tornels to specification strength. The world diagramble pattle, your proceeding additional tornels to the wonderful result (comm.) avaddam algorithmian karma) in a second birth of a self-sacrificing gift of food by a young shepherd, Sarigama, to an ascetic who arrived at his village to break a month's fast. In the second birth the soul of Sarigama, reborn as Salibhadra, attains to Arbatahip. This is described in terms parallel to the Buddhist Avadam clickes discussed in the preceding section (2) of this paper. See Salibhadra Carita 7, 94, where Salibhadra is described as semarationable, among janoular jano, and edsicus danalalga, "ocean of equanimity", he who regards good and evil men alike", and 'he for whom the (eaching) sandal is not different from a (painful) sword. It is jurifly likely that such parallelism is entirely spentaneous. Note that eachondamatoipe is not quotable from Brahmanical sources, whence the Falcas might have derived it.

4. On the meaning of asvapana.

On p. 526, lines 23, 25, occurs the otherwise unquoted āsvāpanam, which the Editors translate by 'sleep.' It means 'sleeping-charm': aparena samoyena rājāah sāntahpurasyāsvāpanam
dāttvā, 'on another occasion she gave to the King and his zenana
a sleeping-charm.' Similarly (1. 25) mayā Siāhakešariņa rājāah sāntahpurasyāsvāpanam dattam. The word is identical in
meaning with avasvāpanikā, Parišistaparvan 2. 173; avasvāpinī,
Rānhineya Carita 14; and both avasvāpinī and avasvāpanikā in
Pāršvanātha Caritra 5, 85, 113. See my Life and Stories of the
Jaina Savior Pāršvanātha, p. 233. It is rather remarkable that
finite verb forms of neither ā-svap nor-ava+svap are quotable.

5. On different authorship of the individual avadanus.

The Avadānas of the present collection are on the whole written in the same style, which betrays itself by its luxurious breadth; by repeated idioms and expressions; by longer recurring passages, or clichér; and, of course, by the grammatical habits common to the Pālizing Avadāna language. Yet there is sufficient evidence that they are not from the same original source. Even in their final redaction, controlled as it is by similar didactic aims and the conventions of this type, distinctions between Avadāna and Avadāna are not wanting. The Editors, p. vii, note, point to the flowery style of xxii and xxxviii. The thirty-third Avadāna does not run true to form in subject-matter and style. Avadānas xvii and xviii differ from the rest in the use of transitional particles which continue the thread of the story.

In this regard all are very lavish. It is not necessary to say, pp. 223, 1. 14; 233, 1. 10, paścāt te samlakṣayanti; or yatas te samlakṣayanti, 'then they reflect,' because the text, immunerable times, gets along with sa samlakṣayati, 'he reflects,' e. g., three times on p. 4. The most common particles of continuance are atha and tatah, swelling from these light words to cumbrous expressions like tatah paścāt, twice on p. 11; athāpareṇa samayena, pp. 23, l. 11; 62, l. 20; 319, l. 22; tena khalu samayena, pp. 32, l. 14; 36, l. 16; 44, l. 8; 318, l. 5; 320, l. 9, 19; 321, l. 1.

Among these particles of continuation two are formed upon relative pronoun stems, namely, yavat and yatah, in the sense,

[&]quot;See Peer, Audana-Sataka, pp. 2ff.

perhaps, of 'whereupon,' as compared with atha or tatah, in the sense of 'then.' The use of yavat is favored thru the collection as a whole. The use of yatah belongs to Avadanas xvii and xviii. In looking thru Avadānas i, ii, iii, xiii, xix, xxii, xxiii, and xxviii, I have found yatah a single time in iii, p. 61, l. 23; in Avadana xviii I have counted yatah 71 times; in that part of Avadana xvii which deals with the story of Mandhatar, pp. 210-226, yatah occurs 26 times. This great predilection for yelch reaches a sort of climax in the formulaic passage, yato bhiksavah samsayajātāh sarvasamsayacehettāram Buddham Bhugavantam prechanti, in xviii, p. 233, l. 17; 241, l. 17. The same formula occurs often without any introductory particle (bhiksavah samsayajātāh etc.): e. g. p. 191, l. 5. Both Avadānas show, in addition, a marked liking for pascat, as an apparent synonym of yatah. In Avadana xviii paścat occurs 15 times; in Avadāna xvii, 11 times (once, p. 214, l. 7, yatah paścād together). And this latter feature individualizes also Avadana i, where pasodt occurs 5. 9; 6. 16; and tatah pasedt, 9. 21, 25; 11, 10, 14; 16, 5; 23, 9. On the other hand the long Avadāna ii does not show a single case of pascat. Clearly, the distribution of these particles will furnish a criterion by which to determine partly the stratification of the collection.

The story of Mandhatar (with pun on his name: mām dhātar, 'Me-sucker,' 'Thumb-sucker') begins in Mahāhhārata 3. 126; 7, 62; and enters Buddhist literature with Mandhātu Jātaka (258), continuing in Milindapanho 4. 8, 25; Dhammapada Commentary 14. 5; Divyāvadāna xvii; and in the Tibetan version, Schiefner, Mēlanges Asiatiques, October 1877 = Raiston, Tibetan Teles, pp. 18. The Divyāvadāna version, as well as the Tibetan version, is a closely corresponding copy of a Mahāyāna original which we do not possess. We cannot therefore tell whether the yatah in this story is derived from this source. Avadāna xviii, according to the Editors, repeats, with some variations, Nr. 89 of Ksemendra's Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā (in course of publication in Bībl. Ind.); see Feer, I. c. p. xxviii; Speyer, Avadānašataka, vol. ii, pp. v and xi.

6. Running comments.

In WZKM 16, 103ff., 340ff. (Vienna, 1902) the late Professor Speyer, who afterwards (1906, 1909) gave us an excellent edition of the Avadānašataka, published a series of text emendations, translations, and comments upon the Divyāvadāna, as edited by Cowell and Neil in 1886. His remarks are in general very much to the point, the not entirely free from error, as when he emends uddisyadbhir on p. 191, l. 3, to uddisya bhavadbhir, instead of uddisyādbhir (madyam apeyam), see above, p. 337. I add here a modest aftermath of comments, some of which will occasionally correct Speyer, as he corrected the Cambridge edition. Others concern points which have escaped his vigilant eye. I am sure that successive readers will find yet more; indeed, without disparagement of the Cambridge scholars, a new edition, based upon better mss. and a wider knowledge of Mahāyāna language and literature, more particularly Avadāna literature, will in time be

required.

P. 4, I. 22. Kotikarna, starting on a mercantile expedition, is instructed by his father to stay in the middle of his caravan. because there, as he reasons plausibly, is safety from robbers. And he concludes with the words: no co to sarthavahe hatch sarthe vaktavyah. Speyer, I. c., p. 107, regards this bit of text as corrupt and nonsensical. The Editors seem also to have been puzzled, since they mark the word sarthavahe with 'Sie MSS.' Speyer proposes a radical emendation, to wit; na ca te sarthikebhyah so 'riho vaktavyah, 'but you must not tell it to the merchants (viz. that you will take your place in the centre, and why). Speyer seems to have in mind that such conduct would lay Kotikarna open to the suspicion of cowardice, a thing which the rather garrulous text does not say. Perhaps we may transpose the two similar words sarthavahe and sartho, reading, no co te zärthe hatah särthaväho vaktavyah, 'And in thy caravan a slain leader shall not be spoken about. Which is enfemistic for, 'It shall not happen that you, the leader of your caravan, shall come to grief.' The expression is very close to what in ordinary Sanskrit would be: na ca to sarthe hatah sarthavaha iti vaktowyam, 'In thy caravan it shall not be said: "The leader of the caravan has been slain."

On p. 7, 1. 1, the word pithitah, 'covered,' 'closed,' for which the Editors would read pihitah (so on p. 554, last line but one), must be allowed to stand. It not only occurs in Lalitavistara (see Bö. Lex. s. v. pithay), but also in Saddharmapundarika, Kern and Nanjio's edition, p. 250: tisrnām durgatinām dvāram

pithitam bhavişyati, narakutiryagyoniyamalokopapattişu na patişyati, 'The door to three misfortunes will have been shut; he will not fall into the fate of hell-inhabitant, animal, or world of Yama.' Wackernagel, Altindische Grammatik, pp. 123 bottom, 254 top, rightly explains it as a Hyper-Sanskritism, on the analogy of tathā: Prākrit tahā (but not Pāli).

Speyer, I. c., p. 112, argues plausibly that sukhapratibuddhah on p. 115, 1. 25 be changed to suptupratibuddhah, because the latter wording occurs in the same Avadana, p. 113, L 17. He may be right, yet there is no compelling reason why the author should not modulate his thought to this extent. The notion of 'blissful sleep' is familiar from Upanisad to Pārsvanātha Caritra: e.g., Kath. Up. 1. 11; Prasna Up. 4. I. In Brahma Up. 1 susupta is the designation of one that has enjoyed blissful sleep; Devadatta in that state enters into bliss like a wishless child: gathā kumāro niskāma dnandam upayāti, tathāivāisa devadattah svapna anandam upayāti. The terms sukhasvapna (Pāršvanātha 2, 972). sukhasupti, sukhasuptika, and sukhasupta are familiar. In our text, p. 115, 1, 25, sukhapratibuddhah is preceded by pramuditamanah. The hero of the story has been having a very pleasant dream indeed: a divinity has promised him in succession the blandishments of four Apsarases, eight Kinnara maidens, and then again sixteen and thirty-two of the same sort. Under these circumstances pramuditamonah sukhapralibuddhah is pretty good sense and Sanskrit.

On p. 132, l. 14 a certain householder, when a famine is impending, asks his treasurer: bhoh purusa bhavisyati me saparivārānām dvādaša varsāni bhaktam. This must mean, 'I say, Sir, will there be for me and my retinue food for tweive years!' All mss. have saparivārānām which the Editors properly mark with 'sic,' The many solecisms of the ms. tradition should, perhaps, not stand in the way of changing the form to saparivāranya. Correctly the singular, rājā sāntahpuraparivārah, on p. 526, l. 27; or, several times on p. 488, Mahāpunthakuh paūcašatapurivārah. Still the collective singular may be here, by curious idiom, swelled into the plural, in accordance with its intrinsic meaning.

On p. 153, l. 14 the text reads: yasya (se. Cundasya) tāvad vayam sizyapratisisyakayōpi na tulyāh. Read sizyapratisisyatayāpi, Whose like we are not in quality of being pupil, and pupil of a pupil. Cunda's spiritual descent is described in l. 5, as fol-

lows: śramanasya Gāutamasya Śāriputro nāma šisyas tasya Cundo nāma śrāmanerakah. A pupil of Śāriputra and no less than a 'grand-pupil' of the Buddha is fitly described as above. On p. 249, l. 4 Speyer, l. e., p. 125, emends plausībly pravešakāni to pravešitāni. Conversely t for k on p. 573, l. 22, where Spayer's emendation (l. e., p. 361) of avatarisyati to avakarisyati is surely correct. And again on p. 84, l. 15, according to Speyer, p. 111, akrtapunyakāh for meaningless akrtapunyatāh. Obviously k and l are readily confused in Nepalese mss.

A number of times the text has the form saknosi or saknosi, 'thou art able,' which is to be emended to sakto 'si, particularly because there is no form saknosi. On p. 207, t. 6, the printed text has saknosi, but the mss. read saknosi; on pp. 129, 1. 3; 279, I. 23; 536, Il. 6, 23 the edition itself as well as the mas, have śaknosi. On p. 304, l. 2, the edition has śakto 'si with three mss., but a fourth again has saknosi. This shaky tradition, taken by itself, is best made stable by adopting sakto 'si; this is supported by the first person šaklāham (feminine) on p. 612, L 3. All forms, of course, with the infinitive. In the Nepalese ms. of the seventeenth century, the ultimate source of the more modern copies used by the Editors, f and n, particularly in consonant combinations, must have been much alike, judging from the formula mulanikenta iva drumah (thus mss.), for the Editors' correct mulanikrita iva drumah, 'like an aprooted tree,' e. g. p. 387, l. 6; p. 400, l. 17.11 The suspicious form napini for napiti, 'female barber,' on p. 370, fl. 1, 3, is probably due to the same confusion. Conversely t takes the place of n in satta" for santa", p. 291, l. 8.

When a Buddha steps within a city gate to perform a miracle, a long list of wonderful and portentous things happen. Two passages describe these miracles, pp. 250, lines 22 ff., and 364, lines 27 ff. The longer of these passages, which are two recessions of one another, contains among other things the statement: midhā garāhāninām strinām garāhā anulomāhavanti, 'mislocated foetuses of pregnant women right themselves;' both versions con-

[&]quot;So, also Avadánasataka i, p. 5, i. 16 (and often); of nikratitumülüm, Diryav., p. 537, i. 14, and melanikratita ien drumab, p. 539, l. 5, which show the participle in another, but correct way.

[&]quot;This refers perhaps to the common Avadana effects about the birth of children, c. g., Divyav. i, etc.; Avadanas, iii, etc. (cf. Feer, i. c., p. 4, cr. 11.)

tain the frase hadinigadabaddha, 'bound by fetters and chains,' which recurs essentially in Saddharmspundarika, pp. 440, 450. For hastinah kroñcanti, 'elefants trumpet,' on p. 251, I. 2, we have correctly on p. 365, I. 7, hastinah kroŝanti. For pedākrtā alamkārā madhurašabdān niścārayanti on p. 251, I. 4 we have more correctly on p. 365, I. 8, pedāgatā alamkārā madhurašabdam niścārayanti, 'jewels in their caskets (pedāgatāh) emit a sweet sound.' The word pedā which is translated by the Editors doubtfully by 'basket' is not otherwise quoted in the Lexicons: it recurs in Avadānašataka, vol. ii, p. 12, I. 13, being the fairly common Prākrit pedā, 'box;' see the Agaladatta (Agadadatta) stories in Jacobi's Māhārāṣtrī Tales, pp. 67, II. 34, 36, 39; 75, I. 1. Cf. Skt. bhūṣana-peṭikā 'jewel-casket,' and kośa-peṭaka 'treasure-chest.'

On p. 299, Il. 10ff, the mss. have the following text: evem aparam aparam te āyusmatā Mahāmāuagalyayanena samyag avavāditāh (one ms. avavoditāh; one ms. avabodhitāh) samyag anufistah, 'Thus again and again they were taught perfectly, instructed perfectly by the illustrious Mahamandgalyayana." The same text with avoditah for avavaditah on p. 300, 1. 2. Speyer, I. c., p. 128, argues plausibly in favor of avoditak as the only correct grammatical form. Yet in Saddharmapundarika 4, p. 101, l. 3ff. the printed text reads; tato bhagavann asmābhir apy unye bodhisattvá avavadítá abhūvann uttaráyám samyaksambodhāv anusistās ca. So also the Pet. Lex., citing this passage. This form the Cambridge Editors obviously had in mind when they marked with an exclamation mark the form avoditah, on p. 300. Since are and a are practically one and the same in a Palitring Sanskrit text, it would seem that the total of tradition inclines to avavaditah, which is probably felt, Hyper-Sanskritically, to be the correct way of speaking.

On p. 302, 1. 26, nayona kāmamgamah is improved by Speyer, L.e., p. 129 to na yenakāmamgamah, 'not allowed to go where one likes.' Read na yena kāmamgamah, which was probably Speyer's intention.

I doubt whether Speyer, l. c., p. 343, is right in questioning the Editors' text on p. 338, l. 17: tatráika rsih sašukladharmah,

[&]quot;Precisely the second passage reads (with ms. vars.), hadiniquidactraks-

where he would divide sa śukladharmah. In a Pāliizing Sanskrit text saśukladharmah as positive to aśukladharmah is no more strange than is sakubbato, as positive to akubbato, in Dhammapada. Prākritizing Jāina Sanskrit texts do the same; e. g. sa-jāāna, 'knowledge,' positive to a-jāāna, 'ignorance.' So Prākrit sa-vilakkha, 'embarrassed,' in Jacobi, Māhārāstrī Tales 17. 3; sa-sambhanta, 'terriñed,' ib. 7. 34; sa-sambiya, 'suspicious,' ib. 67. 30; 68. 15; sa-siniddha, 'friendly,' ib. 22. 19. In Divyāvadāna 43. 28 sa-krtakaraputa, 'with folded hands;' on 82. 16, sa-rujjārta, 'tortured by disease;' and several times, 152. 3, 158. 19, 637. 25, sa-brahmacārin, 'chaste.' The positive sa carries with it a certain emfasis.

On p. 372, l. 10, Prince Asoka, having been sent by his father, King Vindusāra, to besiege the city of Taksašilā, is received peacefully by its citizens, and shown every honor; mahata ca satkāreņa Taksašilām pravešita evam vistarenāšokah svašarājyam pravesitah. Burnouf, Introduction à l'histoire du Bouddhisme Indien, p. 362, note 2, suggests doubtingly khaśarajyam for svašarājyam, but this does not suit. Read (with haplografy) svavašarājyam, 'And having been introduced into Takşašilā he thus at length entered upon the supreme authority (of a Cakravartin).' In the sequel this is just what happens, namely, Aśoka starts his empire in Taksašilā, gradually extends it, establishes his 84 edicts, becomes a just emperor under the sobriquet Dharmāšoka, 'Ašoka of the Law.' Svavašarājya is identical with svávašya, 'supreme rule,' which figures in Aitareya Brahmana 8. 17, 18, 19 by the side of the similar words, svarajya, paramesthya. and maharajya. The text of the Divyavadana is not exempt from such peccadilloes; see, e. g. adhvu(ga) gana, 'crowd of travellers. pp. 126, I. 2: 148, I. 14; 182, I. 7; see Index, under adheagana, and Speyer, l. c., p. 114, who points out the unmutilated reading in Avadānašataka, nr. 19. On p. 279, 1. 12, śraddhate is also haplografic for śraddadhate, 'he believes,' an easier correction than staddhatte. The Editors, curiously enough, seem to be content with śraddhate.

On p. 419, l. 17 the printed text has: samudrāyām pṛthivyām janakāyā yadbhūyasā Bhogavacchāsans 'bhiprasannāh. The Editors in the foot-note suggest questioningly āsamudrāyām, with the result, 'On the earth, to the limit of the ocean, people became the more inclined to the teaching of the Bhagavat.' This is not questionable; on p. 364, l. 9, tasya yāvad āsamudrāyām

śabdo visytah, 'the sound of that spread over (the earth) as far as the ocean.' The expression asamudrāyām prthivyām ocears moreover on p. 381, l. 4, and it is parafrazed on p. 433, l. 1, by, samudraparyantām mahāprthivīm.

On p. 500, l. 5, in the course of the Mūsaka story, the following sentence is badly constructed: tena tesám kalāyānām stokam datlam šilalam ca pāniyam pālam. The last word needs correction, and I think that the reading of one ms., namely pāyam, points to pāyitam, 'given to drink.'

On p. 523, last line, a father tells his son who wants to go to sea on a commercial venture that this is unnecessary, because he. the father, has inexhaustible wealth: putra tavat prabhiitain me dhanajātam asti yadi tvain tilatandulakulatthādiparibhogena ratnāni me parībhotsyase tathāpi me bhogā na tanutvain pariksayan paryadanan gamisyanti. I had corrected the senseless paribhotsyase to paribhoksyase, when, later on, I noticed the parallel on p. 4, l. 7: putra tāvantam me ratnajātam asti yadi tvam tilatandulakolakulatthanyäyena ratnani paribhoksyase tathāpi me ratnānām pariksayo na syāt. In both passages the father says to the son, that no matter how much of his substance (oil and grain) he might consume he could not exhaust his (the father's) wealth. Just as paribhoksyase corrects paribholsyase, the word "nyayena on p. 4, l. 7 is hardly in the picture, as judged by "paribhogena on 524, l. 1. I miss the word adi, and so forth, on p. 4, but the proper reading does not suggest itself.

On p. 577, l. 21ff. the text reads, no co tvoyà mãm muktvā anyakasyacid dātavyum, 'And you must not give (the key) to any one but mysalf.' Here anyakasyacid is to be changed to anyasya kasya cid (haplografic); the passage recurs at the bottom of the page in the form, no co tvoyā mām muktvānyasya no kasyacid dātavyam, where the second no is, perhaps, to be thrown out.

P. 579, l. 26, in the statement, aham āryasya Mahākātyāyanusyopasthāpakah, where upasthāpaka makes no seese, read upasthāyoka: 'I am Great Kātyāyana's adjutor.' See upasthāyokāh on p. 426, l. 29, and, more particularly, Avadānašataka, vol. i, p. 214, l. 6, vayam bhagavan bhagavata upasthāyakāh (see also Speyer, Index, ad. voc.). Similarly the improbable, tho not unconforming, pāpayati, Divyāv., p. 398, l. 17, is to be changed to pāyayati, 'give drink.'

LITHUANIAN KLONAS, KLUONAS 'A PLACE WHERE SOMETHING IS SPREAD OUT'

HAROLD H. BENDER PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

LITHUANIAN klonus (Nesselmann) 'ein hinter den Wirtschaftsgebäuden, bes. hinter der Scheume und dem Garten gelegener Ort; dann auch die von dem Wohnhause abgelegen gebauten Wirtschaftsgebäude', arkling i klong paleisti (Nesselmann) 'die Pferde auf den Platz hinter der Schenne treiben'. klounas (Geitler, Liteuische Studien, 92) " (= klones, Ness.) bedeutet auch die Tenne". klanas (Bezzenberger, Beitrage zur Geschichte der litauischen Sprache, 295) 'Tenne, Scheune'. klûnas (Schleicher, Litauische Sprache, II. 282) 'Raum hinter dem Hause nach dem Felde zu'. kluonas (Leskien, Nomina, 196, 361) 'Tenne, Scheuer'. klonas, klunas (Kurschat) 'der Bleichplatz hinter der Scheme', klons (Bezzenberger, Litanische Forschungen, 126) : dpatinis klons 'der Platz unter dem Ofen', virszüjis klóns 'die Deeke auf dem Ofen', klóngs (Leskien, Nomina, 197) 'place where cattle graze', kluonas (Lalis) 'barn, barnyard'.

I propose to embrace all of the above words under a klosus, kinnas 'a place where something is spread out' and to connect this klónas, klúnas with klóju, klóti 'to spread out'. Only one or two of these words have hitherto received etymological treatment. Leskien's Ableut (379) goes no further than connecting klûnas (beside klûsas) 'Bleichplats hinter der Schenne' with Lett. kluns 'Estrich'. None of the group is assigned to any root by Nesselmann or Kurschut, or by Leskien, either in his Ablaut or in his Namina. Brückner, Die slavischen Fremdwörter im Lilauischen, 94, considers klonas 'Wirtschaftsgebäude' and kloungs 'Tenne' Stavic loanwords: White Russian, Polish dial, kluna 'Scheuer', Little Ruseinn klun, kluna. Bezzenberger, BB 17, 215, relates Old Lith, klinus 'Tenne, Schoune' = Samogit. klouns, Lett. klons 'Tenne, Estrich' with Lith. kulti, Lett, kull 'dreschen', Lett. kuls 'Tenne, Estrich'. He adds that White Russian, Little Russian klung 'Scheune' is perhaps borrowed from the Lith., but that klungs, klons are certainly not from

the Stavie. Berneker, Stavisches etymologisches Wörterbuch, I. 522-3, derives Little Russian, White Russian kluna from Polish dial. klunia for "klonia, which he attaches to Old Bulg. klono, kloniti 'neigen, beugen'; the latter he is inclined to consider an iterative formation to a lost present "kling, which was conceived as "kling, and to connect, with Gutturalwechsel (k' in slong, sloniti), with the root k'lei- in Skr. śráyati, Gk. elim, Goth. kláins, Lith. selija, seliti 'anlehnen', selijes 'sich geneigt habend, schief', etc. Of the Polish dial. kluma for "klóma Berneker says," Entlehnung aus lit, kluonas "Tenne, Scheuer'; klömas bei Kurschat 'Bleichplatz hinter der Scheune'; le. klūns 'Estrich' erklärt die Form nicht; gegen Bezzenberger BB 17, 215". Finally, Brugmann, Grundrisse, II. 1, 259, points, with a single line, in the right direction, "Lit. klónas 'Bleichplatz hinter der Scheune', zu kló-ti 'hinbreiten' ".

The basic idea of klónas, klánas (on uncertainty and confusion between á and o'in the Lith, dialects see, among others, Leskien, Ablant, 378) is that of a place where something is spread out, e. g. the bleaching place near the house or barn, the small pasture in the same location, the threshing floor, barn floor (and then, by synecdocke, harn), barn yard, the space above or under the stove. Formally, klónas bears exactly the same relation to klóju, klóti that Old Lith, planas (i. e. plonas) 'Tenne' bears to plóju, plóti 'breitschlagen' and that slónas 'Stand' bears to plóju, klóti 'treten, stehen'. The IE belongings of klóju, klóti are clear: Lett. kláju, klát 'himbreiten, breit hinlegen'; Old Bulg, klado, klasti 'laden, legen'; Goth, af-klaþan 'überburden'; OHG, kladan 'laden'. Of, Brugmann, Grundriss', II, 3, 368; Berneker, Slov. etym. Wh., I, 508.

Leskian, Ablant, 376, gives only five Lith, words under the group of któju, któjau, któti 'zudecken'. The following list will extend his group and at the same time throw semasiological light upon the nouns grouped together above in the first paragraph. The words included there are not repeated here; regular compound verbs are omitted unless they are valuable semantically.

klőju, klőfi 'decken, überdecken; den Fussboden ausdielen; das Bett, ein Nest machen; zum Dreschen anlegen' (Nesselmann); 'hinbreiten, breit hinlegen (z. B. ein Bett; Getreide auf die Tenne zum Dreschen breit hinlegen); breit bedecken' (Kurschat). apklodas (Ness.) 'das Gezimmer zu einem Bau'. apklóju,

apklóti 'herumlegen, befleihen, bedecken; eine Wand bekleiden' (Ness.); 'hinbreitend (oder breitlegend, z. B. mit Brettern, Laken) etwas bedeeken' (Kur.). apklotis fem. (Ness.) 'Deekbett'. inklode, iklode (Ness.) 'Bodenbrett eines Lastwagens'. iseklőju, iseklőti 'den Boden täfeln, pflastern, ausdielen' (Ness.): stuba dekiais isaklóti 'ein Zimmer mit Decken auslegen oder ausschlagen' (Kur.), klodas (Lulis) 'layer, bed, stratum', klódínu, klodinki caus. (Kur.) 'mit etwas Breitem bedecken'. klojimas 'das Auslegen; das Lager, die Lage zum Dreschen; die Tenne' (Ness.); 'das Spreiten, Breitlegen; die Dreschtenne; die zum Dreschen ausgespreitete Getreidelage' (Knr.); 'spreading, covering ; threshing floor, barn floor ; (Eng.-Lith, Diet.) barn' (Lalis), klojys (Ness.) 'eine Lage zum Dreschen, das Getreide, das auf einmal auf die Dreschtenne gelegt wird', klostau, klostyti (Kur.) 'fortgesetzt breiten, spreiten und decken'. klota (Ness.) 'das Pflaster im Hause, das Ziegel- oder Fliesenpflaster'. klotë (Lalis) 'cover, bed cover, blanket'. pakloda, puklodas (Ness.) 'eine hölzerne Schlittenschiene; das Unterfutter im Kleide, unter dem Sattel, das Polster; ein Bettlaken, auch ein Umschlagelaken, in dem man Kinder auf dem Rücken trägt, und das man gegen den Regen gebrancht; auch das Süelaken, in welchem der Süemann die Saat trugt'. paklode (Lalis paklode, paklote) 'Bettlaken'. paklóju, paklóli (Ness.) 'decken, unterbreiten; ausspreiten; Getreide zum Dreschen milegen; hölzerne Schieuen unter den Schlitten legen; das Bett machen', paklotis fem. (Ness, also mase,) 'Unterbett' (Ness,); 'Streu' (Bezzenberger, Beitr. zur Geschichte d. lit. Spr., 308); 'spread, bedding' (Lalis). puklotuvė (Ness.) 'Matratze, Polster; Filzdeeke unter dem Sattel', priklogas (Ness.) 'Deckbett: Beispiel, Paradigma', uiklodas, üžkloda, užklodė (Ladis užklotė; cf. poklodė above) (Ness.) 'Bettdecke, meistens von grober Leinwand, die über das aufgemachte Bett gebreitet wird', ukklonis mase. (Kur.) 'ein Grasplatz hinter dem Hause, hinter der Scheune; so ziemlich das was klonas', užklotuvė (Ness.) 'Deckbett, Bettdecke'.

WHERE WAS SAKADVIPA IN THE MYTHICAL WORLD-VIEW OF INDIA!

WILLIAM FARIFIELD WARREN
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

An abrical of rare interest on the above question, from the pen of Professor W. E. Clark of Chicago University, is presented in the October, 1919, issue of this Journal. In it is given the result to date of long and wide researches. It must be confessed that the result is far from satisfying. In a single sentence we are given the largely conflicting conclusions of nine prominent Orientalists, and then the names of fourteen other scholars who, despairing of success in locating 'the illusive isle', simply assign it to 'the realm of fancy.'

The present writer cannot claim linguistic qualification to take a part in this high debate, but he has in mind a few questions, which very possibly may aid the better qualified in discovering one reason for the many failures of the past.

What kind of a region is this which we wish to locate?
 Obviously it is a 'dvipa', whatever that may mean, and it must be a place fitted to serve as the abode of certain finite intelligences.

Is it one of the notable 'seven' dvipas which are represented as severally surrounded by one of the seven concentric sees?

Probably, for it is often so listed.

3. Which is the first, and which the last, of the seven as listed in the Puranas?

The first is Jambudvipa, the last Pushkaradvipa.

4. Where does the Vishnu Purana locate the seven?

After naming them it says, 'Jambudvipa is the centre of all these, and the centre of Jambudvipa is the golden mountain Meru.'

5. And what is Jambudvipa, according to the same Purana? Our Earth, 'a sphere', the abode of living men.

Where does the Sūrya Siddhānta locate Mount Meru!
 At the north pole of the Earth sphere,

7. What extra-terrestrial bodies, according to Plate and the astronomers of his time, center in our Earth and revolve about it?

Seven homocentric globes, each solid, yet so transpicuous that though we dwell inside them all, we may gaze right through the whirling seven every cloudless night and behold the vastly more distant stars unchangeably 'fixed' in or on the outermost of all the celestial spheres, the eighth. Reread the memorable cosmographical passage in Plato's Republic.

8. How were these seven invisible globes supposed to be related to the planets that we see?

The moon we see was represented as in some way made fast to the 'first' or innermost of the seven, and the movement of the visible Luna enables us to infer that one month is the time required by the invisible 'Lunar Sphere' in the making of one revolution. Of course, as every schoolboy should know, the Lunar Sphere incloses the whole Earth, shutting it in on every side. The second of the seven, far out beyond the lunar on every side, was supposed to be the Sohere of Helios, the Solar Sphere. Then at ever increasing distances revolved the concentric spheres of Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter and Saturn. In each case the luminary we study with the telescope is as distinct from the sphere to which it is attached as a locomotive's headlight is from the engine which bears it. Indeed, Milton calls the visible planet the 'officious lamp' of its invisible sphere. The 'Music of the Spheres', as so often explained, was supposed to result from their diverse rates of motion in revolution, and from their harmonic adjustment as to distance from each other.

9. If now in Hindu thought the seven concentric dvipes are, (or originally were) simply the concentric invisible spheres of the ancient Babylonian and Greek astronomers, and the seven concentric seas that separate them simply the intervening concentric spaces, oceanic in magnitude, what passages in the Kürma Puräna are at once seen to need no further harmonizing!

The passages cited by Professor Clark in last line of note on page 218 and line following. The two 'surroundings' by one and the same sea are no more difficult of conception than is a surrounding of the apheres of Jupiter and Mars by the sphere of Saturn. So also it is now plain how Sākadvīpa can be 'north' of Meru and at the same time 'east' of it. It is both, Has this view of the dvīpas and of the seven concentric seas ever bean proposed?

Certainly, more than thirty years ago. See page 459 of Paradisc Found, by W. F. Warren, Boston, 1885. Also his Earliest Cosmologies, New York 1909, page 91, n. et passim.

11. What does Professor Clark say of the distance of Sakadvipa from the abodes of men!

'The distance was never traversed by human feet, it was travelled through the air.' Note eight, page 210.

 When Nārada starts for Sākadvīpa, what direction does he take?

Not a northward, not an eastward, not a southward or westward; simply upward. He 'soars into the sky.' Page 231.

13. If he keeps on in his upward flight until he reaches the last heaven this side of Pushkaradvipa what kind of tenants will he there find!

Beings 'white' and 'sinless,' See the description in article of Professor Clark, pages 234ff. One statement reads: 'The effulgence which is emitted by each of them resembles the splendor which the sun assumes when the time comes for the dissolution of the universe.' Uncarthly to say the least.

14. What is the weight of the garments of one of these beings according to the Buddhist scriptures?

Divide one ounce into one hundred and twenty-eight parts and one of these parts will halance the garments in weight. In the ascending order of the heavens it is the last in which clothing of any kind is en règle.

. 15. Name of this heaven, next below Pushkara, in what seems to have been the orthodox Puranic list?

SAKADVIPA.

Small wonder that our results are unsatisfactory so long as we place polar Meru somewhere among the Himalayan ranges, and unramittingly scan all procurable maps of Asia for a region which is measureless miles above our heads.

BRIEF NOTES

A remark on Egyptian r 'part'

It is a well-known fact, that in Egyptian the word for mouth, r, has also the meaning 'part.' Difficulty, however, arises as soon as an attempt is made to explain the change of meaning. Sethe, in his brilliant monograph Von Zahlen und Zahlworfen bei den alten Aegyptern, Strassburg, 1916, p. 86, takes into account a few possibilities that might have been instrumental for this change. According to him, it may have been considered a 'mouthful,' analogous to the Hebrew yad, which was used to express the fractions, and which as such a designator may have been thought of as a 'handful'; or else as 'part' of the body, like Greek pépos, or as 'edge', 'rim' or 'side.' Apart from this use of r 'part' in the designation of fractions, the use of r 'mouth' in a metaphorical sense for 'chapter,' 'saying,' as a 'part' of a literary production is very common.

In an entirely unique way I find this word in my perusal of Erman's 'Reden, Rufe und Lieder auf Graeberbildern des Alten Reiches' (Abh. der Preus. Akad. der Wissenschaften), Berlin, 1919. On page 18 we read that a man calls to the butcher, 'Free me from him! this steer is mighty.' The answer, which the butcher returns, concerns us here. He calls back: nde sw r mnh mr-k. Erman renders this by 'Halt ihn ordentlich mit(1) deinem ' But this sentence allows no other translation than: 'Held him properly for thy part!' The use of the preposition m particularly favors this translation. The answer contains thus a slight rebuke to the man, who sits between the horns of the steer and holds him down for slaughter. The sense is thus: 'Instead of calling for my help, tend to your own

part of the work well.'

H. F. LUTZ

University of Pennsylvania

Bharata's treatize on dramaturgy (Nățya-šāstra)

Some of the members of our Society will be interested to learn of certain items from letters written from Poona, India, by Professor Belvalkar. He has in hand an edition and annotated version of this ancient and exceedingly important treatise. The items illustrate clearly some of the enormous advantages which native Indianists have over us Indianists of the Occident.

He tells me that his article upon the material available for a critical edition of this treatise (see Sanskrif Research, 1, 37-) has brought fruitful replies from various parts of India: 1. Report of a complete ms. of the text at Chidambaram (otherwise, Chilambaram; South Arcot, Madras, a few miles south of Cuddalore); 2. Report of the discovery in Malabar of an almost complete ms. of Abhinavagupta's commentary on the text; 3. Information as to 93 fine images painted on the inner walls of a temple of the XIII. century, illustrating the various dancing postures enumerated in chapter 4, stanzas 33 to 53 of our treatise. What is more: above each picture is a description of each posture, the description (in Grantha characters) agreeing word for word with those given in our treatise, chapter 4, stanzas 99. The pictures enable us to understand Bharata clearly.

CHARLES R. LIANMAN

Harvard University

PERSONALIA

Dr. B. Laures, curator of anthropology in the Field Museum of Chicago, was elected an honorary member of the Finnish Archaeological Society of Helsingfors on the occasion of the fiftieth anniversary of this Society on November 6, 1920, and a corresponding member of the Société des Amis de l'Art Asiatique, Hague, Holland. He was recently appointed also Honorary Curator of Chicago Antiquities in the Art Institute of Chicago.

In commemoration of the labors of Prof. FRIEDRICH HIRTH, of Columbia University, who attained the age of 75 years in April of this year, a 'Festschrift für Friedrich Hirth' is announced by the Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Kultur und Kunst des fernen Ostons (Oesterheid & Co., Berlin).

The Rev. C. H. W. Johns, M.A., Litt.D., late Master of St. Catharine's College, Cambridge University, and Assyriologist, died in August.

Prof. Richard Gorrnen, of Columbia University, is attached to the University of Strasbourg for the present academic year.

Dr. Henny Schaeffen has become Professor of Old Testament Exegesis in the Latheran Theological Seminary, Chicago.

NOTES OF THE SOCIETY

In accordance with Art. V, §2, of the Constitution of the Society, the Executive Committee, thru the Corresponding Secretary, reports the following actions taken by it since the last

annual meeting of the Society.

Pursuant to a vote of the Society (see Proceedings, in Journal, 40, 222), the Executive Committee took under consideration the proposal contained in the report of the Committee on Co-operation with the other Oriental Societies (Journal, 40, 215-216) that this Society co-operate with the other Oriental Societies in regard to planning a General Dictionary of Buddhism and issuing an appeal for aid in its preparation. The following resolution was submitted to each member of the Committee by the Secretary and was approved by four out of the five members (Professor Clay being absent from the country and unable to respond), on or before June 4, 1920.

'Whereas, the American Oriental Society, at its meeting held in Ithaca, N. Y., on April 6 and 7, 1920, referred the report of the Standing Committee on Co-operation with Other Oriental Societies to the Executive Committee with power to act upon the proposal contained in the report that this Society co-operate with the other Oriental Societies in regard to planning a General Dictionary of Buddhism and Issuing an appeal for aid in its preparation:

The Executive Committee, on behalf of the American Oriental Society, hereby gives the general approval of the Society to this undertaking and authorizes its representative on the Committee for planning the Dictionary to join in signing and circulating

the appeal that may be approved.'

Thereafter Professor James H. Woods, who is the representative of this Society on the joint Committee for planning the Dictionary of Buddhism, on his return from the joint meeting of Asiatic Societies held in Paris in July, 1920, submitted to the Executive Committee the subjoined 'Projet de Circulaire' with the request that this Society authorize its circulation in the same manner as the French and the British Societies had already agreed to do. This request was transmitted to each member of the Committee by the Secretary, and the issuance of the circular appeal was manimously approved by them, on or before Sept. 28, 1920.

On Saturday, Oct. 23, a meeting of the Executive Committee was held at Columbia University, New York City, all the members being present. The minutes of actions already taken thru correspondence votes (as stated above), were unanimously ratified and approved.

A resolution, 'that the American Oriental Society extend to the Asiatic Societies of England, France, and Italy an invitation to hold a joint meeting in this country at the time of the annual meeting of the American Society in 1921, or, if it seems preferable, at some other time in that year,' was referred to the decision of the Board of Directors, in such manner as the President of the Society might direct.

The matter of the investment of any uninvested capital belonging to the Society having been referred to the Executive Committee by the Board of Directors, it was voted: 'That the investment of such part of the funds of the Society as may seem wise shall be referred to the Treasurer with power to act, after consultation with and upon the advice of the Treasurer of Yale University.'

The affairs of the Committee on Preparation of a Statement setting forth the Scope, Character, Aims, and Purposes of Oriental Studies having been referred to the Executive Committee by the Board of Directors, it was voted: 'That the President appoint a committee from among the younger members of the Society to prepare a statement setting forth the aims and the importance of Oriental Studies, such committee to report to the Executive Committee at its next meeting.'

CHARLES J. Ouden, Corresponding Secretary.

PROJET DE CIRCULAIRE

La Frincation des Sociétés Astatiques (Amérique, Angleterre, France, Italie), a pris l'Initiative d'une publication qui grouperait dans un effort common des équipes nationales de savants crientaux et occidentaux. Elle a catrepris la préparation d'un Dictionanire Général du Rouddhisme (doctrine, histoire, géographie sacrée, etc.) fondé sur un dépouillement direct des sources (sanacrit, pall, tibétain, chinels, japunnis, langues de l'Indochine et de l'Asia Centrala) et élaboré par des spécialistes locaux dans chanun des pays de civilisation beaddhique, sous le contrôle d'un Conuté de direction élu par les Sociétés félérées.

Une parcille entreprise exige le concours d'un nombre cussidérable de travailleurs qu'il est nécessaire de retribuer, et elle comporte dès le début des frals élevés de miss en ocurre et de materiel. Le prix de ravient total, encure impossible à préciser, attendra des centaines de milliers de francs.

Pour couvrir ces dépenses, les Sociétés Fédérées solliciteut la générosité des souscripteurs. En tant que religion, philosophie, littérature, art, le boud-dhisme a joué dans le monde un rôle trop considérable pour qu'un homme cultivé puisse s'y déclarer indifférent.

Les souscriptions sunt recues.

The Directors, at the Annual Meeting, authorized the Editors to undertake the preparation of an Index of Volumes 21-40 of the JOUENAL. Prof. R. K. Yerkes has kindly consented to prepare this Index, and it will appear in 1921, to be sold at cost. It will be recalled that the Index to Volumes 1-20 was prepared by Mrs. George F. Moore and appeared in Vol. 21.

The Annual Meeting of the Middle West Branch of the Society will be held at the University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., February 25-26. Communications for the program should be sent to the Secretary, Prof. A. T. Olmstead, 706 So. Goodwin St., Urbana, Ill.

NOTES OF OTHER SOCIETIES

A Joint Meeting of the Oriental Societies of France, Great Britain, Italy and America was held in Paris, July 6-8. The representatives of the American Society present were Drs. Clay, Gottheil, Gray and Woods. The sessions were divided into two sections, of Near Asia and Far Asia. M. Senart, President of the French Society, gave a reception on Wednesday and there was a dinner on Thursday. The following was the program:

M. R. Gottheil. Sur une nouvelle typographie erientale.

M. Goloubew. Sur l'organisation au Musis Guinet, d'un dépôt de clichés archéologiques.—Communications de MM. Pelliot et Lartique sur leurs expéditions en Extreme-Orient. Projections.

Sir G. A. Grierson: Report on the Linguistic Survey of India.

M. Moillet: Sur le caractère des Gathas.

Dr. H. B. Morse: The super cargo in the China trade, circa 1700.

M. Codes: Les origines de la dynastie de Sukhodsya.

M. A. T. Clay: The Amerite name Jerusalem.

Dr. Cowley: A Hittite word in Hebrew.

M. Chabot: Traces de l'influence juive dans les inscriptions palmyréal-

Prof. St. Langdon. Sumerian Law Codes and the Semitic Code of Hammurabl. M. Mineraky: La secte permane des Ali-Allahl.

M. Lougworth Dames: The Portuguese and Turks in the Indian Ocean in the XVIth Century.

M. P. Pelliot: Un vocabulaire arabe mongol et un vocabulaire sinemongol du XIVe siècle,

M. Archambault: Le sphinx, le dragon et la colombe, d'après les manuments de la Nouvelle-Calédonia.

M. Krenkow; The second volume of the Kitab al Ma'ani of Ibn Quraiba.

M. Gandefroy Demombynes: Le manuscrit d'Ibn Khaldeun des Quracuin

M. Thursan-Dangis. Rituel du temple d'Anou à Ourouk.

M. Casanova: Un alphabet magique.

M. Cl. Huart: Un commentaire du Coran en Ture d'Asie Mineure (xve micele).

M. C. D. Blagden: Résumé of Malay Studies.

M. Masson Cursel: Sur la signification du mot dharma à travers l'histoire de l'Inde.

M. Mukerjee: Belvedere (an archaological Account of a home occupied by the Lieut-Governor of Bengal).

M. G. Perrund: La Chine dans Ya'kubi.

M. Shlersky: L'astronomie et la science orientale.

M. Deny: Futuwet namels et romans de chevalerie tures.

M. Delafosse: Sur l'unité des langues négro-africaines.

M. Bourdais: L'action originalle des forces naturelles dans le premier écrit de la Genèse.

M. Dunma: Sources ottomanns laédites de l'histoire des Tartares.

The second general meeting of the Palestine Oriental Society (see above, p. 76) was held in Jerusalem on May 25. The following papers were presented: Professor Clay, 'The Amorite origin of the name of Jerusalem'; Père Lagrange, 'Les noms géographiques de Palestine dans l'ancienne version des Évangiles : Mr. Phythian-Adams, 'An early race of Palestine'; Mr. Idelson, 'A comparison of some coelesiastical modes with traditional synagogual melodies'; Père Dhorme, 'L'assyrien au secours du livre de Job'; Dr. Albright, 'Mesopotamian influence in the temple of Solomon'; Père Decloedt, 'Note sur une mommie de bronze de Bar Cochba'; Mr. H. E. Clurk, 'The evolution of flint instruments from the early palaeolithic to the neolithic'; Mr. Ben Yehuda, 'The language of the Edomites'; Mr. Rafnell, 'Recent coin discoveries in Palestine'; Professor Peters, 'Notes of locality in the Psalter'; Mr. J. D. Whiting, 'The Samaritan Pentateuch'; Mr. Tolkowsky, 'A new translation of methog ha-ammah, 2 Sam. S. 1'; Mr. Lind, 'Prehistoric Palestine'; Professor Worrell, 'The interchange of Sin and Shin in Semitie and its bearing on polarity'; Père Orfali, 'Un sanctuaire canannéen à Siar el Ganem'; Mr. Eitan, 'Quelques racines inconnues dans le livre de Job'; Dr. Slousch, 'Nouvelle interprétation d'une inscription phénicienne'. The Society is preparing to publish its proceedings. The present membership in Palestine numbers 145.

The reorganized University of Strasbourg announces a department of the History of Religious, which will include members of both the Catholic and Protestant faculties. M. Alfaric has been appointed to the newly created chair of History of Religious. The program of lectures for this year includes general courses, and courses on the Egyptian, Semitic, and Indo-European Religious, and Christianity, primitive, mediaeval and modern.

The lectures for this winter under the auspices of the American Committee on the History of Religious are being given by Dr. Frederick J. Bliss, on the subject, The Secret Cults of Syria, covering the history and tenets of the Isma'ilis, the Nusairis and the Druses. These lectures are given at Union Seminary, University of Pennsylvania, Johns Hopkins University, Auburn Theological Seminary, Rochester Theological Seminary, Cornell University, Meadville Theological Seminary, Oberlin University, University of Chicago, and Hartford Theological Seminary.

The first volume of the Annual of the American School of Oriental Research in Jerusalem has appeared under the editorship of Prof. Charles C. Torrey. The papers, all contributed by former Directors of the School, are: 'A Phoenician Necropolis at Sidon,' by C. C. Torrey; 'The Walls of Jerusalem,' by H. G. Mirchell; 'Survivals of Primitive Religion in Modern Palestine,' by L. B. Paton; 'Gleanings in Archaeology and Epigraphy,' by W. J. Moulton. The volume is illustrated with 77 plates. It is published by the Yale University Press.

An Asiatic Society has been organized at the University of Illinois with a membership already of over forty. The purpose is expressed in the constitution as follows: (1) interest in the Asiatic peoples, their history, civilization, and present problems; (2) scientific instruction and research on Asiatic topics, including the development of the University Library and the Oriental Museum: (3) social intercourse among members on the basis of these common interests. Members are to be chosen from faculty

and both American and Asiatic students, on the basis of scholar-ship and interest in this development. Members returning to the Orient become corresponding members and without dues, with the hope that they will retain a permanent interest in the development of Asiatic studies at the University and in the education of their fellows. Officers have been chosen as follows: President, Professor E. B. Greene, Department of History: Vice President, A. P. Paterno, Philippines; Secretary, Professor A. T. Olmstead, Department of History; Treasurer, B. N. Bysack, India; Executive Committee, Professor David Carnahan, Dean of Foreign Students; N. Uyei, Japan; C. C. Yu, China; F. S. Rodkey, America.

The École Biblique of the Dominican Monastery in Jerusalem has been officially recognized as the French School of Archaeology in Jerusalem and will doubtless be affiliated with the proposed French School in Syria. The Pontifical Institute (Jesuit) in Rome is establishing a similar school in Jerusalem under the auspices of the Italian government.

The Department of Antiquities of the Government of Palestine has granted the following concessions for excavation: to the University of Pennsylvania Museum, Be.san; to the Jewish Archaeological Society, Tiberias and Artûf; to the Dominicans in Jerusalem, 'Ain Dûk, near Jericho. A group of Swedish and Finnish archaeologists are seeking a consession for Tell el-Kådi, near Banias, in French territory.

LIST OF MEMBERS

The number placed after the aldress indicates the year of election, a designates members decreased during the year year.

HONORARY MEMBERS

Sir Ramerishea Goral Bhanbarran, C.L.E., Decem College, Poona, India, 1887.

Prof. CHARLES CLESMONT-GANNEAU, I Avenue de l'Alma, Paris. 1959. Prof. T. W. RHYS DAVIDS, Cotteratock, Chipstead, Surrey, England. 1907.

Prof. BERTHOLD DELERGICE, University of Jona, Germany. 1878.

Prof. PRIEBRICH DELITESCH, University of Berlin, Germany. 1898.

Prof. Adolph Erman, Berlin-Steglitz-Dahlem, Germany, Peter Lembstr.

72, 1903.

Sir ARTHUR EVANS, Ashmolean Museum, Oxford, England. 1919.

Prof. Richard Game, University of Tübingen, Germany. (Bissinger Str. 14.) 1902.

Prof. Kant F. Gredner, University of Marburg, Germany. 1905. Prof. Israz Goldzinian, vii Hollo Utem 4, Budapost, Hungary. 1906.

Sir Gemoe A. Gurenson, C.I.E., D.Litt., I.C.S. (retired), Rathfaraham, Camberley, Surrey, England. Corporate Member, 1899; Hon., 1905. Prof. Ignazio Genu, University of Rome, Italy. (Via Botteghe Oscure 24.) 1893.

Prof. Hermann Jacous, University of Bonn, 59 Niebuhrstrusse, Bonn, Germany, 1909.

Prof. Sylvany Lavi, Collège de France, Paris. (9 Rus Guy-de la Brosse, Paris, Va.) 1917.

Prof. ABTHUR ANTHONY MACDONILL, University of Oxford, England. 1918.

Prof. EDUARD MEYER, University of Berlin, Germany. (Gross-Lichterfelde-West, Mommsenatz. 7.) 1908.

Prof. THEODON NOLDERE, Karlsruhe, Germany, Ettlingerer. 53, 1878.

1 Prof. Hentranes Oldernmes, University of Gittingen, Germany. (27,29

Nikolausberger Weg.) 1910.

Prof. Engand Sachau, University of Berlin, Germany. (Wormserstr. 12, W.) 1887.

Prof. Aschinata H. Savon, University of Oxford, England. 1893.

Prof. V. Scueri, Membro de l'Institut de France, 4th Rue du Cherche-Midi, Paris, France. 1920.

EMBE SEVART, Membre de l'Institut de Prance, 18 Rus François I. Paris, Prance. 1908.

Prof. C. Snock Hummonia, University of Leiden, Natherlands, (Witte Singel 84a.) 1914.

F. W. THOMAS, M.A., Hon, Ph.D., The Library, India Office, London S. W. I, England. 1920.

Phancon Thuman-Danger, Musée du Louvre, Paris, France. 1918.

[Total: 25]

CORPORATE MEMBERS

Names marked with * are those of life members.

Rev. Dr. JUSTIN EDWARDS ABBOTT, 120 Hobert Ave., Summit, N. J. 1900. Mrs. Justin E. Ashort, 120 Hobert Ave., Summit, N. J. 1912.

Pres. Cyans Amer (Dropais College), 2041 North Broad St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1884.

Prof. Abourn Eaway, Berlin-Sheglitz-Dahlem, Germany, Peter Lennestr. Dr. WILLIAM FORWELL ALUMBER, American School for Oriental Research, Jernsalem, Palmtine. 1915.

Dr. Thomas Greens Albert (Univ. of Chicago), 5743 Maryland Ave. Chicago, Ill. 1917.

Dr. Oswalla T. Annie, 26 Alexander Hall, Princeton Theological Seminary, Princeton, N. J. 1916.

FRANCIS C. ANSCOMRE, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md. 1918. Smarne Azaki, Care of S. Chujo, 21 Hayashicho, Hengeku, Tokyo, Japan, 1915.

Prof. J. C. Ascher (Yale Univ.), 571 Orange St., New Haven, Coun. 1916. Prof. Kanichi Asanawa, Yalo University Library, New Haven, Conn. 1904.

Prof. William Francisc Bank (Parific School of Religion), 2616 College Ave., Berkeley, Calif. 1920.

CRASERS CHARGE BARES, Care International Petroleum Co., Apartado 163, Tampico, Mealen. 1916.

Hon. Street E. Ralbwis, LLD., 44 Wall St., New Haven, Conn. 1886. Dr. Huddar Banning, 17 East 128th St., New York, N. Y. 1915.

PHILLIP LEMBERT BARDOUR, CATE Mrs. Geo. H. Moure, 7 West 924 St., New York, N. Y. 1917.

Prof. LEROT CARR BARRET, Trinity College, Hartford, Conn. 1903.

Prof. Grongs A. Banron, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, Pa. 1888.

Mrs. Daniel M. Bares, 51 Brattle St., Cambridge, Mass. 1912.

Prof. L. W. BATTEN (General Theol. Seminary), 3 Children Square, Nov. York, N. Y. 1894.

Prof. Hannas P. Brack (Yale Univ.), 346 Willow St., New Haven, Conn. 1898.

Miss Event. Berry, 3414 South Paulina St., Chicago, Ill. 1915.

*Dr. SHRIPAD E. BELVALKAR, Poeran College, Poous, via Bombay, India. 1914.

Miss Bette Bendank, 420 West 121st St., New York, N. Y. 1915.

Prof. HAMER'S H. BENDER, Princeton University, Princeton, N. J. 1906. E. BEN YEHUDA, Care of Zionist Commission, Jerusalem, Palestina

Prof. O. THEODOES BENZE, D.D. (Mt. Airy Theol. Semicary), 7304 Boyer St., Mr. Airy, Pa. 1916.

Oscia Reman, Third, Plum & McFarland Sts., Chreinnati, Ohio. 1920. PIRES A. Bennard, 662 West End Ave., New York, N. Y. 1914.

Isaab W. Beanders, Inter So. Hidg., Louisville, Ky. 1920.

Prof. Ground R. Benny, Colgate University, Hamilton, N. Y. 1907.

Prof. Julius A. Rewes, Union Theological Seminary, Broadway and 120th St., New York, N. Y. 1907.

Dr. WILLIAM STURGIS BIGHLOW, 60 Beacon St., Boston, Mass. 1894.

Prof. FREDERICK L. BIED, 606 Beall Ave., Wooster, Ohio. 1917.

Carl W. Bismor, University of Pennsylvania Museum, Philadelphia, Pa. 1917.

Dr. PRANK RENGOLD BLAKE (Johns Hopkins Univ.), 109 W. Monument St., Baltimore, Md. 1900.

Dr. Pagoerick J. Bigss, 1155 Yale Sta., New Haven, Conn. 1898.

Prof. Cast Access Blommann (Augustana College and Theel Seminary), 825 35th St., Rock Island, Ill. 1900.

Prof. LEONARD BLOOMFIELD (Univ. of Illinois), 864 W. Oregon St., Urbana, III. 1917.

Prof. Matunes Bloomsteld, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md. 1881.

PAUL F. BLOOMBARDT, 601 Cathedral St., Baltimore, Md. 1916.

Dr. ALPRED BOSSIER, Le Rivage près Chambery, Switzerland. 1897.

Prof. Grouce M. Bonzani (Ohio State Univ.), 777 Franklin Ave., Columbus, Ohio. 1896.

Prof. Campung, Bonnum, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich. 1920.

Prof. Ehwand L. Bonnorm (Oberlin Graduate School of Theology), 78

So. Professor St., Oberlin, Ohio. 1920.

Prof. James Henry Breasted, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1891. Miss Entite Grace Binnes, 124 Third St., Lakewood, N. J. 1920.

Prof. C. A. BRODIE BROCKWELL, McGill University, Montreal, P. Q., Canada. 1920.

Rev. CRARLES D. BROKENSHIRE, Lock Box 56, Alma, Mich. 1917.

Mes. Braymus Alland Baroks, Wellesley, Mass. 1919.

Minron Ranges, 2 Clive Row, Calcutta, India. 1918.

Boy. Dr. Green William Brown (Transylvania College), 422 Davidson Court, Lexington, Ky. 1966.

LED M. BROWN, P. O. Box 953, Mahile, Als. 1920.

Dr. William Norman Brown, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md. 1916.

Prof. Cana Dancino Buck, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1892.

Luptow S. Burn, Litchfield, Conn. 1917.

ALETANDER H. BULLOUE, State Mutual Building, Woccoster, Mass. 1910. Dr. E. W. BURLOWAME, 93 Chestnut St., Albany, N. Y. 1910.

Prof. Jone M. Burnam (Univ. of Cincinnati), 3413 Whitfield Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio. 1920.

CHARLES DANA BURRAUF, 55 Ames Building, Boston, Mass. 1909.

Prof. Rouans Burns, Catholis University of America, Washington, D. C. 1915,

Prof. Howard CROSSY BUTLER, Princeton University, Princeton, N. J. 1808.

Prof. Mosses Burreswitzer (Hebres Union College), 257 Loraine Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio. 1917.

Prof. Ecuric H. Brace (Univ. of Wisconsin), 240 Lake Laws Place, Madison, Wis. 1917.

Prof. HERRY J. CADDUNY, 1075 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, Maas. 1914.

24 JAOS (0

Rev. Dr. John Campbell, 3055 Kingsbridge Ave., New York, N. Y. 1896. Rev. ISAAU CANNADAY, M.A., 541 Lexington Ave., New York, N. Y. 1920, Prof. ALBERT J. Cannor, 50 rue des Joyeusse Entrées, Louvain, Belgium-1916.

Dr. L. M. Casanowicz, U. S. National Museum, Washington, D. C. 1893. Rev. John S. Chandler, Sunnyside, Rayapettab, Madras, Scuthero India-1899.

Dr. P. D. CHESTER, The Bristol, Boston, Mass. 1891.

Dr. Edward Chirms (Univ. of Pennsylvania), 1538 South Broad St., Philadelphin, Pa. 1916.

Prof. Walter E. Chark, Box 222, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1906.

Prof. ALBRET T. CLAY (Yale Univ.), 401 Humphrey St., New Haven, Conn. 1907.

Prof. Campus M. Conens, Allegheny College, Mondville, Pa. 1918.

*ALEXANDER SMITH COCMBAN, 820 5th Ave., New York, N. Y. 1908.

ALERED M. COHEN, 9 West 4th St., Cincinnati, Ohio. 1920.

Dr. Gronne H. Conex, 120 Capitol Ave., Hartford, Conn. 1929.

Rabbi Henny Conex, D.D., 1926 Broadway, Galveston, Teres. 1920.

Rabbi Samuel S. Cohen, 4100 Washington Boulevard, Chicago, Ill. 1917.

KENNETH COLEMOYZ, 105 Harris Hall, Evenston, III. 1920.

*Groune Wermone Cotales, 62 Fort Greens Place, Brooklyn, N. Y. 1882. Prof. HERMANN COLLETS (Johns Hopkins University), 1027 Calvert St., Baltimore, Md. 1887.

Prof. C. EVERETT CONANT, Univ. of Chattanooga, Chattanooga, Tena. 1905.

Dr. ARANDA K. COOMARASWAMT, Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Mass-1917.

EDWIN SANTORD CRANDON, Transcript Office, Boston, Mass. 1917.

Ret. William Meeriam Chang, Richmund, Mass. 1902.

Prof. Grames Dam. (Yale Univ.), 51 Avon St., New Haven, Conp. 1918.

Prof. John D. Davis, Princeton Theological Seminary, Princeton, N. J. 1888,

Prof. FRANK LIMITTON DAY, Randolph-Macon College, Ashland, Va. 1930. Prof. Inwin H. Dr. Lone, Theological Seminary of the Beformed Church, Laucister, Pa. 1916.

Rouser E. Denot.es, 2724 North Brund St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1920.

Prof. ALTER L. P. DENNIS, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis. 1990.

Mrs. Prancis W. Diexies, 2015 Columbia Roud, Washington, D. C. Dr. Viccali Disamaw, Mahababasgar, Haidarabad, India. 1815.

Rev. Dr. D. STRART DODGE, 99 John St., New York, N. Y. 1867.

Louis A. Done, Urbana, Ohio. 1916.

LEDN DOMINIAN, Comes Club, Washington, D. C. 1916.

Rev. A. T. Donr, 1635 N. Washimaw Ave., Chicago, Ill. 1918.

Prof. RATHOND P. DOUBLERY, Goucher College, Baltimore, Md. 1918.

Hey, WALTER DEUM, S.J., Woodstock College, Woodstock, Md. 1915. Rev. Ww. Hangers, Du Bose, University of the South, Sewance, Tenn.

Prof. F. C. Duncals, University of Texas, Austin, Texas. 1919.

Dr. GEORGE S. DUNCAN, 2900 7th St., N. E., Washington, D. C. 1917.

Prof. PRINKLIN Engineeron (Univ. of Pennsylvania), 107 Bryn Mawr Ave., Landowne, Pa. 1910.

WHALLY F. EDGERTON, Danby Road, Ithnea, N. Y. 1917.

Mrs. ARTHUR C. EDWARDS, 300 West 91st St., New York, N. Y. 1915.

Prof. GRANVILLE D. EDWARDS (Missouri Bible College), S11 College Ave., Columbia, Mo. 1917.

Dr. Isnart L Ernos, 146 North Broadway, Baltimore, Md. 1918.

Prof. PREDERICK G. C. EISKLEN, Garcett Biblical Institute, Evanator, III. 1901.

Rabbi Isnam, Envernmen, M.A., L.H.D., 2009 Thomas St., Chicago, Ill. 1920.

ALBERT W. ELLIS, 40 Central St., Boston, Mass. 1917.

William T. Ettis, Swarthmore, Pa. 1912.

Dr. AARON EMBER, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md. 1902.

Prof. HENRY LANE Exo, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J. 1916.

Rabbi Hanny W. Krymson, Hotel Lorraine, Broad St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1918.

Prof. C. P. Fagnani (Union Theol. Seminary), 606 W. 1224 St., New York, N. Y. 1901.

Prof. Enwir Whiteenen Fax (Univ. of Texas), 200 West 24th St., Austin, Texas, 1888.

Rabbi Amanam J. Fridman, Keneseth Israel Temple, Broad St., Philadelphia, Po. 1929.

Dr. JOHN F. FERLON, Catholic Univ. of America, Washington, D. C. 1915. Dr. JOHN C. FERGUSON, Peking, China. 1900.

Rabbi Joseph L. Fisk, 540 South 6th St., Terre Haute, Ind. 1920.

Dr. HENEY C. FINKEL, District National Bank Building, Washington, D. C. 1912.

Changener S. Pinnze, University of Pennsylvania Museum, Philadelphia, Pa. 1914.

Rev. Dr. Rudhell, E. W. Foshmore, General Theological Seminary, Chelsea Square, New York, N. Y. 1917.

Prof. Jas. Evenery France (Union Theol. Seminary), Broadway and 120th St., New York, N. Y. 1892.

Rabbi Leo M. FRANKLIN, M.A., 10 Edison Ave., Detroit, Mich. 1920.

Rabbi Solomow B. FERRIOF, 3426 Bornet Ave., Ginchanti, O. 1918.

Manusce J. Phenero, Pirst National Bank Hldg., Cincinenti, O. 1920. Smarch Pary, 632 Irrington Ava., Huntington Park, Calif. 1920.

(Prof. Issue), Parket aknown (Jewish Theol. Seminary), 29 Hamilton Torrace, New York, N. Y. 1920.

Prof. John Faren, 2620 Durant Ave., Berkeley, Cal. 1917.

Prof. Lucius Enwise Pullus, Garrett Biblical Institute, Evanston, III.

Prof. KEMPER FULLMITON, Oberlin Theological Seminary, Oberlin, Ohio. 1918.

t Dr. Wm. HESET FURNESS, 3d, 1996 Samsom St., Philindelphia, Pa. 1913.

Dr. MAUDE H. GARRELER, Baylor College, Belton, Texas. 1915.

Dr. Clau Glenszum (Concordia College), 3117 Cedar St., Milwankee, Wis-1917.

ALEXANDER B. GALT, 2319 California St., Washington, D. C. 1917.

Mrs. WILLIAM TUDOR GARDINER, 29 Brimmer St., Boston, Mass. 1915.

ROBERT GARRETT, Continental Building, Baltimore, Md. 1903.

Rev. Frank Gavin, S.S.J.E., St. Francis House, Cambridge, Mass. 1917.

Dr. HENRY SNYDER GERMAN, 5720 North 6th St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1916.

HUGENE A. GELLOT, 290 Broadway, New York, N. Y. 1911.

Rev. F. Georgeniu, S.M., S.T.L., Marist College, Brookland, D. C. 1916.

Miss Alica Gerry, 75 ave. des Champs Elysées, Paris, France. 1915. Prof. Basil Lanneau Gilbersheve (Johns Hopkins University), 1902 N. Calvert St., Baltimore, Md. 1858.

DWEIGHT GODDARD, LANCASTER, Mass. 1920.

Rahbi S. H. Golnman, Ph.D., 4905 Fifth Ava., Pittsburgh, Pa. 1930.

Rabbi Solomon Gonman, 55th & Scoville Sts., Cleveland, O. 1520. PHILIF J. GOODHART, 21 West S1st St., New York, N. Y. 1920.

Prof. ALEXANDER R. GORDON, Presbyterian College, Montreal, Canada. 1912,

Prof. RICHARD J. H. GOTTHER, Columbia University, New York, N. Y. 1886.

Ernepon Gould, 165 Broadway, New York, N. Y. 1914.

Prof. Hennest Renex Gowen, D.D. (Univ. of Washington), 5005 22d Ave. N. E., Seattle, Wash. 1920.

Prof. ELHU GRANT, Haverford College, Haverford, Pa. 1907.

Dr. Louis H. Gaar, 108 West 78th St., New York, N. Y. 1897.

Mrs. Louis H. Gnay, 108 West 78th St., New York, N. Y. 1907.

M. E. Gerrateraum, 4504 Drezel Bivd., Chicago, Ill. 1920.

Prof. Robert F. Garbert, Mercedes, Texas. 1918.

Dr. ETTALENE M. GEICE, Care of Babylouinn Coffeetion, Vale University, New Haven, Conn. 1915.

Miss Lucia C. G. Gerryz, Violet Hill Parm, Martindale Deput, N. Y. 1894.

Dr. HERVET D. Gurewold, 307 Eddy St., Ithaca, N. Y. 1920.

Prof. Louis Gaussmann (Hobrew Union College), 2212 Park Ave., Cinsinnati, Ohio. 1890.

Pres. W. W. Guyn, Ph.D., Goucher College, Baltimore, Md. 1920.

*Dr. Grosse C. O. Haas, 323 West 22d St., New York, N. Y. 1903.

Rev. K. K. Hamawat, 2504 Garrison Ave., Baltimore, Md. 1918.

Miss Luiss Harsatzs, 100 Morningside Drive, New York, N. Y. 1909. Dr. Gronce Engagy Hale, Director, Mt. Wilson Observatory, Pasadana,

Calif. 1920.

Dr. B. Halfen, 1903 North 33d St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1919.

Mrs. Ina M. Hanchers, 523 Fourth Ave., Council Blaffs, Iowa. 1912.

Prof. Max Hamman, University of Texas, Austin, Texas. 1919.

Prof. W. H. P. Harth, Cambridge Theological School, Cambridge, Mass.

Prof. Paul Hauer (Johns Hopkins Univ.), 215 Longwood Road, Boland Park, Baltimore, Md. 1883.

DANIEL P. HAYS, 115 Brondway, New York, N. Y. 1920,

Mrs. Euwand L. Heisgheder, 3584 Alaska Ava., Cinclinati, O. 1920.

Rabbi James G. Hunter, 3634 Reading Road, Cincinnati, O. 1829.

Prof. Maximum Hannin (Tulane Univ.), 1828 Marengo St., New Orleans, La. 1930.

EDWARD A. HENRY, Box 217, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1917.

PHILIP S. HENRY, 1402 Massachusetts Ave., Washington, D. C. 1914.

Pref. HERMARK V. HILFERDIT, 1321 Spruce St., Philadelphia, Po. 1837.

Prof. WHIRLAM J. HINER (Auburn Theol. Seminary), 156 North St., Auburn, N. Y. 1907.

Prof. Euro G. Henson (Univ. of Chicago), 3612 Grand Boulevard, Chicago, III. 1917.

BESNAED HUSBURED, 260 Told Lane, Youngstown, Ohio. 1020.

Prof. Phinomica Harri, Clemenstr. 30, München, Germany. 1903.

Dr. PHILLE K. HITEL (Columbia University), 2029 Broadway, New York, N. Y. 1915.

Rev. Dr. Lawin Husson (Hartford Seminary Foundation), 9 Summer St., Hartford, Com., 1919.

THEOROGE HOPELER, 59 Ashland Ave., Buffalo, N. Y. 1920.

G. P. Horr, 405 Union Bldg., San Diego, Calif. 1920.

Denn Alica M. Holmes (Colby College), For Hall, Waterville, Ma. 1920.

*Prof. E. Washinger Horking (Yale Univ.), 299 Lawrence St., New

Haven, Conn. 1881. Sastur, Hongmow, 1307 Fourth St., Portenouth, Ohio. 1920.

Prof. STANLEY E. HOERINGCK, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis. 1917.

Prof. Jacon Honomannes, 3220 Monument Ava., Philadelphia, Pa. 1914.

HENRY R. HOWLAND, Natural Science Building, Buffalo, N. Y. 1907.

Dr. EDWARD H. HUME, Chungsha, Human, China. 1909.

Prof. Roman Exest Home (Union Theol. Seminary), 506 W. 122d St., New York, N. Y. 1914.

*Dr. Anoman M. Huntington, 15 West Stat St., New York, N. Y. 1912. (Solomon T. H. Hunwitz, 217 East 69th St., New York, N. Y. 1812.

Prof. Isaac Hume (Univ. of Pennsylvania), 408 S. 9th St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1916.

Prof. Mast INDA HUSSEY, Mt. Holyoke College, South Hadley, Mass. 1901.

*JAMES HAZEN HYDE, 18 rue Adolphe Yvon, Paris, France. 1909.

Prof. Walter Woodness Hrns, College Hall, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa. 1920.

Prof. HENEY HYVENAY (Catholic Univ. of America), 3405 18th St., N. E. (Brockland), Washington, D. C. 1889.

IRRAI, Ats Sman, University Union, Edinburgh, Scotland. 1920.

Rabbi Enwarn L. Ismast, Springfield, Ill. 1920.

MELTIN M. IRRAEL, 50 East 58th St., New York, N. Y. 1930.

Prof. A. V. Williams Jackson, Columbia University, New York, N. Y. 1885.

Mrs. A. V. Williams Jackson, Care of Columbia University, New York, N. Y. 1912. Prof. PREBERGE J. POLEES JACKSON, Union Theological Seminary, Broadway & 120th St., New York, N. Y. 1920.

Roy. Elexant P. Janvier, care Ewing Christian College, Allahabad, India-19190

Prof. Monnis Jastrow, Jr. (Univ. of Pennsylvania), 248 South 23d St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1886.

(Rev. HENRY P. JENKS, Canton Corner, Mass. 1874.

Prof. James Richard Jawerr, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. 1887.

PRANK ROWARD JOHNSON, 421 Washington St., Norwichtown, Conn. 1916. R. F. Johnston, Chang Wang Hutung, The Old Drum Tower Road, Peking, China. 1619,

PLONIN HOWARD JONES, BOX 95, Coytesville, N. J. 1918.

Mina Army Juneau, Green Hall, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1920. Julius Kana, 429 Wick Ave., Youngstown, Ohio. 1920.

VARIAN II. KALENDERIAN, Columbia University, New York, N. Y. 1920. Rabbi Jacos H. Karlan, 780 E. Ridgeway Ave., Cincinnati, O. 1915.

Rev. Dr. C. E. KEISER, Lyon Station, Pa. 1913.

Prof. Maximulan L. Kellings, Episcopal Theological School, Cambridge, Mass. 1886.

Prof. Presidence T. Krill (Univ. of Wisconnin), 2019 Moures St., Madison, Wis 1037.

Pres. James A. Kilso, Western Theological Seminary, Pittsburgh, Pa. 1915,

Prof. Eliza H. Kendston, Wellesley College, Wellesley, Mass. 1896.

Prof. Charles Footh Kratt (Yale Univ.), 415 Humphrey St., New Haven, Coun. 1890.

Prof. ROLAND G. KERT, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa-

Leens C. Kens, 5238 Westminster Place, Pittsburgh, Pa. 1918.

L. KETETTI, 6044 Woodlawn Ave., Chicago, III. 1926.

Prof. Geneue L. Kerraspen (Harvard Univ.), 9 Hilliard St., Cambridge, Mass. 1829.

Evanue Klerk, 1314 Cheannut St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1920.

Dr. K. Norten (Hebrew Unlan College), 2016 Stanton Ave., Cincinnati, Ohlo 1917.

Res. Eigh G. H. Knastine, Ph.D. (Union Theol. Seminary), 132 Hours St. Brooklyn, N. T. 1920.

Hev. Greenes S. Kriem, Care Y. M. C. A., Davies-Bryan Bldg., Calro, Egypt 1917.

Rev. Dr. M. G. Kynz, 1122 Arrott St., Prankford, Philadelphia, Pa. 1909. HAROLD ALBERT LAMB, 7 West 92d St., New York, N. Y. 1630.

Prof. Governmen Landstrom, Res 12, Zap, Mercer Co., N. Dak. 1917.

*Prof. CHAMMEN ROCKWELL LANMAN (Hurrard Univ.), 9 Farrar St., Cambridge, Mass. 1876.

Prof. Kanners S. Lavorzautz, Denison University, Granville, Ohio. 1917. Dr. Berrious Laures, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Ill. Rabbi Jacon Z. Lauvennach, Ph.D., Hebrew Union College, Cincinnati, Ohio. 1918.

Rabbi Morars S. Lazaron, 1712 Linden Ave., Baltimore, Md. 1917.

D. A. LEAVITT, 44 N. Ashland Blvd., Chiengo, Ill. 1929.

T. Y. LEO, Chinese Consulate, 15 Broadway, New York, N. Y. 1916.

Rabbl Garson B. Lavi, 5000 Grand Boulevard, Chicago, Ill. 1917.

Samper J. Levission, 522 East 8th St., Brooklyn, N. Y. 1050,

Dr. FELIX A. LEVY, 707 Melrose St., Chicago, Ill. 1917.

Dr. H. S. LIEFUELD, Dropsic College, Philadelphia, Pa. 1912.

Prof. Evro Laurman, University of Bons, Bons, Cermany. 1912.

Mrs. Lee Lora, 53 Gilbert St., Charleston, S. C. 1980.

Prof. LENDSAY H. LONGACER, 2272 South Filmore St., Denver, Colo. 1918.

Rev. Anxone Look, Crozier Seminary, Bradford, N. Y. 1920.

Dr. STEPHEN B. LUCE, University of Pa. Museum, Philadelphia, Pa. 1916.

Prof. Daniel D. Lughermull, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1912. Dr. Hexey F. Luzz, 4509 Springfield Ave., Philadelphia, Pa. 1010.

Prof. Album Howe Lybres (Univ. of Illinois), 1009 W. California St., Urbana, Ill. 1917.

1*Benjamus Smrn Lyman, 269 South 4th St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1871.
Prof. David Gondon Lyon, Harvard University Semitic Museum, Cambridge, Mass. 1882.

ALBERT MORTON LYTHOUR, Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York,

N. Y. 1809. Prof. CHENTER CHARLESON McCown, D.D. (Parific School of Religion), 2023 Alberton St., Borkeley, Calif. 1920.

Prof. Duncan B. Macdonald, Hartford Theological Seminary, Hartford, Conn. 1803.

Dr. D. I. Macirt, Dep't of Pharmacology, Johns Hopkins University, Mounment and Washington Sta., Baltimore, Md. 1918.

Raise W. Mack 3826 Reading Road, Checkmati, O. 1929.

Rabbi Epuan F. Manuro, 2187 West 16th St., Les Angeles, Calif. 1920.

Prof. HEBBERT W. Macoure, 70 Kirkland St., Cambridge, Mass. 1587.

WALTER A. MARE, 70 Teptiff St., Derekester, Mass. 1927.

Prof. HENRY Marves (Dropsie College), 1531 Diamond St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1920.

Habbi Louis L. Mann, 575 Orange St., New Haven, Conn. 1917.

Rabbi Jacon R. Manous, Hebrer Union College, Chreinnall, O. 1920.

BALFH MASCUS, 521 West 124th St., New York, N. Y. 1920.

ARTHUR WILLIAM MARKET, 157 Homestead St., Roxbury, Mass. 1920.

HARRY S. Manoulle, Hebrew Union College, Cincinnati, O. 1929.

Prof. Max L. Mangonis (Dropsie College), 152 W. Hortter St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1890.

Prof. ALLAN Manquano, Princeton University, Princeton, N. J. 1888.

Dr. James P. Marser, 1828 Fifth Ave., Troy, N. Y. 1919.

Pres. H. L. Manumant (Karon Theol. Seminary), Insein, Burma, India-

JOHN MARTIN, North Adams, Mass. 1917.

Prof. D. Roy Marnews, 1401 East 63d Place, Chicago, Ill. 1920.

Babbi Ett Mayen, Ph.D., Capitol Station, Box 1, Albany, N. T. 1920.

Rev. Dr. JOHN A. MAYNARD, 175 9th Ave., New York, N. Y. 1017.

Prof. THEOPHILE J. MEEK (Mendville Theological Seminary), 650 Arch St., Maadville, Pa. 1917.

HENRY Mars, 506 Walnut St., Cincinnati, O. 1920;

Prof. Samuel. A. B. Mencies (Western Togol. Seminary), 2738 Washington Boulevard, Chicago, Ill. 1912.

R. D. MESSAYER, 49 East 127th St., New York, N. Y. 1919.

Mrs. Everer Mayer, Seven Springs Farm, Mt. Kisco, N. Y. 1916.

Rev. Dr. Martin A. Martin, 2109 Jackson St., San Francisco, Cal. 1909.

Myron M. Mayrrovers (Hebrew Union College), 535 Rockdaie Ave., Cmcinnati, O. 1929.

Dr. Tauman Michelson, Bureau of American Ethnology, Washington, D. C. 1899.

Mrs. HELEN LOVELS. MILLION, Hardin College, Mexico, Mo. 1892.

Rabbi Louis A. Mischeine, M.A., Haz 725, Wheeling, W. Va. 1920.

GEORGE TYLER MOLYNEUX, 1401 East 60th St., Chicago, III. 1919. Prof. J. A. Monrocaumy (Univ. of Pennsylvania), 6806 Greene St., Ger-

mantown, Philadelphia, Pa. 1903, *Mrs. Many H. Moore, 3 Divinity Ave., Cambridge, Mass. 1902.

Dr. RILEY D. Moone, Div. of Physical Anthropology, U. S. National Museum, Washington, D. C. 1916.

Rev. HEGH A. MORAN, 221 Eddy St., Ithaga, N. Y. 1920.

Prof. JULIAN MORRENSTERN (Hebrew Union College), 764 Greenwood Ave., Cincinnati, Ohle, 1915.

*Espinouau B. Mounis, "Ty usp-Cood," Ardmore, Pa. 1920.

Prof. Enwant S. Mones, Salem, Mone. 1894.

Rev. Hans K. Modera, Jefferson, Wis. 1996.

Mrs. Albert H. Munnell, 65 Middlesex Road, Chestnut Hill, Mass. 1908. Dr. WILLIAM Mess-Armony, 245 East Tramout Ave., New York, N. Y. 1887.

Rev. Dr. TROMAS KINLOCH NELSON, Virginiz Episcopal School, Lynchburg, Va. 1920.

Rev. Dr. WILLIAM M. NERRIT, 477 Main St., Orange, N. J. 1916.

Prof. W. B. Newhold, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa. 1918. Reward Theopous Newsla, American Numismatic Society, 156th St. and Broaduny, New York, N. V. 1914.

Hev. Dr. James B. Nuss, Hotel St. George, 51 Clark St., Brocklyn, N. Y.

Ven. Archdencon William E. Nine, Union Bank, Genera, Switzerland.

Mrs. Changes P. Norton, Transylvania College, Lexington, Ky. 1910. Miss Ruru Nourou, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md. 1913.

Dr. William Franceick Note, 1727 Lament St., N. W., Washington, D. C.

Rt. Rev. Mgr. Deninis J. O'Connell, 800 Cathedral Place, Hichmond, Va-

Dr. Frier, Prothers von Oxym.z., 325 E. 55th St., New York, N. Y. 1013.

Prof. Hanns Center, Yale University, New Haven, Conn. 1890. Herener C. Ostringen, 8th & Walnut Sto., Charinnani, O. 1920.

Dr. CHARLES J. Ooden, 628 West 114th St., New York, N. Y. 1905.

Dr. ELLER S. Coper, Hopkins Hall, Burlington, Vt. 1898.

Prof. Samuel G. Oliphant, Grove City College, Grove City, Pa. 1906.

Prof. Albust Texetics Olissead (Univ. of Illinois), 706 S. Goodwin St., Urbana, Ill. 1909.

Prof. Paul. Curramann (Univ. of Genera), Ave. de Bosquets, Servette, Genère, Switzerland. 1904.

Prof. Lawis B. Paron, Hartford Theological Seminary, Hartford, Conn. 1894.

ROBERT LART PATTERSON, Sheilds, Allegheny Co., Pn. 1920.

Dr. CHARLES PRABOUY, 197 Brattle St., Cambridge, Mass. 1892.

Prof. George A. Peckham, Hiram College, Hiram, Ohio, 1912.

Hanono Prince, 222 Drexel Bldg., Philadelphia, Pa. 1920.

Prof. ISMAN J. PERITZ, Syracuse University, Syracuse, N. Y. 1894.

Dr. JOSEPH LOUIS PRESIDE (Columbia Univ.), 315 West 115th St., New York, N. Y. 1920.

Prof. EDWARD DELAVAN PERST (Columbia Univ.), 542 West 114th St., New York, N. Y. 1879.

Dr. Armond Present, 2414 East 55th St., Cleveland, O. 1920.

Rev. Dr. JOHN P. PETERS, 225 West 99th St., New York, N. Y. 1982.

Prof. Walter Perensen, Bethany College, Lindsborg, Kan. 1909.

JULIUS I. PEYSER, 208 Wilkins Bldg., Washington, D. C. 1920.

Honest HEXAY PERSTER, 39 Winthrop St., Cambridge, Mass. 1920.

Hom. WILLIAM PHILLIPS, Woodley, Woodley Lane, Washington, D. C. 1917.

IT. BAWARESENA PILLAI, Thottakkadu House, Madrus, India. 1913. Julian A. Pollak, 827 Redway Ave., Cincinnati, O. 1920.

PAUL POPENOE, Thermal, Calif. 1914.

Prof. William Power, University of California, Berkeley, Cal. 1897.

Prof. Ina M. Parce, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1887.

Dr. Julius J. Paicz, 94 Fairview Ave., Plainfield, N. J. 1917.

Prof. JOHN DYNELEY PAINCE (Columbia Unit.), Sterlington, Rockland Co., N. Y., 1888.

Casa E. Privil, 101 Union Trust Bldg., Cincinnatt, O. 1930.

Rev. Phancis J. Puerell, S.T.L., Overbrook Seminary, Philadelphia, Pa-

Dr. GEOSGE PAYN QUACKENSOS, Colonial Heights, Tuckahoe, N. Y. 1904. Rabbi Max Raisin, LL-D., 1003 Sterling Place, Brooklyn, N. Y. 1920.

Rabbi Max Raisin, LL.D., 1993 Sterling Place, Brooklyn, R. I.
Prof. H. M. Ramsey, Sembury Divinity School, Faribasit, Minn. 1929.

Dr. JOSEPH RANSOHUFF (Univ. of Cincinnati), 7th & Race Sts., Cincinnati, O. 1920.

Manors Raun, 951 Penn Ave., Pitteleurgh, Pa. 1920.

Prof. Jons H. Raves (New Branswick Theol. Seminary), 155 College Ave., New Branswick, N. J. 1920.

Dr. Joseph Reinna, Dropsie College, Broad and York Sts., Philadelphia, Pa. 1913. JOHN REDLY, Ja., American Numismatic Society, 156th St. and Broadway, New York, N. Y. 1915.

Rev. Dr. A. K. REISCHAUM, Meiji Golwin, Tokyo, Japan. 1920.

Prof. GEORGE ANDREW REGENER, Museum of Pine Arts, Boston, Mass. 1891.

Bt. Rev. Philip M. RHINELANDER, Church House, 12th and Weinet Sta, Philadelphia, Pa. 1968.

Prof. GEORGE H. RICHARDSON, Trinity Rectory, Logansport, Ind. 1917. ROSERT THOMAS RIDOLS, St. Charles Seminary, Overbrook, Pa. 1920.

Rev. CHARLES WELLINGTON EGENSON, Bronxville, N. Y. 1916.

Prof. General Livingston Rominson (McCormick Theol. Seminary), 2312 N. Halstad St., Chicago, Ill. 1892.

Prof. JAMES HARDY ROYES (Harvard Univ.), 13 Folles St., Cambridge, Mass, 1893.

HARRY L. BOREN, 831 South 3d St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1919.

Dr. Wilszam Boszman, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md. 1897. Dr. Joseph G. Rosengarven, 1704 Wainut St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1917.

"JULIUS ROSEKWALD, Care of Sears, Roebuck and Co., Chicago, Ill. 1920. Miss Anstaide Rubolph, 115 West 68th St., New York, N. Y. 1894.

Dr. Klasar Russkil, Woolman House, Swarthmure, Pn. 1916.

Rabbi Samus Satz, 4621 Westminster Place, St. Louis, Mo. 1920.

Rabbi Mancus Saliman, Ph.D., 94 West Ross St., Wilkes Barsé, Pa. 1920.

Rev. Dr. FRANK K. SANDERS, 25 Madison Ave., New York, N. Y. 1897.

Mrs. A. H. Saumpens, 552 Riverside Drive, New York, N. Y. 1915.

Prof. HESAY SCHARTER (Lathern Theol. Seminary), 1016 South 11th Ave., Maywood, Chicago, Ill. 1910.

Dr. Innagt. Schapino, Library of Congress, Washington, D. C. 1914. Dr. JOHANN F. Schultenia, Care of Kerkharm and Co., 115 Herrengracht, Amsterdam, Notherlands.

1*Jacon H. Schure, 52 William St., New York, N. Y. 1920.

JOHN F. SCHLIGHTING, 1430 Woodhaven Blvd., Woodhaven, N. F. 1920.

Prof. NATHABIEL SCHMIDT, Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y. 1894. Willem H. Schoff, Commercial Museum, Philadelphia, Pa. 1912.

Prof. H. Schumacher, Catholic University of America, Washington, D. C.

William Bacon Scotten, Worcester Club, Worcester, Mass. 1919. Prof. GRAND CLASSERS. SCHOOLS, University of Missouri, Columbia, Mo.

Dr. Charles P. G. Scott, 49 Arthur St., Youkers, N. Y. 1895. Prof. John A. Scott, Northwestern University, Evanston, Dt. 1920. "Mrs. Samuel Baras Score (ude Morris), 2106 Spruce St., Philadelphia,

Dr. Mozza Szipez, 9-11 Montgomery St., New York, N. Y. 1917.

Rev. Dr. William G. Szirin, 125 Tsuchidol-machi, Sendal, Japan. 1902. O. R. Smilers, Lerington, Mo. 1917.

Max Section, 21 Mitchell Ridg. Cirrinnati, O. 1820.

Dr. REDRY B. SHARMAN, North Truco, Mass. 1917.

Rev. WILLIAM SHELLARMAN, 2512 Gullford Ave., Bultimore, Mil. 1919.

Prof. CHARLES N. SHEPAED (General Theol. Seminary), 9 Chelsen Square, New York, N. Y. 1907.

CHARLES C. SHERMAN, 447 Webster Ave., New Rochelle, N. Y. 1904. GYOKERU SHIRITA, 230 East 37th St., New York, N. Y. 1920.

Rabbi Assa Hiller Silver, The Temple, East 55th St. & Central Ave., Cleveland, O. 1920.

Hinasi Hina Sipes, Rajahmundry, Codavery District, India. 1920.

JACK H. SKIRBALL, Hobrow Union College, Chadmatt, O. 1920.

*Jons R. Slattery, 14bis rue Montaigne, Paris, Prance. 1903.

Prof. Henry Preserved Smith (Union Theol. Seminary), Broadway and

120th St., New York, N. Y. 1877.

Prof. John M. P. Smith, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1906.

Dr. Louise P. Smith, Wollesley College, Wellesley, Mass. 1918.

Rev. Journ E. Savers, Box 790, Pargo, N. Dak. 1916.

Prof. Edmund D. Sorea, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill. 1920.

ALEXANDES N. SPANAKIDIS, University of Pennsylvania Museum, Philadelphia, Pa. 1920.

Dr. Davin B. Spoonin, Ass't. Director General of Archeology in India, "Beamore," Simla, Punjab, India. 1918.

Prof. Martin Sprending, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 1912. Prof. Wallace N. Strains, McKemiroe College, Lebanon, Ill. 1920.

Dr. W. STEDS, "Wynbury," Howard Road, Coulsdon, Surrey, England. 1920.

Rev. Dr. James D. Syemes, 15 Grove Terrace, Passaic, N. J. 1892. M. T. Syemes, P. O. Box 7, Vladivostok, East Siberia. 1919.

Rabbi EMMANUE, STEANHEM, M.S.P., 1400 Douglas St., Sioux City, Iowa-

Mrs. W. Yorke Syzvenson, 251 South 18th St., Philadelphia, Pa. 1919. Rev. Anson Phales Stokes, D.D., Woodbridge Hall, Yale Station, New Haven, Conn. 1900.

Roy, Dr. Josuph Stole, 4714 Grand Boolevard, Chiengo, IR. 1917.

Hon. MAYER SULERERGER, 1303 Girard Ave., Philadelphia, Pa. 1888.

A. J. SCHETTES, Parmers Bank Bldg., Pittsburgh, Pa. 1920.

Prof. Leo Supran (St. Louis College of Pharminey), 2100a Russell Ava., St. Louis, Mo. 1920.

Prof. Geome Symmetr, Ja., Augsburg Seminary, Minneapolls, Minn. 1907.

Ber. HEXET Swift, Plymouth, Conn. 1914.

WALTER T. SWINGLE, Bureau of Plant Industry, Washington, D. C. 1916.

Prof. F. J. Tengant, University of California, Berkeley, Cal. 1919.

EREN FRANCIS THOMPSON, 311 Main St., Worcester, Mass. 1906.

Prof. Havay A. Tono (Columbia Univ.), 824 West End Ave., New York, N. Y. 1835.

Prof. Humana Cusmino Tomana, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn. 1917.

*Prof. Grandez C. Torrey, Yale University, New Haves, Conn. 1891. I. Newton Tracker, 844 Marion Ave., Avandale, Charlemati, O. 1929. Rev. Augustale Tressayer, 4138 Brooklyn Ave., Scattle, Wash. 1918. TSEE LINE TSU, 1201 W. Clark St., Urbana, III. 1918.

DAVID ARTHUR TURNURE, 100 East 71st St., New York, N. Y. 1020.

Dublet True, Milford, Mass. 1920.

Rev. Synner N. Ussher, 44 East 76th St., New York, N. Y. 1969.

Rev. Dr. FERDERICK ADJUNTUS VANDERBURGH (Columbia Univ.), 55 Washington Sq., New York, N. Y. 1908.

Addison Van Name (Yale Univ.), 121 High St., New Haven, Conn. 1863. Mrs. JOHN KING VAN BENESHARE, 157 Elast 37th St., New York, N. Y.

Prof. Astrice A. Vaschaldz, Catholic Univ. of America, Washington, D. C.

Lubwis Voorlaten, 81 Broadway, New York, N. Y. 1920.

Miss CORNELLA WARREN, Cedar Hill, Waltham, Mass. 1894.

Prof. WILLIAM F. WARREN (Boston Univ.), 131 Davis Ave., Brookline, Mass. 1877.

Ber. Samuen W. Wass, 177 Scudan Ave., N. Toronto, Canada. 1917.

Prof. LEBOY WATERMAN, Univ. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich. 1912. Prof. J. E. WESSEN, 1687 Cambridge St., Cambridge, Mass. 1894.

ARTHUR J. WESTERMAYE, 12-16 John St., New York, N. Y. 1912.

Monain P. Westhermen, Traction Bidg., Cincinnati, O. 1920.

MILITON C. WESTPHAL, Cornell University, Phasa, N. Y. 1920.

Pres. HERJAMIN IDE WHEELER, University of California, Berkeley, Cal. 1885.

JOHN G. WETTE, Williamson Building, Cleveland, Ohio. 1912. "Miss Margaret Dwight Whitney, 227 Church St., New Haven, Conn.

PETER WITHKIE, 220 Henry St., New York, N. Y. 1920.

HERMAN WILE, Ellicott St. cor. Carroll St., Buffalo, N. Y. 1920.

Prof. Henney L. William (Univ. of Chicago), 5119 Woodlawn Ave., Chlengo, Ill. 1917.

Mea. Caronine Ranson Whiliams, The Chesbrough Dwellings, Toledo, Ohio.

Prof. CLIMENCE RUSSELL WILLIAMS, St. Stephen's College, Assandale-on-Hadson, N. Y. 1980.

Hou. E. T. WILLIAMS (Univ. of California), 1410 Scenic Ave., Berkeley, Cal.

Prof. Personne Wells Williams (Yale Univ.), 155 Whitney Ava., New Haven, Conn. 1595.

Mrs. F. W. WILLIAMS, 155 Whitney Ave., New Haven, Coun. 1918.

Prof. Talcorr Whiliams, Columbia University, New York, N. Y. 1884.

Prof. Cust Part. Winners. Columbia University, College of Pharmacy, 115 West Sith St., New York, N. Y. 1220.

HEADERT E. WINLOCK, Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, N. Y. 1919. Ber, Dr. WILLIAM COPLEY WINSLOW, 525 Bencon St., Buston, Mass. 1885.

Rev. Dr. STEPRES S. WISE, 23 West 90th St., New York, N. Y. 1894.

Prof. Jour E. Winnart, 6834 Washington Ave., St. Louis, Mo. 1911. HENRY B. WETTON, 290 Hom St., South, Hamilton, Outario. 1885.

Prof. Louis B. Wolfenson (Univ. of Wiscoulin), 1113 W. Dayton St.,

Dr. HENRY A. Wongson, 35 Divisity Hall, Cambridge, Mass. 1917.

Howkand Wood, Curator, American Numbenatic Society, Broadway & 156th St., New York, N. Y. 1919.

Prof. Invine F. Wood, Smith College, Northampton, Mass. 1905.

Prof. Witajan H. Wood (Durimouth College), 22 North Main St., Hanover, N. H. 1917.

Prof. James H. Woods (Harvard Univ.), 179 Brattle St., Cambridge, Mass. 1900.

Prof. WHALAM H. WORKELL (Hartford Seminary Poundation), 133 Whitney St., Hartford, Conn. 1910.

Prof. JESSE Enwis Warnen (Univ. of Missouri), 1104 Hudson Ave., Columbia, Mo. 1917.

Rev. Dr. ROYDEN K. YERKES (Philadelphia Divinity School), Box 247, Merion, Pa. 1916.

Dr. S. C. YLVISAKES, Luther College, Decorah, In. 1913.

Rev. Dr. ABEADAM YOHANNAN, Columbia University, New York, N. Y. 1894.

Louis Garrier, Zelson, 427 Titan St., Philadelphia, Ps. 1920.

Ber. Robert Zimmerman, S. J., St. Xavier's College, Cruickshank Road, Bombay, India. 1911.

JOSEPH SOLDHON ZUCKERBAUM (Mizracki Teachers' Institute), 2 West 111th St., New York, N. Y. 1920.

Rev. Dr. Samuel, M. Zwames, Cairo, Egypt. 1920.

[Fotal: 472]



"A book that is shut is but a block"

GOVT. OF INDIA
Department of Archaeology
Department of Archaeology

Please help us to keep the book clean and moving.

L. B. LAR. S. DELPL.